DOARD OF APPEALS  Village Hall 196 Central Lawrence, Ne March 27, 20 7:34 p.m.  APPLICATIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT:  Kutner 41 Lawrence Avenue Lawrence, New York  PRESENT:  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman  MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB	
196 Central Lawrence, Ne  March 27, 20 7:34 p.m.  APPLICATIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT:  Kutner Soldwasser 41 Lawrence Avenue Lawrence, New York  PRESENT:  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	
Lawrence, Ne  March 27, 20 7:34 p.m.  APPLICATIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT:  Kutner  Kutner  Goldwasser  41 Lawrence Avenue Lawrence, New York  PRESENT:  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	
7:34 p.m.  APPLICATIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT:  Kutner Soldwasser Lawrence Avenue Lawrence, New York Lawrence, New Y  PRESENT:  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	
APPLICATIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT:  Kutner Goldwasser  41 Lawrence Avenue 156 Lakeside Dr Lawrence, New York Lawrence, New Y  PRESENT:  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	017
<pre>Kutner Kutner  Kutner  Kutner  Figure 156 Lakeside Dr Lawrence, New York  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman  Chairman  Goldwasser  156 Lakeside Dr Lawrence, New Y  MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman</pre>	
8 41 Lawrence Avenue 156 Lakeside Dr Lawrence, New York Lawrence, New Y 9  10 P R E S E N T:  11 MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	
Dawrence, New York Lawrence, New 1  10 P R E S E N T:  11 MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	ive South
MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	ork
Chairman 12	
12	
MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB	
13 Member	
MR. DANIEL HILLER Member	
MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ	
MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ  Member	
MR. AARON FELDER Member	
MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ	
19 Village Attorney	•
MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department	
MS. DANA GARRAPUTA	
Building Department	
MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department	
24	
Mary Benci, Court Repor	

# Proceedings - 3/27/17

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the Lawrence Board of Zoning Appeals. I'd ask you to please turn off your phones. Please, no conversations. If necessary, please step into the hall for any conversing.

Proof of posting?

MR. CASTRO: Chairman, I offer proof of posting and publication.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. You're not going to show it to me?

MR. GRAY: Sorry, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No problem. Mr. Gray, would you offer your preamble.

MR. GRAY: Sure.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gray is counsel to the Board of Zoning Appeals.

MR. GRAY: Good evening, everyone.

If you've appeared before this Board, you would know that they are very familiar with your applications. They have reviewed them in detail. They're familiar with the denial letters that are before you. They're familiar with the issues that your particular applications raise and what their concerns will be. So they will be known as what's

# Proceedings - 3/27/17

known as a hot Bench.	Although yo	ou might s	tart
your presentation, the	y will go di	irectly to	the
points; they know your	application	n. If you	can
address the concerns t	hat they may	y have and	they
will give you a fair h	earing.		

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you, Mr. Gray.

MR. GRAY: Sure.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Kutner,

41 Lawrence Avenue. I believe they submitted a
request for an adjournment; is that correct?

MR. CASTRO: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Any questions or objections from the Board? Mr. Gottlieb?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller?

MEMBER HILLER: No.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Moskowitz?

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: No.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Goldwasser, 156 Lakeside Drive South, also a submission requesting an adjournment to the next available date.

MR. CASTRO: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I want the record to reflect that they've adjourned it to the next

# Proceedings - 3/27/17

	Proceedings - 3/2//1/
1	date.
2	MR. CASTRO: April 26.
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The Board is in accord on
4	that?
5	MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Yes.
6	MEMBER HILLER: Yes.
7	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
8	7:36 p.m.)
9	***************
10	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
11	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
12	minutes in this case.
13	
14	Mary Benci
15	MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	INCO	DRPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2	,	BOARD OF APPEALS
3		**** 7 7 *** 7 7 7 7
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5		March 27, 2017
6		7:36 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	37 Lismore Road
9		Lawrence, New York
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15 16		MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ Member
17		MR. AARON FELDER Member
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
21		MS. DANA GARRAPUTA
22		Building Department
23		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

#### Salamon - 3/27/17

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Salamon,

37 Lismore Road. Will they or their
representative please step forward. State your
name and address for the record.

MR. SALAMON: Jacob and Susan Salamon, 37 Lismore Road, Lawrence, New York.

MR. MICHALSKI: Thomas Michalski, architect.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Your address?

MR. MICHALSKI: Brooklyn, 590 -- 598 Leonard Street, Brooklyn, New York.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, Mr. Salamon, proceed.

MR. SALAMON: Okay. We respectfully requested a variance from the honorable Board. Dimensional regulations governing maximum building coverage and lot relates to building and ordinance Section 212-12.1. The purpose of the addition of the bedroom is for my father-in-law, Ernest Breiner, who this Wednesday will be celebrating his 92nd birthday, God willing, and he's a very, very special father-in-law, Susan's father, and he's a very wonderful person. And he's very lonely; he's a widower, living in Bridgeport, Connecticut. Most of his family is out of town. Susan is the only daughter here. There are many

### Salamon - 3/27/17

architect who is here right now, as well as the 1 contractor and will proceed the proper way. 2 MEMBER HILLER: Who were the previous 3 architects and builders? 4 MR. SALAMON: I don't know who the previous 5 architect was. It was a family member who was not 6 7 a professional who started doing it, and I was just ill-advised, and when we got the notice to 8 9 stop, we stopped. MEMBER HILLER: The family member was the 10 builder also? 11 MR. SALAMON: A family member was the 12 contractor, not an actual builder. 13 14 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Gerry, is the work that was done to code? In other words, is it substantially 15 safe and built to --16 MR. SALAMON: The work -- if I may interject. 17 May I interject? The work is coming down, that's 18 19 coming down. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So it's --20 21

MR. SALAMON: It's coming down. That's not relevant. It's coming down. I mean, it's not a threat. It's basically just cinderblock, cinderblock, concrete and it's coming down.

22

23

24

25

MEMBER HILLER: How long has that been up?

MR. SALAMON: About a year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SALAMON: We panicked last year because my father had no place to go. He was coming for Passover, and we said, okay, we'll just get this up, and it was a mistake and it's silly but we just didn't know what else to do. He couldn't sleep in my kitchen or in the dining room. It's a nice big house, but it just has that many rooms and it wasn't -- there was no place else to put him.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're not unsympathetic. We've had many instances where people have asked for variances to accommodate elderly parents and essentially on the first floor because they can't walk the stairs. I think the concern of the Board is that we don't like setting a precedent where people proceed and do work and then apologetically after the fact come to us to validate that which was done. In that case, of course, they're tearing it down, so in effect there is nothing up there.

Any further questions from the Board? MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: No.

MEMBER HILLER: No.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is there anyone from the

### Salamon - 3/27/17

audience who wants to speak to the matter? 1 2 (No response.) CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So in evaluating the 3 benefit to the applicant against any detriment to 4 the community, we take into consideration all 5 matters such as the special circumstances here. 6 think one of the things that we'd like to have 7 stipulated is that we prefer not to have a second 8 floor built on that at any later date, so that 9 10 should be part of it. MR. SALAMON: Agreed. 11 MS. SALAMON: Not a problem. 12 MR. GRAY: That is fine. That is an 13 appropriate condition that the variance that's 14 being granted for the additional first-floor room 15 not be built upon on the second floor. 16 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right. 17 MR. SALAMON: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So we'll vote 19 beginning with Mr. Moskowitz. 20 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: For. 21 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller. 22 MEMBER HILLER: For. 23

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.

24

# Salamon - 3/27/17

1	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Felder.
2	MEMBER FELDER: I welcome the opportunity to
3	see more of your father. I'm for.
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, and I vote for as
5	well. We'll just say two years till completion.
6	I'm sure you're going to get it done long before,
7	considering his age.
8	MR. SALAMON: Thank you very much.
9	MR. CASTRO: No Board of Building Design.
10	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
11	7:42 p.m.)
12	*************
13	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
14	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
15	minutes in this case.
16	
17	Mary Benci
18	MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	

1	INC	ORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5		March 27, 2017
6		7:42 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	Mandelbaum 94 Harborview West
		Lawrence, New York
9		
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON
12		Chairman
13		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
14		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15 16		MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ Member
17		MR. AARON FELDER Member
18		
19		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney
20		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
21		
22		MS. DANA GARRAPUTA Building Department
23		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next matter this evening will be that of Mandelbaum, 94 Harborview West.

Good evening, Mr. Novello.

MR. NOVELLO: Good evening, Chairman, members of the Board. John Novello, 217 Avery Place, Cedarhurst, New York 11516.

I'm here on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Elliott
Mandelbaum. They're standing right to my left.
We're seeking three variances this evening. The
Mandelbaums are proposing a one-story rear
addition to the back left-hand corner of their
house. They are proposing to enlarge their
existing kitchen and add a breakfast room.

If you look at the plot plan, you will notice that we're actually decreasing the impervious surface coverage. There exists a large wood deck in the rear of the house; we'll be removing that. Adding an 11-foot-two rear addition, one story, to enlarge the kitchen and breakfast room, and then recreate a wood deck where they could build their succah for the holidays. And again, we'll be decreasing the impervious surface coverage.

And the other, we're also seeking a variance on building coverage. I'd like to point out that

4 5

the existing house is currently over the requirements.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So in effect, you're adding eight percent over and above the existing, so it's not as egregious as the ten percent is.

MR. NOVELLO: Yeah. And the existing —
we're actually, you know, adding to — enlarging
the kitchen where it is currently today. We can't
enlarge forward because there's a two-car garage.
We can't enlarge to the side because, you know,
that'll create even more of a problem with the
side-yard setback. We're keeping in line with the
existing house, that's why we are seeking the
side-yard setback. But, you know, it's just a
simple rear addition, just following the line of
the house. And unfortunately, because of the size
of the lot and the zoning requirements, we're
seeking relief from this Board.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So the 209 square feet is really comprised of just the breakfast area and expanded kitchen?

MR. NOVELLO: Yes, that's it.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And you're also reducing the impervious surface coverage by 224 square feet?

# Mandelbaum - 3/27/17

1	MR. NOVELLO: That's correct.
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Which is nice.
3	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's a change.
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Any restriction on building
5	over it?
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's get some discussion.
7	MEMBER HILLER: Do you plan to build over
8	that first story in the future?
9	MR. MANDELBAUM: You mean the second floor?
10	No, this is it. We're done. We haven't expanded
11	the house since we moved in. It's just we really
12	didn't have any space.
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How long have you been in
14	the house?
15	MS. MANDELBAUM: Since Sandy.
16	MR. MANDELBAUM: Yeah. We closed the day
17	before Sandy, so October 2012.
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Wow. How many children do
19	you have?
20	MR. MANDELBAUM: Four, thank God, four little
21	kids. So when you read the variance or the denial
22	letters it sounds like we're over everywhere and
23	we're doing this whole major job, and part of it
24	is because a lot of this is how we bought the
25	house. And all we are doing is taking the kitchen

## Mandelbaum - 3/27/17

and just expanding it to cover half the deck.

mean, obviously, many matters come before us and are much more substantial in scope. So we're very sensitive to the fact that we're talking about a limited 209 square feet over the existing, and the fact that on the surface coverage we actually have a reduction to the pre-existing nonconforming rule, so we're sensitive to pre-existing nonconforming, so that's not having any impact, and we're just taking into consideration the need for a family to be able to sit in the breakfast area and be able to conduct normal family life. So I don't think that we're controlled by that at all.

Are there any questions from the Board?

MEMBER HILLER: No.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: No.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Anyone from the audience want to comment on it?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So taking into consideration the statutory criteria, primarily the interest of the benefit to the applicant as weighed against any detriment to the community,

# Mandelbaum - 3/27/17

1	neighbors and the like, we're going to take a vote
2	at this point, starting with Mr. Felder.
3	MEMBER FELDER: I'm for.
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.
5	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think this is a great
6	application. I am for.
7	MR. MANDELBAUM: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Really?
9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I really like it. It's
10	200 feet and it's nice and modest, and you need
11	some space.
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.
13	MEMBER HILLER: For.
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Moskowitz.
15	MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: For.
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I'm for.
17	MR. MANDELBAUM: Thank you very much.
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: A similar ringing
19	endorsement.
20	MR. NOVELLO: Thank you.
21	MS. MANDELBAUM: Thank you.
22	MR. MANDELBAUM: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold it. Two years, we'll
24	give you two years. I hope you'll finish long
25	before then.

	Mandelbaum - 3/27/17
1	Do they need Board of Building Design?
2	MR. CASTRO: No, it's the back of the house.
3	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
4	7:48 p.m.)
5	***************
6	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
7	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
8	minutes in this case.
9	
10	May Binci
11	MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter
12	Court Reporter
13	
14	

1	INCO	RPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		T7 : 1 1 T7 - 1 1
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5		March 27, 2017 7:48 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	280 Breezy Way
9		Lawrence, New York
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		
13		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
14		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15		MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ Member
17		MR. AARON FELDER Member
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
21		MS. DANA GARRAPUTA
22		Building Department
23		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next matter is Leventhal, 280 Breezy Way. We were expecting Mr. Genack here tonight. Did he confirm?

MR. LEVENTHAL: He was requested to come but I could represent myself. Do you want to wait till he gets here for questions?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We would prefer if we could. If you want to go out and reach him by phone, we particularly would like him here tonight.

MR. CASTRO: He's here.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Genack, we were just about to call you.

MR. LEVENTHAL: So my name is Arnold

Leventhal, and my wife is Linda Leventhal. We're here for the house at 280 Breezy Way. We already finished two years of our construction. We're here for a variance for the change of grade between my house and 292 Breezy Way, which is Angelo and Carmela Romanelli.

I would like to just present the case first for the change in grade. This is a picture of my house. You have all seen the house. And our septic tanks are in the rear on the east side between Mr. Romanelli and my house. When we dug

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the septic tanks we hit the water level, and we had to stay above the water level. So we had to drop the tanks in, and when we finished we covered up the tanks. We didn't notice any change in grade at that time.

Another problem was the bamboo. The bamboo, which emanates from Zelda Levitt, of 14 Willow Way, which I met and I've met all my neighbors and sat down with them, she brought bamboo into the neighborhood, which is now on the prohibited list under New York State law. And the Department of Environmental Conservation says: It shall be unlawful to permit planting, growing or maintaining bamboo. Nassau County must prohibit all species that New York State prohibits. grandfather law is only if you have more restrictive -- if you have more restrictive laws, then you're grandfathered in. But if you have less restrictive laws, you must follow New York State laws. Because of that, I was obligated to protect my house from the bamboo, and they talk about going 30 inches at least below grade. built a subterranean wall with some designs from my architect, John Capobianco. And we also made it waterproof and it's at grade along the house.

But when we got to Mr. Romanelli's house at that point we realized we were going up above the grade. It's only at that point we realized about the problem with the grade, because there were tall fences there and we didn't see anything.

So I met many times with my neighbor, and I have a letter from him today which I'd like to read.

I'll submit this to the Board. Dear Village of Lawrence committee: My neighbors at 280 Breezy Way are seeking a variance for a change of grade. I have no issues with their petition. This states I met with the Leventhals. They agreed to remove the two mountains in the back. There's two mountains of earth. Also, if they can put another row of cinderblocks to prevent future runoff water, also a row of bushes would help for privacy.

So I'd like to submit this. That's my neighbor.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Where does he live in reference to the property?

MR. LEVENTHAL: He's 292 Breezy Way, which is on the east side. That's where the change of grade is of concern.

MEMBER HILLER: Have you agreed to do the cinderblock and the bushes?

MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, my wife and I have, after speaking to him today. He works at Associated Marble. We sat down many times and we visited with him. We're very friendly with them. And I understand his concern.

Now, during the last three months there was no runoff of any water on his property, but I do agree to putting another row of cinderblocks there, which is on my side. He cannot see it because I constructed new vinyl fence which he requested to remove his fence. So he has a beautiful vinyl fence there now, and on my side you see the blocks, not his. You don't see any cinderblocks from his side. So I do agree to put another row there and waterproof membranes on my side so there's no runoff, which there has not been any runoff to date.

MEMBER HILLER: When did you discover that you were above grade? You said after you put in the septic tanks?

MR. LEVENTHAL: I think when we started doing the retaining wall all around the back and we got to his house last, and the fence is a very tall

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fence, construction, it could have been eight feet tall. I didn't notice anything at that point.

But we noticed at the end and Gerry Castro also we

MEMBER HILLER: Did you notify Mr. Castro at that point?

talked to and he seemed to know.

MR. LEVENTHAL: I met with Mr. Castro many times. I don't remember exactly when we discussed it.

It's my understanding that CHAIRMAN KEILSON: an inspection from the Village has unearthed -- is that the correct terminology here -- unearthed the fact there was a change of grade. So it wasn't that you came and volunteered the information. was during the inspection of the Village, which is of great concern to us when people do things, and after the fact expect that the Village is going to validate it. It's not good, as Mr. Genack, I'm sure will tell you, from his long history in the Village. And the fact that there's a likelihood of success in getting the variance is really not -- it should not be an expectation automatically. There should be some discussion with the Village pre, rather than post.

MR. LEVENTHAL: We did not intentionally

change the grade. It wasn't an intentional event that we wanted to change the grade. It's just the septic tanks were in and they were covered.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Was that the only area that there was a change of grade?

MR. CASTRO: Well, near where the bamboo is in the back, and the rest of the property I guess because of that in order to maintain the natural contour and the flow of water to the end of the canal had to be modified. Which I'll add that the current conditions do permit the water to naturally flow with some very, very minor changes to the proposed existing grade.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Dr. Leventhal, I realize you're the homeowner, but I'd like to hear from your builder. He would know that he can't change the grade without permission and why was it left up to Village inspectors. Can you just explain that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For the record, state your name and address.

MR. GENACK: Evan Genack, 11 Boxwood Lane, Lawrence, New York.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You've left it for

Dr. Leventhal to explain why this was done, and perhaps it's not his understanding that he would have had to come before us. As per Mr. Keilson's explanation, you need to ask before, not ask for forgiveness. So can you just explain why this was done without coming to the Village and saying we need to do this.

MR. GENACK: Well, first of all, I don't think there was any intent of changing the grade. I don't think there was a realization that grade was changed. It was pointed out by the inspectors. However, anything that was done during the process of the construction was all done together with meetings with the neighbors and understanding how they wanted the fencing and things like that. But it was not an intentional change of grade.

MEMBER HILLER: Dr. Leventhal just said that you were digging down to the regular depth to put in the septic tanks when you realized that you hit water, and therefore you raised the septic tanks. Surely at that point you realized that you were going above grade.

MR. GENACK: So I would disagree with Dr. Leventhal, that it is true --

MEMBER HILLER: Get your story straight before you come here.

MR. GENACK: We're not trying to pull the hood over anybody's eyes here. What is true is that the water table is high over there. What is true is that the septic tanks are set higher. What is true is that when we were just naturally grading the property back there was no intention of changing grade. It was just a natural flow of how it went. It wasn't that we were intentionally trying to change the grade. Truthfully, it wasn't even in my mind when it was being backfilled. It was just the natural progression of laying the dirt back; it's a huge property. So, you know, there was no intention of trying to cause an intentional violation of change of grade in the Village.

MEMBER HILLER: So the first time you realized it was when Mr. Castro informed you?

MR. GENACK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. All right, so the Board being a hot Board has already viewed the property and discussed it. And in anticipation of the meeting there was discussion with the Village because we're certainly not equipped to opine as

to what grade is appropriate or a concern as far as neighbors and the like. And so Mr. Castro and his team have already prepared a set of recommendations which I think will be the best for all parties concerned. And if I'm not mistaken, he may have discussed it with Mr. Genack; is that correct?

MR. GENACK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So I think if you could share with us some of your comments and thoughts that we could incorporate it on the record as to what the Village expectation would be.

MR. CASTRO: As I stated previously, the proposed grade already permits the water to follow a natural contour towards the end of -- or the beginning of a canal that takes the water out into the bay, with some very, very minor changes, you're talking inches, and that could be done. That will be a site visit of the area that just needs to be changed slightly.

You did mention that you were going to put an extra row of block on the right side. Is that the intention for the entire retaining wall?

MR. GENACK: I think it just would probably be better for any -- I have not, but Dr. Leventhal

has been observing water runoff and has not really seen any. But I think it would be safer for neighbors in the event of any future potential complaints that he build up Mr. Romanelli to his right, as was asked for cinderblocks to be built up. I think it would make sense just to bring it to within inside his fence. It makes sense to build it up. This way any future potential runoff would stay on the Leventhal property.

MR. CASTRO: I think it would be a wise decision to do that. Also, on the top of the retaining wall, I'm not sure how you have it finished or if you finished it. Are you going to put come sort of coping above it so as not to leave the exposed block?

MR. GENACK: Yeah.

MR. CASTRO: That's fine. And I believe you mentioned shrubbery.

MR. LEVENTHAL: My intent is to put shrubbery all along the border between myself and Mr. Romanelli, as well as the back by the artist where the tennis court is.

MR. CASTRO: What I'd suggest is and ask to be done is that a berm, a sort of a natural berm be created when the plantings go in so as to

he will never do this again, we're going to ask

for a vote, starting with Mr. Moskowitz.

create additional water retention. 1 2 MR. GENACK: Good. 3 MR. CASTRO: Excellent. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any further questions from 4 5 the Board? (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any comment from the 7 audience? 8 9 (No response.) CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think in order to 10 11 capture what Mr. Castro has set forth, I think the Board will vote on putting the responsibility into 12 the hands of the Village to form a list of 13 14 stipulations as to what should be adhered to as 15 part of this variance. 16 Mr. Gray, are you comfortable with that? MR. GRAY: Yeah, sure. Just leave it to the 17 18 Village Building Department to create those and to 19 enforce them. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Exactly. Okay, so having 20 that in mind and taking into consideration the 21 22 benefit to the applicant, and taking into account 23 the fact that we already admonished Mr. Genack so

24

1	MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: I am for.
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.
3	MEMBER HILLER: For.
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.
5	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Felder.
7	MEMBER FELDER: For.
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. The time frame, I
9	guess a year.
10	MR. CASTRO: One year.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is that fine?
12	MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes.
13	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Will that one year give
14	them enough time with the existing permits to
15	finish, because you said you've been under
16	construction for two years.
17	MR. LEVENTHAL: Our permit was over March
18	1st, but I got a temporary CO. I'd like to get a
19	permanent CO, but we'll work on this immediately.
20	MR. CASTRO: The house is complete except for
21	the grade.
22	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So there's enough time for
23	them to finish?
24	MR. CASTRO: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

	Leventhal - 3/2//1/
1	MR. GENACK: Thank you.
2	MR. LEVENTHAL: Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
4	8:00 p.m.)
5	***************
6	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
7	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
8	minutes in this case.
9	
10	May Benci
11	MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2	BOARD OF APPEALS
3	Willers Hell
4	Village Hall 196 Central Avenue
5	Lawrence, New York
6	March 27, 2017 8:00 p.m.
7	
8	APPLICATION: Kahati 92 Bannister Lane Lawrence, New York
9	
10	PRESENT:
11	MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12	MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
14	MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15 16	MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ Member
17	MR. AARON FELDER Member
18	MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19	Village Attorney
20	MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
21	MS. DANA GARRAPUTA
22	Building Department
23	MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
24	
25	Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Kahati, 92 Bannister Lane.

Good evening, Mr. Capobianco.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: John Capobianco, architect, 159 Doughty Boulevard, Inwood, New York, here this evening for Mr. and Mrs. Kahati, the owners of the house.

What we are here for this evening is to seek a variance to construct a two-car garage which would be called a front yard. The nature of this property, it's one of those unique flag lots that were built many years ago back in Lawrence. There is a house on Bannister Lane and there's a house in front of this property, and this is the so-called flag lot, and the front yard or access to the property is down at this end, which makes it unique in the sense that, you know, it's really difficult to call it a front yard even though it is a front yard and it doesn't border a street. However, you know, it was discussed with the Building Department and Mr. Castro that we would consider that front yard, the side yard and rear yard.

The other unique thing about the property is it's in an X zone in terms of the construction of

the house that was built. It was a one-story 1 ranch that we're renovating. But the AE zone, or 2 flood zone, is about ten feet off the rear of the 3 house in the back, and the house itself is in an 4 So that was the way it had a basement. 5 However, the property drops down severely as it 6 goes to the north towards the golf course about 7 six feet to the rear, and there was a garage in 8 the back, and that garage would flood all the time 9 because it's very slow on that end. So the 10 thought was to build the garage up on the high 11 ground, which would be 28 by 28, two-car garage, 12 and we're seeking because of that a front-yard 13 variance which instead of 50 feet is 22, and a 14 front-yard setback requirement, height/setback 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ratio.

And the other issue was that when we took the area or calculated this area in the front as front yard, that impervious surfaces can only cover about 10 percent of the land, and we're covering a little more than that, it's about close to 20 percent, not much more. So you can see it was this area here that we're putting in actually a gravel, which is an open gravel, and then this is paved already. So we're trying to kill the area

#### Kahati - 3/27/17

of the driveway here, not to go down into the low end because of the flooding aspect of it. And they had an issue when Sandy came that it would saturate the low land area.

And this is the application that we're making for this particular home. It's a one-story garage, two-car wide.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So if we treat it as a side yard we would not be here tonight?

MR. CAPOBIANCO: That's correct, that's correct. And also, when you look at the photograph of where the garage will go, just to the south it's heavily, heavily buffered with trees and evergreen. It literally wouldn't be seen from the north side -- or the south of the property, it would not be seen.

MEMBER HILLER: Have you spoken to the neighbor, Mr. Abraham, who would be most affected by --

MR. FORAN: We're the neighbor on 96.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold it, hold it.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: You're the neighbor to the south.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: If you could please step forward, identify yourself for the record.

### Kahati - 3/27/17

1 MR. FORAN: Bob Foran, F-O-R-A-N, 2 96 Bannister Lane. 3 MR. CAPOBIANCO: That's this house, right? MR. FORAN: Yes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Could you show us which house. 6 7 MR. CAPOBIANCO: His house would be in the front. It's not the one on the side. It's this 8 9 one here. There's another flag lot house back 10 here. But it's this house here (indicating). MR. FORAN: So can I see? 11 12 MR. CAPOBIANCO: Here's when you get around 13 the back, this orange area would be the area where the garage is, and here's their property line in 14 the rear which has that heavy vegetation. I don't 15 think you'll see it, but it's only one story high. 16 17 MR. FORAN: Okay. 18 MR. CAPOBIANCO: That's it. So the neighbor 19 just --CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold it, hold it, hold it. 20 21 Continue. 22 MR. CAPOBIANCO: We just presented it to the neighbor the application that we're seeking. He 23 24 understands now the physical size of it and the 25 location of it, and I believe he doesn't have an

issue with it. 1 2 MR. FORAN: No. MEMBER HILLER: I wanted to ask about 3 Mr. Abraham. When he opens his front door and now 4 5 this garage is protruding almost to -- if not almost to his front door, but it's certainly very 6 7 visible from his front door. MR. CAPOBIANCO: Which house is that? 8 MEMBER HILLER: The house to the right. 9 10 MR. CAPOBIANCO: This one here, up here? MEMBER HILLER: The one --11 MR. CAPOBIANCO: As you drive down the 12 13 driveway there's a house there. MEMBER HILLER: The one with the shared 14 15 driveway. 16 MR. CAPOBIANCO: This front door is facing This is to the --17 north. 18 MEMBER HILLER: Yes, but his front door, when he opens the door that the garage will be quite 19 visible to him. 20 21 MR. CAPOBIANCO: This garage? 22 MEMBER HILLER: Yeah. 23 MR. CAPOBIANCO: From this front door here? 24 MEMBER HILLER: Yeah. 25 MR. CAPOBIANCO: How is it quite visible?

You would have to turn and look westward to see 1 2 it. MEMBER HILLER: Not everybody just walks 3 straight ahead. Sometimes people walk right and 4 left. 5 MR. CAPOBIANCO: The only time the garage is 6 7

visible is if you're driving into his driveway or out.

MEMBER HILLER: The question is have you spoken to Mr. Abraham.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: No, he didn't.

MR. KAHATI: No, I didn't.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CAPOBIANCO: I don't know if he's here this evening.

MEMBER HILLER: The other question I have is, aren't most two-car garages 20 by 20?

MR. CAPOBIANCO: No, that's the minimum two-car garage, but a lot of two-car garages are two-car, plus storage and a walkway. But, you know, 24 is the standard, 28 is a large one. It's a two and a half car almost, but it's really for storage and for, you know, bringing in longer vehicles in the back with storage in the back.

MEMBER FELDER: What are you doing with the existing two-car garage that's there in the

1 picture?

MR. CAPOBIANCO: We're closing that up. The existing garage which you enter now from the back is being closed up with a foundation wall, waterproofed and turn into basement. It's going to be part of the basement.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any additional questions from the Board? Any comments from the audience?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So taking into consideration and considering the fact that this really should be, you know, a side yard as opposed to a front yard, the only reason you're here tonight is because of definition of it being the front, we'll vote accordingly. But the benefit to the applicant I think certainly outweighs any detriment to anyone in the vicinity, and concerns that we might have anyway.

Mr. Moskowitz.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: I'm for.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.

MEMBER HILLER: For.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Felder.

1	MEMBER FELDER: For.
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So how much time?
3	MR. CAPOBIANCO: Two years.
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Two years?
5	MR. CAPOBIANCO: Yeah, two years is fine.
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You can have two years.
7	MR. GRAY: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I did
8	have a question, if I may. I know the hearing is
9	over.
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's okay.
11	MR. GRAY: I fell asleep.
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sorry we're so dull.
13	MR. GRAY: The garage that you had mentioned
14	that floods, that was closer towards the
15	MR. CAPOBIANCO: See, what happens is the
16	ground from the this is on the north side
17	facing the golf course. So what happens is the
18	grade drops down about six feet, and this is the
19	garage that was there, so we want to close these
20	up.
21	MR. GRAY: That was my question. They were
22	there and they're going to be gone?
23	MR. CAPOBIANCO: We're going to take them
24	away to replace it with the two-car garage on top.
25	MR. CASTRO: John, I'm going to ask that this

application go before the Board of Building Design. MR. CAPOBIANCO: We have to go before the Board of Building Design. Right now we'll match the siding that's on the house. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 8:10 p.m.) \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case. Mary Benci MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter 

1	INCO	RPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue
4		Lawrence, New York
5		March 27, 2017
6		8:12 p.m.
7	APPLICATION:	Sharaby
8	ALL DIOMITON.	375 Kenridge Road Lawrence, New York
9		Hawlende, non 1911
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
15		MR. ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ
16		Member
17		MR. AARON FELDER Member
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
21		MS. DANA GARRAPUTA
22		Building Department
23		MR. DANNY VACCHIO Building Department
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

1	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Sharaby,
2	375 Kenridge Road.
3	MR. BISCONE: Craig G. Biscone, 1399 Franklin
4	Avenue, Garden City, New York, attorney for the
5	applicant, Robert Sharaby, 375 Kenridge Road,
6	Lawrence.
7	MR. SHARABY: Robert Sharaby, 375 Kenridge
8	Road, Lawrence.
9	MR. BISCONE: I'm going to be as concise as
10	possible. I now you had a long evening.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Actually, a very short
12	evening. We were looking forward to a very long
13	evening.
14	Mr. Gray.
15	MR. GRAY: I had an off-the-record
16	conversation with Mr. Biscone.
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Why don't you go on the
18	record and share with him the information that's
19	come to our attention so we don't have to belabor
20	a presentation unnecessarily.
21	MR. GRAY: I brought to Mr. Biscone's
22	attention a couple of things. One, that his
23	client had not that it has any impact
24	necessarily on this Board's decision, but just

factually, historically, the neighbor had filed an

Article 78 proceeding a couple of years ago against the Village. Mr. Sharaby had been seeking the Village to deem or to abandon what's known as this paper road which runs between his property and the neighbor to the right, for lack of a better word, the Parnes property. He had been seeking that it be deemed abandoned and to transfer the property, or half of the property anyway, from the center line of this paper road to himself, even by purchase or just by transferring it over to him.

The Village at that time had taken the position that they were not interested in abandoning property or transferring property even if it were surplus, and the Village had written such a letter to Mr. Sharaby.

Mr. Sharaby had initiated an Article 78

proceeding in which the Court agreed with him that the letter was what the Court called arbitrary and capricious because it did not spell out and identify the rationale behind the Village's determination not to abandon and transfer the property. So that Article 78 was not appealed, and the Village followed up with another letter to Mr. Sharaby giving greater detail. And I don't

have a copy of that letter in front of me, but it took the position that although this is Village property, the Village is not interested in abandoning or selling the property because at this point it's not surplus and the Village could have a potential, current, or future use for it because this paper road goes from Kenridge Road right through the properties to the Village golf course. So it could be used theoretically in the future for ingress or egress to the golf course. So that letter had been sent out and there was no further action with respect to Mr. Sharaby and the Village.

And Counsel, if I'm misstating any of the history, please feel free to jump in.

The second thing I had shared with

Mr. Biscone is two letters that we had received

that I have only seen today for the first time

from a title company called Barristers Abstract

Corporation; one appears to be dated January 6th,

2005, and the second one dated September 10th,

2010. And it appears from these two limited

documents that I see the title company was

retained by the Parneses, which is the Sharaby

neighbor to the right, and that title company has

taken the position that in fact it's not a Village owned paper road, but it's a private road. And according to this document, it's their position that the road is in fact owned down the center line 50 percent by the Parneses, 50 percent by the Sharabys, yet they each retain an easement over the entire width of that private road; and therefore, according to this document Mr. Sharaby already owns half of that private road, what is alleged to be a private road.

Again, I've seen these documents for the first time today. I'm not agreeing with them.

I'm not necessarily disagreeing with them. I just haven't investigated them.

Also, I informed Mr. Biscone, and I don't know if Mr. Sharaby is aware of this, over the last few months the Village has given a direction to its staff to look at all Village properties to find out and identify any properties which might be deemed surplus properties and determine whether or not the Village does not have a use for that property and might want to transfer that property to private citizens, whether it be somebody who owns contiguous property or someone else. The Village is pursuing this in such a way that they

actually went out and hired an appraiser to appraise approximately 20 to 30 parcels of land. Mr. Castro, if you remember the number.

MR. CASTRO: Correct.

MR. GRAY: It was about 20 to 30 parcels of land to come up with an appraisal. My office has been directed to prepare a protocol for the offering of these surplus -- or parcels that will be deemed surplus -- they have not been deemed surplus yet -- offering them for sale, and the whole protocol for people to acquire those properties. We're in the process of doing it. We haven't done it yet. And it's my understanding that this, what we call a paper road and what the Parneses' title company has called a private road, is one of the parcels that's been identified.

So it's my understanding that if Mr. Sharaby either currently owned half of this parcel or were to purchase half of this parcel, it might eliminate the need for any variances for this three-car garage that he's seeking on the property.

So with that said, that's just a little bit of the background as I'm familiar with it. I'm not in a position right now to give a legal

opinion as to whether I believe this is a private road or a paper road. In the past we have taken the position that it is a paper road that is owned by the Village. But based on this new information that's presented to me I'd like to do further research. I wouldn't want to go down a road where the Village is selling something that we in fact don't own.

MR. BISCONE: No pun intended.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Mr. Castro, is that right though, that if in fact the road is partially owned by both of the adjacent homeowners that that would eliminate the need for a variance in connection with the application?

MR. CASTRO: The paper mapped road would have to be dissolved and incorporated into the property. As long as there's a private or public road either developed or undeveloped it's, just as a technicality, considered a corner lot and thereby needing a variance.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: So it would still need a variance under those circumstances or not?

MR. CASTRO: If it were a private road, yes.

Again, it would have to be dissolved and that

25 feet of the paper mapped road would have to be

incorporated into each survey.

MR. GRAY: But, I'm sorry, sir. If that 50-foot-wide road, private or paper road, is split, and actually given or sold to the two neighbors and they are merged with their current main lots, there will be no corner property. It will just be two houses next to each other on Kenridge Road. And that would probably eliminate the need for a variance if that were to happen.

MEMBER HILLER: Would they have to eliminate the easements also? Wouldn't they have to agree that the easements cease?

MR. GRAY: I would -- I don't want to answer that because I don't know if either of the property owners would have an easement of necessity to access any of their property. I'm not familiar enough with the property to answer that question.

MEMBER HILLER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Biscone.

MR. BISCONE: I think it's important to understand what the application is not about, as much as it is what it is about. It's not about asking this Board to make a determination of a 50-foot-wide roadway. It's a paper road. Counsel

will see the tax map. There is no tax lot number. The Village owns it, it's clear. The title company's letter refers to an easement of ingress and egress. That's very different than an easement over someone else's property.

And just stepping back, counsel will agree with me that we wouldn't be here if it wasn't a paper road owned by the Village. That's why the Building Department called it a corner lot.

That's why we need front-yard relief.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yeah, but I'm listening to counsel for the Board of Zoning Appeals. He's not in a position right now to suggest whether it is owned or not owned by the Village.

MR. BISCONE: Correct. And we're not asking you to make a determination. We're talking about just this --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But if it's not owned by the Village and it's owned by the respective parties, then it renders moot or different, meaning why would you want to discuss a variance on something that may not require a variance or may require a different variance?

MR. BISCONE: I'll tell you why. Because we have standard language in any deed. Deeds, the

parcel, which is described, usually a rectangle, in this case somewhat trapezius shaped. Plus in addition to that, the right of the grantor to the center line of the road, right of the grantor, if any. In this case we have a tax map that shows the two respective parcels separated by 50 feet with no tax lot number. The Village owns it. But be that as it may, we're talking about --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's deal with that conclusion you just said. Is it absolutely clear that the Village owns it or is it subject now to a question because of these new documents that have just come to our attention?

MR. BISCONE: We have yet to examine the documents then. The document says what?

MR. GRAY: Well, the document speaks for itself. The question was the Village has taken the position over the last couple of years and during the litigation, and it's consistent with Mr. Sharaby's position, that the Village owns this 50-foot road. We agree with that. But what I've been presented with today, and for the first time I'm seeing this title company's position that in fact it's not owned by the Village, and that's their opinion.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: But wouldn't we want to have it clarified as to who owns what?

MR. GRAY: I certainly would like to have it clarified.

MEMBER FELDER: Where did that title company get this?

MR. SHARABY: With all due respect, I've been going through this for twelve, thirteen years. It took eight years for the Village to say that they owned it. Now, I have no place to park. I have six kids, three of which are still home. On Shabbat there is nowhere to park. We park on the grass. We park in neighbors' driveways. And all I keep doing is getting stalled on getting a variance and different excuses. It's not fair. I've been there for thirty years.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm not understanding as to what -- this is the first time it's before us.

MR. BISCONE: That's fine.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: This is the first time these documents have come to the fore, and it's a question as to who owns what. And the fact that he's waited all these years, why couldn't he wait another month for it to be clarified so we'll know exactly what we're making a decision about.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: I also have another question with regard to the question of waiting, and that is you say you've been at this for a long time. I appreciate that, although the Chairman is indicating that it's the first time that it's been before us, but I understand you've been concerned about this for a long time and have a prior history here with respect to these issues.

When was the last time that you consulted with your neighbor, Mr. Parnes, regarding the paper road that would be implicated by this application?

MR. SHARABY: He was not interested in discussing it. His answer to me when I approached him was: I know people on the Board. You're never going to live long enough to get this variance.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: When was the last time that you consulted with him?

MR. SHARABY: Several years ago.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: So you've presented this application to the Board now and have not consulted with your neighbor about this?

MR. SHARABY: No.

MR. BISCONE: Correct.

MR. SHARABY: There's nothing to consult with him. The Village owns it.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Let me ask you something. The paper road, Oceanview Avenue, just so I want to understand the application a little better, to enter into your new proposed driveway and garage, you would enter it -- there are two ways to enter it; is that right? You could enter it via the paper road and make a left into it, or as you would enter it through the new asphalt driveway that you have here; is that right? I just want to make sure I understand it.

MR. BISCONE: There's an existing driveway that's there on the paper road that services 373, the adjacent property.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: What we are calling a paper road, that is being utilized as a shared driveway right now.

MR. BISCONE: No, it's not. It's exclusive to the adjoining neighbor.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: He parks on there is my understanding.

MR. SHARABY: I do park on there.

MR. BISCONE: You park there?

MR. SHARABY: Yeah.

MR. BISCONE: I stand corrected. 1 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Counsel, do you want to go 2 over with your client to get the correct 3 information? 4 MR. BISCONE: You know what, I don't think it 5 matters. I think what matters is that we're 6 asking for relief which is being required because 7 8 that is a corner lot when it's really not. MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: I just want to understand 9 it though. Wouldn't you have to drive to get to 10 the new driveway to the garage? 11 MR. SHARABY: I have to go up Oceanview, yes. 12 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: You would have to go up 13 Oceanview. 14 15 MR. SHARABY: Yes. MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: So you would have like a 16 cut in Oceanview, correct, to get into there? 17 MR. SHARABY: Yes. 18 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: And is there also a second 19 way to get there via what's being labeled as the 20 new asphalt driveway? Where does that lead out 21 Does that also lead into Oceanview itself as 22

MR. SHARABY: Yes.

23

24

25

well?

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Is it a second cut on

Oceanview Avenue? 1 MR. SHARABY: No. 2 MR. BISCONE: There's only 18 and a half feet 3 between what's proposed and the property line, 4 which is the 50-foot-wide road. That's the 5 front-yard relief that's being sought. 6 7 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Where does the new asphalt driveway lead to? What's being called the new 8 asphalt driveway, what does it lead to? It leads 9 into Oceanview Avenue? 10 MR. BISCONE: It leads to Kenridge via 11 Oceanview, correct. 12 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: So when someone drives 13 down what I'll call the shared driveway, could you 14 make a left into the new asphalt driveway? 15 MR. SHARABY: Yes. 16 MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: And you could also make a 17 left subsequent further down into the new asphalt 18 drive; is that right? 19 MR. SHARABY: Yes. 20 MR. BISCONE: I don't know. 21 MR. SHARABY: It's the same curb cut. 22 MEMBER HILLER: Have you ever brought an 23 action before the Zoning Board before? 24

25

MR. SHARABY:

No.

1	MEMBER HILLER: So what were you doing during
2	those twelve or thirty years that you've been
3	trying to
4	MR. SHARABY: Oh, I did. I got denied.
5	MEMBER HILLER: You brought an action before
6	the Zoning Board previously, before the Zoning
7	Board previously?
8	MR. SHARABY: Well, I applied for a permit.
9	MEMBER HILLER: You applied for a permit for
10	what?
11	MR. BISCONE: Did you appear before the Board
12	is the question.
13	MR. SHARABY: No.
14	MEMBER HILLER: So though you were suffering,
15	you never really went to the Village. How did you
16	go to the Village if not coming to the Zoning
17	Board?
18	MR. BISCONE: The Building Department,
19	correct?
20	MR. SHARABY: Yes, through the Building
21	Department.
22	MEMBER HILLER: So if the Building Department
23	denied you, then you could have come to the Zoning
24	Board, let's say, twelve years ago, for instance.
25	MR. BISCONE: What did you go for the permit

for is the question. 1 MR. SHARABY: To build the garage. MEMBER HILLER: Twelve years ago? 3 MR. SHARABY: Yes. Well, that, and I tried 4 to buy the paper street. 5 MR. BISCONE: Which is not a Zoning Board 6 7 matter. MR. SHARABY: Which they said they didn't 8 want to sell it. 9 MEMBER HILLER: Frankly, Mr. Sharaby, I 10 really -- Sharaby, are you related to 11 Yoel Sharaby? 12 13 MR. SHARABY: Yes. MEMBER HILLER: He's one of my favorite 14 singers. Does anybody else know him? 15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes. 16 MEMBER HILLER: Okay. While I have 17 18 tremendous sympathy --CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So now you have to recuse 19 20 yourself. MEMBER HILLER: I have tremendous sympathy 21 for your position, and I admire your patience all 22 these years. I think the fact that we have new 23 material in front of us which really precludes us 24

from making a judgment today because it may be in

your favor, you may not even need us. You've waited for twelve years. I think you can wait another few weeks till our attorney is able to determine exactly what is the status of that paper road. You very well might be as of right be able to build your garage.

MR. BISCONE: Again, he can't build it as of right unless he owned 25 feet of it.

MEMBER HILLER: He may own 25 feet.

MR. BISCONE: I guarantee you, sir, he does not.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We still have to resolve that because of the letter we received.

MR. BISCONE: But again, I'm respectfully suggesting you don't have to resolve that.

Because whether or not he owns it, we're asking for relief for a so-called corner lot that's not a corner lot, and we're asking for aggregate side-yard relief when the side-yard restriction is to keep appropriate distances between houses.

MEMBER HILLER: Sir, a variance is only given when there's no other way to satisfy a person's dire need. We all agree that Mr. Sharaby has a need, and we all sympathize with it. However, there's a possibility that he can attain that need

without a variance. If there's a possibility he can attain it without a variance, you have to give us time to look at the facts and see what we're discussing.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: If I might suggest to give us a moment, pause, and let's see if we can ask Mr. Parnes who is here this evening. We can ask him to step forward and share with us where this information has been that appears the night of the hearing.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: And I would also ask him to offer his thoughts on the application as well, not limited just to the question that's been posed.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For the record, your name and address.

MR. PARNES: Aaron Parnes, 373 Kenridge Road.

I purchased this property some 17 years ago, and it's the only driveway to the property, and at the time it was conveyed to me as the easement for this property and as the only means to enter into my driveway or into my garage. At that time

Mr. Sharaby lived next-door, and for 40 years prior to me buying it the Barons lived there and they had only used this driveway and it was only

used by this property. As a matter of fact, all the snow removal and any maintenance on this property was done solely for 40 years by the Barons, and solely by me for the past 17 years that I've been there.

Mr. Sharaby has a driveway on the other side of his property and --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What prompted you -- or what precipitated these letters?

MR. PARNES: When Mr. Sharaby had started to do work without a permit or various things, I called the Building Department to stop what he was doing.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: What year, Mr. Parnes, approximately?

MR. PARNES: This was maybe five years -- ten years ago maybe, 2005 was the first time that they got the letter. I had an attorney at the time by the name of William Bonesso that represented me here in front of the Building Department and in front of the Village at the time, and they ruled in fact that he was not allowed to make a curb cut into the property, and the Village agreed with that.

Then as recently as I guess -- I wasn't aware

that he brought an action to the Village, but all 1 of a sudden from the Village I got a violation 2 that the pillars on the side of this driveway, 3 which says 373 on both sides, which was there for 4 40 years prior to me buying, I may have replaced 5 them, but they were there when I purchased the 6 property, that the pillars had to come down 7 because they weren't built with a permit. And I 8 had a basketball court at the end of the driveway 9 that was cemented in, and that had to come down. 10 We spoke the Village at that time and we agreed to 11 take down the basketball court, but as we were 12 able to prove that the pillars were actually 13 installed prior to there being a Building 14 Department in the Village of Lawrence, therefore 15 Judge Buckholder ruled in favor that not only that 16 they stay because they were there beforehand, he 17 actually liked them and didn't think they should 18 come down. 19

Mr. Sharaby takes things into his own hands, and I have just small things, like when he raised the grade on the other side of the property over this past year and dumped a lot of dirt over there, it didn't affect me. I didn't call the Village. It didn't bother me. Live and let live.

20

21

22

23

24

front of the Village at the time, in front of the Building Department. We were here on hearings numerous times. It was sent to the Building Department, which he had the letters. He had them. But, you know, I just wanted to maintain my easement which I owned over the entire thing.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Did you hear recently that the Village was considering selling it?

MR. PARNES: I only heard that here tonight.

MR. GRAY: You want to buy it?

MR. PARNES: I originally had approached actually the Village prior to, and they said they don't sell anything. I approached back when Mayor Oliner was here. He said we don't sell any Village property.

MEMBER HILLER: What did you do when you discovered that you actually owned the 25 feet and that Mr. Sharaby owned 25 feet?

MR. PARNES: I thought that it was that way, that we both had an easement. I know that it was transferred to me in my deed, and I have title insurance that I have an easement. He has never shown me or anyone that he has in his deed that he actually has an easement that was conveyed to him when he purchased the property. At some point in

time they built a driveway on the other side of 1 his house so that he has no need to use the driveway that I use, and it was sectioned off with trees down the driveway all the way through, up until this year when he hired a truck to take them 5 all down and I called the Village and supposedly 6 the Mayor said they were going to issue him a 7 summons, but I don't know if they did, and it 8 doesn't bother me if they didn't, but I'd just 9 like to get the trees back up. 10

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The focus is that I wanted to know the source of the letters and why it didn't come up before and why Mr. Sharaby or his attorney was not familiar with it.

MR. PARNES: He had a previous attorney, Mr. Sharaby. I forgot the name of his attorney. But he had sent a letter and they were negotiating with my attorney, Mr. Bonesso, and we made offers, various different offers to him, and each time he refused to accept the offers, and we actually have them in writing. If need be, I would bring Mr. Bonesso. I'd be happy to have Mr. Bonesso talk to his new attorney, like he talked to his previous attorney to see what we can do.

I understand that he has a lot of cars, and I

where it wouldn't be -- you know, you know, harm

me. I need to get into the driveway that way.

That is the only way I can get into my driveway.

My son is a volunteer firefighter, and if the driveway is blocked -- for the Village of

Lawrence/Cedarhurst -- there's no way he's coming out and going to a fire. I need that driveway and that's why there's an easement over both sides.

Now, if there's a way that I could agree with him where he can have -- I don't mind if he has one type of way to get in if it's -- you know, as long as we separate the properties, let him live on his side, let me live on my side, I don't mind. But the attorneys have to come to an agreement and it should be fair to both sides.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: All right. Thank you very, very much, appreciate it.

Independent of, you know, the warm words of suggestion working with the neighbors, which is something we always encourage, it's always appropriate and certainly would be appropriate here, I think for the Board the question of the ownership I think is a prerequisite to us taking into consideration any variances. And I think at

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

this time and in terms of the position of the other Board members, and certainly with legal counsel already advising us on this, I think it would be inappropriate for us to take it up tonight to discuss variances. And we'll take a vote on that and ask -- you know, we urge you to consider the adjournment. But I think independent of that you should have some meetings between the parties and their counsel to see if an accommodation can come about after there's a resolution of who owns what.

MR. BISCONE: I respect what you're saying,
Mr. Chairman. I think it's inappropriate not to
hear the application, since he waited just like
everybody that presented here tonight. I wish
Mr. Bonesso was here. In fact, I'm going to call
him on my cell phone on the way home, because he
and I have resolved problems a lot bigger than
this.

But if he was here, I propose that he's going to concur that the Village owns the property, that the deed that we have and that Mr. Parnes has deeds to the center line if the grantor owns it, but they don't. And if in fact it was deeded to the center line and everybody owns half, why are

4 5

those pillars that were there, maybe I replaced them, they're new pillars, new driveway, why is that on the 25-foot portion adjacent to Mr. Sharaby's property, not the next-door neighbor's property? All things to consider.

But if -- and there is no easement. You're going to find that out as well. The title search will show a right of ingress and egress, that's what it says. Not a recorded easement. Just because you have an easement, you have the right to traverse it. You don't have the right to build on it. You don't have the right to construct.

In fact, this Board, if the neighbor came to this Board and said I want a variance to put these two pillars on this roadway, this private roadway, you don't have the authority because he doesn't own it, it's not on his deed, plain and simple.

I'm not going to convince you otherwise. I would have appreciated the chance to make the presentation tonight, but if it has to wait a month so be it. But anybody that comes in with an allegation should not be bringing a photocopy of a letter from a title company or an abstract company that's twelve years old. It should be a copy of

the recorded easement from the Nassau County clerks office, or a copy of the Village map that's clearly going to show the Village does own this property.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Can I ask you a question.

Is your client still going to seek to park -- if
the application were to be granted, is your client
still going to seek to have the right not only to
park, of course, in the ample new space on his
property that the driveway will now have, but also
continue to park on the paper street?

MR. BISCONE: I don't think anybody is allowed to park on a paper street because that's Village property.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: He parks all the time on there. He told you that himself. So my question is --

MR. BISCONE: I heard him say he has parked there, yes. But I don't think anybody should be parking on there. I think you should park on your own property because that's what the law says.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: So your answer is yes,

your client would relinquish whatever right -- or

he may not even have the right but he would agree

to relinquish the parking right, if it exists, on

# Oceanview Avenue?

MR. BISCONE: Yes, and every resident should, because that's the law. You're not allowed to park on a street whether it's paper or otherwise.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: I would suggest you confer with -- that the two neighbors confer with each other because there could be some consensual resolution at hand, and I think the record shows that that consultation has not occurred yet which is unfortunate.

MR. BISCONE: We still need this Board to get permission to build the garage.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: Understood.

MR. BISCONE: Even if the paper street issue was resolved, even if a reality check kicked in big time, aggregate plot width is still an issue. And it's a de minimis variance.

MEMBER MOSKOWITZ: I'd be inclined to deny the application tonight because the consultation hasn't occurred, if you were forcing us to go forward. So I would suggest that consultation occurred between the neighbors.

MR. BISCONE: I don't need to be kicked in the head twice, it's okay.

Thank you, gentlemen.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So we adjourn the matter, happily or unhappily. MR. BISCONE: The latter. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The good news is you're walking out without a declination. MR. BISCONE: Thank you. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 8:42 p.m.) \*\*\*\*\*\*\* Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case. May Benci MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter