1	INCOF	RPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue
5		Lawrence, New York
6		November 27, 2017 7:35 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	5/7 Keewaydin Road Lawrence, New York
9	PRESENT:	
10	1000	MD TTOWN WETTOON
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
13		MR. DANIEL HILLER
14		Member
15	II.	MR. AARON FELDER Member
16	0	MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
17		Village Attorney
18		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
19		MR. DANNY VACCHIO
20		Building Department
21		
22		
23		
24		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter
25		

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1.	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next request for extension comes from 5 and 7 Keewaydin Road. Is there a representative here tonight? Please step forward. Please identify yourself for the record.

MR. FISCHLER: Yosef Fischler, 280 Morris
Avenue, Inwood, New York 11096.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So we have your letter which sets forth three reasons. One second. One deals with the renewal fee, which is not within our purview.

MR. FISCHLER: Right. That letter is -CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That would be the Trustees
for discussion. The delays are attributable to
external forces. Let's see. So why don't you
expand on the external forces. Go ahead.

MR. FISCHLER: Just because of dealing with and satisfying neighbor requirements and the stop work orders that we went through, that took a lot of significant delays to answer that up and slowed us down. That's a big part of it and there were more than a few.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. FISCHLER: So that's a big part of the delays right now.

MEMBER HILLER: Have they all resolved?

1 MR. FISCHLER: Pretty much, yeah. MEMBER HILLER: "Pretty much" means? 2 3 MR. FISCHLER: They are resolved at this 4 point. There's a few outstanding. 5 MEMBER HILLER: That means they're not all 6 resolved. MR. FISCHLER: Well, they're resolved. 7 actions are resolved. There's some monetary 8 discussions going on that we are going to satisfy 9 10 without an issue. 11 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Monetary discussions in 12 terms of? MR. FISCHLER: I'm just making sure everyone 13 is left that they feel that they weren't wronged 14 15 in any way. 16 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Do you mean your 17 contractors or your neighbors? 18 MR. FISCHLER: Neighbors. Not the 19 contractors. Contractors, I mean, we filed all 20 legal protocol, Village protocol, law protocol to 21 rectify everything. But we want to make sure that 22 we're left with happy neighbors and not neighbors 23 that -- you know, we want to get along. So 24 action-wise we're all complete. Just, you know, 25 monetary, to make sure everyone is left happy.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes, we want happy neighbors. So where are we in terms of the project, I guess?

MR. FISCHLER: We are forming -- we finished forming the second floor. We are doing rebar now, bending rebar, and then should be pouring in about two weeks.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What is your projected completion date?

MR. FISCHLER: So I have a schedule from my VP of construction here, which shows the projected completion date of February 2019.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's a year from

February. Mr. Castro, how does that assessment
look? How many years is this under construction?

MR. FISCHLER: It's been a while. I don't know exactly. But we haven't -- we haven't stopped because of internal reasons. So we've been moving. We haven't left the site, you know, unattended. We've complied with every safety and every monitoring requirement around. So there's really nothing, you know, that's slowed us down for that, you know, reason.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And the projection?

MR. FISCHLER: We're asking for a two-year to

11/27/17

1	cover our bases. Because, you know, weather
2	delays, if it's a bad winter it's going to kill
3	us, so things like that. We don't know. So we're
4	asking for two years to cover ourselves.
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Any comments from
6	the Board? Are we comfortable with two years?
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The other option would be
8	one year and have him come back again. Clearly,
9	it will not be done in one year if they're first
10	pouring concrete now.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll mix the coffers of
12	the Village interest.
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's always our interest.
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So Mr. Gottlieb,
15	are you comfortable?
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm okay with two more
17	years.
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.
19	MEMBER HILLER: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Felder.
21	MEMBER FELDER: For.
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.
23	Two years. Tell Mr. Marks two more years and
24	that's it.
I	

MR. FISCHLER: I will tell him that.

11/27/17

1	CHAIRMAN KETISON: Howe a good area in
	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Have a good evening.
2	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
3	7:40 p.m.)
4	********************
5	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of the original
7	stenographic minutes in this case.
8	
9	Mary Binci
10	MARY BENCI, RPR
11	Official Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INCO	RPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue
5		Lawrence, New York
6		November 27, 2017 7:34 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	Central Sutton LLC 160 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
9		
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14 15		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
16		MR. AARON FELDER Member
17		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney
18		MR. GERALDO CASTRO
19		Building Department
20		MR. DANNY VACCHIO
21		Building Department
22		
23		Mary Benci, RPR
24		Court Reporter
25		

Central Sutton LLC - 11/27/17

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the Lawrence Board of Zoning Appeals. Please turn off your phones, and please, no cross-conversations. If need be, please step out and have your conversations in the hall.

Proof of posting, Mr. Castro.

MR. CASTRO: Chairman, I offer proof of posting and publication.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Very good. Thank you very much.

Okay. We have two requests for extensions which we'll deal with first. We have 160 Central Avenue from Central Sutton LLC. Theirs expires on February -- no --

MR. CASTRO: 2018.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: February 2018. They're asking for an extension of a year attributable to the fact that the construction has taken longer than anticipated.

Okay. Any discussion from the Board?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What percentage of the construction is complete in your opinion?

MR. CASTRO: Approximately 80 percent

complete. Approximately 80 percent

*

Central Sutton LLC - 11/27/17

1	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So they're almost done.
2	MR. CASTRO: Correct.
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So Mr. Felder,
4	acceptable?
5	MEMBER FELDER: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So we'll talk about
7	a year's extension per their request.
8	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
9	7:35 p.m.)
10	***************
11	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
13	minutes in this case.
14	
15	May Buc
16	MARY BENCI, RPR
17	Court Reporter
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	INCO	RPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue.
5		Lawrence, New York
6		November 27, 2017 7:40 p.m.
7	A D D I T G A T I O V	
8	APPLICATION:	Gordon 16 Sunset Road
9		Lawrence, New York
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14 15		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
16		MR. AARON FELDER Member
17		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
18		Village Attorney
19		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
20		MR. DANNY VACCHIO
21		Building Department
22		
23		
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The first matter is Gordon of 16 Sunset Road. Please identify yourself for the record.

MR. GORDON: Larry Gordon, Esther Gordon. Mr. Savaldi.

MR. SAVALDI: Amiel Savaldi, architect for the Gordons. We were here in 2016, a year plus ago, and the Board was kind enough to grant us a variance. Since then, the Gordons tried to find a contractor and they concluded that it was too costly to build. So what we have in front of us is a scaled-down version, as before we were proposing to have an addition in front of the garage and a second floor on top of the garage that's on Mr. Kappel's side, and now we are leaving it alone. As you can see here on the side elevation, that's the existing garage with an attic on top of it. That's on the Kappel side.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sorry, the Kappel side is?

MR. SAVALDI: Is the north side.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's work with north and south.

MR. SAVALDI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So we're not doing

23

24

25

57.0

anything on the north side?

MR. SAVALDI: We're not doing anything on the north side. Instead we are adding a second floor on top of the den, on the one-story den on the south side. And the addition in the back on top of the existing one story is remaining the same.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So what variances are you seeking?

MR. SAVALDI: The variances are mostly due to the existing conditions. We are not adding a footprint. We are building a second floor on top of the rear one story and the side one story. We are requesting a surface coverage which, again, we're not adding to it but it's there. Side yard, side-yard aggregate --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Why don't you speak to the ones that are existing. Building coverage is no change, correct?

MR. SAVALDI: Okay. The building coverage, the existing is 2,118 and it remains unchanged. The surface coverage is 3,245 square feet; it's no change. The side-yard aggregate required is 25 feet; we have existing 16 feet and 3 inches, that remains the same. The north side is 10 feet required; 9 foot 3 is what's existing and

remaining. And the south side is required again

10 feet, and we have 7 feet; it's unchanged. And
the last thing is the side yard height ratio
that's required is 2.2, and with the new addition
it's going to be 4.5. Again, this is on the same
footprint of the existing.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Savaldi, the addition in the rear that doesn't trigger any variances; is that correct?

MR. SAVALDI: That's right.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So we're really only looking at the existing center of the building above it.

MR. SAVALDI: Right.

MEMBER HILLER: I was going to ask that as well. Are you building anything over and above the variance you got last time?

MR. SAVALDI: No, it's less, because the variance that we were granted included adding in front of the -- in front of the garage over here and in the back (indicating). And now we don't have any new foundation. We're just building on top of existing.

MEMBER HILLER: You're not adding anything to the back of the house?

MR. SAVALDI: We're adding to the back of the house but not footprint. We have the one-story portion a couple of feet and we're building over this.

MEMBER HILLER: Have you spoken to your neighbor, I believe the Kleins, about how they feel about having a wall facing them?

MR. GORDON: I tried to reach out to them.

They haven't been around. They got the letter

like everybody else within a few hundred feet of

the house. Some commented and I haven't heard

from them about it.

MEMBER HILLER: When you were going to build on the south side -- the north side, I'm sorry. When you were going to build on the north side, what was the height/setback ratio?

MR. SAVALDI: It was -- I don't have the exact number, but it was very similar I would say. It was about a bit less because the setback is a bit -- is a bit greater.

MEMBER HILLER: Because of the three feet.

MR. SAVALDI: Well, the north setback existing is 9 foot 3 inches, and now on the south we have 7 feet.

MEMBER HILLER: You didn't reach out to that

neighbor, Mr. Gordon?

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GORDON: No, I tried to. We were unsuccessful. It's an actual wall. It's the same distance from them as the rest of the house is currently.

MEMBER HILLER: I understand that. There's a different perception when you're facing an indentation and a flat wall. This is a -- you realize this is a very excessive overage. Mr. Savaldi will tell you.

MR. SAVALDI: We could have made it -- we could make the ratio much less if I had a shed roof here, but I don't know that it would go with the character of the house and the neighborhood if I have a shed roof here. And the reason I have such a severe ratio is because of the peak, and it really comes only at one point here. So that's the alternative.

MR. CASTRO: I just wanted to bring to your attention on page A5 it actually does show a shed roof. The rest of it is a gable.

MR. SAVALDI: If the Board would feel that it would make a big difference, then we will make it a shed roof. And again, there was an intention --CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sorry. Mr. Castro,

can you clarify?

MR. CASTRO: Page A5 shows the pitch.

MEMBER FELDER: A5?

MR. CASTRO: A5. Shows the pitch one way. That's a shed roof.

MEMBER FELDER: So this (indicating)?

MR. CASTRO: Yeah, where everything slopes down toward the neighbor. Page A6 shows a different roof line, a gable end which matches that.

MR. SAVALDI: We were going back and forth and it was left over there. Again, when we —— last year when we were negotiating or talking with Mr. Kappel, one of the things was the concern of the privacy, and what we did here was we did not show any windows here so they would not feel like you're looking —— you're looking into them and they'll have that sense of privacy.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Unfortunately, when a neighbor who is most impacted is not here to clarify one way or the other, it's difficult to understand why he couldn't be reached.

MR. GORDON: They received notice like everyone else did, and some commented to me and some didn't.

Gordon - 11/27/17

1	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: As we know, people don't
2	necessarily
3	MS. GORDON: We'll get it tomorrow. We'll go
4	knock on the door.
5	MR. SAVALDI: The Gordons believe they can
6	get consent, for them to sign a consent letter.
7	MEMBER HILLER: I guess they're arriving
8	tonight.
9	MR. GORDON: I'm not familiar with their
10	travel schedule.
11	MEMBER HILLER: Apparently you are.
12	MR. GORDON: No. I just know when they are
13	away. I didn't know when they left or when
14	they're returning.
15	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Could you briefly speak of
16	the benefit, of the need.
17	MR. SAVALDI: Yes. The Gordons have several
18	kids in the neighborhood. They have a couple of
19	kids with families and when they host they really
20	have no place to go.
21	MS. GORDON: I had my mother coming over who
22	now is living with me most of the time. She takes
23	up a whole bedroom, and then when I have I
24	can't even have two of my six children come at any
25	time. One room runs into the other. The rooms

are not -- were never touched from the time that I moved in. And even my bedroom is from 90 years ago and the bathroom.

MEMBER HILLER: How many bedrooms do you have right now?

MS. GORDON: How many bedrooms do I have? I would say there's one that walks into another so we consider that one because it's like a shared bedroom.

MEMBER HILLER: Okay.

MS. GORDON: And then there's two, three. I mean, there is like four bedrooms.

MEMBER HILLER: And how many will you have in the new --

MS. GORDON: The bedrooms are larger and more doable, that's all. So you don't have to walk through one room to get to the other. And even my bedroom, my bathroom is about two by two. And I've lived in the neighborhod 24 years, and I figured I married off five kids I'd like to have a new bathroom. You know, I'm not looking for a mansion. I mean, I'm just looking for living space.

MEMBER HILLER: I understand. You realize you're not extending the amount of bedrooms,

you're keeping the same.

MS. GORDON: I also have no closet space.

MEMBER HILLER: Well, now you certainly do.

MS. GORDON: Now I do.

MEMBER HILLER: Yes.

MR. SAVALDI: What we are creating is an access. By having the rear addition, we can have now a hall leading to the suite over the garage, which before you had to go through another family. So if you have the two families you can have separation.

MEMBER HILLER: I do understand. And I also am sympathetic to the design that you have. I think the pitched roof the other way would affect the design. I can see that. Even though I would have liked to have seen a letter from the Kleins.

MR. GORDON: We'll get that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We don't do things ex post facto.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Subject to?

MR. GRAY: If you're closing the hearing today, you're closing the hearing today.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes.

MR. GRAY: If you're not closing the hearing today, you're not closing the hearing today.

Gordon - 11/27/17

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you, Counsel. 2 Is there anyone in the audience who wants to 3 speak to the matter? CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Mr. Kappel, I see 4 5 you're really focusing on it. 6 Just introduce yourself to Mary, the 7 stenographer. 8 MR. KAPPEL: I'm Herman Kappel, 14 Sunset. 9 am on the north side. I have to look at the directions of the north and south to make a 10 11 decision. So the Gordons were kind enough to have a 12 13 meeting with me earlier. And I was going out of 14 town, so basically I wrote a letter to the Board. 15 Basically, my concern was basically the privacy of my bedroom which faces exactly across, and with 16 this development here my concerns are less. 17 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, it's not affecting 18 19 you at all, actually. MR. KAPPEL: Well, the look and the size, but 20 21 that's fine with me. 22 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No, they're doing nothing 23 on your side. MR. GORDON: I understand. He said it was 24

25

less.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: He doesn't need an interpreter.

MS. GORDON: There's always a window there.

MR. GORDON: The window is different sizes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No conversation.

MR. GORDON: I'm addressing the Board.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: On Saturday night you'll have your radio show and have the opportunity to talk as much as you want, but not here.

Yes, Mr. Kappel.

MR. KAPPEL: Basically, I'm okay with the change they made. Basically, it doesn't affect me very much. It's just a construction change, but that's perfectly acceptable. They're good neighbors.

MR. GORDON: Thank you.

MR. SAVALDI: Thanks.

MR. KAPPEL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: All right, the benefit -we have to weigh the benefit to the applicant as
opposed to any detriment to the community. It's
unfortunate we don't have the neighbor most
affected here tonight, but considering the size of
the project and how it's been scaled back
significantly from what it had been previously

Gordon - 11/27/17

1	approved, I will now place the Board to vote.
2	We'll start with Mr. Felder.
3	MEMBER FELDER: I am for.
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.
5	MEMBER HILLER: For.
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I'll vote for. And
9	we'll give you two years.
10	MR. SAVALDI: Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Board of Building Design,
12	Mr. Castro? Is that a yes?
13	MR. CASTRO: Yeah, yeah.
14	(Continued on the following page.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Gordon - 11/27/17

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So it has to go to the Board of Building Design. MR. GORDON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay thank you. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 7:54 p.m.) ********** Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case. MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter

1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2	BOARD OF APPEALS
3	
4	Village Hall 196 Central Avenue
5	Lawrence, New York
6	November 27, 2017 7:54 p.m.
7	
8	APPLICATION: SDIG Equities LLC/Rothman 347 Mulry Lane Lawrence, New York
9	
10	PRESENT:
11	MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12	MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13	Member
14	MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15 16	MR. AARON FELDER Member
17	MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney
18	MR. GERALDO CASTRO
19	Building Department
20	MR. DANNY VACCHIO
21	Building Department
22	
23	
24	Mary Benci, RPR
25	Court Reporter

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. The next matter is Rothman. Would they or their representative please step forward.

DR. ROTHMAN: How are you?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Are we ready?

MR. SAVALDI: Good evening to the Board again. I'm representing Dr. Rothman, who is an acupuncturist and holistic medicine specialist. She lives in the neighborhood for many years, and she practices out of her house for the last several years. Her patients are all from the neighborhood, a lot of the Village of Lawrence are patients.

And they have purchased this house, as you can see here. It's right near the parking lot here of Seasons and other commercial areas, and this is the house. And we are not proposing to add any square footage, just to, first of all, repair the house, as the building is in very poor shape, a very old house. And they had all kind of additions during the years that don't have foundations. So we propose to do foundation, foundation work but only under existing floor area. We're not adding any new floor area.

If you go through the plans, you'll see that

there is the treatment rooms, reception area for a small group to sit. Sometime in the evening Dr. Rothman is seeing a -- giving like a talk to a small group. And as you can see here, the elevation here shows the house exactly the way it is now, only that we're going to renovate it and have new material, new siding, new brick and new roofing, clean it up, clean -- organize the parking that currently is a disaster. It's all kind of strangers parking there without permission. And it became really a trouble spot for the community in the last several years that it was owned by the school. It wasn't really serving any purpose. It was just random parking and storage use.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Savaldi, can we just get to the variance you're looking for succinctly.

MR. SAVALDI: Yeah. The variance really is due to the parking. The use that we have is requiring parking space for every 200 square feet. And because of that we need -- we cannot provide enough parking spaces. We are providing seven spaces.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And the required amount is fourteen?

MR. SAVALDI: Correct.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I have a question. Perhaps it's logistics. How does your client buy a property knowing that you need a change of use, and she just bought this property a few months ago knowing that it doesn't fit, that you're going to need a variance?

MR. SAVALDI: The reason for it is that it did not come up. We did not think that we will need to have that change. The -- it was --

DR. ROTHMAN: Can I speak yet?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please, just identify yourself for the record.

DR. ROTHMAN: Sure. Dr. Deborah Rothman, I guess the owner of 347 Mulry Lane. I'm sorry, I'm not too familiar with how this works, but to answer your question --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're very informal.

DR. ROTHMAN: Okay, great, thank you, because this is very nerve wracking.

On the original survey that I believe is on file there, it actually shows in pencil that -- I'm not sure if it was the surveyor, that he actually said recommended nine spaces. So we were able to grant -- we actually made one of them

wheelchair accessible. And I'd like to address that later on why that's so important and one of the main reasons -- or one of the main purposes rather for this building. But we were actually able to get four, including the handicap accessible, and three parking spots on the other side, which don't even exist now. So we were able to get seven. So when we saw nine, we really felt that we can't possibly give land that's not there. So we felt that that was very close. And we never anticipated anything like fourteen. So that was just a very big surprise in total in the measurements. We're not changing the size of the building.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It shouldn't be a surprise to the architect. There's a formula. It's a matter of square-foot usage. The fact that you're renovating the basement and have so much use of additional space has given rise to the need. And I think we need some clarification as to how you intend to use it.

You're a solo practitioner currently?

DR. ROTHMAN: Yes, I'm happy to answer that.

I'm a solo practitioner.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But you're showing here --

let's just for the record -- go ahead.

0 -

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If I've read this right, you've got four treatment rooms, four offices, a break room for six, and seven bathrooms, right? I mean, I'm just reading the plans briefly.

DR. ROTHMAN: Okay. I assume the numbers are correct. So the way in my mind, so just to give you a little bit of background of how we're treating now, which I think would help clarify a little bit. I live in Woodmere. I've resided in Woodmere 25 years. It's two minutes away. Some of the neighbors who I've actually met with I gave them my business card which has my address.

I currently have two treatment rooms, I see patients. I don't have a receptionist. I have an on-line scheduling system which you can see on my website. I currently treat back-to-back. So that means if I have a patient scheduled, every treatment is an hour.

This is not -- the reason that holistic healing is so important today is because, as many of you know there's a lot of insurance issues. If you're going to your doctor's office today they spend less than six minutes with you. There's a bell that rings off after six minutes, they're

losing money.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when you come to an acupuncturist, it's a very different style of treatment. spending an hour with the patient. So you don't have turnover of cars, which is very relevant to the matter at hand.

But what's important in the way that I see patients, I stagger my appointments. So that I see a patient at 8:00. I welcome another patient at 8:30. The first patient is leaving by 8:45, so there's an overlap of 15 minutes. The next patient comes into the first room at 9:00.

The issue that I've run into is that right now in my current office, which is a separate entrance on the -- attached to my house, there's two steps in. And I have many patients I treat including, you know, from the whole community, but especially in Lawrence I treat patients who suffer from Parkinson's. I have patients who are terminally ill, and who are wheelchair bound. They can't get into my office.

For me, being I love what I do, I love helping people feel better, it's what I live for. So when somebody can't come to my office because they can't be treated, that makes me feel

horrible.

25

So a big part of what was so appealing of this particular venue is it gives me two things. It gives me the space. So that if I have somebody who has a disability who needs more time to be assisted, because you have to get on the treatment table, and if you have somebody with Parkinson's, if anybody is familiar, as an example, with the tremors you sometimes get locked in a position. So what should take you a few minutes, you need to give the proper care to have that patient get on the table. Having an extra room is not -- I can't physically treat more than two patients at a time. But what it enables me to do is to clean up that room to have that patient be comfortably seen. that's why we have the four treatment rooms upstairs, so that I'm accessing two and I have another one that can be used in that manner.

There's also one, I believe it's written on the plans, that's says "moxa" on the upstairs floor. It says "moxa" on it. There's an herb that I burn that is for medicinal purposes, but it smells like pot. There's no pot being used in my office. It's a very famous thing that if you're familiar with acupuncture and Oriental medicine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I created a separate room that I want to use that has two windows in that room. It's a corner room close to an exit door just for ventilation purposes, because, quite frankly, I can't stand the smell, and I just need that to vent. So that answers the rooms upstairs.

The downstairs room I specifically set up because I do lectures around the community. brought up Parkinson's because I did give a Parkinson's. The JCC in Cedarhurst has a Parkinson's meeting every week, and I have patients who participate in that and they actually invited me in and I did a lecture, I think it was about two months ago, and they specifically requested we wish that you had in your office a place that we could come and hold a meeting once -- you know, quarterly. Not often, but that we can come and you can show support for the patients who you're seeing, that it could really benefit them. So the downstairs floor is really what I had in mind, and that's why that elevator we really made accommodations to accommodate people who physically need to get downstairs and that was why that has those bathrooms.

The offices are just in terms of setup,

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

14

15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

storage. We called it office. It's storage. Meaning, the basement room, the basement floor is meant for that purpose alone. The treatment rooms are upstairs.

MEMBER HILLER: How many employees do you have?

DR. ROTHMAN: None. It's all on me.

MEMBER HILLER: You have an employee break room.

DR. ROTHMAN: I do. I put in an employee break room because my intention is that at some point I can have a receptionist so people can -you know, I can go downstairs, a person can go downstairs and there's a private place to eat. There's also a laundry room because my biggest expense is my laundry service I'm sending out every day, so being able to have that there. So I tried to make that and that's why I put that in the basement because the basement is not really being utilized as offices. That's like my extra once in a blue moon for a lecture purpose.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The problem is that when we issue a variance it goes with the land. in five years you decide you want to retire and pass it on to another series of doctors, multiple

11 Rothman - 11/27/17 1 doctors, then they will need all the parking. 2 way you're describing it you really don't even 3 need the seven spaces. DR. ROTHMAN: I don't even need the seven 5 spaces. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I understand but --7 DR. ROTHMAN: But Mr. Keilson --8 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I have to finish. 9 DR. ROTHMAN: I'm sorry. 10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We have to look at it long 11 term. 12 DR. ROTHMAN: Sure. 13 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. And even the fact 14 that you're introducing the fact that you're 15 giving lectures quarterly may become monthly, may 16

become weekly, and then we have to deal with the parking issue. And I would assume at this point you haven't done a traffic study.

MR. SAVALDI: We have not.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So you're going to be relying on the parking lot I assume?

MR. SAVALDI: Correct.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, for the overflow. That parking lot has heavy usage currently, okay. That's a very big issue. Mulry has a very big

issue in terms of traffic.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Castro.

MR. CASTRO: Correct.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Could you just for the record state what the problems are currently on Mulry.

MR. CASTRO: There's basically illegal parking in the turning lanes because there is no shoulder and it doesn't exist on Mulry Lane.

MR. SAVALDI: Let me know when I can respond. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please.

MR. SAVALDI: Okay. I fully understand the Board's concerns, but I would like to point to several things. To begin with, this is not a residential that we are transferring into something else. It was a church, then it was a Republican Club. Then for the last several years it was used with the yeshiva. So it was used as public use for as long as it -- for many years. So it's not like we changed the use here. So in the same way --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Except you didn't -you're referring to use of years ago, as well as the fact that the yeshiva did use it for parking and whatever they used it for.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAVALDI: No, they used it for --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Can I finish? The fact of matter is the street has changed dramatically, and now they're adding a gymnasium on that same street, which will further exacerbate the traffic and the parking issues.

MR. SAVALDI: I understand.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So by converting it, if you come in and just have one floor with a limited number of rooms and the like, then it would not be seeking the parking variance. But by introducing the fact that you've now renovated the two floors you now have the requirement for the fourteen spots.

DR. ROTHMAN: I just want to answer.

I have to tell you that I met with some of the neighbors on the block, and I absolutely feel their pain because I understand the congestion, and that was one of the --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And you can relieve their pain.

DR. ROTHMAN: I can relieve their pain, I really can. Because I see the yeshiva and it's a beautiful building, but unfortunately, the accommodations were not made. And that's what's

so hard, because I feel like I'm one person and I'm not looking for that kind of parking congestion.

But what I want to answer is because I've thought about everything you're saying. Honestly, I thought about it for selfish reasons. No patient is coming to me and looking for parking for half an hour. The patients that I see, the serious illnesses that I treat, people come to me two to three times a week every week for a few months. It's not like a doctor you go to once a year for a checkup.

Nobody is coming to me -- and I have a few patients who have been kind enough to show up in the room, and they can vouch for this. If your appointment is at 8:00, by 8:03 you're inside on the table. I run like clockwork because I don't have a receptionist and I have to run that way. So there's no backlog with the traffic. There are no issues like that. I would never build a facility or a building, a structure for myself that patients had to search for parking. So I was very careful in terms of it served -- I didn't understand, you're right, Mr. Keilson. I did not understand the legalities of the 200 square feet

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the parking. But I knew that for my purposes it was sufficient. So I was looking at it from the other side.

But also to answer what you said, which is a very valid point, about any kind of, you know, open meeting for the community, again, serving the community about a wellness discussion, something that people were interested in, that would not be during the day. Meaning, people are working, people have lives. That would be on an evening when the municipal lot is empty across the street when my regular patients aren't there. So I'm not seeing -- I can't be putting needles into people at the same time as I'm giving a lecture. I just can't physically do that. So I thought about that.

So definitely I -- would it be using some of the municipal lot? Yes, but that would be only when the municipal lot is pretty much empty. municipal lot is only an issue on a Friday. I don't work on Fridays, I should mention that. My hours are -- it's on my website; it's a public record. I work Sunday, eight to two. I work Monday through Thursday, eight to seven. I have very long hours, and that's treating back-to-back

straight. I really try to do the best for my patients, but I don't work evenings. I'm not there at night, so there's no burden on the community. And I don't treat patients on Fridays and Saturdays. So the Seasons parking lot is most heavily -- if anybody is familiar with that parking lot, Fridays is a disaster.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's why we have a traffic study. That's why we ask people to bring a traffic study to buttress whatever their position may be, number one.

Number two, I applaud your passion, and I'm sure you're fantastic to your patients, and I'm sure we could listen the whole night to their plaudits about what you do. We have to look at it, number one, tomorrow you may want to take in an assistant or two assistants, okay. People do -- entrepreneurial people like to expand their businesses. You may want to expand your own business, or such time as you may decide that you want to curtail your practice and you're going to sell the building to a different type of practice where they're going to make use of the additional spots where their turnover will be vastly different than yours.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

_ _

23

24

25

Mr. Gray, did you want to say something?

MR. GRAY: Just one thing that I did hear, I believe I heard, was that it's possible that the plans as submitted were not accurately labeled, and if some of the space that we believe was going to be used as office space that would go towards the calculation in figuring out how many of the 200-square foot per parking spot. For instance, and Mr. Castro I think earlier today had pointed out that for instance the square footage for a bathroom would be excluded from the calculation. So if some of that space especially on the basement level was actual storage, as opposed to office or treatment facilities, I believe storage would be excluded from the calculation. this Board is concerned about the degree of a variance that is being sought here, I think if it was properly labeled that that percentage of need would be reduced. So I don't know if the applicant wants to take a look at that to see what can be correctly labeled.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Maybe they would.

DR. ROTHMAN: I'm very open to that and I appreciate that because, as a matter of fact, the basement where it says offices, there was supposed

to be one office that was more -- I shouldn't even say office. It was file cabinets for patient records and the other two are storage. So I've been so busy with getting ready for this that I didn't even see this.

But there was supposed to be the open activity area. The break room and laundry room are supposed to be there. The two, you know, handicap-accessible bathrooms and the elevator.

MR. SAVALDI: So the basement can be reduced by half I can say. Half of the basement can be designated as storage and removed from the calculation, roughly.

DR. ROTHMAN: In other words, there's three offices marked which would be storage.

MEMBER FELDER: It would be more than half.

You can do -- the open activity area could be made more storage. The reception area you wouldn't need.

MR. SAVALDI: We're talking about that half.

MEMBER FELDER: The employee break room could be made technically smaller.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If you make the open activity area storage, then where is she going to hold these classes, the storage room?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FELDER: No, not the whole thing, not the whole thing, but you can certainly reduce it.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: When you hold your classes, you're going to have ten, twenty people?

DR. ROTHMAN: Yes. Again, there's no way to know, but that's what I would anticipate. We're not talking about something that -- whenever I talk, I speak on very specific topics. So it's not something where you have, you know, 80 people showing up. It's usually fifteen people, ten people, depending on the night, and it's not often. I don't have the time. I'm treating patients. So I wish I had the chance to do it more often, but it's not going to be.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold just for a moment. Anyone else wants to speak? Do you want to speak to the matter?

MR. HYAMS: Yes. My name is Rich Hyams, H-Y-A-M-S. I live 376 Mulry Lane in Lawrence. And I would just like to comment that like you mentioned before that you need a traffic expert or study, I am that person. I have lived there for 27 years. I have seen it all.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're a professional or you're a homemade?

MR. HYAMS: I am a professional amateur at that. I have seen cars, so you know.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MR. HYAMS: And yes, as Mr. Castro said, there is a lot of traffic. There is a lot of -- which, basically, not to be rude, but it was sort of like put upon us with the yeshiva, you know, decision, which, okay, we're living with it.

We're -- you know, some other people aren't living with it, but you know, we are, our side is.

Deborah -- can I call you Deborah?

Deborah came to my house the other day and introduced herself. And as soon as she said I am going to be at 347 Mulry and parking and things like that, I said, oh, man, I can't wait to come here, you know, finally, whatever it is.

But getting to the -- getting to the point, just from -- you know, I would welcome a neighbor like her. I would welcome --

DR. ROTHMAN: Thank you.

MR. HYAMS: You're welcome.

And I would welcome the services that she's doing, and I wouldn't really care about a doctor eight years up or ten years. You look like you're going to stay for a long time though, I would

imagine.

2

school.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. ROTHMAN: I spent a lot of years in

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's stay on point.

MR. HYAMS: I mean, something like that will add to the -- you know, drab dreariness of the, you know, Mulry Lane type of thing that, you know, we're helping, you know. People are willing to --Well, nobody else came. But I am willing to put up with a little extra traffic.

And as far as like having meetings, my suggestion might be that the school has a big gym that they're making, and they were saying -- ${\tt I}$ have the -- you know, I have all the, you know, all the transcripts from it saying they would welcome people using their gym and their --

MR. HYAMS: Her meetings and things like that. So that would be -- you know, all the neighbors would be getting together which would be really something that would be good for Lawrence, for the area, for, you know, especially the patients who probably deserve it more than, you know, anybody else.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They'll host her sessions?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

MR. HYAMS: You're welcome.

3

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Anybody else? Anyone else in the audience?

4

DR. ROTHMAN: There's a couple of people in

5

the audience. They also have some signatures.

6

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Dr. Rothman, just wait.

7

DR. ROTHMAN: I'm sorry.

8

MS. FELDER: Jennie Felder, 105 Barrett Road,

9

Lawrence, and a patient of Dr. Rothman.

10

Just want to say that, you know, I've been to her many times. I've never seen -- I think twice

11

12

I've seen one other person in the waiting room.

13

And the whole point is you walk in, it's very calm, it's very quiet. It wouldn't even be

14 15

consistent with her philosophy or her practice if

16

there was a big waiting room full of people. So

17

it's very calm.

time.

18

I've come late; she doesn't keep me late if I come late. Then, you know, that's taken off of my

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

So you know, it's really -- there's only another person there if, you know, they came early before I'm leaving. It's an overlap of a few minutes. So I can't -- you know, I've parked in, you know, her driveway, in front of her house.

There's never been more than another car in front of her house in the years that I've been going to her as a patient.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

MS. GORDON: And I too am a patient, and I have to tell you it's a very Zen-like experience. The whole point is I never get to see one other person; it's a very personal thing. I've always walked right into the room. So it's not the type of doctor's office that you're imagining. It's a whole different experience. So I can't imagine it would be a hullaballoo.

MR. HYAMS: I'd like to say one more thing real fast. If she needs a space or two, my driveway is -- she's welcome. You know, she can use a space, that is, you know.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll put that in your deed.

MR. HYAMS: Yes, definitely. I mean, I can take four or five cars and double garage, so you know. I don't know if they can get out but --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any other testimonials?

MS. TSADIK: Hi, how are you?

I've been seeing --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: State your name.

MS. TSADIK: Tahila Tsadik, T-S-A-D-I-K. I live in Lawrence. I've been seeing Deborah for probably over a year, and you know, like everyone else said, it's very quick. You go in, you're seen right away. It's not like -- it doesn't bring any extra traffic to the neighborhood. It's a quiet environment. It's somewhere you go to like be cared for and relax. It's not -- it's not like a typical doctor's office where you're circling for parking. You're in, you're out, you go on with your day.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much. We have more. Is there a bus outside?

MR. GRAY: Where they'd all park?

MR. FRISCH: My name is Jonathan Frisch.

Yeah, same. I just want to back up what everybody else here says. I've been a patient for a few months. It's a very quiet, private experience.

You very rarely see another patient coming in.

The timing as Dr. Rothman described is very exact.

So I'm a half hour and very rarely see other patients and have no issues parking at all on the private street. It's a very simple experience.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right. Thank you very much.

Mr. Savaldi, where are you? I think what we need is a revision of the downstairs, and I don't think you should do it on one foot standing here right now.

MR. SAVALDI: I can even do that. It's that easy.

DR. ROTHMAN: Can I just ask, can I add something else?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sure.

DR. ROTHMAN: Is that okay? I have signatures from the four people. I can give you the lot numbers; I highlighted them.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's very important, yes.

DR. ROTHMAN: This is what I went around with when I met the other day, right before

Thanksgiving. He was kind enough to explain to us how he felt. And as I said earlier, I heard him.

These are four signatures from the immediate

-- if you look here, you know, the two immediate
neighbors across the street, the ones who face
this horrible disaster of a property right now,
which is what it is.

I think you're all familiar with the former Republican Club. It was amazing in the heyday,

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but it's been absolutely left to shambles right now.

I also have signatures from the two people on either side. So I'm happy to provide this. The pages inside of here are -- it was raining in the morning when I went around, so I stuck it in plastic, but that shows the letter that I went with which was submitted to the committee by Mr. Savaldi.

The other thing is here I have four signed letters that were submitted to the committee today. Thank you so much. These are all from -every one is from a member of Lawrence, they live in Lawrence, and these are all people who, once again, say exactly what you heard from my patients who are kind enough to show up and support, which is that I'm only one person. I can only treat staggering appointments.

The other thing is I actually pulled this off of Google today, Google Maps, in preparation. between patients I went to Google Maps and I printed this. I just want to show you, and this you're familiar with with the parking. what it looks like.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Could you direct it here.

DR. ROTHMAN: I'm sorry. The parking disaster, the building disaster, and then this one is the gaping holes that are inside the building. The building is falling apart, and I'll be very — I hope I'm not speaking disrespectfully, but there's not many people who are in my position who could buy this property, because you cannot expand the footprint and —

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, to be fair, the record will reflect that the school represented they were going to knock down that house and use it for parking to alleviate the congestion on Mulry. So if there's any aggrieved party it's the Board who, I should say, has been misrepresented to by the institution on that street.

DR. ROTHMAN: And I'm sorry for that unfortunate thing, because as I said, if I lived on that block, it's a mess. But it's not -- I'm not -- you know, we can't go back. We can only go forward.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're not coloring you with that brush.

DR. ROTHMAN: Exactly. I can't do anything about that. I can only explain the purpose for me.

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, okay. 2 DR. ROTHMAN: We're good? 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We are waiting for Mr. Savaldi to give us new numbers. 4 5 Mr. Savaldi. 6 MR. SAVALDI: I have the sketch. I can 7 present it. 8 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We need to know how many 9 parking spaces you require on your redraft, if you 10 can give that to us. 11 MR. SAVALDI: Well, I'll be able to reduce it to -- I'll be able to reduce it to ten on that, at 12 13 least. 14 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We don't vote on around. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We need to know the square 15 16 footage. 17 MR. CASTRO: What's the square footage? 18 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can we do the square footage? What's the square footage on the first 19 20 floor and the square footage on the second floor? 21 MR. SAVALDI: I have a sketch showing what 22 we're going to do storage, and we'll make sure that we provide all the parking that's required 23 24 for the remaining space. 25 MR. GRAY: I guess what's being asked though,

1 the first floor you're going to leave alone. 2 we know what the square footage is on the first 3 floor, and we know how many parking spots on the first floor. I think it's six, correct? 5 MR. VACCHIO: On the first floor is six. MR. GRAY: So on the second floor and 6 7 revising the plan --8 MR. VACCHIO: No, excuse me. The basement is 9 six. The first floor is eight. 10 MR. GRAY: So on the bottom floor, the basement floor, currently, as proposed you need 11 12 six parking spaces because it's at least 13 1,200 square feet on the basement level. Based on 14 your proposed revisions, how much of that square footage remains as usable space, for lack of a 15 16 better word, on the basement level? 17 DR. ROTHMAN: Does this include the bathroom 18 and laundry room as usable space? 19 MR. GRAY: No, that was excluded. That's not 20 counted. 21 MR. SAVALDI: I would say 200 square feet 22 remaining, so we need one space there. MEMBER HILLER: You have over 700 square feet 23 between the reception and open activity area. 24

MR. SAVALDI: Yeah.

25

1	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It was 1,267.
2	MR. GRAY: If that's what you're proposing,
3	then what this Board
4	MR. SAVALDI: 300, it will be 300 square
5	feet, and the garage, which is a car and a half.
6	MR. GRAY: Right, which is two cars, because
7	you can't have
8	MR. SAVALDI: We average it with the
9	upstairs.
10	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So the current basement
11	1,267 square feet of usable, is that a number
12	that
13	MR. SAVALDI: The first floor?
14	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The basement level. Gerry,
15	that's the number I got from you earlier, 1,267?
16	MR. CASTRO: The basement area?
17	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Or Danny?
18	MR. VACCHIO: It's 1,267.
19	MR. CASTRO: Yes. Already the bathrooms and
20	laundry room have been excluded from that number.
21	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I just want to come up with
22	the right number, whatever it is, if it's ten
23	spaces, eleven, nine, let's just get it right and
24	get it now.
25	MR. SAVALDI: We'll have 300 square feet of

1			
1	office space downstairs.		
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So you're		
3	MR. SAVALDI: We'll go down to 300.		
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You're removing 800 feet,		
5	867 feet?		
6	MR. SAVALDI: Yes.		
7	MR. GRAY: It's relabelling it, not really		
8	removing it.		
9	MR. SAVALDI: I can show you the		
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'll tell you what, let's		
11	go off the record for a moment.		
12	(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the		
13	record.)		
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Back on the record.		
15	So the request from the applicant is?		
16	MR. SAVALDI: As I said, we will reduce the		
17	office space in the basement to 300 square feet		
18	maximum.		
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Repeat.		
20	MR. CASTRO: 246 square footage.		
21	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Really, from 1,200?		
22	MEMBER HILLER: What happened to the open		
23	activity area?		
24	MR. SAVALDI: Storage.		
25	MEMBER HILLER: The open activity area became		

1	storage?
2	MR. GRAY: They're going to store people.
3	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: How are you going to use it
4	for public assembly if it's labeled storage?
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They're going to use the
6	gymnasium.
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Is that for real?
8	MR. SAVALDI: Pardon me?
9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You're not going to use the
10	lower level for public assembly?
11	MEMBER FELDER: If they do, it will be in
12	246 square feet.
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So again, let's
14	what are you requesting? And what do we require
15	then, Mr. Castro?
16	MR. CASTRO: Ten spaces.
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And on the site we have
18	seven spaces.
19	MEMBER HILLER: And three over there.
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Talking about overflow of
21	three. Since we had the traffic expert already
22	testify that there's more than adequate, okay.
23	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If those are the numbers,
24	okay. Did you say you have four signatures from
25	neighbors?

DR. ROTHMAN: I do, yes. Do you have that?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes, she submitted them.

It's part of the record.

DR. ROTHMAN: Those are the letters, but there's also in that plastic right there those are the four signatures from all of the closest property owners.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think we know that the residents on Mulry Lane can be particularly vocal when it's something they are adamantly against, so this is nice that they are supporting you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's exceptional.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Isn't it?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Between the neighbors and the testimonials from the patients.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And the contribution of parking spaces.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Correct. No question about it.

So weighing the benefit to the applicant as opposed to any potential detriment to the community and the like, taking into consideration the very passionate presentation from the applicant, which of course just swayed the Board immeasurably, we'll now go for a vote and start

1	with Mr. Felder.	
2	MEMBER FELDER: I am very much for.	
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.	
4	MEMBER HILLER: More than him.	
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.	
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: This was quite a turnaround	
7	of what I was expecting. I am for this	
8	application.	
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right. So you can pull	
10	the pins out. And I vote for as well. I wish you	
11	great success, and you have two years.	
12	MR. CASTRO: Two years. And Mr. Savaldi, are	
13	you changing the facade, the exterior of the	
14	building?	
15	MR. SAVALDI: Yeah.	
16	MR. GRAY: Will you submit revised plans	
17	consistent with this?	
18	MR. SAVALDI: Absolutely.	
19	MR. GRAY: Thank you.	
20	(Continued on the following page.)	
21		
22		
23		
24		

	ROCHMAN - 11/2//1/
1	DR. ROTHMAN: Thank you so much.
2	MR. SAVALDI: Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
4	8:30 p.m.)
5	***************
6	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
7	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
8	minutes in this case.
9	
10	May Binci
11	MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter
12	Court Reporter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE			
2	BOARD OF APPEALS			
3		77-1-1 1 - 1 - 1		
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue		
5		Lawrence, New York		
6		November 27, 2017 8:30 p.m.		
7				
8	APPLICATION:	Warshawsky 211 Harborview North		
9		Lawrence, New York		
10	PRESENT:			
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON		
12		Chairman		
13		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member		
14		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member		
15				
16		MR. AARON FELDER Member		
17		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney		
18				
19		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department		
20		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department		
21		bulluing Department		
22				
23				
24				
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter		

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next matter is Warshawsky. Will they or their representative.

For the record, give your name.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: My name is Mendel Marc Warshawsky, 211 Harborview North. And this is my architect, Jordan Rosenberg, and my wife.

If you don't mind, my next-door neighbor is here. We didn't realize it would take so long.

Can he make a quick statement so he can go?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Certainly.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: This is the neighbor on the right of my house if you're looking straight at the house.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: State your name for the record.

MR. DEUTSCH: Ron Deutsch, 205 Harborview

North. I reside on the right. I don't know if it is north or south.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The west side.

MR. DEUTSCH: The west side of the property.

I'm aware of the flooding situation in Lawrence

Bay Park and how serious the Village is about

that, specifically the residence in question, and

his other neighbor to the left of him we've

endured that for years, the Katz family as well.

We were required and satisfied the Village request three to four years ago to install four monstrous dry wells almost four years and since then have not had any pools of water, flooding runoff to any of our neighbors, and our grass and property have survived through many storms, as the Chairman can attest to since, of course, he has a bird's eye view of our property 24/7. I hope you agree,

Mr. Keilson. And the Warshawsky family can concur with that as well.

I don't profess to be an engineer, and if the Warshawskys install or share dry wells on the property I can guarantee you that there will be no flooding in that area. I heard that that was a big concern of the Board, and I can guarantee you that it's on record the dry wells that I installed in my property three years ago due to new regulations I have not had any flooding whatsoever in my property since this occurred. Not one.

On a serious note, if I may, you may not want to hear this, but we are aware of the issues and concern of the Village. By no means we are disrespecting, my family and I, my wife and I, the rules and regulations of the requirements of construction in the Village of Lawrence. We are

simply, my wife and I as well, are requesting you to look at a higher authority. Some of you who sit on the Board know the stress and tumultuous past the Warshawskys have endured in their lives. My wife I, due to their stresses, we realize that God has given them another chance to raise and grow their family without prejudice, and as a result, besides taking into account the prevention of flooding in the backyard, which we're all concerned about, we have no objection to their construction and hope the Board will find in their favor without any prejudice and assist them with their situation to help them complete their project.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Thank you.

I'd like to say I had no idea that that was in the statement. We just wanted their support and they support our construction.

Let me say the neighbor to the other side of us has just been -- well, the wife is unable to be here because she's giving a lesson, and the husband she didn't want him to come for fear that he might be too impassioned, but they support our

-- we've had discussions with them, and they support our construction as well.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We saw passion works with the prior application.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Well, I think that it's probably better that he's not here.

And then the last thing that I'm going to say is that when we addressed the need, since I'm already speaking, and my parents-in-law are here as well. My parents-in-law as well as my parents are both elderly and somewhat infirm, and often stay the weekend with us and often together as well. And that's why we put a bedroom on the first floor in order that they shouldn't have to go up steps. That's why we have an extra bedroom on the second floor, which is a guest bedroom. And that's pretty much our need.

The rest of our need is like any other home in Lawrence. I mean, we are -- in particular, we live behind the synagogue so we often host people as well. But those are our needs as far as why we're requesting the things that we are.

I'll leave the rest for the architect.

Thank you.

MR. ROSENBERG: Good evening.

Warshawsky - 11/27/17

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Good evening. Your name and address for the record.

MR. ROSENBERG: Certainly. Jordan Rosenberg, architect of record. My business address or the address of the project?

MR. GRAY: Your business address.

MR. ROSENBERG: 27 North Broad Street, Ridgewood, New Jersey.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Welcome.

MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you.

We feel that we have made significant strides to reduce the number of variances since our previous application. We've also reduced the size of the variances as well as the number of them.

We went from seven variances down to four variances, and the four variances that are remaining are further reduced.

We've shrunk the house 700 square feet in living space. I know this breaks my clients' heart to hear that, but we recognize that concessions have to be made.

We further reduced the width of the house, thus eliminating both the right side and the left side side-yard height/setback ratio variances.

Special time and care was put into the

amended plans that you have in front of you tonight so as to enable my clients to create a home for them that still meets with their needs of the family and does not create a flood hazard any longer. The new home has been designed in accordance with FEMA requirements for homes located in the AE flood zone. Below the first floor shall be a crawlspace designed in accordance with waterproofing construction standards.

As for the side-yard setback variance, the existing side-yard setback on the left is 10.4 feet. The new proposed side-yard setback on the left is 13.25 feet. That's an improvement of 2.85 feet on the left.

As for the setback variance request on the right, the existing house is at 11 feet. We're proposing an improvement of 13.67 feet on the right, and that's a further reduction of 2.67 feet on the right, farther away from the setback line.

It's important to note in respect to the side-yard setback variance, I want you guys to notice that the side yard on the left and the right at some point is actually in compliance with the 15-foot minimum side-yard setback. It's because the property lines are skewed or converged

towards the front because the property is on a curved part of the street that the house then goes exacerbates slightly over the side-yard setback requirement. So in that respect we are partially in conformance with side-yard setback and partially out of conformance with side-yard setback. That is, in my estimation, one of the big improvements.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Rosenberg, I would like to make a comment. I wasn't here for your first presentation last month, I believe.

MR. ROSENBERG: It was very brief.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I did read it. However, I look at this as a fresh application with no prejudice towards your prior application. I look at it as it is today without any consideration for the prior, and my sort of knowing you're building a new house and this is your choice to build a new house. This is not -- there is a house there now. That you should build within code. So I'm not -- I'm not saying I'm not going to listen to your presentation, which I have been, but typically I feel if you're going to build a new house you should build within the code which has been relaxed over the past year.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yeah.

MR. ROSENBERG: I certainly absolutely respect and appreciate that position. As a member of the Board in my town for many years, I think I put a lot of weight on the zoning ordinance and the intent and the purpose of the zoning ordinance, and I don't like to abuse it, and I certainly like to come before the Board with an application that would seem reasonable.

In that respect, my justification, which I'm going to get to, is that we recognize that we are seeking variances for a new house, and we're hoping that the benefits for this new house will outweigh the detriments, and we are doing substantial improvements to the property and to the aesthetics of the neighborhood, which I'm going to talk about, and then really it's just your personal opinion as you think -- well, let me just get to it.

MR. GRAY: Mr. Rosenberg, can I just ask a question for clarification. You had mentioned the side-yard setbacks.

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, sir.

MR. GRAY: And for instance, on the right-hand side looking at the house you had

Warshawsky - 11/27/17

1 indicated that it's currently 11 foot. 2 MR. ROSENBERG: 11.0. 3 MR. GRAY: 11.0, and it's going to be 13.67. 4 MR. ROSENBERG: Did you say the left or the 5 right? 6 MR. GRAY: On the right-hand said. 7 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, that's correct. 8 MR. GRAY: I just want to clarify, were those 9 for the existing residence that's there now --10 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes. 11 MR. GRAY: -- or were you referring to your 12 last proposal? 13 MR. ROSENBERG: No. That's a very good 14 question. I'm referring to the existing residence 15 that's there now. 16 MR. GRAY: And that would be the same for the 17 left-hand side as well, you were referring to the 18 existing residence? 19 MR. ROSENBERG: Correct. So Mr. Moskowitz, 20 in respect to your comments --21 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Gottlieb. 22 MR. ROSENBERG: Oh, so that's incorrect. 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Moskowitz is missing. 24 MR. ROSENBERG: I apologize. 25 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm taking up two seats.

Warshawsky - 11/27/17 That's why I didn't look up when you didn't call 1 2 my name. 3 MR. ROSENBERG: In respect to your comment 4 looking at this as a new application, I'm just 5 referring to the existing conditions that are 6 there today in respect to this. 7 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You're doing what you need 8 to do. 9 MR. ROSENBERG: I am. 10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Because you introduced it 11 by saying you are on the Board of your local -- of 12 your home area and that you never bring before a Board something that would be outlandish. 13 14 MR. ROSENBERG: No. 15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But yet you brought the 16 other matter before us. 17 MR. WARSHAWSKY: That was my fault. I 18

insisted. He advised me against it.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Again, I'm addressing the architect that just made a statement, and I want to understand his sentiment on it.

MR. ROSENBERG: He's completely correct.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I see.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSENBERG: I urged him.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I see, okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

One of the problems is there's no template this time around. There's no streetscape this time around, which makes it much more difficult for us.

MR. ROSENBERG: Well, the streetscape is still applicable because what's presented in the streetscape is -- and you should still have it from the last hearing. If you don't, I have one or two copies.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Even the streetscape does not conform to what we normally get. You put a picture in of some sort.

MR. ROSENBERG: That's right.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's not what we require normally. We don't have it and certainly the template we don't have.

MR. ROSENBERG: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So the template he's looking at is the prior one.

MR. ROSENBERG: No, we have a template. I don't know why he didn't give it to you. We have a revised template. I needed to fill out the revised template in order to get the revised letter of denial.

MR. GRAY: Do you have a copy with you?

20 21 22

23

24

25

MR. ROSENBERG: It's in this long pile. I can get it.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Danny do you have a template?

MR. VACCHIO: No.

MR. ROSENBERG: I'll get it at the end unless you need it now.

MR. GRAY: Take two minutes, please.

MR. CASTRO: And this template reflects the most recent changes?

MR. ROSENBERG: That's right. This is the old template. It appears that I presented everything but the revised template, which I know I filled out because that was how I knew what variances I needed.

Okay. So I touched on the fact that we're reducing the side-yard setbacks. From the existing house today the combined side-yard setback is a variance that we seek. That's the one that still remains where we have 26.92 feet, where 35 feet is the minimum required for a minimum combined side setback. Although we seek a variance in this regard, from strictly a numerical standpoint the width of our now house is actually 5.6 feet narrower than what exists there today,

1 and it's 60 -- 65 feet wide is what we're 2 proposing for our house. 3 MEMBER HILLER: What was the house proposed last time? 4 5 MR. ROSENBERG: 65 plus six -- 70, about 70 and a half, 71 feet. 6 7 MEMBER HILLER: What is the -- we actually 8 have to go like variance point by variance point 9 to see what is existing now. What's existing now 10 and how you're changing it. We don't have that. 11 MR. ROSENBERG: Well, it's easy to calculate. 12 MEMBER HILLER: Go ahead. 13 MR. ROSENBERG: We have a lot that's 90 feet 14 wide. 15 MEMBER HILLER: No, no, I'm not talking about 16 that. Let's start with where you're requesting 17 variances. You have four variances. So the first 18 one here we have is front-yard ratio. 19 MR. ROSENBERG: We have a front-yard ratio 20 which I was going to get to next. 21 MEMBER HILLER: Do you want to start with 22 building coverage? 23 MR. ROSENBERG: I was going to start with --24 I started with side-yard setbacks, and now I was 25 going to go to combined side-yard setbacks.

MEMBER HILLER: Explain to me again what are the present side-yard setbacks and what are the ones you are proposing.

MR. ROSENBERG: The present side-yard setback on the right is 11 feet, and we are proposing 13.67. And that 13.67 is just because we have a little turret that pops out, so the entire length of the side yard is farther in by another foot and a half.

MEMBER HILLER: Okay. And the other side?

MR. ROSENBERG: The other side what's

existing is 10.4 feet to this property, to the

side property line, and we're proposing 13.25.

MEMBER HILLER: So you're improving on both sides.

MR. ROSENBERG: Improving on both sides.

MEMBER HILLER: Next.

MR. ROSENBERG: Certainly.

MEMBER HILLER: Next.

MR. ROSENBERG: Just to take this in comparison as to what's happening with the neighbors' houses, we're at 65 feet wide, the neighbor on the left, which is 217 North Harborview, is 70 feet wide; and the neighbor on the right, which is 205 North Harborview, is

69 feet wide, so we're narrower than our neighbors' houses. And that's something that we can do because we are proposing a new house, rather than doing a mani-level and keeping it wide.

MEMBER HILLER: All right.

MR. ROSENBERG: Okay. In regards to -- do you want to talk about the front setback ratio variances?

MEMBER HILLER: I want to talk about -- what do you have, five variances, four?

MR. ROSENBERG: Four.

MEMBER HILLER: Four variances. Each one.

Because we don't have a template to work from, so

I want to know what is present.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think the coverage is the most concerning one.

MR. ROSENBERG: Okay. We require a building coverage variance of 3,708 square feet whereby 3,282.9 was the maximum allowed. This is an excess of 425 square feet, 13 percent.

MEMBER HILLER: What is the present house?

MR. ROSENBERG: Present house is 1,198 square feet. This new home contains a larger footprint than what the code allows, primarily in order to

compensate for the loss of a 1,600 square-foot basement because we're removing it.

MEMBER HILLER: You have a third floor.

MR. ROSENBERG: We have a partial third floor, yes. It's not nearly as big as the existing basement, but yes, that's correct.

MEMBER HILLER: It's not partial.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Good point.

MEMBER HILLER: The third floor is big, not small.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think that's why we changed the code. In order to offset the loss of basement, we allowed 36 feet.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Actually, we changed the code for the entire village. Some portions of the village, such as where you have the flood zone, and therefore you can't have a basement. So it's a little more egregious because of his situation, and therefore he has to compensate somehow with storage space and the like.

MR. ROSENBERG: That's right.

MR. GRAY: Two bedrooms and one and a half baths.

MR. ROSENBERG: It's a bit more restrictive where we are because as opposed to another -- if

1 it unilaterally changed for the whole town, 2 there's other houses that are not in the 3 floodplain that don't have to suffer from this 4 restriction that we do. 5 And in respect to the --6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How many bedrooms? I 7 think you have eight bedrooms proposed? MR. ROSENBERG: Well, so including the guest 8 9 room, guest bedroom, on the first floor we have 10 one guest bedroom. Five bedrooms on the second floor. 11 12 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Six. 13 MR. ROSENBERG: And two guest bedrooms --14 excuse me. Two bedrooms in the attic. 15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So eight bedrooms. 16 many children in the family? 17 MR. WARSHAWSKY: Three. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Three children. 19 MS. WARSHAWSKY: Uh-hm. 20 MR. ROSENBERG: Out-of-town parents that come 21 to visit. 22 MR. WARSHAWSKY: With the option to have 23 more.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: By all means.

MEMBER HILLER: Then you'll come for a

24

25

variance.

MR. ROSENBERG: It's important to recognize that those bedrooms in the attic are used primarily for guests after services on Shabbat. It's really for overnight guests. It's not for any type of long-term resident. It's primarily going to be vacant for most of the year.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're trying to reduce the bulk, you know, the building coverage.

MR. ROSENBERG: Excuse me?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're trying to evaluate the building coverage, and obviously the more rooms creates the bulk.

MR. ROSENBERG: The other thing that's interesting about the attic is the client requested -- they fell in love with this authentic historic Tudor style, and because -- to follow the rules, the precedent that exists from 300 years back in historic Tudors, you have to maintain a certain pitch of the roof or a certain perception of pitch, not necessarily pitch, in order to create a true Tudor house, and so as a result you end up with attic space as opposed to say again brow roof or farm style roof. And so we have the space. How we utilize it or how you tell us

we're allowed to utilize this it's basically there as a result of architecture.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The house is beautiful.

The question is the lot on which it's being built.

MR. ROSENBERG: Understood.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're trying to accommodate everybody's needs and aspirations. So do you want to continue.

MR. ROSENBERG: I just have a couple -- I just want to touch on a couple of the reasons or the rationales as to help you justify.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: He wants to finish the variance list.

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, of course. So the last variance is the height/setback ratio at the front, and the reason that exists is because I'm maintaining the same -- I'm trying to create a homogeneous landscape and keep the house in compliance with the other houses along the same line. If I was to shift the house back seven, eight feet, that front setback height ratio would disappear. But it wouldn't be in line with the rest of the houses. It would be like a shorter tooth in a mouth of perfect teeth.

MR. GRAY: If you push it back seven, eight

.

feet, wouldn't that also reduce the amount of variances you need for the side-yard setbacks and the aggregate side-yard setback?

MR. ROSENBERG: It would reduce them further, yes. It would make a substantial improvement.

But it would get closer -- it would reduce the backyard, which is not a variance; it wouldn't require a variance. It would just -- some say that a house set back five feet more might look more prestigious or picturesque, but the truth is that the purpose was to create a homogeneous landscape, which really is the intent of the zoning ordinance to keep houses in line with each other.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Clearly, a house like this is not homogeneous with the rest of the houses on the street. I kind of like the idea, and I don't know if it's architecturally feasible, why you wouldn't want to do it, but by pushing the house back you are reducing at least three variances, if not more, left side, right side, aggregate and front height/setback ratio. I don't understand why you wouldn't want to. You have a huge backyard.

MR. ROSENBERG: It was a balancing act. I

0 1

had to decide whether or not it was more important to keep in line with the other houses on the block which run along the entire street or to be the one house that's set back farther than the rest. I wasn't sure how the Board would react to that.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Clearly, the streetscape that you prepared, clearly this house doesn't look like any of the others. It might look like all the others in a couple of years.

MR. ROSENBERG: Right. We might be setting a trend. Who knows.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It takes the first one, but I don't understand why you wouldn't want to do that. And again, I'm not the architect. I don't know if there's a detriment to doing it. There's a huge benefit to keeping it inline. I think a house of that size might look much better pushed back. I'm not suggesting it. I'm just thinking of the variances it relieves.

MR. ROSENBERG: I mean if push comes to shove and that's what it takes to get this approved.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't want to push you in one direction or another.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But if we push the

1 house --2 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The bulldozer is coming 3 anyway. MEMBER FELDER: Would it have to be pushed 4 5 back a full five feet in order to alleviate the 6 variances? 7 MR. ROSENBERG: Not to alleviate the side 8 yards. To alleviate the front ratio, the front 9 setback height ratio. 10 MEMBER FELDER: A foot? 11 MR. ROSENBERG: What? 12 MEMBER FELDER: What would you need to push 13 it back? A foot? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: Because the conversion of the 15 property lines are relatively subtle, you would 16 have to push the house back 40 feet. 17 MEMBER FELDER: I'm sorry? 18 MR. ROSENBERG: Like approximately 40 feet 19 you'd have to push the house back to get it to 20 eliminate the side-yard setbacks. 21 MEMBER FELDER: Oh, well, that's not 22 happening. 23 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Eliminate them altogether. 24 MR. ROSENBERG: It wouldn't eliminate them.

It would reduce them further, because it's a

25

subtle angle. I mean, I can't speak to the exact percentage. But if a perfect lot is -- parallel lots are 90 degrees to the front, this is like we're talking like 88, 87 degrees, something like that.

MEMBER HILLER: And what about the building coverage?

MR. ROSENBERG: It wouldn't -- pushing the house back --

MEMBER HILLER: I'm saying what can you do about the building coverage?

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Can I speak to that?

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: I mentioned before that we have three children, five bedrooms upstairs, so that's four bedrooms for three children and two parents. And two guest bedrooms, one on the first floor for, let's say, my parents-in-law, and one on the second floor for my parents. So we're really using all those bedrooms often. The one on the first floor is tiny; it's really just there because we want a bedroom on the first floor that's wheelchair accessible and that doesn't require walking upstairs. So those bedrooms are occupied; they're not terribly large. So you

know, that's why we requested the square footage.

The third floor is not really bedrooms as far as being used for sleeping. I mean, they're there when we have guests or when we need to host people for other people that have something in the area. But the five bedrooms that are in the living area of the house that aren't on the third floor are pretty much used quite often most of the time. We feel that maybe 400 feet isn't that much, too much. I don't know if it is or not but --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: By the standard that we normally have, it's a problem, especially with new construction.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: We often feel that we're caught between a rock and a hard place because we've eliminated our basement so we want to regain that anywhere, and we can only regain that in height. If you regain that in height, then you lose your side-yard setback ratio. You seem to lose a little bit wherever you go. And we've tried to find a good balance in keeping that. We understand we were overzealous in our first application, and we've really tried to cut back as much as we can.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So again -- go ahead.

22

23

24

1

2

3

MR. GRAY: I just had one question. I note that I think currently the property has an in-ground pool in the backyard. Is that being removed?

MR. ROSENBERG: So in respect to the total lot improvements, one of the variances we eliminated was the total pervious coverage for the entire lot which is significantly over, at 84 percent for the entire lot with paving and wood decks and concrete and pool, all of that's being removed. So the benefit of this application, although we're asking for a larger building coverage, is we've completely eliminated this potential for rainwater runoff onto neighbors by reducing the pervious area and allowing for a much greater area of rainwater percolation.

MR. GRAY: So the pool is being filled in? MR. ROSENBERG: Yeah.

MR. GRAY: Okay.

MR. ROSENBERG: With, you know, permeable material so that it can drain. We're not filling it in with concrete or anything like that.

MR. GRAY: No. What I meant is there is no -- I didn't see an in-ground pool on the plans.

25 MR. ROSENBERG: That's correct.

2

3

MR. WARSHAWSKY: There's no pool.

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSENBERG: That's one of the benefits of this application is that it does reduce all of that, the improvements, the whole yard. I mean, I have the existing survey if you wanted to see it, showing you how it looks like the whole backyard is being completely covered.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm not clear. Moving the house back will give no benefit as far as the variances?

MR. ROSENBERG: It will eliminate the height/setback ratio variance on the front, yes. Right now the height/setback ratio, which is a calculation of height to setback, is encroaching. The top of the house because of its steep gables encroaches.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How far would you have to move it back?

MR. ROSENBERG: Not a lot. According to this, it's my understanding that perpendicular gables in the attic are allowed to extend into the height/setback ratio, turrets, chimneys, feature items, so I probably would have to push it back about -- I want to say it's going to be somewhere between five to ten feet. I want to leave my --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

leave that broad range open just so when I do run the calculation back at the office I'll know.

MR. CASTRO: I'm looking at the front cover and I think the reason why the height/setback ratio was taking to one of the reverse gables rather than the eave, which is about 22 and a half, is because the aggregate of these reverse gables exceeded the 50-percent --

MR. ROSENBERG: That's correct.

MR. CASTRO: -- rule. I'm not sure -- you also have a dotted line which represents the required front yard height/setback ratio.

MR. ROSENBERG: Yes.

MR. CASTRO: And it seems as though in order to fit that reverse -- the front reverse gable into or beneath that sky exposure plane it's going to have to move back quite a bit, maybe even closer to ten feet. I'm not sure if anything could be done to minimize the 50-percent rule, which would then --

MR. ROSENBERG: The way I figured it is if I could get this dotted line -- the house comes back, this dotted line will project somewhere through the middle of the roof which then when you calculate the 50-percent rule, 50 percent will be

roof beyond and 50 percent will be three perpendicular dormers popping forward. It certainly is a complex calculation, but the computer can do it quite easily. I just don't know exactly what it is.

So one of the --

MR. WARSHAWSKY: We're very open to moving it back if it helps. We're very open to moving it back.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Off the record.

(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Back on the record.

If we moved it back five feet, what would be the impact on the variances?

MR. ROSENBERG: The height/setback ratio in the front would still exist, it would just be reduced. The ratio would be reduced. To what degree I don't know, but probably a good size reduction. The side-yard setbacks would be further reduced probably by a matter of inches, we're not talking feet. Overall, the overall look of the house, the house will appear to be smaller the farther it is set back from the street.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If the house is back further, that means the neighbors left and right are going to see more house.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: All right.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Our neighbors are good neighbors to us. They don't have a problem with it. You can call. Like I said, he didn't come for a reason. Mrs. Katz is available on the phone.

MR. ROSENBERG: Well, you didn't have the luxury of seeing this at the last hearing.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You already built it? That was fast.

MR. ROSENBERG: That's really funny because I don't remember which member of the Board spoke to me afterwards and they thought I was copying a house that was already existing. I'm explaining, no, this is a rendering, a drawing of this house, exactly this house. No, it was --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yeah, I see Dr. Warshawsky on the second floor.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: And my car, I wish.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So this is a model that represents a house that does not exist anywhere?

MR. ROSENBERG: This is a 3D rendering made

1	with the same software that they used to make the
2	movie Toy Story.
3	MR. WARSHAWSKY: It cost a lot of money.
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You had to get that in
5	somewhere.
6	MR. WARSHAWSKY: I had to.
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Is there enough parking?
8	MEMBER FELDER: Yep, looks like it.
9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Well, you can fit another
10	half car further back.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Rosenberg, we're
12	trying to calculate, because in order to take a
13	vote we have to know what we're voting on.
14	MR. ROSENBERG: Of course. It's not possible
15	to give you a definitive ratio without running the
16	computer on the calculation, but I could say that
17	reducing the pushing the house back five feet
18	would be a reduction in height/setback ratio less
19	than
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, maybe Mr. Castro can
21	do it. Don't feel any pressure, Mr. Castro.
22	MR. VACCHIO: Not at all.
23	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Are you comfortable with
24	the left and right height setback?
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You mean the encroachment?

1	MR. ROSENBERG: Does anyone have a scale, a
2	ruler?
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Off the record.
4	(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the
5	record.)
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Back on the record.
7	So what are we requesting at this point? I
8	assume the building coverage remains the same?
9	Mr. Rosenberg?
10	MR. ROSENBERG: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: All right. So we're being
12	requested coverage of 3,708, in excess of
13	425 square feet. The side-yard setback remains
14	the same.
15	MR. GRAY: A little bit less.
16	MR. CASTRO: No, a little less. I have the
17	north side as yeah, the north side
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The east side.
19	MR. CASTRO: Sorry. The east side being
20	1,348.
21	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.
22	MR. CASTRO: As opposed to 1,334. And I have
23	the west side as 1,377 as opposed to 1,367.
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So those are the
25	numbers that we will adopt.

1	MR. ROSENBERG: I concur with those numbers.
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Is that because when you
3	went back seven feet it's now
4	MR. ROSENBERG: That's correct.
5	MR. GRAY: Which will now also adjust the
6	aggregate.
7	MR. ROSENBERG: 13.48 becomes the left and
8	13.77 becomes the right. The house goes back
9	seven feet and the height/setback ratio
10	disappears.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And the aggregate becomes?
12	MR. GRAY: 27.75?
13	MR. CASTRO: More.
14	MR. GRAY: 27.25?
15	MR. CASTRO: 27.25.
16	MR. ROSENBERG: 27.25.
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So now we know what
18	we've been asked to vote on.
19	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Wait a minute. Is that it?
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: There's no height/setback
21	ratio.
22	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The impervious coverage, is
23	that gone?
24	MR. ROSENBERG: Gone. We've eliminated it.
25	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You know what, I'm looking

at the old.

MR. GRAY: Now, with the added extra seven feet of driveway that you'll need, does that add any complications?

MR. ROSENBERG: It's an impervious paver driveway, so it's not going to have any impact on the impervious coverage calculation.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The side height/setback ratio, is that still there?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: There is none.

MR. ROSENBERG: There is no variance anymore for side height back ratio and it further improves the condition.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No problem.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So we're looking at three variances.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Correct.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And just for clarity, when we talk about the side-yard setback and the aggregate, it's really only at the very front of the house where this is a problem and it disappears as it goes back further. I think we should -- that it should be noted on the record.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You just noted it.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So it be noted. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. 2 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Leaving basically one 3 variance. 4 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Does anyone else want to 5 speak to the matter from the audience? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Not even the 8 in-laws. 9 MR. WARSHAWSKY: They're the best. 10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Taking into -- you 11 have something to say? 12 SPEAKER: Just getting up to stretch. 13 MR. CASTRO: Can I revise the side-yard 14 setbacks and the aggregate? 15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Absolutely. 16 MR. CASTRO: It's slightly better than the 17 original because originally I calculated at five, 18 not seven. So the west side side-yard setback 19 will be 13.54. And just give me a second. east side is going to be 13.80. And so now your 20 21 new aggregate --22 MR. WARSHAWSKY: 27.34. 23 MR. CASTRO: Yeah. 24 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So now the record is clear 25 what we're voting on, and we'll take into

consideration what Mr. Gottlieb brought to our attention, that the issue really is on the forward part, not towards -- it's reduced as it goes further back, correct?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Correct.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And weighing the benefit to the applicant as opposed to any detriment to the community, and applauding the most beautiful design from our architect, Mr. Rosenberg, and for the dreams and aspirations of the Warshawskys, taking into consideration the in-laws were present --

MEMBER HILLER: They look fit to me.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So we're going to take a vote. We'll start with Mr. Gottlieb.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I vote for.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.

MEMBER HILLER: I vote for.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And Mr. Felder.

MEMBER FELDER: I vote for.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I will vote for as well, and you have -- I think you need more than two years.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: We hope not.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But that way you won't

have to come back then. Why don't you put it in
for three years because, realistically, on a
practical level.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Thank you.

MS. WARSHAWSKY: Thank you very much.

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at

9:13 p.m.)

Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter