1	H	aas - 11/18/2020	
2			19:33
3	INCORPORA	ATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE	
4	E	BOARD OF APPEALS	
5			
6		Lawrence Country Club 101 Causeway	
7		Lawrence, New York	
8		November 18, 2020 7:33 p.m.	
9	APPLICATION:	Haas	
10	MILLION.	27 Merrall Drive Lawrence, New York	
11	PRESENT:		
12		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	
13		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB	
14		Member	
15		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member	
16		MR. AARON FELDER	
17		Member	
18		MR. PHILIP KERSTEIN Alternate Member	
19		MR. ANDREW K. PRESTON, ESQ.	
20		Village Attorney	
21		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department	
22		MR. GERRY CASTRO	
23		Building Department	
24		Yaffa Kaplan	
25		Court Reporter	

1 Haas - 11/18/2020

2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Good evening, ladies	19:33
3	and gentlemen. Welcome to the Village of	19:33
4	Lawrence Board of Zoning Appeals. Please turn	19:33
5	your cellphones off. If you need to converse,	19:33
6	please do so in the hall. First matter this	19:33
7	evening is that of Haas, 27 Merrall Drive,	19:33
8	looking for an extension on the variance.	19:33
9	MR. CASTRO: Before proceeding, Mr.	19:33
10	Vacchio is going to present proof of posting.	19:33
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes. I'm sorry.	19:3
12	MR. VACCHIO: Mr. Chairman, I offer	19:3
13	proof of posting and publication.	19:3
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.	19:3
15	So on Haas. I think this is the second	19:3
16	request?	19:3
17	MR. PLAUT: Either the third or the	19:3
18	fourth.	19:3
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Has any work commenced	19:3
20	yet?	19:3
21	MR. VACCHIO: I would say 85 to 90	19:3
22	percent completed.	19:3
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So what do we need?	19:3
24	Another year?	19:3
25	MR VACCHIO: A vear would probably be	19:3

1	Haas - 11/18/2020	
2	perfect.	19:33
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Castro, what	19:33
4	precipitated this request?	19:33
5	MR. CASTRO: Something expired a year	19:34
6	and a quarter ago.	19:34
7	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's go for a	19:34
8	one-year extension. And it's a final.	19:34
9	(Whereupon the hearing concluded at 7:34 p.m.)	
10	*************	
11	Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate	
12	transcript of the original stenographic minutes in	
13	this case.	
14	(pn ~~	
15	YAFFA KAPLAN	
16	Court Reporter	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Rı	usso - 11/18/2020
2	INCORPORA	ATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
3	I	BOARD OF APPEALS
4		
5		Lawrence Country Club 101 Causeway Lawrence, New York
7		November 18, 2020 7:34 p.m.
8	APPLICATION:	Russo
9	ALLEICHTION.	3 Meadow Drive Lawrence, New York
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15 16		MR. AARON FELDER Member
17		MR. PHILIP KERSTEIN Alternate Member
18		MR. ANDREW K. PRESTON, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
21		MR. GERRY CASTRO
22		Building Department
23		Yaffa Kaplan Court Reporter
24		Court veborter
25		

2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Next matter is Russo,	19:34
3	3 Meadow Drive. Please step forward.	19:34
4	Good evening, Mr. Novello.	19:34
5	MR. NOVELLO: Good evening, chairman and	19:34
6	members of the Board. My name is John	19:34
7	Novello, 320 Heather Lane, Hewlett Harbor,	19:35
8	New York 11557. I am here filling in this	19:35
9	evening for Mr. Norman Lax. Unfortunately,	19:35
10	because of his age and some underlying medical	19:35
11	conditions, he is not attending any	19:35
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You don't have to	19:35
13	apologize for being here.	19:35
14	MR. NOVELLO: So I am here on behalf of	19:35
15	Jennifer and Sal Russo who are sitting right	19:35
16	behind me, the owners of 3 Meadow Drive in	19:35
17	Lawrence, and they are seeking well, they	19:35
18	are proposing to enlarge an existing garage	19:35
19	that exists in their front yard and a swimming	19:35
20	pool that does not require a variance.	19:35
21	We are seeking four variances. I will	19:35
22	go one by one. The first variance is Section	19:35
23	212.24.D, which is the location of an	19:35
24	accessory structure. As I stated, there is an	19:36
25	existing garage that was built I believe	19:36

2	built in 1912 that predates the code. And we	19:36
3	are just adding we are proposing to add a	19:36
4	one-car addition to the rear of it.	19:36
5	The second variance is from Section	19:36
6	212-27.C, which is accessory structure height.	19:36
7	The code permits 12 feet. However, the	19:36
8	existing garage, as it sits today, is at	19:36
9	approximately 15 feet 11 inches, and if the	19:30
10	Board grants us this variance, we would like	19:30
11	to keep the addition aesthetically in line	19:30
12	with the existing.	19:30
13	The third variance that we are seeking	19:3
14	is front Section 212-12.1, front yard	19:3
15	surface coverage. The existing house has a	19:3
16	very large gravel driveway. So as you know	19:3
17,	again, the house predates the code where in	19:3
18	nonconformity as it is we are increasing the	19:3
19	front yard surface coverage by 15 percent just	19:3
20	to allow entrance you know, like an egress	19:3
21	and ingress in that proposed one-car rear	19:3
22	addition.	19:3
23	MEMBER HILLER: You are talking about	19:3
24	where you say "the house predates the code",	19:3
25	you are talking about the garage only?	19:3

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grade will help us tremendously. We submitted 19:38 soil boring tests. The water table in front 19:39 of the garage is at elevation -- is 3 feet 19:39 below grade. Where we are proposing the pool, 19:39 water table is at 9 feet below grade. 19:39 submitted a drainage -- we are proposing a low 19:39 profile StormTech SC-310 storm chamber that's 19:39 only 18 inches in depth. By allowing us to 19:39 raise the grade right behind the garage, that 19:39 would give us the opportunity for a drainage 19:39 system for the garage to actually work. 19:39 Because now -- now the grade would be 3 feet 19:39 higher right behind the garage and the drywell 19:39

The Russos are a family of six. It's 19:39
Sal, Jennifer, their two kids, and Jennifer's 19:40
parents live with them. It's a family of six. 19:40
They have six vehicles at the moment and they 19:40
are using -- they are only able to use the 19:40
attached two-car garage. 19:40

will not be in water.

Because the existing garage is from 1912 19:40 and all the water from the property is going 19:40 into it, there has been a lot of water damage, 19:40 and you know, when they renovate and hopefully 19:40

2	will be allowed to put the proposed addition,	19:40
3	they could do some fixing up to make the	19:40
4	garage operational. So then they can have	19:40
5	pretty much all the cars off the street, off	19:40
6	the circular driveway, and parked inside in	19:40
7	the garages. Detached and attached.	19:40
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: This is the first	19:40
9	request we have where people want to park cars	19:41
10	in the garage. It's a delightful change.	19:41
11	MR. NOVELLO: I think Mr. Russo reached	19:43
12	out to the Rockaway Hunting Club. If it's	19:43
13	okay, I would like to distribute	19:43
14	MR. KERSTEIN: We have gotten it.	19:43
15	MR. NOVELLO: Oh, you have it. So I	19:43
16	believe the manager, Frank Argente, sent an	19:43
17	e-mail saying they have no objection to this	19:4
18	variance and they are directly up front.	19:43
19	MEMBER HILLER: What about the neighbor	19:43
20	as you face the house to the right?	19:43
21	MRS. RUSSO: I can speak to Ms. Russell.	19:43
22	I am friendly with her. We are at work all	19:43
23	the time so I don't have a chance, but if you	19:43
24	would like me to verify, I don't think she	19:4
25	would have an issue.	19:43

MEMBER HILLER: It's not going to be an

1	Russo - 11/18/2020	
2	apartment or anything like that?	19:42
3	MRS. RUSSO: I absolutely do not want	19:42
4	anything to do with that.	19:42
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No apartment and no	19:42
6	raccoons.	19:42
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Will you be rebuilding	19:42
8	the garage because it's hard to shore up a	19:42
9	1912 building?	19:43
10	MR. NOVELLO: We are going to try to do	19:43
11	our best to renovate it.	19:43
12	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: But if not, you can	19:43
13	take it down and rebuild in the same location.	19:43
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: If it's okay with the	19:43
15	Board and Building Department.	19:43
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think I want to	19:43
17	bring it up because I don't want it to happen	19:43
18	later that you take down more than 50 percent	19:43
19	and you may have to reappear, so perhaps we	19:43
20	address this as a new structure or replacement	19:43
21	structure.	19:43
22	MR. CASTRO: I think it's best to	19:43
23	approve it in that fashion in the worst case	19:43
24	scenario.	19:43
25	MR. KERSTEIN: As long as you maintain	19:43

1	Russo - 11/18/2020	
2	the footprint as approved.	19:43
3	MR. CASTRO: Correct.	19:43
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you, Mr.	19:43
5	Gottlieb.	19:43
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You are welcome, Mr.	19:43
7	Chairman.	19:43
8	MRS. RUSSO: It's an eyesore right now.	19:43
9	It's kind of embarrassing. It's just it's	19:43
10	just such an eyesore, and I can't put cars in	19:43
11	it.	19:43
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't think anybody	19:43
13	knows where Meadow Drive is. So don't worry	19:43
14	about the embarrassment.	19:43
15	MRS. RUSSO: I like it that we are very	19:43
16	private.	19:4
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I can understand.	19:4
18	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Novello, one of	19:4
19	the big issues that always comes up is change	19:4
20	of grade, and you have explained that by	19:4
21	changing the grade. Obviously you can lay the	19:4
22	pool out flat. You will have a retaining	19:4
23	wall. You also mentioned the high water	19:4
24	table, and typically when I walk or bicycle	19:4
25	rido thoro there is always an accumulation of	19.4

1	Russo - 11/18/2020	
2	water in the street or often. Are you	19:44
3	reasonably confident that will not be a	19:44
4	continued or exacerbated situation?	19:44
5	MR. NOVELLO: This will alleviate the	19:44
6	problems that are occurring there tremendously	19:44
7	because the gutters would be pointed back into	19:44
8	the grade change, and that's where we showed	19:44
9	the new drywell system. Right now obviously	19:44
10	the existing garage has no drainage system.	19:44
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I saw that.	19:44
12	MR. NOVELLO: So we are taking care of	19:44
13	the existing square footage and the new	19:44
14	addition, so we designed it in excess so no.	19:44
15	It will be very dry.	19:45
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think should this	19:45
17	get approved, I just want to mention that in	19:45
18	this case across the street from you are no	19:45
19	neighbors. It's brush and it's	19:45
20	MR. NOVELLO: It's the Rockaway Hunting	19:45
21	Club.	19:45
22	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Also facing the house	19:45
23	to the left you are on the corner, and that	19:45
24	house is quite a distance away to the right is	19:45
25	the Russells' backyard, which is just an	19:45

₹.	1	Russo - 11/18/2020	
	2	abandoned basketball court. So this is a rare	19:45
	3	example of where change of grade will not have	19:45
	4	any adverse effect on the neighbors. I had to	19:45
	5	put that in for the record just in case we get	19:45
	6	this approved.	19:45
	7	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you for noting	19:45
	8	it for the record.	19:45
	9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: My pleasure, Mr.	19:45
	10	Chairman.	19:45
	11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Any further	19:45
	12	questions from the Board? How long has the	19:45
	13	applicant been living there?	19:45
	14	MRS. RUSSO: We moved in in August of	19:45
	15	2019.	19:45
	16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Welcome.	19:45
	17	MRS. RUSSO: Sal is returning.	19:46
	18	MR. RUSSO: I grew up in the back. I	19:46
	19	knew what I was getting into.	19:46
	20	MRS. RUSSO: And our business is in	19:46
	21	Lawrence too.	19:46
	22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What business is	19:46
	23	that?	19:46
	24	MRS. RUSSO: Russo Development on	19:46
	25	Rockaway Turnpike.	19:46

24

25

taking that into consideration as well as the 19:47 18 change of grade, very eloquently described by 19:47 19 Mr. Gottlieb, is not affecting anyone from our 19:47 20 perspective. Accessory structure location, 21 19:47 structure height, I think you have ably 19:47 22 explained why there is a need. And having 19:47 23

that in mind, so we will begin to vote. And

that would be Mr. Felder.

19:47

19:47

1	Russo - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER FELDER: I am for.	19:47
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller?	19:47
4	MEMBER HILLER: For.	19:47
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb?	19:47
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.	19:47
7	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Kerstein?	19:47
8	MEMBER KERSTEIN: For.	19:47
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I will vote for	19:47
10	as well. Two years?	19:47
11	MR. NOVELLO: Thank you. More than	19:47
12	enough time.	19:47
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: While Mr. Felder is	19:48
14	out, I want to say for the record that we	19:48
15	welcome Mr. Kerstein who is sitting here as a	19:48
16	rookie tonight. He is an alternate in Mr.	19:48
17	Moskowitz's chair. We welcome him and I am	19:48
18	sure he will perform ably. So we look forward	19:48
19	to your participation.	19:48
20	(Whereupon the hearing concluded at 7:48 p.m.)	
21	**************************************	
22	transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.	
23	YAFFA KAPLAN	
24	Court Reporter	

1,

1	J	edwab - 11/18/2020
2	INCORPO	RATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE BOARD OF APPEALS
3		
4		Lawrence Country Club 101 Causeway Lawrence, New York
5		November 18, 2020
6		7:48 p.m.
7	APPLICATION:	Jedwab
8		25 Bayberry Road Lawrence, New York
9	PRESENT:	
10		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
11		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
12		MR. DANIEL HILLER
13		Member
14		MR. AARON FELDER Member
15 16		MR. PHILIP KERSTEIN Alternate Member
17		MR. ANDREW K. PRESTON, ESQ. Village Attorney
18		MR. DANNY VACCHIO
19		Building Department
20		MR. GERRY CASTRO Building Department
21		
22		Yaffa Kaplan Court Reporter
23		
24		
25		

t,

Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Next matter is that	19:48
of Jedwab, 25 Bayberry Road.	19:48
MR. MACLEOD: Good evening, Mr. Keilson,	19:48
members of the Board. John Macleod, 595 Park	19:48
Avenue, Huntington, New York. I am here this	19:48
evening representing the Jedwabs for their	19:49
swimming pool. And before we start talking	19:49
about it, I have several letters from the	19:49
neighbors here with letters of support.	19:49
Notably the neighbor who is most affected	19:49
directly behind, the Bentolilas, I have their	19:49
letter here saying they have no objection to	19:49
the project.	19:49
CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Who is that?	19:49
MR. MACLEOD: Leah and Shmuel Bentolila.	19:49
CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We had a different	19:49
name on the radius map.	19:49
MR. MACLEOD: New neighbors.	19:49
CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Unger. Who are they?	19:49
MRS. JEDWAB: It's her parents.	19:50
MR. JEDWAB: Yes. Same family.	19:50
MR. MACLEOD: So I have seven letters of	19:50
support from the adjoining neighbors.	19:50
	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Next matter is that of Jedwab, 25 Bayberry Road. MR. MACLEOD: Good evening, Mr. Keilson, members of the Board. John Macleod, 595 Park Avenue, Huntington, New York. I am here this evening representing the Jedwabs for their swimming pool. And before we start talking about it, I have several letters from the neighbors here with letters of support. Notably the neighbor who is most affected directly behind, the Bentolilas, I have their letter here saying they have no objection to the project. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Who is that? MR. MACLEOD: Leah and Shmuel Bentolila. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We had a different name on the radius map. MR. MACLEOD: New neighbors. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Unger. Who are they? MRS. JEDWAB: It's her parents. MR. JEDWAB: Yes. Same family. MR. MACLEOD: So I have seven letters of

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Only.

ŧ.

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. MACLEOD: Would you like me to read	19:50
3	them to you?	19:50
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Seven copies of the	19:50
5	same letter?	19:50
6	MR. MACLEOD: Each individually signed	19:50
7	and named.	19:50
8	So we are looking to ask for a variance	19:50
9	for a 15-by-30-foot pool in the rear yard of	19:50
10	the Jedwab residence, which as you know, is an	19:50
11	undersized residential swimming pool. Usually	19:50
12	the standard residential pool would be 20 by	19:50
13	40 or 20 by 38. Here the backyard is	19:50
14	restricted as you know, and so we are trying	19:50
15	to fit in something which is still useable by	19:51
16	the family and also for therapeutic reasons as	19:51
17	well. Fifty-by-30 pool is 450 square feet.	19:51
18	We are limited also with our surface coverage.	19:51
19	So we are trying to work this in without it	19:51
20	creating any disruption to the use of the	19:51
21	property as well as not not suggesting	19:51
22	egregious amounts of surface coverage, and the	19:51
23	setbacks are as you see them.	19:51
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have prior	19:51
25	variances?	19:51

ŧ.

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. MACLEOD: There was a variance for	19:51
3	this residence when the Jedwabs first moved	19:51
4	there in 2003. It was under a different set	19:51
5	of rules at that time, and it was the area	19:51
6	behind the garage which was filled in. The	19:51
7	main project that you see in the house now was	19:51
8	a project I worked with them on a few years	19:51
9	ago, 2008.	19:51
10	MRS. JEDWAB: 2009.	19:51
11	MR. MACLEOD: 2009 and we do not need a	19:52
12	variance then to build the house, to build the	19:52
13	second floor of the house.	19:52
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So in 2009 just a	19:52
15	second story was added?	19:52
16	MR. MACLEOD: Yes.	19:52
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And again the first	19:52
18	variance was for?	19:52
19	MR. MACLEOD: Was for a surface area	19:52
20	building coverage behind the garage. It was	19:52
21	an empty corner of the like 20-foot space	19:52
22	behind the garage, directly behind the garage.	19:52
23	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: There wasn't a rear	19:52
24	height setback ratio?	19:52
25	MR. MACLEOD: We didn't have an issue	19:52

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	with that with the second renovation. We	19:52
3	complied.	19:52
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And the first?	19:52
5	MR. MACLEOD: The first I was not	19:52
6	involved, so I am not sure if they needed that	19:52
7	at the time.	19:52
8	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Well, I guess we are	19:52
9	looking at the structure the way it stands now	19:52
10	just the same.	19:52
11	MR. MACLEOD: Yes. So we are proposing	19:52
12	a 12-foot rear yard where a 20-foot rear yard	19:52
13	is approved by code, and the pool is at a	19:53
14	slight angle to the rear property line which	19:53
15	creates 13 foot 5 at the rear left corner.	19:53
16	And that leaves us a space between the	19:53
17	swimming pool, and the back of the house is at	19:53
18	8 feet 8 inches. This 8 feet 8 inches is the	19:53
19	main route into the backyard when you approach	19:53
20	the house from the driveway. The other side	19:53
21	of the house is not easily accessible. There	19:53
22	is mechanical equipment, and there is not easy	19:53
23	access to that. So the normal route into this	19:53
24	backyard from the street is the right-hand	19:53
25	side of the house, and since Hurricane Sandy,	19:53

19:53

19:53

19:53

19:53

19:53

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:54

19:55

19:55

1

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

the owners planted Leyland cypresses on the side and the rear of the property, so although

the site plan that you are looking at looks

5 like there is a lot of space around the pool,

6 really it's filled in substantially by some

very healthy screening of Leyland cypresses.

So the swimming pool where it shows 12 feet from the edge of the pool to the rear property line, a good portion of that is already -- is already evergreen plantings and the 4-foot walkway is virtually touching it already. The 8 foot 8, which is the walkway,

is at a distance that allows for passage, pedestrian passage, and also keeps the

pedestrian passage, and also keeps the swimming pool structure at a safe distance

from the foundation of the house in that area,

which is a corner. When you are digging next

19 to or close to an existing structure, you

don't want to undermine the footings and

loosen the soil so that you may get settlement

within the house. So that's one of the

reasons we tried to maintain a reasonable

24 distance from the house to the swimming pool

25 that perhaps two people could walk side by

1 Jedwab - 11/18/2020

2	side around the house as opposed to a narrower	19:55
3	walkway, which would be one-way traffic one	19:55
4	person at a time.	19:55
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So the issue we have	19:55
6	is we have never approved anything of this	19:55
7	nature in terms of a backyard encroachment	19:55
8	unless there is some very unusual	19:55
9	circumstances which is no neighbor to the rear	19:55
10	or there is a driveway to the rear or there is	19:55
11	a great distance to the other house. Are	19:55
12	there some extenuating circumstances that	19:55
13	would explain why we should?	19:55
14	MR. MACLEOD: Well, as I have explained,	19:55
15	the size of the pool is much less than a	19:55
16	normal size pool, so it's only 15 feet wide as	19:55
17	opposed to 18 or 20.	19:55
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We had many pools of	19:55
19	this size, so let's move on from that one.	19:55
20	MR. MACLEOD: If we were to try to find	19:56
21	another place on the backyard to put the pool	19:56
22	right now, there is grass where the pool is	19:56
23	planned to be. On the other side is a stone	19:56
24	patio and a small play area just off of the	19:56
25	patio which is a swing set. And that is	19:56

2	directly outside of the kitchen and breakfast	19:5
3	area, which is very convenient place for a	19:56
4	Succah, and if we were to move the pool to	19:50
5	that direction, it would destroy that very	19:50
6	nice stone patio and put the pool over there.	19:50
7	It would be right outside the window, right	19:5
8	outside the sliding doors from the breakfast	19:5
9	area and it would actually it would	19:5
10	actually not be much further away from the	19:5
11	rear property line because the property line	19:5
12	is at an angle. It's a very slight angle.	19:5
13	You can see over the distance of the length of	19:5
L 4	the pool that it's the other corner is it's	19:5
L5	only 1 foot 5 inches further away by the angle	19:5
16	of the back.	19:5
L7	MEMBER HILLER: Usually we give a	19:5
L8	variance when there is no other remedy. There	19:5
19	is a remedy here. It's a difficult choice to	19:5
20	make, but the stone patio could be reduced,	19:5
21	the pool could be moved over to that side.	19:5
22	That would reduce the coverage as well, and	19:5
23	you would still have room for a Succah where	19:5
24	the pool is. That's a consideration.	19:5
>5	MR MACLEOD: It's also quite a large	19:5

25 Jedwab - 11/18/2020 1

1,

2	hardship.	19:57
3	MEMBER HILLER: I don't dismiss the	19:57
4	hardship, but there's a remedy. Because you	19:57
5	are asking us to give a variance upon a	19:57
6	variance and you are asking us to ignore the	19:57
7	proximity of the pool to the neighbor of 12	19:57
8	feet, which we generally or never do	19:57
9	regardless of the neighbor's approval.	19:57
10	MR. MACLEOD: Well, yes. I would like	19:57
11	to point out that there is no objection.	19:57
12	MEMBER HILLER: I accept that.	19:57
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No objection noted.	19:58
14	MEMBER FELDER: Just out of curiosity,	19:58
15	if you were to have moved the pool to where	19:58
16	the stone patio is, how many feet would we	19:58
17	actually gain that this would make it	19:58
18	worthwhile to do? Have room for their Succah	19:58
19	experience and everything else that is going	19:58
20	on here? Obviously we wouldn't be bringing it	19:58
21	to 20 feet, so what are we actually gaining?	19:58
22	MR. MACLEOD: If we took the pool and	19:58
23	slid it over in that direction, we would be	19:58
24	moving the pool about 30, 35 feet, so that	19:58
25	would at the furthest end, the greatest	19:58

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	separation it would increase from say 13 foot,	19:58
3	5 to 16 feet but about 15 feet. Another foot	19:58
4	and a half by the time we slide it over here.	19:58
5	MEMBER FELDER: That's not net gain.	19:58
6	MEMBER HILLER: That's just sliding it	19:58
7	over.	19:58
8	MR. MACLEOD: If we slid it over, moved	19:58
9	it over to the house, we would obviously pick	19:58
10	up some more distance, but it's not their	19:59
11	first preference.	19:59
12	MEMBER FELDER: It wouldn't even be	19:59
13	safe. They would be stepping out into a	19:59
14	swimming pool from their kitchen door.	19:59
15	MR. MACLEOD: Correct. As you know, a	19:59
16	swimming pool is not the nicest thing to look	19:59
17	at all of the year with its covering.	19:59
18	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I have a different set	19:59
19	of thoughts, and it's not the location of the	19:59
20	pool. You are calling the pool 450 feet which	19:59
21	I understand by code that's what you are	19:59
22	calling it, but as a practical proposition,	19:59
23	it's really 874 feet if you include the	19:59
24	walkway. I know you don't have to, but I am	19:59
25	looking at you are permitted 4,750 feet.	19:59

1,

You are overbuilt by 8.2 percent. And then 2 19:59 you say you are looking for 450 when in 19:59 3 reality because you are given a gift by the 19:59 4 village of a 4-foot walkway, it's another 874. 5 20:00 And when I look at the plan, I just see so 6 20:00 much built and I think about when I went into 7 20:00 this neighborhood the morning after Sandy what 20:00 8 it looked like and there is just -- the issue 20:00 9 I have is ground coverage in addition to the 20:00 10 12 feet setback, and I don't know if moving 20:00 11 the pool is going to change that. Actually, 12 20:00 it's not going to change it. Unless you 13 20:00 14 remove the patio. 20:00 15 MR. MACLEOD: Indeed, the patio, we 20:00 would need to replace the patio where the pool 20:00 16 is and now we would have to build a patio to 20:00 17 accommodate the backyard usage for that 18 20:00 purpose and I don't see too much -- I really 20:00 19 don't see an advantage in sliding the pool 20 20:00 21 over to the right. In fact, I see it as a big 20:00 disadvantage being that it's now basically 20:00 22 right in front of you from the breakfast table 20:00 23 all the time throughout the year when six 20:01 24 months of the year at least it has a green 20:01 25

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	cover over it.	20:01
3	MEMBER HILLER: Can I ask Gerry what is	20:01
4	the coverage on the lot if this variance goes	20:01
5	through? What's the percentage?	20:01
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Forty-five about	20:01
7	45.5.	20:01
8	MR. MACLEOD: The surface coverage?	20:01
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No. Total lot	20:01
10	coverage.	20:01
11	MR. MACLEOD: Total lot coverage would	20:01
12	be 5,593. It's on the code relief chart.	20:03
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Percentage of the	20:01
14	coverage?	20:01
15	MR. CASTRO: Forty-five.	20:01
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Forty-five percent.	20:01
17	Very high.	20:01
18	MR. MACLEOD: Can I ask is that based on	20:01
19	the percentage, that 5,000 based on the	20:03
20	overall lot coverage?	20:01
21	MR. CASTRO: Yes.	20:03
22	MR. MACLEOD: Which part of the code do	20:02
23	you refer to that we need to comply with that?	20:02
24	MR. CASTRO: That's just	20:02
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We are trying to find	20:03

1 Jedwab - 11/18/2020

2	a reason to give you the pool that you want.	20:02
3	So we are twisting and turning in every which	20:02
4	way. We want you to have the pool, but you	20:02
5	are asking us to sacrifice all our standards	20:02
6	in order to accommodate this specific	20:02
7	instance. So tell us what you would like us	20:02
8	to do. Just forget about all the standards?	20:02
9	Throw out every pool in every position?	20:02
10	MR. MACLEOD: My clients are willing to	20:02
11	try to work with you to achieve a swimming	20:02
12	pool. They want to have a swimming pool.	20:02
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think it's the	20:02
14	other way.	20:02
15	MR. MACLEOD: So let's get together.	20:02
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But you are asking us	20:02
17	to do something that we haven't done for	20:02
18	anybody else unless there is some rationale.	20:02
19	Tell me the neighbor behind you is 50 feet	20:02
20	away, has a driveway in between. Tell me	20:02
21	something I can hang my yarmulka on.	20:02
22	MR. MACLEOD: We can increase slightly	20:02
23	the rear-yard setback. We are currently	20:03
24	asking for 12 and 13 and a half at the other	20:03
25	corner. Without diminishing the separation	20:03

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	between the pool and the house to something	20:03
3	that is in my mind an unsafe 4-foot walkway	20:03
4	between a solid wall and a drop-off into a	20:03
5	pool this piece of paper here, by the way,	20:03
6	is 3 feet wide. This is 36 inches. Here is	20:03
7	the edge of the pool. This is the house.	20:03
8	This is a very narrower walkway for someone to	20:03
9	walk along.	20:03
10	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Doesn't look like it	20:03
11	fits.	20:03
12	MR. MACLEOD: Currently we are proposing	20:03
13	8 foot 8. If we were to reduce	20:03
14	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Oh, I see what you	20:03
15	mean. By moving it 4 feet over	20:03
16	MR. MACLEOD: Not 4 feet. If we move	20:03
17	the pool 2 feet closer to the house, we would	20:03
18	have at least we would have 6 foot 8 to	20:03
19	walk on.	20:03
20	MEMBER FELDER: If you have been to the	20:03
21	site, Ed	20:04
22	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Yes.	20:04
23	MEMBER FELDER: You have seen all the	20:04
24	trees around the property are bringing in all	20:04
25	of these open areas on the plan to not what	20:04

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	they seem on the plan so	20:04
3	MR. KERSTEIN: That's on the exterior	20:04
4	perimeter?	20:04
5	MEMBER FELDER: In the perimeter and	20:04
6	also up here at the same.	20:04
7	MEMBER HILLER: It does provide	20:04
8	screening, but it doesn't change the plot	20:04
9	coverage. It doesn't change the proximity to	20:04
10	the neighbor.	20:04
11	MEMBER FELDER: We are trying to keep	20:04
12	the pool at a safe distance from the house	20:04
13	while allowing them to be able to walk around	20:04
14	it while still allowing it to be enough of a	20:04
15	rear-yard setback to be satisfying us. So	20:0
16	MEMBER HILLER: Well, he is offering	20:0
17	something.	20:0
18	MR. MACLEOD: So if the pool is moved 2	20:04
19	feet closer to the house, we will be 15 feet 5	20:04
20	inches at one corner and 15 at the other	20:04
21	corner and that would still leave us with a	20:05
22	6-foot-8 walkway which is reasonable distance.	20:05
23	Not what my client would like. They would	20:05
24	really like it to be the 8 foot 8, but I am	20:0
25	offering it because we definitely don't want	20:0!

⊥,	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	to move the pool to the right in that whole	20:05
3	patio and breakfast relationship, that	20:05
4	breakfast area relationship as well as, you	20:05
5	know, probably a 30,000-dollar patio expense.	20:05
6	So we are trying to avoid that and keep the	20:05
7	pool in this location. We are suggesting and,	20:05
8	you know, we hope that you will be open to	20:05
9	moving it slightly towards the house, but not	20:05
10	as close as we possibly can.	20:05
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You can narrow the	20:05
12	pool and make it longer?	20:05
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Ten by 40.	20:05
14	MR. KERSTEIN: That wouldn't work	20:05
15	because if I see this correctly, you are	20:05
16	running into the existing you are 15 feet	20:05
17	on the side setback now. So if you make it	20:06
18	longer, you are running into the side setback.	20:06
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That was not my	20:06
20	suggestion. The other way.	20:06
21	MEMBER KERSTEIN: The other way you are	20:06
22	running into the patio.	20:06
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I am not running	20:06
24	anywhere. I am trying to make a pool.	20:06
25	MR. KERSTEIN: I understand but you	20:06

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	can't make it longer. You would have to	20:06
3	remove the patio.	20:06
4	MEMBER FELDER: You would have to remove	20:06
5	the barbecue patio.	20:06
6	MR. MACLEOD: So in discussing it with	20:07
7	my clients, we were suggesting a 12-feet	20:07
8	rear-yard setback. If the pool if we can	20:07
9	do what you had suggested, perhaps take that	20:07
10	15 feet and make it 14 feet and take that	20:07
11	extra square footage of water and make the	20:07
12	pool maybe a foot and a half, 2 feet longer to	20:07
13	make up for it, then we would have a 13-foot	20:07
14	rear-yard setback at one end and a	20:07
15	16-and-a-half-foot setback.	20:07
16	MEMBER HILLER: But still going to add	20:07
17	the 2 feet to the house so it would be more.	20:08
18	MR. MACLEOD: One foot out of the width	20:08
19	and 2 feet.	20:08
20	MR. KERSTEIN: So you are 3.	20:08
21	MR. MACLEOD: Three feet closer to the	20:08
22	house. Sorry. Three feet further away from	20:08
23	the rear property.	20:08
24	MR. KERSTEIN: Fifteen and 16 and a	20:08
25	half.	20:08

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER HILLER: So you were minimizing	20:08
3	your own argument.	20:08
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So make it 14 by 31.	20:08
5	MR. MACLEOD: Thirty-two. Fourteen by	20:08
6	32.	20:08
7	MR. MACLEOD: It will be the same square	20:08
8	footage that we are proposing, which is 450	20:08
9	square feet. And would you mind dividing 450	20:08
10	by 14?	20:09
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's 448.	20:09
12	MR. CASTRO: 448.	20:09
13	MR. MACLEOD: Thank you. That would	20:09
14	still give us a 6-feet-8 walkway between the	20:09
15	house and the pool.	20:09
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So we are looking at	20:09
17	15 feet from the property line now?	20:09
18	MR. MACLEOD: Yes, at the narrowest	20:09
19	point.	20:09
20	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Of course, it doesn't	20:09
21	address my 49 percent coverage.	20:09
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Forty-five.	20:09
23	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Well, I am including	20:09
24	the patio around the pool.	20:09
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's exempt.	20:09

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's exempt but I	20:09
3	think as a board we need to consider all these	20:09
4	additions.	20:09
5	MEMBER HILLER: Is there anything you	20:09
6	can do with the patio? I just want to tell	20:09
7	you, as the chairman said, we are trying to	20:09
8	work with you. We are trying to give you a	20:09
9	pool but you have coverage you know, the	20:09
10	normal house in the village of Lawrence has	20:09
11	coverage in the low 30s, up to 35. You have	20:10
12	45. And 49 I will say 45. I won't count	20:10
13	the walkway. Something has to be done with	20:10
14	the patio.	20:10
15	MR. JEDWAB: We can shorten the pool.	20:10
16	Make it 30 by 14 pool.	20:10
17	MEMBER HILLER: That's significant.	20:10
18	MR. JEDWAB: But it's also pool	20:10
19	coverage. We have terrible flooding. This	20:10
20	will actually help us, so I don't know why it	20:10
21	comes to the same type of coverage.	20:10
22	MEMBER HILLER: Those are the rules.	20:10
23	MR. JEDWAB: But this coverage actually	20:10
24	helps us.	20:10
25	MEMBER HILLER: I can't dispute that,	20:10

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	but we can't there are other people who are	20:10
3	going to come after you. There is a precedent	20:10
4	set. So is there something you can do with	20:10
5	the patio?	20:10
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What would a 3-foot	20:10
7	walkway be?	20:10
8	MR. MACLEOD: So although we were	20:10
9	allowed to have a 4-feet walkway around the	20:10
10	pool, which doesn't get counted, we would be	20:11
11	willing to remove the 4-foot walkway on the	20:11
12	rear and right side of the pool. It doesn't	20:11
13	affect our numbers, but it does affect the	20:11
14	concept that we are talking about.	20:11
15	MEMBER HILLER: Is that permitted?	20:11
16	MR. CASTRO: Yes.	20:11
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's affecting your	20:11
18	psychological state.	20:11
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's 180 square feet	20:11
20	less.	20:11
21	MR. MACLEOD: So that's what we are	20:11
22	asking for. Fifteen feet rear-yard setback at	20:11
23	the closest corner. Sixteen feet 5 inches at	20:11
24	the other corner and no walkway on two sides	20:11
25	of the pool, the rear and the right, and the	20:11

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	pool would be 14 by 32.	20:11
3	MR. CASTRO: When you say "right", do	20:11
4	you mean closest to the existing patio?	20:11
5	MR. MACLEOD: Look at it from the	20:11
6	street.	20:11
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You realize we don't	20:11
8	want to get a call the first heavy rain after	20:11
9	the storm after the pool is there from the	20:12
10	neighbors saying look what you have done to	20:12
11	me. And I think you understand why we are so	20:12
12	cautious.	20:12
13	MR. MACLEOD: We do and I would to	20:12
14	alleviate or perhaps just open a discussion on	20:12
15	that, a swimming pool usually the water	20:12
16	level is about 8 to 10 inches below the top	20:12
17	surface.	20:12
18	MEMBER HILLER: Mr. Macleod, the pool is	20:12
19	covered eight months of the year.	20:12
20	MR. MACLEOD: If I	20:12
21	MEMBER HILLER: We are telling you from	20:12
22	previous experience when the pool is covered,	20:12
23	there is runoff from the pool. Not	20:12
24	absorption.	20:12
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think we are best	20:12

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	to not having a colloquy about the merits of	20:12
3	the pools and what they do because we could	20:12
4	spend the whole night doing that.	20:12
5	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We talk about the	20:12
6	reduced space for percolation of rainwater	20:12
7	so	20:12
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You know how to quit	20:12
9	while you are ahead?	20:12
10	MR. MACLEOD: I am not saying anything.	20:12
11	MR. KERSTEIN: Good move.	20:12
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So let's summarize	20:13
13	what the request is. Please summarize so we	20:13
14	can	20:13
15	MR. MACLEOD: So in summary, we are	20:13
16	proposing that we will amend our pool location	20:13
17	from being 12 feet from the property line to	20:13
18	15 feet at its closest point and 16 feet 5	20:13
19	inches at the other corner towards the rear.	20:13
20	The pool will also be 14 feet by 32 instead of	20:13
21	15 by 30, and further there will be no walkway	20:13
22	on the rear of the pool and no walkway on the	20:13
23	right side of the pool when you are looking at	20:13
24	the pool from the street.	20:13
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any further questions	20:13

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	from the board? Any comments from the	20:13
3	audience?	20:13
4	MR. CASTRO: Just one question. The	20:13
5	backwash drywell is located all the way to the	20:13
6	front yard. Is there a reason why it's there	20:1
7	and not closer to the back in between the pool	20:1
8	and the equipment?	20:1
9	MR. MACLEOD: The pool equipment is on	20:1
10	that side of the house, and I just thought it	20:1
11	would be easier to put it in in the front	20:1
12	yard.	20:1
13	MR. CASTRO: Okay. That's all.	20:1
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Castro is okay?	20:1
15	MR. CASTRO: Yes.	20:1
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So there will be no	20:1
17	backwashing into the street?	20:1
18	MR. MACLEOD: No. We have a drywell.	20:1
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: They all say that.	20:1
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Taking into	20:1
21	consideration the benefit to the applicant or	20:1
22	taking into consideration the fact that we	20:1
23	understand that today there is an important	20:1
24	purpose served by having a pool and so we have	20:1
25	shown great flexibility and trying to work	20:1

1	Jedwab - 11/18/2020	
2	with the applicant in every which way	20:14
3	possible. So certainly taking into	20:14
4	consideration the benefit to the applicant as	20:14
5	opposed to any detriment to the community and	20:14
6	the flexibility shown by the applicant	20:14
7	modifying to accommodate the request of the	20:15
8	Board, the Board will now vote. Mr. Felder?	20:15
9	MEMBER FELDER: For.	20:15
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller?	20:15
11	MEMBER HILLER: For.	20:15
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb?	20:15
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.	20:15
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Kerstein?	20:15
15	MR. KERSTEIN: For.	20:15
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I will vote for	20:15
17	as well, and I guess you will need at least a	20:15
18	year or a year and a half just to cover?	20:15
19	MR. MACLEOD: Thank you. Yes.	20:15
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The Board also	20:15
21	extends condolences to Mr. Jedwab. Your	20:15
22	father was a very special person.	20:15
23	(Whereupon the hearing concluded at 8:15 p.m.)	
24	Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in	
25	this case. YAFFA KAPLAN Court Reporter	

1	348 Ce	ntral LLC - 11/18/2020
2	INCORPOR	ATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE BOARD OF APPEALS
3		Lawrence Country Club
4		101 Causeway Lawrence, New York
5		November 18, 2020
6		8:15 p.m.
7	APPLICATION:	348 Central Avenue LLC 348 Central Avenue
8		Lawrence, New York
9	PRESENT:	MD TIOVE VETICON
10		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
11		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
12		
13		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
14		MR. AARON FELDER Member
15		MR. PHILIP KERSTEIN
16		Alternate Member
17		MR. ANDREW K. PRESTON, ESQ. Village Attorney
18		MR. DANNY VACCHIO
19		Building Department
20		MR. GERRY CASTRO Building Department
21		-
22		Yaffa Kaplan Court Reporter
23		
24		
25		

Ŋ.

1,	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Next matter is 348	20:15
3	Central Avenue LLC. They or their	20:15
4	representatives, please step forward. Good	20:16
5	evening, Mr. Flaum.	20:16
6	MR. FLAUM: Good evening, chair and	20:16
7	members of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Good	20:16
8	evening. My name is Shmuel Flaum, residing at	20:16
9	194 Wanser Avenue in Inwood, New York 11096.	20:16
10	I am here on behalf of my clients, plural, the	20:16
11	owner of the building, Mr. Buyorsky, and the	20:16
12	owner of the boutique gymnastics that we are	20:16
13	here to hopefully obtain a variance for this	20:16
14	evening.	20:16
15	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We are talking about	20:16
16	a use variance.	20:16
17	MR. FLAUM: So it's primarily a use	20:16
18	variance that triggers also a parking variance	20:16
19	but a little bit more complicated than that.	20:16
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let me just set the	20:16
21	table if I might.	20:16
22	MR. FLAUM: Sure.	20:16
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For a use variance,	20:17
24	an applicant must provide all three of the	20:17
25	following items: Number 1, a reasonable	20:17

Т	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	return cannot be realized under current	20:17
3	zoning. Two, that the owner's plight is due	20:17
4	to unique circumstances. And number 3, the	20:17
5	proposed use will not alter the character of	20:17
6	the neighborhood. The table has been set.	20:17
7	Please proceed.	20:17
8	MR. FLAUM: So the current space at hand	20:17
9	is the commercial space or two of the	20:17
10	commercial spaces that are currently located	20:17
11	in the Kaiser building that's on the corner of	20:17
12	Central Avenue and trying to remember the	20:17
13	cross street Williams Street. That	20:17
14	building is probably one of the oldest	20:17
15	buildings in the village if I had to guess,	20:17
16	based on the styling and uniqueness of it.	20:17
17	It's also one of the most, until recently,	20:17
18	disregarded, and how should we say,	20:17
19	dilapidated buildings in the Central Avenue	20:18
20	shopping area.	20:18
21	Having grown up here for the past 25	20:18
22	years I am highly familiar with Central	20:18
23	Avenue. I always wondered why this building,	20:18
24	which is unique in design, only had a vacuum	20:18
25	shop as its primary use, which always looked	20:18

1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

empty until it shut down many years ago and 20:18 then there was an optical store and a small 20:18 space to the right. So this building, it is 20:18 at the end of the northern side of the Central 20:18 Avenue shopping area in Lawrence itself. It's 20:18 actually located in the K business district, 20:18 and the proposed use that we are seeking here 20:18 would be general regentrification of this 20:18 building, give life to it again, and make it 20:18 useable. 20:18

My best guess as a professional as to 20:18 why this building currently sits vacant is a 20:18 number of reasons: One, the fact that there 20:18 is no space for loading and unloading of 20:18 commercial objects that would be sold in the 20:18 potential store that would have been there. 20:19 Two, it's not the largest of commercial 20:19 spaces, and 3, it's not in the hustle and 20:19 bustle of the center of the Central Avenue 20:19 shopping area. 20:19

That being said, the building was 20:19

purchased by Mr. Buyorsky who, once he 20:19

purchased it, was looking to find tenants to 20:19

take tenancy in this space. One of the 20:19

	S40 Central HHC - 11/10/2020	
2	tenants that stepped up that was of interest	20:19
3	is Ms. Grace Esses who runs right now in	20:19
4	another location a boutique gymnastics space.	20:19
5	Boutique in the sense that it's small classes,	20:19
6	not large classes. It's not like the Warren	20:19
7	Levi Karate Academy, which has 30 kids at each	20:19
8	session. The sessions here are very small and	20:19
9	obviously given the space of the building	20:19
10	would also be very small.	20:19
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How small is small?	20:19
12	MR. FLAUM: What?	20:20
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How small is small?	20:20
14	MR. FLAUM: How small is small? We can	20:20
15	go look.	20:20
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You called it small.	20:20
17	MR. FLAUM: So there is three stores	20:20
18	fronting the street. The main tenant space	20:20
19	that we are talking about here is the middle	20:20
20	one. It's	20:20
21	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You made reference to	20:20
22	her usage.	20:20
23	MR. KERSTEIN: How many students at a	20:20
24	time?	20:20
25	MR. FLAUM: The only number of the	20:20

1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020

2 students at a time would be maxed eight per 20:20 class, two classes, so 16 students all 20:20 3 together. These students obviously are young. 20:20 4 They wouldn't be driving to the location. 5 20:20 They would be dropped off and picked up by 6 20:20 their parents. Classes typically are about an 7 20:20 8 hour at a time. Obviously because it's in the 20:20 9 shopping district, most parents probably would 20:20 drop off the kids either in a group format and 20:20 10 11 obviously either go shopping locally or either 20:20 go back and pick up an hour later. So even 12 20:20 though the existing use was commercial and the 13 20:20 proposed use was recreational, the amount of 14 20:20 people that would be coming to this space 15 20:20 would be limited because of the size of the 16 20:21 space. It couldn't handle any more, and they 17 20:21 wouldn't want any more people there. 18 20:21 19 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How long is the 20:21 session? 20 20:21 MR. FLAUM: Each session is an hour for 21 20:21 22 the gymnastics. The time frame in which the 20:21 gymnastics space runs is primarily afternoon, 23 20:21 early evening at the end of the workday, and 24 20:21 on Fridays in the afternoon and then on 25 20:21

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	Sundays during the day.	20:21
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: All day?	20:21
4	MR. FLAUM: I am trying to find the	20:21
5	times I have for the operation of the space.	20:21
6	I apologize.	20:21
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: While you are looking	20:21
8	for the time, so you are saying the two	20:21
9	garages in the back will be used for eight	20:21
10	students per class with one instructor in each	20:21
11	class?	20:21
12	MR. FLAUM: Not one instructor. I think	20:21
13	it was a total of six employees. Probably one	20:21
14	or two instructors in the class and two	20:21
15	office.	20:21
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What's the space	20:21
17	fronting Central Avenue to be used for? The	20:21
18	storefront, the entrance?	20:22
19	MR. FLAUM: So the central space hold	20:22
20	on. I am assuming that's the main space for	20:22
21	access. There is a wheelchair ramp that takes	20:22
22	over a portion of the front side to get into	20:22
23	the space because it's not at grade. Most	20:22
24	likely an area to drop off your belongings or	20:22
25	change into.	20:22

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So it's not going to	20:22
3	to be used as a classroom?	20:22
4	MR. FLAUM: I don't think it can be used	20:22
5 .	as a classroom because it wouldn't have the	20:22
6	privacy they are seeking, but I am going to	20:22
7	defer to the person who is going to run the	20:22
8	gymnasium.	20:22
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I am confused. You	20:22
10	are talking about the rear?	20:22
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The entrance to the	20:22
12	garage is the store in the middle. Three.	20:22
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Three stores	20:22
14	additional in the front three.	20:22
15	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Three stores that face	20:22
16	Central Avenue. The applicant is the center	20:22
17	store on Central Avenue with access to the two	20:22
18	garages behind. It's not totally clear to me.	20:23
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I will let the	20:23
20	architect and applicant speak.	20:23
21	MS. ESSES: I am Grace Esses. Yes. So	20:23
22	the main entrance is just a reception area, so	20:23
23	there is just going to be like a desk, some	20:23
24	cubbies, the bathroom in the front, a pro	20:23
25	shop. Our closets are our storage space, and	20:23

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	then the back is just for the gymnastics	20:23
3	portion.	20:23
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Where is that	20:23
5	entrance?	20:23
6	MS. ESSES: It's right in the front.	20:23
7	MR. FLAUM: This is the part fronting	20:23
8	Central Avenue. This space is what we just	20:23
9	described as the front area. These are the	20:23
10	two gymnastic area rooms. This is the front	20:23
11	entry, this is a separate store. That's a	20:23
12	separate door. So these two are the ones that	20:23
13	were converted from the garage to gymnastic	20:23
14	practice areas. This one is separate from	20:23
15	that one.	20:23
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: This area will only	20:23
17	be for ingress and egress?	20:23
18	MR. FLAUM: If you look carefully, there	20:24
19	is an entrance door and a ramp, so you can't	20:24
20	even use this because you need space to	20:24
21	circulate and probably to get the students	20:24
22	ready to go into the classroom. So while new	20:24
23	students are coming up to queue up to go in,	20:24
24	the other ones are leaving.	20:24
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Where are they	20:24

1.

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	leaving from?	20:24
3	MS. ESSES: Same entrance. The front.	20:24
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Over here?	20:24
5	MS. ESSES: The doors for in and out and	20:24
6	just the front. Like the main the front	20:24
7	space is really just a reception, a locker	20:24
8	room, bathroom, pro shop.	20:24
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Coming in from	20:24
10	Central Avenue?	20:24
11	MS. ESSES: Yes, from Central Avenue.	20:24
12	MR. FLAUM: What are the doors in the	20:24
13	rear?	20:24
14	MS. ESSES: We don't have access to	20:24
15	these doors. We don't use	20:24
16	MEMBER HILLER: There are doors in the	20:24
17	rear.	20:24
18	MS. ESSES: Just to get things in and	20:24
19	out, but we don't have kids leave in the back.	20:24
20	Just from the front so we can watch them or	20:24
21	the parents pick them up from the front. We	20:24
22	don't use the back.	20:24
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is that metered right	20:25
24	now, the front?	20:25
25	MR. FLAUM: What do you mean by	20:25

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	"metered"?	20:25
3	MR. KERSTEIN: Are there parking meters?	20:25
4	MR. FLAUM: There are no parking spaces	20:25
5	currently in front of this entire building.	20:25
6	The entire frontage of this building is a	20:25
7	blocked-off no parking zone, and I actually	20:25
8	was in the village discussing it, trying to	20:25
9	find out what the reason why it's blocked off.	20:25
10	From Google Streets view, I can see it's	20:25
11	blocked off as early as 2012, and the reason I	20:25
12	was given is if there's any fire trucks coming	20:25
13	down Williams Street, they can turn on to the	20:25
14	Central Avenue, so that was my understanding	20:25
15	as to why it's blocked off versus the other	20:25
16	loading/unloading that the trucks have along	20:25
17	Central Avenue. So I don't know what you call	20:25
18	them. They are not bollards.	20:25
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right now it's	20:25
20	blocked off for a specific reason, which is	20:25
21	the egress and ingress to Williams. Mr.	20:25
22	Castro, only for the fire engine or also for	20:25
23	visible?	20:26
24	MR. CASTRO: Williams Street is now two	20:26
25	ways, so when you are heading north on Central	20:26

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	Avenue, you are forced to make a right turn.	20:26
3	You need the visible on left-hand side.	20:26
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So that was done when	20:26
5	they made Williams a two-way street?	20:26
6	MR. KERSTEIN: For nontrucks. It's only	20:26
7	for cars coming out because trucks couldn't	20:26
8	even come. Its only two-way for cars.	20:26
9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Okay.	20:26
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So that's an issue.	20:26
11	MR. FLAUM: So they blocked parking	20:26
12	there so that is visible to who?	20:26
13	MR. KERSTEIN: The cars coming out of	20:26
14	Williams Street onto Central Avenue. You can	20:26
15	make a right turn only and in order to be able	20:26
16	to see since Central Avenue is a busy street,	20:26
17	you need to be able to see cars coming towards	20:26
18	you, so they opened that area to allow	20:26
19	visibility for people coming out.	20:26
20	MR. FLAUM: Got it.	20:26
21	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And also for cars	20:26
22	going into Williams.	20:26
23	MR. CASTRO: Yes.	20:26
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Heading west on	20:26
25	Central, you can make a right into Williams.	20:27

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. KERSTEIN: Okay.	20:27
3	MR. FLAUM: That wouldn't make any	20:27
4	sense. It would only make sense if you are	20:27
5	going south and you are making a right turn.	20:27
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Going west.	20:27
7	MR. CASTRO: Heading west and making a	20:27
8	right.	20:27
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Going north into	20:27
10	Williams.	20:27
11	MR. PRESTON: Gives extra turning room.	20:27
12	MR. FLAUM: Okay. I got it. Okay. So	20:27
13	there currently is parking in front of that.	20:27
14	That being said, the question becomes the	20:2
15	nature of or the issue is by opening the	20:27
16	gymnasium, we are having kids being dropped	20:2
17	off and picked up. Now, there is Williams	20:27
18	Street as discussed and there is the side	20:2
19	entrance or the rear entrance, but like Ms.	20:27
20	Esses just said, they usually don't take	20:2
21	students in from that location because they	20:2
22	want to have a controlled area so they can see	20:27
23	the kids exiting and entering, so in support	20:2
24	of what we are trying to achieve, we have	20:27
25	actually a parking study that was done by a	20:27

1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020 traffic engineer, Schneider Engineering. You 2 20:28 should all have a copy of the parking study 20:28 3 done. I don't know if the Board had a chance 20:28 4 to review the contents of the parking study. 5 20:28 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We did. 6 20:28 MR. FLAUM: So I am sure there are 7 20:28 questions regarding the parking. 20:28 8 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No. 20:28 9 MEMBER HILLER: I have just one comment 20:28 10 that the parking study ends with the caveat 20:28 11 that this was study was done during COVID and 12 20:28 it might not be as realistic. It might be 13 20:28 much more serious, a traffic problem, a 14 20:28 15 parking problem in normal times. 20:28 MR. FLAUM: So he did mention that and 20:28 16 he did put the caveat, but he said even with 20:28 17 the increase of what would be during normal 18 20:28 times, he said there would still be parking 20:28 19 nearby in the two adjacent parking lots which 20 20:28 21 I just want to address. There are currently 20:28 two village municipal parking lots for the 20:28 22 express purpose of accommodating people coming 20:28 23 to the commercial parking lot. I know the 20:29 24 I know the Seasons -- the one behind 25 20:29 area.

1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Seasons parking center to the north, 20:29
that's usually filled. The one on the south 20:29
on Frost and Rockaway Turnpike, usually even 20:29
on the most busy days it's not as busy. It is 20:29
further down the area of Central Avenue, 20:29
closer to Rockaway Turnpike. 20:29

That being said, the children aren't 20:29 driving to and from the gymnastics area. 20:29 parents are driving the kids, they are 20:29 dropping them off, and they are picking them 20:29 up. So obviously we are trying to figure out 20:29 a way that we can minimize traffic backup or 20:29 any traffic issues at this intersection by 20:29 this building. So one of the ideas posited by 20:29 the traffic engineer and also building owner 20:29 was perhaps make a loading/unloading zone only 20:29 where currently blocked off from any parking. 20:29 We have a series of loading/unloading zones 20:29 for trucks along Central Avenue so that stores 20:29 on Central Avenue can receive pickups and 20:30 deliveries and drop off throughout the day 20:30 where nobody can park. So the question is can 20:30 that also be achieved here by this building 20:30 where it's just a loading/unloading zone 20:30

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	because the parents are dropping off, picking	20:30
3	up the kids, they are not staying long term.	20:30
4	This would alleviate any potential traffic	20:30
5	backup on Central Avenue itself as it's a	20:30
6	one-way street in both directions.	20:30
7	MR. KERSTEIN: What's a one-way street?	20:30
8	MR. FLAUM: Sorry. One-lane street in	20:30
9	both directions.	20:30
10	MR. KERSTEIN: Go ahead. I'm sorry.	20:30
1,1	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: A couple of things.	20:30
12	We already addressed the fact that that's	20:30
13	probably an impossibility because we have it	20:30
14	already marked off for purposes of alleviating	20:30
15	the turning into Williams and coming out of	20:30
16	Williams, so the likelihood of the Williams	20:30
17	then turning that into pickup and drop-off for	20:30
18	young kids and have a lot of young kids that	20:30
19	need to be escorted out of the car, so the	20:30
20	likelihood of the village allowing cars to	20:30
21	stand and drop off at that juncture flies in	20:31
22	the face of what the real purpose of that	20:31
23	marked area has been. Number 1.	20:31
24	Number 2, there is a question that arose	20:31
25	because we have a similar request in an allied	20:31

_	340 Central EEC - 11/10/2020	
2	area as to how in terms of relying on	20:31
3	municipal parking, what are the limitations in	20:31
4	terms of that as far as viewing municipal	20:31
5	parking as alleviating a parking requirement?	20:31
6	MR. CASTRO: The code states that I	20:31
7	think within 100 feet, a municipal parking lot	20:31
8	can be used. Nonetheless it still would	20:31
9	require the variance for the parking.	20:31
10	MR. KERSTEIN: Can I ask another	20:31
11	question? On the study that was done, if it	20:31
12	talks about on a Friday from 12:30 to 1:30 in	20:31
13	November because that's the anticipated peak	20:31
14	times of the site in conjunction with the	20:32
15	surrounding areas, I would be curious to see	20:32
16	what happens, most of the stores on a Friday	20:32
17	in November are closed by 2:00. People do not	20:32
18	do their shopping, for example, in Seasons	20:32
19	that late in the day. Friday morning you	20:32
20	would have many more people going because	20:32
21	people tend not to want to get stuck. The	20:32
22	stores are closed at 2:00, so I wonder about	20:32
23	12:30 to 1:30 being the peak hours. Number 1.	20:32
24	Number 2, Thursday would be a busier day than	20:32
25	Friday. I don't know where they got their	20:32

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	idea of what the peak hours and utilization of	20:32
3	those lots would be.	20:32
4	MS. ESSES: So we don't open until the	20:32
5	kids get out of school. So we wouldn't be	20:32
6	opened earlier than that.	20:32
7	MR. KERSTEIN: Thursday afternoon when	20:32
8	people do their shopping for Shabbos is	20:32
9	probably much busier than Friday at 12:30.	20:32
10	That's what I am questioning.	20:33
11	MS. ESSES: Oh, okay.	20:33
12	MR. KERSTEIN: They are saying these are	20:33
13	the peak utilization times, and I just don't	20:33
14	think they are.	20:33
15	MS. ESSES: We don't really open until	20:33
16	3:00 or 4:00 during the week because we	20:33
17	obviously wait until the kids get out of	20:33
18	school, and to tell you the truth, we put in	20:33
19	Monday through Thursday over there, but	20:33
20	Thursday is the last day I am going to be	20:33
21	opening. In my Brooklyn location I am not	20:33
22	even open on those days at the moment. So we	20:33
23	wouldn't even be open in	20:33
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: In terms of the	20:33
25	Brooklyn location, because I went to your	20:33

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	site, you do have classes in the daytime in	20:33
3	the morning, Mom and Tots.	20:33
4	MS. ESSES: We have Mommy and Me, but I	20:33
5	don't know if I would offer it here.	20:33
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Once we open the	20:33
7	floodgates, we are not here to tell you what	20:33
8	hours to be open or not to be open. So from	20:33
9	our vantage point, we have to assume you are	20:33
10	going to be open all the time. That's the	20:33
11	basis for our	20:34
12	MS. ESSES: We are really like not	20:34
13	seasonal, but it's really when the kids are	20:34
14	not in school and Mommy and Me is really just	20:34
15	a very small portion of my business and most	20:34
16	of the business is the older kids after school	20:34
17	and I wouldn't even open Mommy and Me here for	20:34
18	a while if I did do it. It's not a main	20:34
19	portion of the business. It's usually the	20:34
20	older kids after school.	20:34
21	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Chairman, I have a	20:34
22	few questions that relate to this. Your name	20:34
23	is Grace? Hey, Grace.	20:34
24	MS. ESSES: Nice to meet you.	20:34
25	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Nice to meet you. Mr.	20:34

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	Preston, I need to ask you a question. So	20:34
3	this is a use variance. Grace, you are going	20:34
4	to be super successful, and in two years you	20:34
5	are going to move into a much larger location.	20:34
6	This can be relet to any other athletic or gym	20:34
7	type of establishment.	20:34
8	MR. PRESTON: If the board grants a	20:34
9	variance for use variance, and subsequent	20:34
10	tenant comes in that is not incompatible with	20:34
11	the variance granted, then it runs with that	20:35
12	property.	20:35
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So what I mean to say	20:35
14	is Grace is super successful, she moves out,	20:35
15	and Tiger Schulmann comes and packs the place	20:35
16	with 30 kids in each room, could that be a	20:35
17	situation that would be permitted?	20:35
18	MR. PRESTON: The answer is yes, based	20:35
19	on application 1 tenant.	20:35
20	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The next tenant might	20:35
21	want to do morning dance classes or Mommy and	20:35
22	Me, so I guess some of my concerns is not the	20:35
23	use today, but what we are opening it up to	20:35
24	for the future. You don't have to answer	20:35
25	this. It's something you really probably	20:35

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	can't answer.	20:35
3	Was there a C of O or an application to	20:35
4	convert the garage to usable space?	20:35
5	MR. FLAUM: Yes. There is an active	20:35
6	application to convert one to the other. The	20:35
7	strict	20:35
8	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Did they know what the	20:35
9	use was for?	20:35
10	MR. FLAUM: Did who?	20:36
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: When the village gave	20:36
12	you the permit, did they know what the use was	20:36
13	for?	20:36
14	MR. FLAUM: So I am actually not the	20:36
15	primary applicant for the alteration. I was	20:36
16	brought in to handle the variance, and I was	20:36
17	here to fix up what happened in a backwards	20:36
18	order which what should have happened, they	20:36
19	should have sought the variance first and then	20:36
20	the construction. I think they weren't clear	20:36
21	when it was filed what its use was. It was	20:36
22	just to convert it to commercial space.	20:36
23	MEMBER HILLER: When did you become	20:36
24	aware?	20:36
25	MR. FLAUM: About two weeks ago when I	20:36

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	was called up in an urgent phone call.	20:36
3	MEMBER HILLER: When did the tenant	20:36
4	become aware?	20:36
5	MS. ESSES: Probably right when we	20:36
6	called you.	20:36
7	MR. FLAUM: I would have to call the	20:36
8	owner.	20:36
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's call the owner	20:36
10	up.	20:36
11	MR. BUYORSKY: I am not from the area.	20:36
12	We became aware of it we started	20:37
13	construction we became aware of it by the	20:37
14	Building Department. I wasn't exactly sure	20:37
15	how I had an architect who was working from	20:37
16	Manhattan, but at a certain point I realized	20:37
17	that he doesn't know the lay of the land, so I	20:37
18	decided that I am going to hire an architect	20:37
19	for the variance.	20:37
20	I was notified by the city that you need	20:37
21	a variance because we took down the garage	20:37
22	doors. As soon as we took down the garage	20:37
23	doors, they said you can't do that. You can't	20:37
24	take down the garage doors because you are	20:37
25	changing the use of the building. So they	20:37

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	came in and told us you got to file the	20:37
3	variance, and the Building Department very	20:37
4	graciously helped us get into this meeting.	20:37
5	MEMBER HILLER: So you started	20:37
6	construction before going to the Building	20:37
7	Department to see what you were allowed to do	20:37
8	or not allowed to do?	20:37
9	MR. BUYORSKY: We had a permit for the	20:37
10	construction of the building. We had a permit	20:37
11	that we were allowed to do construction.	20:37
12	MEMBER HILLER: But what about the	20:37
13	garage?	20:37
14	MR. BUYORSKY: So the garage. The	20:37
15	garage was really when I bought the	20:37
16	building the garage really there wasn't any	20:37
17	construction done. It was just garbage in	20:38
18	there. If you can see the garage before and	20:38
19	after all that was done and the garbage was	20:38
20	cleaned out, the garage is the same garage.	20:38
21	It's just a cement floor and cinder block	20:38
22	walls.	20:38
23	MEMBER HILLER: I understand you	20:38
24	converted it, but you just did it because you	20:38
25	felt you could do it?	20:38

1	64 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. BUYORSKY: No. I didn't understand	20:38
3	there was an issue with I didn't understand	20:38
4	and listen, there was an issue and there was	20:38
5	no real conversion done because essentially	20:38
6	it's the same brick wall we cleaned out.	20:38
7	MEMBER HILLER: But it's not a garage	20:38
8	anymore.	20:38
9	MR. BUYORSKY: Yes. So yes.	20:38
10	MEMBER HILLER: And the other thing,	20:38
11	when did you first put up the signage for	20:38
12	gymnastics and say enroll now or whatever it	20:38
13	says there?	20:38
14	MR. BUYORSKY: I didn't put that up.	20:38
15	MEMBER HILLER: How long ago was that	20:38
16	put up?	20:38
17	MR. BUYORSKY: Probably six weeks ago.	20:38
18	A month, six weeks ago.	20:38
19	MEMBER HILLER: At that time you did not	20:38
20	realize that there was a usage problem?	20:38
21	MR. BUYORSKY: At that point I didn't	20:38
22	realize there was a usage problem. The	20:38
23	village called me and then called Grace and	20:38
24	told us there is a usage issue.	20:38
25	MEMBER HILLER: How long ago?	20:39

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. BUYORSKY: Six weeks ago.	20:39
3	MEMBER HILLER: At the same time you put	20:39
4	up the signs?	20:39
5	MR. BUYORSKY: Right after we put up the	20:39
6	signs, yes, they realized what was going on.	20:39
7	I mean, the village was coming in, the village	20:39
8	is always there. They are constantly checking	20:39
9	what's going on. We are in very close contact	20:39
10	with the village. I practically speak with	20:39
11	the village on a daily basis now to make sure	20:39
12	everything is being done according to code	20:39
13	with plumbing and electric.	20:39
14	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's very good to	20:39
15	hear. We are happy to hear that.	20:39
16	MR. BUYORSKY: So that's it.	20:39
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's positive. He	20:39
18	is not being facetious.	20:39
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's good to hear the	20:39
20	village is looking out what they are supposed	20:39
21	to do.	20:39
22	MR. BUYORSKY: They are very involved.	20:39
23	James is there. It's his second home.	20:39
24	MEMBER HILLER: The back of your	20:39
25	building, you have space, paved area that four	20:39

1	Opti	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2		cars can go on.	20:39
3		MR. BUYORSKY: Correct.	20:39
4		MEMBER HILLER: In front of those four	20:39
5		cars which are facing the fence of the	20:40
6		swimming pool, on the other side there is	20:40
7		something covered.	20:40
8		MR. BUYORSKY: It's just a paved area.	20:40
9		There is no swimming pool there.	20:40
10		MEMBER HILLER: Okay. There is	20:40
11		something covered there, could be not a pool	20:40
12		in front of those four-car area. There is an	20:40
13		additional area that could be paved that would	20:40
14		put another two cars there. I am just telling	20:40
15		you.	20:40
16		MR. BUYORSKY: I understand. The only	20:40
17		issue is if you put those two cars there, how	20:40
18		would you pull out?	20:40
19		MEMBER HILLER: You have employees who	20:40
20		are leaving cars.	20:40
21		MR. BUYORSKY: I hear what you are	20:40
22		saying. We would be willing to do that.	20:40
23		CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We have got a lot of	20:40
24		thresholds.	20:40
25		MR. FLAUM: What he is trying to say,	20:40

4.77

348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just summarizing what he is saying, he had an 20:40 alteration permit to fix up the space. He did 20:40 not realize taking down the garage doors would 20:40 be triggering a use variance. It wasn't known 20:40 to him until the village building officials 20:40 made that known to him and said you would need 20:41 to obtain a use variance, which he was 20:41 directed to me and I was retained to put this 20:41 package together for him and the traffic study 20:41 was done in anticipation of tonight's meeting. 20:41 So we have a very lovely lady who is trying to 20:41 open up a business during this wonderful 20:41 pandemic time where businesses are closing 20:41 down, and we are trying to regentrify a 20:41 building that has historical significance on a 20:41 dilapidated corner that has really no other 20:41 usage or has been neglected. A new building 20:41 owner is trying to bring life to this corner 20:41 or this building, and obviously there is a 20:41 concern of the traffic that might be created 20:41 by dropping off and picking up the kids for 20:41 the use that's projected at the space. 20:41 I just want to highlight that again, the 20:41

number of children being dropped off and 20:41

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	picked up in this specific use is very	20:41
3	limited, and the concerns that Mr. Gottlieb	20:41
4	raised about the change in primarily to a	20:42
5	different type of recreational space in the	20:42
6	future once the variance is granted, I know	20:42
7	with other jurisdictions that there is a	20:42
8	limitation on the use for the current space	20:42
9	with the person who is currently taking	20:42
10	tenancy and that it could expire when someone	20:42
11	leaves that space. I know in the town of	20:42
12	Hempstead if a use goes uninhabited for six	20:42
13	months, you lose the use variance for the	20:42
14	space. So I don't know if that's something	20:42
15	that the village would consider as a	20:42
16	contingency but it does exist.	20:42
17	MEMBER HILLER: Let's consult our	20:42
18	attorney.	20:42
19	MR. PRESTON: I would just clarify that	20:42
20	doesn't change my answer to Mr. Gottlieb's	20:42
21	question earlier where if this tenant come in,	20:42
22	vacates, and a new tenant comes in, Tiger	20:42
23	Schulmann, that use variance stays in place.	20:42
24	Yes, it is true that if this tenant leaves and	20:42
25	the place remains dormant for a period of	20:42

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	time, if it's no longer using that variance as	20:42
3	needed, the variance no longer applies to the	20:42
4	property.	20:42
5	MEMBER HILLER: You can't put a clause	20:42
6	in that says the use variance only applies to	20:43
7	the present tenant?	20:43
8	MR. PRESTON: The Board could have the	20:43
9	power to issue a temporary permit for a period	20:43
10	of time subject to renewal. That would	20:43
11	satisfy that.	20:43
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't think you	20:43
13	want to get into that. I think the bigger	20:43
14	problem is that you are understating the	20:43
15	usage. As I studied her website in Brooklyn	20:43
16	and studying the people filling in all of the	20:43
17	blanks, I think to suggest that it's just a	20:43
18	few kids being dropped off, you are talking	20:43
19	about 16 kids in a specific time frame of an	20:43
20	hour, so you are talking about the cars	20:43
21	associated with that. You are talking about	20:43
22	the cars stopping off, loading the kids at the	20:43
23	same time, then the other cars picking up kids	20:43
24	from the prior session. Where is all this	20:43
25	going to take place?	20:43

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MS. ESSES: There usually is a bit of	20:43
3	time in between each class, and to be honest,	20:43
4	a lot of people come like siblings come	20:43
5	together and then people also do carpool a lot	20:44
6	so it's not it wouldn't be 16 cars coming	20:44
7	in and out because they really do carpool and	20:44
8	siblings come together.	20:44
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: If it was five, it	20:44
10	would be a problem. Forget about 15 but we	20:44
11	have a traffic expert.	20:44
12	MS. ESSES: Yes. Let him speak.	20:44
13	MR. SCHNEIDER: I graduated Lawrence	20:44
14	High School, Steven Schneider,	20:44
15	S-C-H-N-E-I-D-E-R. Principal of Schneider	20:44
16	Engineering PLLC, 1 Comac Loop, Suite 1B4,	20:44
17	Ronkonkoma, New York 11779. I am a licensed	20:44
18	professional engineer. I have been here	20:44
19	before many years ago. Mr. Langer and some	20:44
20	other things that other shuls and things	20:44
21	that went on. But I have been doing this for	20:45
22	50 years so I have a little bit of an idea	20:45
23	what's going on and I am familiar with Central	20:45
24	Avenue obviously.	20:45
25	I have the report which I submitted to	20:45

1	3 1 8	Central	TTC	_ 11	/ 1 8	12020
L	340	Centrar	Γ Γ Γ	/	T 0	/ 2 0 2 0

2	you. I am not going to go through the whole	20:45
3	thing now. I just want to hit some of the	20:45
4	points that you had mentioned. First of all,	20:45
5	the limitation, the reason why I did, I took	20:45
6	the counts on Friday was it was before	20:45
7	Shabbos. I didn't take it after 3:00 because	20:45
8	they are closed at that time. So later on in	20:45
9	the day on Friday, it's meaningless. I took	20:45
10	it when I thought would be one of the peak	20:45
11	periods. Now, saying that, if you look at the	20:45
12	numbers, all right, your code requires a total	20:45
13	of about 26 parking spaces. That's based on	20:45
14	what is it? Two hundred or 300?	20:45
15	MR. FLAUM: Two hundred square feet.	20:46
16	MR. SCHNEIDER: Plus the apartments	20:46
17	which there are four there, one at a quarter	20:46
18	and her is five so five, it comes out to 26.	20:46
19	With the eight kids in each of the classes,	20:46
20	total of 16 children, worst-case scenario you	20:46
21	need 16 cars. Best-case scenario would be	20:46
22	something less than that because people will	20:46
23	carpool, people will walk. People will drop	20:46
24	the kids off and this might not be the	20:46
25	necessarily the primary trip but a secondary	20:46

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	trip as well or maybe they are going shopping	20:46
3	as well so they are parking anyhow. The	20:46
4	parking lot that's adjacent on Williams	20:46
5	Street, which is the closest lot, okay, has a	20:46
6	minimum parking space availability of 18.	20:46
7	Now, here we are talking about 26, but	20:47
8	of course, you have to realize we don't	20:47
9	include the five because the five are already	20:47
10	included in my numbers that I counted. Those	20:47
11	people are currently here. So I could take	20:47
12	away from the 26 five spaces that are	20:47
13	required. Now we are down to 21 and if I	20:47
14	presume that some will carpool or not, it's	20:4
15	somewhere between 10 and 15 cars, give or take	20:4
16	a few, more or less. If you will notice on	20:4
17	Williams Street that I had taken, there was	20:4
18	worst-case scenario 18 available spaces.	20:47
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold it. 18 spaces	20:47
20	on Williams?	20:4
21	MR. SCHNEIDER: On the parking lot. I	20:47
22	meant the parking lot on Williams. I take it	20:47
23	back. My chart which is table 2, it shows	20:4
24	we call it the parking lot 1. Parking lot 2	20:4
25	is the one on Central Avenue down Frost.	20:4

2 Side road and we looked at how far 20:47 Okay. would people walk, et cetera, and people walk 20:47 anywhere from 400 to, you know, over a 1,000 20:47 4 based on the standards of ITE that we are 5 20:48 using. Institute of Transportation Engineers. 6 20:48 I took the lower end in this case, somewhere 20:48 7 around 400 and 500. That's what I used. So 20:48 8 when I looked at that, I didn't have to look 20:48 9 at that because I had enough parking spaces 10 20:48 for these people in the Williams Street 11 20:48 parking lot right now. At 1:30 there was 47 12 20:48 available spaces. If you look at my chart on 13 20:48 table 2 or table -- there is 223 spaces that 14 20:48 are available, and in the 12:30 time period 15 20:48 there was 81 of those were available. The 16 20:48 rest were taken. 136 at 1:30 were available. 17 20:48 We could have almost five times the 18 20:48 amount of parking spaces available for the 19 20:48 20 kids that could be parked that could be coming 20:48 21 to this facility. That's how great the 20:48 numbers are. It's not like you are off by 22 20:48 one, two, three, or four. I also did not even 23 20:49 take into account the spaces on the lot, and 20:49 24 yes, there is space around the side. I saw 25 20:49

1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020

2 that as well. Somebody mentioned that before. 20:49 But not even counting those spaces okay, and 3 20:49 also presuming that carpools, yes, people may 20:49 4 park, they may just drop the kids off and 20:49 5 leave and come back in an hour. That's also 6 20:49 possible. So parking is definitely, 20:49 7 definitely not an issue. Especially when you 20:49 8 have eight. If you have 108 obviously that 20:49 9 could be an issue, but I think that you are 20:49 10 approving this application, what this 11 20:49 application is for. You can't really look in 12 20:49 13 the future what's going to happen. That the 20:49 14 Board has to review, not anybody else. You 20:49 15 can't put that onus on this applicant. 20:49 So my whole point is that parking is not 16 20:49 an issue at all and that's -- and I suggested 17 20:49 in here which you would mention that well, the 18 20:50 parking space or well, but the area in front 19 20:50 20 of the building, you were mentioning about 20:50 21 left turns not being able to see cars coming 20:50 out. You can't make a left turn there. You 22 20:50 23 can only make a right turn. 20:50 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Nobody said anything 24 20:50 about left turn. You are misquoting. 20:50

-	1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You have to be able to 20	:50
Ş	3 see	:50
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So when you make the 20	:50
Ē	right turn so you can see the traffic. 20	:50
(MR. SCHNEIDER: People have to 20	:50
• 7	according to the BMT law have to come out and 20	:50
8	look to see if it's clear. 20	:50
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Schneider, please 20	:50
10	don't say such silly things.	:50
11	MR. SCHNEIDER: It's not silly. I have 20	:50
12	been doing this for 50 years. I understand 20	:50
13	it's a sight issue.	:50
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Just concede that and 20	:50
15	move on.	:50
16	MR. SCHNEIDER: That's fine. Any other 20	:50
17	question that you have so I can answer about 20	:50
18	the parking that's required?	:50
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I do have a question. 20	:50
20	MR. SCHNEIDER: Sure. 20	:50
21	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Somewhat related to 20	:50
22	parking. Did you give consideration to how 20	:50
23	many vacant stores and what the square footage 20	:51
24	of the vacancies are?	:51
25	MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, I did. As a matter 20	:51

<u>_</u>	346 Central BBC - 11/16/2020	
2	of fact, in the report I mentioned that. I	20:51
3	specifically mentioned that the COVID issue is	20:51
4	an issue and I presume that I have no way of	20:51
5	even calling up, there is no really chamber of	20:51
6	commerce here per se. I tried to find that	20:51
7	if, you know, somebody can tell me how many	20:51
8	vacancies there were unless I walk down the	20:51
9	street.	20:51
10	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You could have walked	20:51
11	down the street.	20:51
12	MR. SCHNEIDER: I could do that. I said	20:51
13	35 percent. Now realize we have five times as	20:51
1.4	much parking space as we need, so the 35	20:51
15	percent or 50 percent is not going to be a	20:53
16	problem.	20:53
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't accept your	20:51
18	numbers. We have other studies done that will	20:51
19	belie your numbers. We have the Hertzes here.	20:51
20	Their traffic study just a different period	20:51
21	before COVID had a different analysis.	20:51
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: In what way?	20:51
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: In terms of the	20:51
24	amount of spaces available. This is your	20:51
2.5	observation during a period of time.	20:51

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. SCHNEIDER: I am talking about the	20:52
3	35 percent.	20:52
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But you are taking an	20:52
5	assumption that your numbers are conclusive	20:52
6	and I am telling you they are not conclusive	20:52
7	and anybody who lives here knows that's not	20:52
8	the case, that we don't see a lot of empty	20:52
9	spaces on Williams.	20:52
10	MR. SCHNEIDER: Then how could you	20:52
11	approve anybody who needs parking?	20:52
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We are talking about	20:52
13	this case tonight.	20:52
14	MR. SCHNEIDER: I understand that.	20:52
15	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's a special	20:52
16	request. We have drop-offs and pickups.	20:52
17	That's not your average situation.	20:52
18	MR. SCHNEIDER: There is a big plus for	20:52
19	us if it's drop-off.	20:52
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Where are they going	20:52
21	to drop off and pick up?	20:52
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: In the parking lot.	20:52
23	MR. KERSTEIN: Are they going to take	20:52
24	little kids in the rain into the parking lot?	20:52
25	I'm serious. I have a concern.	20:52

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. SCHNEIDER: I have grandchildren. I	20:52
3	understand.	20:52
4	MR. KERSTEIN: So do I and that's really	20:52
5	a concern.	20:52
6	MR. SCHNEIDER: My understanding when I	20:52
7	spoke to the applicant was that, of course,	20:52
8	the older kids, I think it's up to age 12 I	20:52
9	believe. The older kids can get out of the	20:52
10	car and go into the building. The younger	20:52
11	kids will be monitored by someone at the	20:52
12	building to take them physically in. A parent	20:53
13	would be there, drop them off, and somebody	20:53
14	would take them into the building. That's my	20:53
15	understanding.	20:53
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Did you go to the	20:53
17	Brooklyn site to see how things are done?	20:53
18	MR. SCHNEIDER: No, I didn't. No. The	20:53
19	Brooklyn site is completely different.	20:53
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Why is it completely	20:53
21	different?	20:53
22	MR. SCHNEIDER: Because the density	20:53
23	there is different.	20:53
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Did you visit the	20:53
25	site?	20:53

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. SCHNEIDER: Absolutely not.	20:53
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So how can you say	20:53
4	the density is different?	20:53
5	MR. SCHNEIDER: Because it's Brooklyn.	20:53
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: He means the	20:53
7	population.	20:53
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I know what he is	20:53
9	saying.	20:53
10	MR. SCHNEIDER: As a matter of fact, I	20:53
11	was born in Brooklyn, how is that, and grew up	20:53
12	in Lawrence.	20:53
13	MR. PRESTON: Chairman, may I?	20:53
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please.	20:53
15	MR. PRESTON: I don't want to take away	20:53
16	from any of the discussion that's already been	20:53
17	had, but the use variance that being applied	20:53
18	for here requires a specific showing. And	20:53
19	it's that showing being that there is no use	20:53
20	under current zoning for this property.	20:54
21	. MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not the traffic	20:54
22	issue.	20:54
23	MR. PRESTON: It's an issue for the	20:54
24	applicant. To show that a reasonable return	20:54
25	can't be made under current zoning and to show	20:54

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	that the circumstances of this site are	20:54
3	unique. But for showing that, this board	20:54
4	can't grant the requested relief for a use	20:54
5	variance.	20:54
6	MR. SCHNEIDER: That's his question, not	20:54
7	the traffic.	20:54
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you. Good	20:54
9	seeing you.	20:54
10	MR. FLAUM: So answer that question. If	20:54
11	you were right about there being another use	20:54
12	that would generate a return for the person	20:54
13	who purchased the property, then it would have	20:54
14	been done already or it wouldn't be sitting	20:54
15	vacant for years without any use because	20:54
16	somebody would have come along and put it to	20:54
17	good use.	20:54
18	MR. BUYORSKY: Even before COVID.	20:54
19	MR. FLAUM: There are stores right now	20:54
20	opening up on Central Avenue that have been	20:54
21	vacant for years. Somebody bought the	20:54
22	building, fixed them up, gentrified them. Now	20:55
23	they are taking tenants, but for years they	20:55
24	have been empty. He is trying to do the same	20:55
25	thing.	20:55

	81	
1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. PRESTON: How long has your	20:55
3	client	20:55
4	MR. FLAUM: He just bought it.	20:55
5	MR. BUYORSKY: I have advertised it on	20:55
6	the LoopNet, which is a major website. I	20:55
7	haven't gotten any calls. The only ones that	20:55
8	I was lucky enough right from the get-go, I	20:55
9	started working together with Grace and her	20:55
10	father. You can see there are advertisements,	20:55
11	I have an agent who is full-time on the	20:55
12	building, Elisheva Baum. She has not been	20:55
13	successful in bringing anybody into the	20:55
14	Lawrence area. I don't know what to tell you,	20:55
15	but there is a conception stores don't stay	20:55
16	open on Central Avenue, so right now we have a	20:55
17	really good opportunity to bring in a good	20:55
18	tenant where I know myself as a parent where	20:55
19	you have a nice place where you can bring your	20:55
20	kid to for an hour and I think maybe an idea	20:55
21	if the traffic concern is maybe they can do	20:55
22	their scheduling that they leave ten minutes	20:55
23	in between classes so they can drop off the	20:55
24	kids so the people who are dropping off are	20:56
25	not interfering with people picking up. So	20:56

2	you can leave a ten-minute slot in between	20:5
3	class ending and class but again, we are so	20:5
4	concerned about the traffic over there, but at	20:5
5	the same time the place needs a nice a nice	20:5
6	place like this where it really enhances the	20:5
7	environment. It brings life into the place.	20:5
8	I mean, the building is vacant for years and	20:5
9	years and years. It was just vacant.	20:5
10	MR. FLAUM: Even when it was inhabited,	20:5
11	it was vacant. A vacuum store here, a store	20:5
12	that nobody walked in and out. I have been	20:5
13	here for 25 years. I went to the pizza shop	20:5
14	across the street every Friday. Nobody uses	20:5
15	that building because the space is not usable.	20:5
16	MR. PRESTON: The reason I am asking	20:5
17	you this question is because the record has to	20:5
18	contain an explanation of these things. The	20:5
19	second thing that I asked is what's unique	20:5
20	about this property as opposed to any other	20:5
21	property that's commercial on Central Avenue?	20:5
22	What makes this property unique and needing a	20:5
23	use variance?	20:5
24	MR. BUYORSKY: They probably could use a	20:5
25	use variance as well. They are trying to rent	20:5

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	their spaces. I walk down the street. There	20:57
3	is 35 of them. I happened to be lucky and	20:57
4	found a tenant who has a good perception.	20:57
5	Their classes are not classes of 20 people.	20:57
6	There is one instructor for three kids. It's	20:57
7	very unique, specialized for the COVID	20:57
8	situation where there is social distancing, so	20:57
9	I think it's fits perfectly into this if	20:57
10	they could get a variance if they got a	20:57
11	tenant, they would probably request a	20:57
12	variance. You are probably right.	20:57
13	You understand I walked by. On the	20:57
L 4	street there is literally dozens of stores	20:57
15	that are vacant from the time I purchased the	20:57
16	building. In hindsight had I known how hard	20:57
L7	it would be to find a tenant, I wouldn't have	20:57
L8	bought a building.	20:57
L 9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: But if you walk up and	20:57
20	down the street and see there are 35 vacant	20:57
21	stores, why would you buy a building?	20:57
22	MR. BUYORSKY: Again, it got a lot	20:57
23	worse. I bought it before COVID. I was in	20:57
24	contract for a year and a half before COVID	20:57
25	and I was tied in. I had a nonrefundable	20:58

L	348 Central LLC - 11/10/2020	
2	deposit, so I had to go ahead with the	20:58
3	purchase of the building and it got a lot	20:58
4	worse so I think that has to be taken into	20:58
5	consideration. It got a lot worse, and there	20:58
6	is really a lot of positive. We are not	20:58
7	opening up a shop that you know what I	20:58
8	mean? Something that's dangerous or	20:58
9	detrimental. This is something that's really	20:58
LO	family friendly, and it improves the quality	20:58
11	of life to the village.	20:58
12	MR. FLAUM: It brings vibrance to the	20:58
13	village. As was said, it's an empty	20:58
L4 .	dilapidated building that's now being fixed up	20:58
15	and	20:58
16	MR. KERSTEIN: But this doesn't address	20:58
L7	the question that was asked.	20:58
18	MR. FLAUM: So the uniqueness of the	20:58
19	property, it probably predates the village	20:58
20	requirement for parking.	20:58
21	MR. KERSTEIN: We are not talking about	20:58
22	parking requirement. We are talking about use	20:58
23	requirement. Two different questions. A use	20:58
24	requirement is a much more difficult thing to	20:58
25	overcome than parking. Let's be very frank	20:58

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	about this. You are addressing parking, and	20:59
3	we keep coming back to use.	20:59
4	MR. FLAUM: So the term "recreational	20:59
5	use" is extremely vague.	20:59
6	MR. KERSTEIN: I can show it to you in	20:59
7	four different definitions in legal	20:59
8	dictionaries showing what recreational use is.	20:59
9	This falls into it very clearly.	20:59
10	MR. PRESTON: The petition also	20:59
11	requests recreational use specifically.	20:59
12	MR. FLAUM: I am agreeing we are	20:59
13	requesting recreational use, but the fact that	20:59
14	the village language of the zoning doesn't	20:59
15	permit something of this nature, which is not	20:59
16	a detrimental or deleteriously recreational	20:59
17	use	20:59
18	MR. KERSTEIN: I am not suggesting that	20:59
19	it's detrimental or deleterious. I am merely	20:59
20	asking you to address the question that was	20:59
21	raised. Not the parking but the use variance	20:59
22	is what you are asking for and there are	20:59
23	certain requirements to overcome. That's what	20:59
24	was just raised.	20:59
25	MR. FLAUM: So we have discussed the	20:59

	00	
1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	parking.	20:59
3	MR. KERSTEIN: Before we get to parking,	20:59
4	I think you need to talk about use.	21:00
5	MR. BUYORSKY: What are the bars that	21:00
6	are raised for use?	21:00
7	MR. KERSTEIN: Please.	21:00
8	MR. PRESTON: You have to show that you	21:00
9	can't receive a return under the zoning code	21:00
10	as written in law. Two, you have to show that	21:00
11	the your plight is unique as in different	21:00
12	from all the other store owners on Central	21:00
13	Avenue, and 3, you have to show that it	21:00
14	wouldn't harm the neighborhood as a whole.	21:00
15	MR. BUYORSKY: Harming the neighborhood	21:00
16	as a whole, I think we have proven that it's	21:00
17	just it would just enhance the	21:00
18	neighborhood. I cannot find I have	21:00
19	advertised it on LoopNet. You can check.	21:00
20	It's been advertised on LoopNet for months. I	21:00
21	have had my agent advertised it, I haven't	21:00
22	been able to rent it to anybody else. I	21:00
23	haven't been able to find any tenant who is	21:00
24	willing to take that specific lot, and	21:00
25	especially the way it's designed, it's	21:00

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	designed in a way that it has two specific	21:00
3	areas in the back with one in the front.	21:00
4	There is no way to feasibly rent it to	21:00
5	someone. You know what I mean? The design of	21:00
6	the building is really unique. It fits	21:01
7	perfectly into this situation where you have	21:01
8	two separate classrooms in the back with one	21:01
9	entranceway in the front. I can't think of	21:01
10	any other I tried to think of any other way	21:01
11	maybe like any other way to rent that out,	21:01
12	but this was really the only way that it's	21:01
13	unique in the sense you don't have any other	21:01
14	store on Central Avenue with two back areas	21:01
15	where it's segregated. The parents can see if	21:01
16	they want to see. There is a little window	21:01
17	they are able to look into the back. There is	21:01
18	a specific and as far as return, I have not	21:01
19	been able to rent it. It's just as simple as	21:01
20	that. I haven't even had calls.	21:01
21	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The two other	21:01
22	locations, the store one and store three	21:01
23	MR. BUYORSKY: Vacant. They are all	21:01
24	vacant.	21:01
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: There aren't tenants	21:01

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	upstairs?	21:01
3	MR. BUYORSKY: Residential tenants, yes,	21:01
4	but the other stores are all vacant.	21:01
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Four tenants	21:01
6	upstairs?	21:01
7	MR. BUYORSKY: Yes.	21:01
8	MR. VACCHIO: What is the proposed use	21:01
9	for store number one?	21:01
10	MR. BUYORSKY: For store number one	21:01
11	there is no proposed use.	21:01
12	MR. VACCHIO: So the entrance is from	21:01
13	that store.	21:02
14	MR. BUYORSKY: What do you mean "store	21:02
15	number one"?	21:02
16	MR. VACCHIO: The front on Central	21:02
17	Avenue.	21:02
18	MR. BUYORSKY: I don't have a proposed	21:02
19	use. If I find a tenant right now it's	21:02
20	just a white shell. It's just a vanilla box.	21:02
21	MEMBER HILLER: You have a tenant in	21:02
22	there.	21:02
23	MR. BUYORSKY: I have a tenant who is	21:02
24	just putting storage stuff in there, but he is	21:02
25	not a real tenant. The money I am getting	21:02

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	from that is less than what it cost me for the	21:02
3	property taxes on the building. I don't know	21:02
4	if you know what the property taxes are, but	21:02
5	the property taxes on the building is almost	21:02
6	40,000 dollars a year.	21:02
7	MR. CASTRO: I think Mr. Vacchio's	21:02
8	really his question is if a tenant comes in	21:02
9	separate from the gymnasium, how do you	21:02
10	divide I mean, do you partition them off?	21:02
11	MR. BUYORSKY: How do you division what?	21:02
12	MR. CASTRO: The use of the entrance and	21:02
13	exit for the gymnasium. That's going to	21:02
14	remain?	21:02
15	MR. BUYORSKY: Yes. There is two	21:02
16	separate storefronts. It's separate	21:02
17	storefronts.	21:02
18	MR. FLAUM: Very simple. He is saying	21:02
19	this is the entrance into store one. This is	21:03
20	the entrance into store two. There is a door	21:03
21	connecting store one to the back.	21:03
22	MR. BUYORSKY: No. There is no door.	21:03
23	There is no door. There is no door.	21:03
24	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I see there is no	21:03
25	hathroom in store one either.	21:03

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. BUYORSKY: There is a bathroom in	21:03
3	store one in the back corner.	21:03
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't see it.	21:03
5	MR. BUYORSKY: It was there. There is a	21:03
6	bathroom.	21:03
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What were the garages	21:03
8	previously used for?	21:03
9	MR. BUYORSKY: They would do repairs of	21:03
10	the vacuum cleaners. When I bought the	21:03
11	building, it was 10,000 old vacuum cleaners.	21:03
12	If I showed you pictures of what the place	21:03
13	looked like, when I went in there you know	21:03
14	what I mean?	21:03
15	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We understand. It's	21:03
16	an old building and an old proprietor.	21:03
17	MEMBER HILLER: Can I ask Ms. Esses,	21:04
18	what is the in your Brooklyn location, how	21:04
19	many children are in your largest group?	21:04
20	MS. ESSES: Twenty in Brooklyn.	21:04
21	MEMBER HILLER: So you foresee that	21:04
22	happening here as well?	21:04
23	MS. ESSES: I think the Brooklyn	21:04
24	location is a little bigger, and we are also	21:04
25	much more well-known there because I have been	21:04

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	open in Brooklyn for seven years, so in the	21:04
3	foreseeable future I don't see that here	21:04
4	especially because I am only opening with	21:04
5	three days to start and I would add more days	21:04
6	before I stuffed	21:04
7	MEMBER HILLER: These are different	21:04
8	times.	21:04
9	MS. ESSES: Exactly. Not even talking	21:04
10	about COVID.	21:04
11	MEMBER HILLER: Right now we are basing	21:04
12	it on the use of eight children and eight	21:04
13	children. So your plan is	21:04
14	MS. ESSES: So my program is very	21:04
15	special because I am a boutique recreational	21:04
16	gymnastics studio. We only teach floor, only	21:05
17	teach tumbling. We teach to improve their	21:05
18	skills and they improve very quickly in my	21:05
19	program, so we keep it super small for their	21:05
20	benefit. Not for COVID, not for like any	21:05
21	other reason except for them to improve and	21:05
22	get better.	21:05
23	MEMBER HILLER: So what do you foresee	21:05
24	as your maximum opportunity?	21:05
25	MS. ESSES: Sixteen kids because I would	21:05

_	540 Central BBC 11/10/2020	
2	put eight in each room, and if that filled up	21:05
3	I would add more hours throughout the night or	21:05
4	just add more days throughout the week but I	21:05
5	am not opening with seven days. I don't plan	21:05
6	to be open that many days a week so maximum	21:05
7	within three. God willing, it grows four,	21:05
8	five days a week, but I wouldn't stuff more	21:05
9	kids in because we keep the groups very small.	21:05
10	MEMBER HILLER: What are the ages that	21:05
11	you accept?	21:05
12	MS. ESSES: Age 3 and up. Age 3, 4 to	21:05
13	like the I don't know the majority of the	21:06
14	kids are like 5 to 10, 12 but we do start at	21:06
15	age 3.	21:06
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Anybody in the	21:06
17	audience want to speak to the matter? Mr.	21:06
18	Preston, can we talk for a moment?	21:06
19	(Recess taken.)	21:09
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Back on the record.	21:16
21	The position of the Board is as follows: As	21:17
22	has been articulated by counsel to the Board,	21:17
23	the use variance has specific criteria by	21:17
24	which we can judge if it will be permitted or	21:17
25	not. So let's go through the criteria:	21:17

1 348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Reasonable return cannot be realized under the 21:17 current zoning. We believe that the applicant 21:17 has shown sufficient evidence to the fact that 21:17 that is the case under the present 21:17 circumstances due to the pandemic, due to the 21:17 realities of the marketplace. 21:17

Number 2, the owner's plight is due to 21:17 the unique circumstances. Once again, we are 21:17 living in very unusual times, so I think we 21:17 accede to that fact that it's unique 21:17 circumstances.

Lastly, in terms of proposed use, will 21:17 not alter the character of the neighborhood. 21:17 We believe that sufficient evidence has been 21:17 presented by applicant by the proposed tenant 21:17 that a great value will be contributed to the 21:18 appearance and the general state of affairs in 21:18 the village. However, we are extremely 21:18 concerned about the need for a drop-off and 21:18 pickup area, so we are going to vote, again 21:18 subject to the approval of the Board, to allow 21:18 for the use variance change, at the same time 21:18 making it subject to the approval of the Board 21:18 of Trustees of a specific designated 21:18

2	pickup/drop-off area. Again, a specific we	21:18
3	are not telling the village where to place it.	21:18
4	But we believe that we will be shirking our	21:18
5	responsibility if we allow this to go forward	21:18
6	without appropriate concern given for the	21:18
7	safety of the children.	21:18
8	We are very familiar with the location	21:18
9	both in terms of Central Avenue and the	21:18
10	Williams Avenue parking lot has been	21:19
11	designated. We would also like to make it	21:19
12	subject to a condition that in one year's	21:19
13	time, you will return to the Board for a final	21:19
14	permanent approval. Assuming that the Board	21:19
15	of Trustees provides for the pickup and	21:19
16	drop-off area, you can go forward conducting	21:19
17	your business for one year's time from the	21:19
18	date of the approval and you will be revisited	21:19
19	by the Board at that point since it's	21:19
20	conditioned for one year. We have done	21:19
21	previously with other circumstances and	21:19
22	hopefully after having lived there for a year,	21:19
23	we will be able to evaluate whether the	21:19
24	decision by the Board was well-founded or not.	21:19
25	Okay. That's the proposal I am putting	21:19

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	before the Board. If you have any specific	21:19
3	question before I put it to a vote, it will be	21:19
4	your responsibility to work with the Building	21:20
5	Department with the Board of Trustees.	21:20
6	MR. BUYORSKY: Who is the Board of	21:20
7	Trustees?	21:20
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We will introduce	21:20
9	you. The governing body for the village of	21:20
10	Lawrence.	21:20
11	MR. KERSTEIN: The mayor and Board of	21:20
12	Trustees.	21:20
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Certainly much more	21:20
14	reasonable than we are. They will turn to the	21:20
15	Building Department I am sure to get your	21:20
16	recommendation as to how to facilitate that,	21:20
17	taking into consideration Williams Avenue	21:20
18	traffic, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. I	21:20
19	don't think we are equipped tonight to make	21:20
20	that decision. Any further questions?	21:20
21	MR. FLAUM: I fully understand.	21:20
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Ms. Kaplan,	21:20
23	you have it on the record now? Mr. Kerstein?	21:20
24	MR. KERSTEIN: Agree.	21:20
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb?	21:20

1	348 Central LLC - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: As amended and	21:20
3	suggested, yes.	21:20
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller?	21:21
5	MEMBER HILLER: Simply for.	21:21
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Felder?	21:21
7	MEMBER FELDER: For.	21:21
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I just want to add	21:21
9	that I think you made a compelling	21:21
10	presentation tonight, I think both the	21:21
11	landlord and the tenant. Okay. I give you	21:21
12	plaudits for the effort that you made tonight,	21:21
13	and let's hope everything works out well. I	21:21
14	vote for as well. Get to work on it.	21:21
15	MR. FLAUM: Thank you very much.	21:21
16	(Whereupon the hearing concluded at 8:21 p.m.)	
17	Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in	
18	this case.	
19	YAFFA KAPLAN Court Reporter	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	Ab	ittan - 11/18/2020
2		
3		ATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE BOARD OF APPEALS
4		Lawrence Country Club
5	4	Lawrence, New York
6		November 18, 2020 8:22 p.m.
7		-
8	APPLICATION:	Abittan 27 Merrall Drive Lawrence, New York
9		,
10	PRESENT:	MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
11		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
12		Member
13		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
14 15		MR. AARON FELDER Member
16		MR. PHILIP KERSTEIN Alternate Member
17		MR. ANDREW K. PRESTON, ESQ.
18		Village Attorney
19		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
20		MR. GERRY CASTRO
21		Building Department
22		Yaffa Kaplan Court Reporter
23		Court Neporter
24		
25		

<u></u>	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. MEISTER: Good evening, gentlemen.	21:22
3	I am Warren Meister, 22 Kendall Drive, New	21:22
4	City, New York, and I am here as the architect	21:22
5	for Mr. and Mrs. Abittan.	21:22
6	DR. ABITTAN: Abraham Abittan speaking	21:22
7	for Rachel Abittan, the owner of both	21:22
8	properties that have now merged.	21:22
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We have evidentiary	21:22
10	proof of that for the record?	21:22
11	DR. ABITTAN: Given to the village	21:22
12	attorney.	21:22
13	MR. PRESTON: Chairman, I have the	21:22
14	applicant handed me ten pages at the beginning	21:22
15	of the hearing. They contain a what	21:22
16	appears to be a quick claim deed. It's not	21:22
17	filed, it's not recorded in the county so I	21:22
18	would ask	21:23
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Can we hear the case	21:23
20	anyway?	21:23
21	MR. PRESTON: just that the hearing	21:23
22	be conditional upon the recording of that.	21:23
23	There is also a correspondence dated November	21:23
24	3rd from the Nassau County assessor that I	21:23
25	can't understand, but it's addressed to Mr.	21:23

T	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	Neiman, and the reference is Section 40, Block	21:23
3	18102, Lot 209 with a handwritten "plus 303".	21:23
4	DR. ABITTAN: Mr. Neiman's wife is the	21:23
5	attorney and she told me that because of	21:23
6	corona, it's not going to be filed until a	21:23
7	week or two later. So she is going to forward	21:23
8	that to me.	21:23
9	MR. PRESTON: Assuming there is a	21:23
10	representation that the lots are merged and	21:23
11	that will be filed in the county clerk's	21:23
12	office, subject to that the Board can hear the	21:23
13	application.	21:23
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Very good. So we	21:23
15	proceed.	21:23
16	MR. MEISTER: We are here as we stated	21:23
17	last time, the family this house is a	21:24
18	gathering point for a very large family, and	21:24
19	every weekend everyone congregates at the	21:24
20	house. So as requested, we combined the lots	21:24
21	and we are asking.	21:24
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ten variances?	21:24
23	MR. MEISTER: Yes.	21:24
24	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Let's go.	21:24
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON. So in order to	21.24

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	expedite Mr. Kerstein is new to it but he	21:24
3	is a quick study, and I think he has already	21:24
4	reviewed the file and visited the site. So	21:24
5	why don't we just go through the variances if	21:24
6	I might lead you through them because there	21:24
7	are those that I think are more substantive	21:24
8	than others. So we can move away from the	21:24
9	things that are not as substantive. So we are	21:24
10	using the code relief. Do you have your code	21:24
11	relief, the file?	21:24
12	MR. MEISTER: I don't have the code	21:24
13	relief file.	21:25
14	MR. PRESTON: Mr. Chairman, can the	21:25
15	record just reflect that I have six letters of	21:25
16	support from neighbors?	21:25
17	DR. ABITTAN: Those are the contiguous	21:25
18	neighbors because it's a corner piece so I	21:25
19	have six that touch the property.	21:25
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So let's turn to page	21:25
21	3. We will just check off the ones as we go	21:25
22	through them. Mr. Meister, are we on page 3?	21:25
23	MR. MEISTER: Yes.	21:25
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So the one on	21:25
25	top, Section 212-24.D, village code,	21:25

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	"accessory structures must be located in the	21:25
3	side or rear yard". That is to be the front	21:25
4	yard. I think from all our vantage point it's	21:25
5	of no consequence.	21:25
6	MR. MEISTER: Right.	21:26
7	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Next one is	21:26
8	212-55.A, the village code, "no recreational	21:26
9	structure shall be constructed in the front	21:26
10	yard", again a technical type of variance.	21:26
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can we specify are we	21:26
12	talking about the pool house, the pool, or the	21:26
13	tennis court?	21:26
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: As far as the	21:26
15	recreational structure to be constructed in	21:26
16	the front yard?	21:26
17	MR. CASTRO: Tennis court.	21:26
18	MR. PLAUT: Tennis court.	21:26
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you, Jacob.	21:26
20	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Thanks for clarifying.	21:26
21	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next one deals	21:26
22	with setbacks. We are not ready to address	21:26
23	that. So let's turn to page number 2. Are we	21:26
24	all on page number 2? Section 212-12.1,	21:26
25	schedule of dimensional regulation maximum	21:26

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	side yard height/setback ratio. Okay.	21:26
3	Permitted 1.1, the proposed is 1.91 on the	21:26
4	west, 1.5 on the south. Again, I think from	21:26
5	the Board's perspective it's relatively minor	21:27
6	and modest.	21:27
7	MR. KERSTEIN: Because of the hill?	21:27
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You are over the	21:27
9	hill.	21:27
10	MR. MEISTER: The existing house.	21:27
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Section 212-39.C, the	21:27
12	maximum exterior wall height, again permitted	21:27
13	is 23, existing is 30, proposed 34.19. We	21:27
14	understand because of special circumstances	21:27
15	associated with the hill and the like. Okay.	21:27
16	So move on from there.	21:27
17	Section 212-15.B. Again, maximum height	21:27
18	for single-family dwelling in residential	21:27
19	district BB. Permitted 36, existing is just	21:27
20	shy of 44 feet. The proposed is 44.83 feet.	21:27
21	Mr. Castro, just to elucidate as to why there	21:27
22	is special circumstances governing this.	21:27
23	MR. CASTRO: You mean the difference in	21:28
24	the crown of the road to the road to the	21:28
25	existing height?	21:28

T	ADICCAN - 11/10/2020	
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Correct.	21:28
3	Okay. So we have addressed five already.	21:28
4	Let's go to page number 1. The maximum front	21:28
5	yard coverage, 526 is permitted, 844 is not	21:28
6	permit is the proposed. We will discuss	21:28
7	that in the context of just the coverage	21:28
8	issues, which are going to be the most	21:28
9	challenging. The minimum side-yard setback	21:28
10	which is existing at 14 feet 8.5 inches is	21:28
11	being proposed to remain at the 14 feet 8.5	21:28
12	inches.	21:28
13	Next, the minimum aggregate setback,	21:28
14	existing is 20 feet 8 inches, permitted is 40	21:28
15	feet, and proposed is 29 feet. Again we don't	21:29
16	find that to be an issue.	21:29
17	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: How did we get 9 feet?	21:29
18	When we kept the minimum side yards the same,	21:29
19	did we gain 9 feet or is that an error?	21:29
20	MR. MEISTER: I am not sure.	21:29
21	DR. ABITTAN: I think the aggregate	21:29
22	stayed the same. I think it was 29.	21:29
23	MR. VACCHIO: I think because now we are	21:29
24	we have the change in side yard. It's a typo.	21:29
25	The existing should be 29 foot 8 and a half.	21:30

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So that's as is	21:30
3	remaining that particular	21:30
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So we are up to the	21:30
5	surface coverage question and then the	21:30
6	encroachment. Mr. Hiller, do you want to	21:30
7	speak about surface coverage?	21:30
8	MEMBER HILLER: Yes. I said it at the	21:30
9	last time I was here and I will repeat it.	21:30
10	There is too much coverage. There are patios	21:31
11	all over the place. You have a pool house 20	21:31
12	by 40. What's the surface of the tennis	21:31
13	court?	21:31
14	MR. MEISTER: 7,200 square feet.	21:31
15	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What's the surface?	21:31
16	What it's made of?	21:31
17	DR. ABITTAN: Har-Tru. You know, the	21:31
18	red and green blue material typical of tennis	21:31
19	courts.	21:31
20	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What color are you	21:31
21	planning on using?	21:31
22	MEMBER FELDER: Is it hardcourt or clay	21:31
23	court type?	21:31
24	DR. ABITTAN: It's hardcourt. It's not	21:31
25	clay. I think we are going to blue on the	21:31

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	inside, green on the outside.	21:31
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Are you consulting	21:31
4	your neighbor on that?	21:31
5	DR. ABITTAN: One of my neighbors had a	21:31
6	long discussion.	21:31
7	MEMBER HILLER: Mr. Abittan, you can	21:31
8	solve all your issues I know it would be a	21:31
9	burden on you, but you can solve all your	21:31
10	issues practically by making a grass court.	21:32
11	DR. ABITTAN: That sounds really great,	21:32
12	but I don't think it's what my kids want.	21:32
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Works at the hunting	21:32
14	club very well.	21:32
15	DR. ABITTAN: The bounce is different.	21:32
16	MEMBER HILLER: You have an egregious	21:32
17	amount of coverage on the property. You have	21:32
18	patios all over the place. You have the	21:32
19	largest pool house in the Five Towns. You	21:32
20	have, God bless, a nice-sized house with	21:32
21	plenty of bedrooms. I don't fagin you. It's	21:32
22	wonderful, but if I took the ratio, you must	21:32
23	be covering something close to 50 percent.	21:32
24	Can you give me the coverage on the total	21:32
25	coverage percentage?	21:32

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	MR. PLAUT: Total coverage is	21:32
3	MR. KERSTEIN: fifty-one percent or	21:33
4	52 percent.	21:33
5	MEMBER HILLER: Fifty percent coverage.	21:33
6	That is unheard of. Believe me, I sympathize	21:33
7	with you. I want you to have something that's	21:33
8	beautiful that you like, but that is, as I	21:33
9	said, an egregious overbuilding of the	21:33
10	property.	21:33
11	DR. ABITTAN: So if I may, we came	21:33
12	here we came here three weeks ago and now	21:33
13	returned. We merged the two properties	21:33
14	together because we by law couldn't ask for a	21:33
15	grievance on an empty piece of property. So	21:33
16	as my property exists, I don't have the exact	21:33
17	number off the top of my head. 10,700,	21:33
18	10,800, 10,342	21:33
19	MR. PLAUT: 10,342.	21:33
20	DR. ABITTAN: is existing on the	21:33
21	property now and we are proposing a little	21:33
22	less now, but on that property from the	21:33
23	previous request, we were requesting about 400	21:34
24	square feet less because I am moving the	21:34
25	garage to the front and there is a narking	21.34

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	area that is in the back that's now going to	21:34
3	be grass that's now going to be situated in	21:34
4	the front but the front was all black asphalt	21:34
5	as a circular driveway which we are taking	21:34
6	out. So the initial the actual initial	21:34
7	house that I had was a little under 11,000	21:34
8	square feet.	21:34
9	Anyway, it's a total of 18,000, but if	21:34
10	the Keewaydin property was left alone, I would	21:34
11	have been allowed on that property to build a	21:34
12	4,000-square-foot house which was what was	21:34
13	allowed. I actually have a variance and built	21:34
14	the foundation there, 4,000-square-foot	21:34
15	property but plus the patios and everything	21:34
16	else, it would have equalled roughly around	21:34
17	the same 7,000 square feet, but when we merged	21:34
18	the two properties together, so now we go	21:34
19	vault ourselves into that second category of	21:34
20	19,999 to 3,999, everything changed and now	21:35
21	the surface coverage shrinks about 35	21:35
22	percent so	21:35
23	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The allowance shrinks.	21:35
24	MR. MEISTER: Because of merging.	21:35

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No. It's 50 percent 21:35

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	last time also.	21:35
3	DR. ABITTAN: It's 60 percent. It's now	21:35
4	73 percent.	21:35
5	MR. CASTRO: Fifty-one percent. What we	21:35
6	are referencing now is based on the size of	21:35
7	the lot. It's not on the permitted surface	21:35
8	coverage.	21:35
9	MR. KERSTEIN: We are talking about the	21:35
10	total lot, 36,000 square feet, and you have	21:35
11	proposed 18,380. So you are 51 give or take	21:35
12	percent of the total surface of square footage	21:35
13	of your lot. That's all I am talking about.	21:35
14	DR. ABITTAN: Right.	21:35
15	MEMBER HILLER: What's the area around	21:35
16	the pool around the tennis court made of?	21:35
17	DR. ABITTAN: Grass we are doing.	21:36
18	MEMBER HILLER: Where it says "edge	21:36
19	court", I am not a tennis player, I came from	21:36
20	Brooklyn also. We didn't have tennis courts.	21:36
21	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The total tennis court	21:36
22	is 7,200 feet. That includes the runaround	21:36
23	area. One hundred twenty by 60.	21:36
24	MRS. ABITTAN: That's why you are	21:36
25	suggesting the grass.	21:36

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The actual tennis	21:36
3	court itself is 60 feet wide, 120 feet long.	21:36
4	The playing area is that area you see.	21:36
5	MEMBER HILLER: In the center?	21:36
6	MR. MEISTER: Right. All of the space	21:36
7	around it is for I am not a tennis player	21:36
8	either but if	21:36
9	MEMBER HILLER: Mr Abittan, I would	21:36
10	respectfully beg you to overlook your	21:36
11	children's objections and make a grass court.	21:37
12	DR. ABITTAN: Okay. So I think they	21:37
13	want to use the court for other things also,	21:37
14	if they want to play hockey or they want to do	21:37
15	other things. I have ten little	21:37
16	grandchildren.	21:37
17	MEMBER HILLER: They can play on your	21:37
18	patios.	21:37
19	DR. ABITTAN: So how about if we modify	21:37
20	things? Maybe reduce some patios and maybe	21:37
21	make you happy add a strip. Because we	21:37
22	talked about this when we were negotiating	21:37
23	this. I can add we are going to use turf	21:37
24	probably instead of grass. Add a strip of	21:37
25	turf like 3 to 4, 5 feet on each side. So now	21:37

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	the court, instead of being 60 by 120, will be	21:37
3	let's say 50 by 110 if I add a strip of 5 feet	21:37
4	all around the periphery.	21:37
5	MEMBER HILLER: Artificial turf?	21:37
6	DR. ABITTAN: Which is considered grass	21:38
7	by the village.	21:38
8	MR. CASTRO: It maintains the same	21:38
9	drainage and aesthetic-wise people probably	21:38
10	get confused, so we would treat it as grass.	21:38
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I have to tell you	21:38
12	it's not a good idea because you do run on the	21:38
13	sides and when you run on a different surface	21:38
14	you are going to trip and fall because when	21:38
15	you are playing, you are also playing on the	21:38
16	sides and in the back. When you change the	21:38
17	material, your footing changes, so	21:38
18	respectfully just not to cause a problem for	21:38
19	later, I don't suggest that.	21:38
20	DR. ABITTAN: Even with 5 feet? I am	21:38
21	not that much of a tennis player.	21:38
22	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I play once in a	21:38
23	while.	21:38
24	MEMBER HILLER: But yet you need a	21:38
25	professional court.	21:38

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	DR. ABITTAN: If you want to do it, you	21:38
3	do it correctly. You are saying it's a	21:38
4	tripping hazard.	21:38
5	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think it's an	21:38
6	absolute hazard.	21:38
7	MEMBER FELDER: It all depends on how	21:38
8	many feet you really want to maintain behind	21:38
9	the play lines. If you are Roger Federer, you	21:38
10	may need 40 feet. If you are someone else,	21:39
11	you may need 10.	21:39
12	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: There is a standard,	21:39
13	which is 60 by 120.	21:39
14	MR. MEISTER: So that's professional.	21:39
15	DR. ABITTAN: If I would take 5 feet off	21:39
16	each side. I would be taking 120 square feet.	21:39
17	Instead of 60 by 120, 60 by 110. Let's say	21:39
18	the sides maybe I should maintain because of	21:39
19	what you are saying so that's taking away	21:39
20	actually, I'm sorry. It's going to take 600	21:39
21	square feet, right, it's 60 by 5 feet. Three	21:39
22	hundred on each side.	21:39
23	MEMBER HILLER: Only 7,700 more feet to	21:39
24	go.	21:39
25	DR. ABITTAN: But my point is a great	21:39

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	deal of that has to do with what was existing	21:39
3	on the other side, other house. So let's do	21:39
4	this. Let's subtract all of the square	21:39
5	footage on the building, on the actual	21:39
6	building and the parking areas from the	21:39
7	Juniper property.	21:40
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Why would we do that?	21:40
9	DR. ABITTAN: Because really it's I	21:40
10	understand we are looking at in totality now,	21:40
11	but if we looked at individually, that piece	21:40
12	of property is roughly 17,000 square feet and	21:40
13	the court is 7,200 feet so that represents	21:40
14	only 40 percent of the actual property but	21:40
15	because I merged it remember, we talked	21:40
16	about that last time we set ourselves up	21:40
17	into this situation.	21:40
18	MEMBER HILLER: Dr. Abittan, believe me,	21:40
19	I respect your wishes and we want to do what's	21:40
20	best for you but this is overdone. There is	21:40
21	too much coverage on this plot.	21:40
22	DR. ABITTAN: Okay. So let me ask you	21:40
23	differently. So it's an empty piece of	21:40
24	property. It's a piece of property that	21:40
25	should have had a house on it and other	21:40

. 1

1	Abittan -	-	11/18/2020

2	structures associated with it. So if I look	21:41
3	at it from the differential standpoint, I am	21:41
4	really asking you for only 1,000 or 1,500	21:41
5	square feet more than would have been if I	21:41
6	sold this off or built a house on it. That's	21:41
7	my perspective. Plus overall, overall, it's	21:41
8	opening up the space surrounding it, and I	21:41
9	think aesthetically it's more appealing that	21:41
10	way.	21:41
11	MEMBER HILLER: Just based on what I am	21:41
12	seeing, even if you divided the properties and	21:41
13	didn't build your tennis court, that you were	21:41
14	back to your initial property with the pool	21:41
15	and the decking and the new house, you would	21:41
16	still be coming to us for a variance.	21:41
17	DR. ABITTAN: No.	21:41
18	MEMBER HILLER: I am sure you would.	21:41
19	DR. ABITTAN: But it's not because	21:41
20	oh, well, I would be coming for a variance but	21:41
21	I would be asking you for less square footage	21:41
22	than is actually covered now on the property.	21:41
23	I would be asking for a variance, but I would	21:42
24	be asking for 400 less square feet than what	21:42
25	was existing. It was egregious to begin with.	21:42

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	It was 10,300 or 10,400 square feet on a	21:42
3	19,000 square foot of property. It was over	21:42
4	50 percent just on that Juniper house alone.	21:42
5	I am now adding an additional space there that	21:42
6	I am putting that court on.	21:42
7	MEMBER HILLER: I am going to suggest	21:42
8	again the grass court to eliminate a lot of	21:42
9	our problems.	21:42
10	DR. ABITTAN: I understand. I	21:42
11	entertained it. I know that would be a	21:42
12	simplest thing.	21:42
13	MEMBER HILLER: That wouldn't be a	21:42
14	hardship. That's not a hardship because they	21:42
15	can't play ice hockey on that court. That is	21:42
16	not a hardship.	21:42
17	DR. ABITTAN: But the court is really	21:42
18	used for many things, not just tennis. It	21:42
19	would be	21:42
20	MEMBER HILLER: For instance?	21:42
21	DR. ABITTAN: It would be used for	21:42
22	basketball. For anything. I don't know what	21:42
23	kids	21:42
24	MEMBER HILLER: Are you putting up a	21:42
25	basketball court?	21:42

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	DR. ABITTAN: At this point not but	21:42
3	maybe the kids will.	21:43
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You will have to come	21:43
5	before us again if you put up a basketball	21:43
6	court. Recreational structure.	21:43
7	DR. ABITTAN: It's just a much more	21:43
8	versatile it's expensive. The property is	21:43
9	expensive. If I am doing it, I have to be	21:43
10	versatile.	21:43
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's late and we have	21:43
12	two issues. We have excess surface coverage,	21:43
13	which has to be addressed. We also have the	21:43
14	encroachment. You know my pet peeve on the	21:43
15	encroachment, so we can adjourn, we can vote.	21:43
16	We have to move. It's a quarter to 10:00 at	21:43
17	night.	21:43
18	DR. ABITTAN: If I add we talked	21:43
19	about this at our meeting prior to all this.	21:43
20	If we add a few feet of turf on the sides,	21:43
21	then I can take off over I can take off	21:43
22	600-plus. If I take off let's say 3 feet on	21:43
23	each side, it's 3 by 120, it's another 720	21:43
24	square feet. That would be taking off 1,320	21:44
25	square feet and it will diminish the	21:44

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	encroachment a little bit and I probably won't	21:44
3	trip on those edges because I am only	21:44
4	taking	21:44
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is it 12 feet off the	21:44
6	property line? It's supposed to be 20 so it's	21:44
7	got to be	21:44
8	DR. ABITTAN: Off of where? From the	21:44
9	back?	21:44
10	MR. MEISTER: From the side.	21:44
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: From the side.	21:44
12	DR. ABITTAN: There is a reason. You	21:44
13	see how the circle on Keewaydin tapers in and	21:44
14	there is only 70 feet? There is only 70 feet	21:44
15	in the front, so I put it right in the middle.	21:44
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So?	21:44
17	DR. ABITTAN: So I can't if I do	21:44
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have an oversized	21:44
19	pool house. You have an oversized pool. You	21:44
20	want a tennis court. You want everything.	21:44
21	It's not going to happen so and I am tired.	21:44
22	Come up with a real proposal. You can caucus	21:45
23	and discuss it.	21:45
24	DR. ABITTAN: What do you propose	21:45
25	besides for a grass court?	21:45

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER HILLER: You know you lost the	21:45
3	vote with that.	21:45
4	DR. ABITTAN: With the grass court I	21:45
5	wouldn't even have to ask you.	21:45
6	MEMBER HILLER: Not sympathetic to the	21:45
7	other uses you intend to put on the court that	21:45
8	you can overlook the grass court. I think	21:45
9	those are trivial and I want what I want. I	21:45
10	think that you have to make a major concession	21:45
11	here because you are way over on coverage. I	21:45
12	don't know if you were here before. The	21:45
13	average or the acceptable coverage on a	21:45
14	property, on any property in Lawrence is in	21:46
15	the low 30s, maxed out at 35 percent. You are	21:46
16	at over 50 percent. Slightly over. That's	21:46
17	very difficult to overlook.	21:46
18	DR. ABITTAN: My only point is we were	21:46
19	here three weeks ago	21:46
20	MEMBER HILLER: I said the same thing.	21:46
21	DR. ABITTAN: We were here three weeks	21:46
22	ago and you said the same thing, but if you	21:46
23	look at that property alone it's only 40	21:46
24	percent.	21:46
25	MEMBER HILLER: Over 40 percent is also	21.46

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	over.	21:46
3	DR. ABITTAN: Okay. But it's a	21:46
4	reasonable number than 75 and the reason is we	21:46
5	are aggregating them pushing it.	21:46
6	MEMBER HILLER: You may think I don't	21:46
7	have sympathy for what you are doing. I do	21:46
8	and I want you to have a beautiful grass	21:46
9	court. So think about it or not.	21:46
10	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Did we realize that	21:46
11	the tennis court as it's proposed runs the	21:46
12	entire length of the property?	21:46
13	DR. ABITTAN: It doesn't. The	21:47
14	property	21:47
15	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The property looks	21:47
16	like it's 120 deep.	21:47
17	DR. ABITTAN: The property includes this	21:47
18	piece. This piece here is part of this	21:47
19	property. Keewaydin property includes this.	21:47
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What's your	21:47
21	percentage?	21:47
22	MR. CASTRO: What was the original size	21:47
23	of the lot, the one in back.	21:47
24	DR. ABITTAN: I think 16,900.	21:47
25	MR. VACCHIO: 16,263.	21:47

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	DR. ABITTAN: Can we perhaps figure	21:48
3	out	21:48
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's just hear what	21:48
5	the property was before.	21:48
6	MR. CASTRO: The pool house and the	21:48
7	tennis court is 8,000. You are at 50 percent	21:48
8	or just under it. Forty-nine, 49.something.	21:48
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Again, where the	21:48
10	house was under the previous, before it was	21:48
11	merged, what was the lot coverage?	21:48
12	MR. CASTRO: The principal house lot?	21:48
13	MR. PRESTON: He was talking about	21:48
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Two. It takes two	21:48
15	seconds to find out both of them.	21:48
16	MR. CASTRO: Nineteen. It's over more	21:49
17	than	21:49
18	DR. ABITTAN: Close to 60 percent.	21:49
19	MR. CASTRO: Fifty-four. Fifty-four.	21:49
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So that	21:49
21	doesn't help you.	21:49
22	DR. ABITTAN: Could we move some patios?	21:49
23	I really don't want to put a grass court. Is	21:49
24	there any alternative? Maybe I can take off	21:49
25	some space around. I will put turf around the	21:49

21:50

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	120
2	pool.	21:49
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Bring it down 45	21:49
4	percent. How many square feet does he hav	e to 21:49
5	take off of coverage?	21:49
6	MR. KERSTEIN: About 1,800?	21:49
7	MR. CASTRO: Yes.	21:49
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: About 1,800 squar	e 21:49
9	feet would bring it down to 45.	21:49
10	DR. ABITTAN: How about if I do	21:49
11	something with the driveway? If I do	21:50
12	something with the driveway where I have l	ike 21:50
13	artificial turf mixed with some concrete	21:50
14	strips.	21:50
15	MR. MEISTER: Pervious surface.	21:50
16	DR. ABITTAN: Does that matter?	21:50
17	MEMBER HILLER: Does that count, Ger	ry? 21:50
18	The driveway wasn't even in the considerat	ion 21:50
19	of the coverage. You are allowed to have	a 21:50
20	driveway.	21:50
21	DR. ABITTAN: That's only a certain	21:50
22	width, right, that's not counted? There i	s a 21:50
23	lot more there.	21:50
24	MR. CASTRO: It's counted because it	's 21:50

attached to the house.

25

1 Abittan - 11/18/2020

77

ħ

2	MR. PLAUT: If you want to do two 4-feet	21:50
3	pads for the driveway, those aren't counted.	21:50
4	MR. MEISTER: Or we could do a pervious.	21:50
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Preston, would	21:50
6	you be uncomfortable I know you will be,	21:51
7	but I will ask the question anyway if we	21:51
8	just set the cap of what the surface coverage	21:51
9	could be and let them figure out how they are	21:51
10	going to divide it?	21:51
11	MR. PRESTON: As long as it's	21:51
12	conditioned on the approval of the Building	21:51
13	Department.	21:51
14	MR. CASTRO: There is finite material	21:51
15	not included in it, so you would have to be	21:51
16	amenable to one of those.	21:51
17	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We are looking at	21:51
18	taking off 1,800 feet of minimum impervious	21:51
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Surface coverage.	21:51
20	Surface coverage.	21:51
21	DR. ABITTAN: It would be a challenge.	21:51
22	MR. PLAUT: Represents a 5 percent	21:51
23	reduction.	21:52
24	DR. ABITTAN: Can you give me just a	21:52
25	little more than that? Maybe 1,500.	21:52

Abittan - 11/18/2020

2	MEMBER HILLER: Take off 2,500.	21:52
3	DR. ABITTAN: I am going to end up with	21:52
4	a grass home. I will save money. I	21:52
5	appreciate your stamina.	21:52
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I need you to	21:52
7	understand what you are getting. I am looking	21:52
8	at the tennis court because I like tennis and	21:52
9	you are bringing the court right to the	21:52
10	property line.	21:52
11	DR. ABITTAN: You mean to the Keewaydin	21:52
12	property line?	21:52
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Yes.	21:52
14	DR. ABITTAN: Because there is an extra	21:52
15	15 feet there.	21:52
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's not yours.	21:52
17	DR. ABITTAN: But it's offset. It's not	21:52
18	on the street.	21:52
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I understand but I	21:52
20	don't recall ever getting an accessory	21:52
21	structure that goes right to the property	21:52
22	line, but nobody seems to be objecting to it.	21:53
23	DR. ABITTAN: I will just tell you that	21:53
24	that portion of the property is 24 inches	21:53
25	lower than that little square behind in back	21:53

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	of it. So it's sort of nestles right in there	21:53
3	without disrupting the retaining wall that's	21:53
4	there, so that's why we chose it. It wasn't	21:53
5	arbitrarily shoved into the corner. It's	21:53
6	actually an isolated piece of property that's	21:53
7	2 feet lower.	21:53
8	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No grade changes,	21:53
9	right?	21:53
10	MR. MEISTER: No grade changes.	21:53
11	MR. KERSTEIN: And keeps the balls from	21:53
12	getting lost because it's lower down.	21:53
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: There is a retaining	21:53
14	wall I see.	21:53
15	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So is there a	21:53
16	proposal, Mr. Chairman?	21:53
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We are accepting	21:53
18	everything on here except for the surface	21:53
19	coverage, and then there is the question of	21:53
20	the encroachment. But he has tired me out	21:53
21	already, so who wants to fight for the	21:53
22	encroachment. Mr. Hiller?	21:53
23	MEMBER HILLER: I am very disappointed	21:54
24	in the 1,800 feet and I am just	21:54
25	disappointed.	21:54

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If the 12-foot	21:54
3	encroachment we are talking about	21:54
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: As opposed to 20. It	21:54
5	should be moved over. He has it centered.	21:54
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's going to be	21:54
7	Har-Tru material there.	21:54
8	DR. ABITTAN: Complete with a drainage	21:54
9	plan, of course, and different layers.	21:54
10	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't recall if	21:54
11	there are shrubs between this property and the	21:54
12	adjacent property.	21:54
13	DR. ABITTAN: There are Leyland	21:54
14	cypresses that I put in last year that started	21:55
15	off at 14 feet, and they grow 2 or 3 feet a	21:55
16	year.	21:55
17	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So you do have a	21:55
18	buffer, a total buffer. So they are not going	21:55
19	to see the court.	21:55
20	DR. ABITTAN: They are probably 15 feet.	21:55
21	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I was there. I just	21:55
22	don't remember.	21:55
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. All right,	21:55
24	ladies and gentlemen. Anyone from the	21:55
25	audience want to speak to the matter? Okay.	21:55

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	So taking into consideration the benefit to	21:55
3	the applicant, which is really well beyond	21:55
4	anything we have ever done, as to the	21:56
5	detriment to the community, and Mr. Kerstein,	21:56
6	are you comfortable voting?	21:56
7	MR. KERSTEIN: Yes. In favor. I'm	21:56
8	sorry. Somebody is raising their hand over	21:56
9	there.	21:56
10	MR. PLAUT: I just want to remind this	21:56
11	is one of the conditions that they must file	21:56
12	the deed with the county.	21:56
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes, sir. Thank you,	21:56
14	Jacob.	21:56
15	DR. ABITTAN: In the front.	21:56
16	MR. KERSTEIN: For.	21:56
17	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think I'm sorry	21:56
18	to do this again. You approved everything on	21:56
19	the list except for	21:56
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The surface coverage,	21:56
21	which has to be diminished by 1,800 square	21:56
22	feet. The total.	21:56
23	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: 1,800 feet from the	21:56
24	proposed 18,000, and we are taking off	21:56
25	1,800 will be removed which is almost de	21:56

1	Abittan - 11/18/2020	
2	minimis. Sure. I am okay with this.	21:56
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller?	21:57
4	MEMBER HILLER: I can't, in good	21:57
5	conscience, vote for on this. I am	21:57
6	disappointed in the effort that you made, so I	21:57
7	unfortunately have to vote against.	21:57
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Mr. Felder.	21:57
9	MEMBER FELDER: Mr. Hiller said I am	21:57
10	for.	21:57
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I am for as well.	21:5
12	Thank you. Two and a half years?	21:5
13	DR. ABITTAN: Two and a half years.	21:5
14	MR. VACCHIO: Board of Building Design.	21:5
15	(Whereupon the hearing concluded at 9:57 p.m.)	
16	*************	
17	Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate	
18	transcript of the original stenographic minutes in	
19	this case.	
20	(m m	
21	YAFFA KAPLAN	
22	Court Reporter	
23		
24		