|     | 1   | INCOF        | RPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE                             |
|-----|-----|--------------|--|
|     | 2   | В            | OARD OF BUILDIND DESIGN                                  |
|     | 3   |              |  |
|     | 4   |              | Village Hall<br>196 Central Avenue<br>Lawrence, New York |
|     | . 5 |              |  |
| × • | 6   |              | June 7, 2010<br>7:25 p.m.                                |
|     | 7   |              |  |
| ',ů | 8   | APPLICATION: | Rokosz<br>50 Meadow Lane                                 |
|     | 9.  |              | Lawrence, New York                                       |
|     | 10  | PRESENT:     |  |
|     | 11  |              | MR. BENJAMIN SPORN<br>Chairman                           |
|     | 12  |              | Chairman   |
|     | 13  |              | MS. BARBARA KUPFERSTEIN<br>Member                        |
|     | 14  |              | MS. RONNI BERMAN<br>Member                               |
|     | 15  |              |  |
|     | 16  |              | MR. BARRY POMERANTZ<br>Member                            |
|     | 17  |              | MS. SHOSHANA WEINSTOCK<br>Member                         |
| :#  | 18  |              | MD DONALD GOLDMAN EGO                                    |
| 4   | 19  |              | MR. RONALD GOLDMAN, ESQ.<br>Village Attorney             |
|     | 20  |              | MR. TOM RIZZO Building Department                        |
|     | 21  |              |  |
|     | 22  |              | MS. GAIL DANIELS<br>Building Department                  |
|     | 23  |              |  |
|     | 24  |              | Mary Benci, RPR  |
|     | 25  |              | Court Reporter   |

|     | 1  | MR. RIZZO: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to provide       |
|-----|----|--|
|     | 2  | proof of posting for tonight's meeting (handing).  |
|     | 3  | CHAIRMAN SPORN: May 4th, 2010, Village of          |
|     | 4  | Lawrence meeting notice. Please be advised that    |
|     | 5  | the next meeting of the Board of Building Design   |
| r   | 6  | of the Incorporated Village of Lawrence will be    |
| 4   | 7  | held on Monday, June 7th, 2010, beginning at 7:15  |
| ×   | 8  | p.m. at the Lawrence Village Hall.                 |
|     | 9  | MR. RIZZO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.                |
|     | 10 | Mr. Chairman, on the agenda tonight we have        |
|     | 11 | several new applications and old applications, and |
|     | 12 | we have one application for a public hearing.      |
|     | 13 | Would you like to make a motion to take the public |
|     | 14 | hearing first?                                     |
|     | 15 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: Yes, please.                       |
|     | 16 | MR. RIZZO: Anyone like to make a motion to         |
|     | 17 | take   |
| •   | 18 | MEMBER POMERANTZ: I'll make the motion.            |
| , i | 19 | MR. RIZZO: And a second?                           |
|     | 20 | MEMBER BERMAN: (Indicating.)                       |
|     | 21 | MR. RIZZO: Mrs. Berman.                            |
|     | 22 | The public hearing tonight is the application      |
|     | 23 | for 50 Meadow Lane. If the applicant or their      |
|     | 24 | representative would like to step forward.         |
|     | 25 | Would you like to identify yourself for the        |

record, please.

MR. ROKOSZ: Shrage, S-H-R-A-G-E, Rokosz, R-O-K-O-S-Z.

MR. RIZZO: Mr. Rokosz, you are the property owner?

MR. ROKOSZ: Yes.

MR. RIZZO: If you'd like to present.

MR. GOLDMAN: Mr. Chairman, if I just might put on the record so there's no confusion that this is a public meeting. It's a public meeting. This is a public hearing. The Board meets pursuant to the Open Meetings Law and meets publicly, and this is specifically a public hearing in which it's being recorded pursuant to the statute as it governs the Board of Building Design.

Anyone in the audience should be aware of the fact that the Board gets each and every one of these applications substantially in advance, and while they don't meet collectively, they do as individuals frequently -- well, they do completely investigate the matter, some go for on-site visits. The reason that I'm mentioning this is that you will find that the questions that are going to be directed to you at this public meeting

and some you'll see the procedure during the course of the remainder of the meeting you'll see that the Board will focus in on specific issues.

They're not giving anybody short shrift in any fashion, but what they're doing is having gone over it they're just focusing in on the salient points.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN: You may proceed.

MR. ROKOSZ: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the Board. I'm a resident of this Village. I, along with my wife and three children, we live at 50 Meadow Lane. I'm here requesting that this Board grant my driveway application.

I've been informed that this issue was previously brought before this Board at the April 2010 meeting. I'd like to note that I intended to present my case at that meeting, but due to a miscommunication with the Building Department as to the correct date of such meeting and since I received no written notice for such meeting, I was not aware and present for such meeting. In light of the above, I would ask that this meeting be

-

()

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

deemed my first meeting and that I be afforded all my rights as if this were my first meeting.

That being said, I previously submitted a letter to the Board dated April 12th, 2010. Ι'd like to offer a copy of that as Exhibit A (handing).

MR. GOLDMAN: It's Applicant's 1, actually, and I'm just noting it, handwriting it. What's being provided is a -- oops, by the way, did you know that you gave me two items, A and B?

MR. ROKOSZ: No, not yet.

MR. GOLDMAN: It's a two-page letter. unsigned, but I assume it's being passed up to the Board for its review.

MR. ROKOSZ: It's a copy. I can sign it again.

MR. GOLDMAN: Not a problem. What about the second item, do you want that too?

MR. ROKOSZ: I'll get to it.

As I point out in my letter, I live on Meadow Lane, and Meadow Lane is an extremely dangerous Meadow Lane is a county road. It's the road. main road for residents and traffic to and from back Lawrence, Harborview, Atlantic Beach, Long Beach, Far Rockaway, and it has a disproportionate

share of commercial vehicles, including vans, delivery rucks, taxis and school buses.

Meadow Lane is a known speedway. As support, I have an affidavit from the Fourth Precinct Police Auxiliary Unit confirming the danger and heavy

In addition to being a heavily traveled road,

traffic on Meadow Lane (handing).

the Board in a second.

Applicant's number 2 and it will be provided to

MR. GOLDMAN: I'm just noting that it's

MR. ROKOSZ: I have it marked as Exhibit B on the bottom.

MR. GOLDMAN: Thank you.

MR. ROKOSZ: I'd like to note that they confirm my statements made above, with special emphasis acknowledging the fact that Meadow Lane is recognized as a known speedway and that my home in particular is located on one of the most dangerous locations on Meadow Lane. And while it's only recently become feasible for me to install the circular driveway, they confirm that I've been reporting about the danger for some time, and that in an effort to deter speeding in front of my home the police have been placing speed radar indicators yards away from my home and

placing a stage patrol car on the corner of

Dogwood and Meadow which is also yards away from

my home, all in an effort to reduce the speeding

Increasing the danger of the road is the fact that Meadow Lane is curvy and has no stop signs or traffic lights. I'd like to note that my home is located at the center of the curve making it among the most dangerous locations on the road.

I have another exhibit, Exhibit C (handing).

MR. GOLDMAN: Applicant's 3.

MR. ROKOSZ: Exhibit C is a picture of the road as seen by a driver coming down Meadow Lane around the curve. I'd like to note that the picture was taken approximately 200 feet from my home and that my driveway or home is not visible at all to oncoming traffic. Moreover, my home does not face other homes but faces the backyard of homes whose entrances are located on Merrill Drive. Those homes have fences which are over ten feet high, plus overgrown trees and shrubbery that darken the road providing even less visibility to drivers driving around the curve. I have a picture. It's marked Defendant's Exhibit D-1 and Exhibit D-2 (handing).

on the road.

.

MR. GOLDMAN: That's four.

2

MR. ROKOSZ: I'm sure the Board is aware that

3

a child riding a bicycle was recently hit by a

4

driver.

5

Next, I'm submitting a picture marked Exhibit

6

It's a view from the road from inside my car

7

when another car is parked on the street

8

(handing). As can be plainly seen, the view of

9

oncoming cars coming around the curve is

10

completely blocked. We cannot see oncoming

11

traffic, and the oncoming traffic cannot see us

12

pulling out of the driveway. In fact, as can be

13

seen from the picture, my car is already in the

14

street before one can begin to see the oncoming

I'm also submitting a picture marked as

Exhibit F of the view facing the other direction

(handing). Similarly, it can be seen that my

neighbor has large trees which are blocking the

15

traffic.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

view of traffic and making the -- and the ability of oncoming drivers to see us pulling out of the driveway is similarly blocked. Next, I'm submitting as Exhibit G-1 through

three, these are pictures taken in front of my home and show the regular summer beach traffic

б

which backs up bumper-to-bumper from the traffic light on Meadow Lane and stretches past my home.

I direct your attention specifically to G-1, where you could see the arrow showing my home and the traffic in front of it. Also, I have pictures from my home all the way up to the traffic light which is shown in G-2 and G-3 where it's backed up bumper-to-bumper. I note that this traffic runs directly in front of my home and driveway.

MR. GOLDMAN: Excuse me. The record should reflect that the Board has been reviewing all the applicant's exhibits as submitted.

MR. ROKOSZ: In preparing for this meeting, I've reviewed and listened to several audiotapes of the meetings regarding the Moskowitz application and the ultimate approval of their circular driveway at 30 Margaret Avenue and references to the Bernsteins residing 240 Broadway where the driveway is located on Rosalind Place. In reviewing those documents and in speaking with all the personnel at the Building Department I've become aware that since the year 2000 the Board is using a guideline of 50 feet from the curb to the front of the house, and 68 feet in width for the property, and that the Board is reluctant to grant

circular driveway applications as the Board feels that the presence of circular driveways somehow detracts from the beauty or character of the neighborhood.

To address that issue I note the following:

(A) I have more than enough lot coverage required under the existing zoning laws for the circular driveway I'm requesting. I'm submitting marked as Exhibit H a copy of my property survey which was reviewed, marked and approved by Mr. Mike Ryder, the Building Department Superintendent. I note I have approximately 1,250 square feet of lot coverage available. It's enough to cover my entire front yard in concrete.

(B) Also, I'd like to note the guidelines are

not laws but merely guidelines. The Board of
Trustees in their January 2009 meeting stated:
That the guidelines were never adopted by the
Board. It's not a Village law. To continue: By
definition, it's not a law, it's a guideline.
They noted that it's not even a written guideline,
but merely an abstract and that you cannot expect
anyone to follow or challenge a mere abstract as
no proof is provided to support the guideline.

The sentiment was repeated by the Board in

their February 2009 meeting when they stated:
It's only a quideline, not a strict rule.

2

Also, in speaking with the Building

Department it appears that the guideline was

4

presented to the Mayor and the Board for approval

6

5

some time ago, but was rejected by the Mayor and

7

Board as they had comments and recommended changes

8

to the guidelines which remain open till this day.

9

situation, from the audiotapes it's clear that the

(C) More importantly, relating to my

11

position of both the Board of Building Design and

12

the Board of Trustees has consistently been that

13

the guidelines do not apply at all when safety is

14

\_ \_ |

an issue.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I support -- I note that in the January 2009
meeting the Board of Trustees stated: That
exceptions to any guideline is appropriate for a
driveway, and I quote, "on a high traffic street
where it really is a safety concern." To that end
I note that the Board specifically recognized the
safety issues on Meadow Lane, and I quote, the
Board stated: "I could imagine a house on
Broadway or a house that literally empties onto
Meadow Lane, emphasis added, or Rockaway Turnpike,
and continues, that all three are high traffic

roads and would require more flexibility,
absolutely."

The Board of Trustees specifically

acknowledged that when safety is an issue they granted and believe that new circular driveways should be granted even when a property requesting a circular driveway and I quote again, "Does not in any shape, manner or form meet the Village guidelines but for safety reasons be granted a circular driveway." The Board gave examples of homes on Briarwood and noted that they did so on other properties throughout the neighborhood.

This sentiment was echoed by you, Mr. Sporn, when you explained why the Berkowitz home at 240 Broadway was granted a circular driveway even though in the Berkowitz home they required a lot coverage variance, which I do not require. They only have 23 feet from the curb to the home. I have close to 50 feet to my front door. And the Berkowitz home has only a three-foot center island, while I have close to a 20-foot center island.

On that note I'd also like to point out that the Board of Trustees stated that the available green space was more important than the particular

3

4

1

measurements of the guidelines. Moreover, in in the Berkowitz case, Mr. Sporn, you stated:

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defending the Board of Building Design's decision It's always our position when you take safety of driveways that are on corners, especially where there is a traffic light, into consideration because the traffic signal causes traffic to back up and it's very difficult in our position -- in our opinion to pull out into traffic at a stoplight. You continued: It's the way we've always approached it.

I'd like to note that I agree with your concerns and believe that those on corners with traffic lights have difficult times pulling out, but I'd like to stress that my situation is much more grave and in fact is a life-threatening situation and the mere presence of a traffic light reduces the danger to a driver exiting the driveway. The driver exiting a driveway near a traffic light has the option of waiting for the light to stop and turn red and then pulling out into non-moving traffic. I, on the other hand, do not even have the option of waiting for traffic to stop. Without a circular driveway, I'm forced to back up into heavy traffic which is consistent,

1.3

coming at great speed, plus coming around a blind curve.

Another distinguishing factor making my case much more grave than that of Berkowitz or

Moskowitz is that the driveway on Berkowitz is on Rosalind, and with Moskowitz it's on Margaret.

Neither Rosalind nor Margaret are streets heavily traveled by much traffic, and certainly not heavily traveled by commercial traffic, while

Meadow which is a county road most certainly is.

While I acknowledge the safety and convenience issues of Berkowitz and Moskowitz, I feel the danger is a thousand times greater at my home and a circular driveway is a necessity. I'd like to note that since the implementation of the guidelines I'm aware of 21 homes that were approved for circular driveways despite the fact that they did not meet the guidelines.

In addition, there are more homes that added circular driveways over the years, but the Building Department does not have records for all of them, especially for those done under the table. The homes approved by the Board which do not meet the guidelines are: 240 Broadway, 30 Margaret Avenue, 25 Briarwood, 11 Meadow Drive,

45 Meadow Drive, 10 Waverly Place, 41 Barrett
Road, 163 Harborview North, 330 Longwood Crossing,
270 Ocean, 268 Causeway, 62 Sealy Court,
59 Lawrence Avenue, 64 Lawrence Avenue,

200 Juniper Circle, 72 Muriel Drive, 71 Muriel Drive, 66 Washington Avenue, 34 Meadow Lane, 69 Sutton Place, and 8 Beechwood Drive.

I'd like to note that it appears that none of these homes are even close to meeting the guidelines; most of the homes in fact are on cul-de-sacs, dead-ends or streets not open to through traffic. Certainly, not commercial traffic like Meadow Lane.

In addition, I'm submitting as Exhibit I, it's a list of over 120 homes in Lawrence that do not meet the criteria set forth by the guidelines. From this list it would appear that the majority of homes with circular driveways in Lawrence do not meet the guidelines. I submit this list as proof that a circular driveway for my home is in complete character with the neighborhood.

In addition, I'd like to note that on May
7th, 2010 I met with Mr. David Smollett, the
Village Administrator, and Mr. Michael Ryder, the
Building Department Superintendent. With their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

permission, I'm reporting to you that in our meeting they both acknowledged that Meadow Lane was a dangerous road, and since my home was located at the center of the curve it was especially dangerous. They agreed that my home warrants a circular driveway for safety and convenience reasons. In fact, after listening to my arguments, Mr. Smollett gave me a number of additional suggestions to tell this Board in order to strengthen my arguments in favor of a circular driveway. They are: Parking on Meadow for myself or visitors is dangerous as exiting or entering into or out of a vehicle while it's parked on Meadow is dangerous.

I have a picture marked Exhibit J (handing).

Thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN:

MR. ROKOSZ: As can be seen from the picture, I'd like to note that my car barely fits in the designated parking area on Meadow without parking on the sidewalk. One could certainly imagine the danger associated with opening the driver's door and exiting while the car is parked there.

Also, Mr. Smollett reminded me that switching and positioning cars in and out on Meadow is dangerous. In addition, I'd like to point out

that I've submitted five 3-D photos of the proposed driveway which I'm resubmitting as Exhibit K-1 through five (handing).

MR. GOLDMAN: Those had been previously submitted to the Building Department --

MR. ROKOSZ: Yes.

MR. GOLDMAN: -- as part of the application.

MR. ROKOSZ: In reviewing the photos, one can plainly see that my home would have an extensive green space which in my opinion and the opinion of the Building Department, Mr. Smollett, everyone else who has seen such photos agrees would greatly enhance the appearance of my home, the block and the neighborhood, especially when one considers the beforehand, which I have a picture of also marked as Exhibit L.

In conclusion, I believe that based on the facts in this case my home clearly meets all the criteria set by this Board, the Board of Trustees, especially when one takes into account the recent driveway approvals, the history of the driveways, plus the character of the neighborhood.

Moreover, whatever anyone's subjective personal aesthetic preferences are, they should certainly not override necessities for my family's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

safety. For all the reasons set forth above, I respectfully ask that the Board grant my driveway application forthwith. Thank you.

Well, first of all, many of CHAIRMAN SPORN: the characterizations that you've made I disagree with.

MR. ROKOSZ: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: However, without addressing the merits, at the last meeting, recognizing the safety issue, I believe this Board said that you should be granted a turnaround so that you could go into your driveway, turn your car around and come out frontwards.

But before we get to that, you are on a county road, Meadow Lane.

MR. ROKOSZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: And have you submitted an application to Nassau County since they have jurisdiction on the county roads to grant curb cuts?

MR. ROKOSZ: No, I have not.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Because we certainly don't have any jurisdiction.

That's okay. I'm not -- I don't MR. ROKOSZ: particularly need a curb cut right now.

Rokosz - 6/7/10lot coverage to cover the driveway and I'm 1 certainly willing to go to Nassau County to deal with the curb cut. 3 CHAIRMAN SPORN: So what are you asking for, a circular driveway that comes out the same entrance that you come in? In other words, you make a circle and you come back out? MR. ROKOSZ: I'm asking for what I have in the picture, which is to cover part of my front yard with paving or something.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SPORN: So that is what you're looking for?

If you don't have MR. ROKOSZ: Yes. jurisdiction on the curb cut, that's fine. don't particularly even need the curb cut.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Okay. And so I don't understand the difference between what you're asking and what we had recommended. Is it just the positioning of it?

MR. ROKOSZ: I don't know what you recommended. Like I said, I wasn't here. not aware of that meeting date. I was told it was another date and I never received a formal letter informing me of the actual date. So my understanding was -- I came in the day after the

meeting, with the letter. I gave it to Tom, and I was under the impression that the meeting was for the next day or two days later, and I was quite surprised when Tom told me that the meeting was yesterday.

MEMBER BERMAN: I'm missing something here.

MR. ROKOSZ: Okay.

MEMBER BERMAN: Are you asking for a circular driveway or a turnaround?

MR. ROKOSZ: I'm not asking for a turnaround.

MEMBER BERMAN: Because the turnaround was the Board's suggestion.

MR. ROKOSZ: I'm not asking for a turnaround. In addition, I have three cars; so a turnaround in and of itself would be very impractical, not only based on the fact that I have three cars, but my -- I only have a ten-foot side driveway. So in order to use a turnaround, I would have to pull in, and my property goes up. It's -- since we're on the hill, if you could see, it's not a flat property. So I would have to drive uphill, try and make a K-turn into a 10-foot driveway, which would be very, very difficult, probably impossible considering my neighbor has some trees. And in addition, in order to safely do it I'd have to

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cover more of my front yard with lot coverage or something than I would with the circular driveway.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: I have to say that I drove down your block, and I actually wrote a letter to Tom immediately following the meeting, that I was very disturbed about how much traffic went down that block, and I agree with you wholeheartedly -- MR. ROKOSZ: Thank you.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: -- that if Nassau County is willing to give you that curb cut, the safest way for you to get in and out of your driveway would be a circular driveway, regardless of a turnaround or I think this is -- I don't know how many of you went to see this block. It is a through fare. The cars zoom by. You can stand there, and you can literally shake from the wind that's blowing you. These are little kids, they're playing outside, and to ask for something, you know, there are so many people in the Village that ask us for things that are so over the top, and this is just a safety issue. I don't think aesthetically it looks unpleasing. I think a turnaround is far more unpleasing, and I think that from my perspective I think the circular driveway is for sure the way to go.

|   | 1  |
|---|----|
| _ | 2  |
|   | 3  |
|   | 4  |
|   | 5  |
| • | 6  |
|   | 7  |
| • | 8  |
|   | 9  |
|   | 10 |
|   | 11 |
|   | 12 |
|   | 13 |
|   | 14 |
|   | 15 |
|   | 16 |
|   | 17 |
| - | 18 |
|   | 19 |
|   | 20 |
|   | 21 |
|   | 22 |
|   | 23 |
|   | 24 |

MR. GOLDMAN: Can we just clarify. purpose of the circular driveway is to pull in at one curb cut, come around and exit?

MR. ROKOSZ: And be able to pull out face first.

MR. GOLDMAN: Right, exactly. So if you're not asking for a curb cut, what's your intention?

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: I think what he's saying is he's not asking us for the curb cut. He's comfortable going with --

MR. GOLDMAN: In other words, you want permission from this -- okay, so I think I understand. I'm not disagreeing with you.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, my understanding was you said you don't have the authority to give me the curb cut, so that's fine. I can't ask you to give me something you don't have the authority to give me.

MR. GOLDMAN: So you're asking them --

MR. ROKOSZ: I'm asking for them to approve the lot coverage on my -- on my front property, whatever you want to call it, whether you can call it a driveway or lot coverage or merely --

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Well, under our zoning you do not have a building coverage issue.

still confused as to exactly what you want.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, if I don't have a building coverage issue, why was I denied my permit? I'm here because I was denied.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: What were you applying for?

MR. ROKOSZ: I was applying for lot coverage.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Lot coverage?

MR. ROKOSZ: Yes. In order to -- in order to --

MR. RIZZO: Surface coverage.

MR. ROKOSZ: Surface coverage. In order to do what I have proposed in the pictures and on the survey. If we all agree that it's not an issue and I have the zoning, then we're great, we can go home. I just need an approved application.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: Maybe you could advise me about the protocol concerning this. I feel that the turnaround could satisfy the requirements for safety. And it really doesn't come close to our guidelines. However, you brought up a lot of information that's -- you know, you mentioned perhaps we can treat this as a first meeting since we didn't have the benefit of your presence there.

MR. ROKOSZ: Yes.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: I'm wondering, if we

need to render a decision, if I need to render a decision or if I can have time to process some of the information that was brought forward.

Particularly, as to all the noncomplying circular driveways that allegedly have come up since the guidelines have been in. I'd like to examine that fact. Because I'd like to be fair. On one hand, I have to stick, you know, with the -- we did develop guidelines with great thought and intention. So I'm just asking if I need to render decision right now because I personally would prefer to review it in light of the comments put forth today.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, I --

CHAIRMAN SPORN: In addition, you're correct, we've made so many exceptions over the years prior to the Moskowitz matter that the exceptions almost became the rule. And when you look with hindsight at exceptions that are made, you don't get the full context and feeling for why they are made.

Subsequent to that meeting, our Mayor provided us with a recommended guideline which was a minimum width greater than that which we required, namely 70 feet instead of 68 feet, but a front-yard depth of 45 feet rather than 50 feet,

and you fail in both respects. But nonetheless --

MR. ROKOSZ: I don't believe I fail on the 45 feet. If you're amending it to 45 feet, that's not true. But more importantly, the crux of my argument is that my safety issue would override any quideline.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: I understand.

MR. GOLDMAN: It's not your intention though to do the construction subject to getting -- assuming the Board were even to grant it, to do the construction -- what I'm -- I might as well cut to the bottom line. It's not your intention to drive over the curb till you get that curb cut, is it?

MR. ROKOSZ: I don't have to, no.

MR. GOLDMAN: So you would defer any action until you resolved it with the Board -- with the county?

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, I think I can cover my -- I can proceed with my work and deal with the county on the curb cut.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: When I went over there I see you've already put in the circular driveway before the --

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, I haven't put in the

1.8

Circular driveway. I do not have ground coverage.

What I did is prepared for plantings and
positioned myself for plantings. There's a big

difference between putting in the driveway and
installing the ground coverage and digging up the
dirt which was previously there. All I did was
dig up the dirt that was previously there, which I
believe I'm allowed to do.

MEMBER BERMAN: That's not the driveway that I saw? That's not a circular driveway?

MR. ROKOSZ: It's preparing for a circular driveway.

You're allowed to put in, I believe -- Tom informed me that you could even put walkways in without any permits whatsoever.

MR. RIZZO: That's up to four feet wide.

MR. ROKOSZ: Okay, but I have not put a walkway. All I do I have dirt.

MR. RIZZO: I know. I'm just clarifying for the record you can put in paving up to four feet wide.

MR. ROKOSZ: Fine. I have a driveway on the side which is approximately ten feet wide. The rest of the circle that you see is dirt. I did not put gravel. I did not put blacktop. I did

not put anything else on it.

MEMBER BERMAN: This is -- this is the first time I'm really hearing about this. I was not at the last meeting.

MR. ROKOSZ: Okay.

MEMBER BERMAN: And so this is new to me also and --

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, it's probably new to everyone because I don't know what was presented at the last meeting other than my application.

There was no letter. There was no one here to speak.

MR. GOLDMAN: What arrangements have you made or what's the thinking in the event that the county were to deny you the curb cut? What would then be your option?

MR. ROKOSZ: I don't see how the county would deny me the curb cut. Frankly, I don't see how it's an issue for this Board.

MR. GOLDMAN: But from a practical point of view.

MR. ROKOSZ: In other words, I own my home.

I have to do -- in addition, the consequences of doing something that's not aesthetically pleasing would fall on me. It would detract from the value

CHAIRMAN SPORN:

of my home. I certainly wouldn't do anything that I believe would detract from the value of my home.

question. If Nassau County says you cannot have a second curb cut, what will you do?

I think it's a fair

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: I guess he can just use it as a turnaround; pull in, pull back.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: That's what's I'm suggesting. But he could do that now by coming around.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: If he does get the curb cut, he can come in, come out, and any delivery person will do it without worrying.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: I understand that. I understand that.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: There was a house on Muriel; subsequently it was sold and remodeled, so this condition alone exists, but particularly this circular driveway without the second curb cut which didn't happen on a county road. It was the Village I think had denied the circular driveway after it had begun, and that was -- you know, independent of my personal opinion whether this should be approved or not, the fact, you know, putting one in place and then not having the curb

cut it was an unsightly condition. I don't remember the exact address.

Do the members of the Board know what I'm

MEMBER BERMAN: Yes.

talking about?

2.0

MR. ROKOSZ: How was that an unsightly condition?

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: It, you know, looked like a road to nowhere. It was not --

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, The curb in front of my home happens to be exactly one inch, so it won't be unsightly.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: Uh-huh, that's a little curb. Well, you know, I would suggest -- I would recommend that --

MR. ROKOSZ: The view of -- the view would be the same as what you see in those pictures.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: I would recommend getting a picture of whether the county will be favorable, and then we can consider all the arguments that you've made.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, in light of what

Mr. Smollett said, I really feel it's quite

irrelevant. All I'm asking for is ground

coverage, and I don't need a variance, I have it.

I don't see why this Board should deny me in any way whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: What we're looking at is the aesthetics of what you want to do in that front yard.

MR. ROKOSZ: Right. So do you believe the picture I have is not aesthetically pleasing?

CHAIRMAN SPORN: It's hard for me to relate to a picture --

MR. ROKOSZ: Really.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: -- of a circular driveway where the county hasn't decided you can ever get out of that driveway without driving across the sidewalk and the curb. It seems to me your coming here is premature. If it's your intent to have your circular driveway exit onto the street, then you need a permit from the county.

MR. ROKOSZ: If I have lot coverage, why does it matter what I do? I'm willing to proceed right now with my lot coverage permit as you have the pictures, without any reference to anything else.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: And?

MR. ROKOSZ: And what?

CHAIRMAN SPORN: And if you're denied a second curb cut by the county?

MR. ROKOSZ: That's my problem, isn't it?

That's a problem I have to deal with.

MEMBER BERMAN: I think what we're trying to avoid is what happened on Muriel Avenue.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, she thought it was unsightly, but I only have one inch of curb there so it won't be unsightly.

MEMBER BERMAN: What happened was we didn't grant the curb cut so he just drove over the curb.

MR. ROKOSZ: Okay.

1.2

2.0

MEMBER BERMAN: And it was a mess.

MR. ROKOSZ: Right. But that's because you didn't want him to have a circular driveway.

MEMBER BERMAN: He did not meet --

MR. ROKOSZ: Over here what you're saying is you don't have a problem with me having a circular driveway or putting the lot coverage in.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: I just wanted to clarify. Maybe you'll all understand. Lot coverage is really a zoning issue. It's really not our decision to decide, you know, lot coverage. It seems that we're going to, you know, believe the assumption or the --

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, the survey is signed by Mike Ryder.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: What?

2

1

MR. ROKOSZ: I presented a survey.

3

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: Lot coverage is really

4 5 not for us to decide. I just wanted to clarify, again, I'm not taking a position, but for

6

educational purposes. Our Board exists to

7

particularly review for building design.

8

MR. ROKOSZ: Okay.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN:

9

vision for the Village to be as green and grassy, 10

11

which is why we believe the majority of the

12

residents chose to move here. So it's with that

13

in mind that we came up with the quidelines.

So we do have a general

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I don't think you can reach CHAIRMAN SPORN:

not really a matter of building coverage, so I don't want to get stuck on that because it's not a zoning issue. MR. ROKOSZ: That's why I gave you a list of over 120 homes in Lawrence that have circular driveways that do not meet the guidelines -- that do not meet the guidelines. It doesn't matter when those homes received their circular

driveways. Clearly, it shows that the aesthetic

preference in the Village is a circular driveway

over a turnaround for the majority of homeowners.

that conclusion.

MR. ROKOSZ: I think I could because so very few homes have turnarounds and people have circular driveways.

5 CHAIRMAN SPOR

CHAIRMAN SPORN: May I please finish my statement. I don't think you can reach that conclusion because we don't know in each of those circumstances we were making a decision between a turnaround and a circular driveway.

But in any event, would you be willing to agree that if the county gives you a second curb cut, then your circular driveway would go forward as you've set forth; and if they don't give you a second curb cut, then you complete the circle and go back out your first curb cut?

MR. ROKOSZ: I guess so. I mean, as long as I get to install it the way it is in the picture, the way I use it, yeah.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Now, if you install it the way it is in the picture and you don't get a second curb cut from the county --

MR. ROKOSZ: I understand. You're saying I shouldn't drive over the curb.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Exactly.

MR. GOLDMAN: And also, you should understand

1.0

too that, technically, when you get a curb cut, no one can park in front of that curb cut. When you don't have the curb out, theoretically, people can park.

MR. ROKOSZ: No, I understand. Yeah, I'd be willing not to use it if you approve my --

CHAIRMAN SPORN: My suggestion is you not even build it if the county says no, if for some reason on Meadow Lane they do not want more curb cuts. I don't know what they will say.

MR. ROKOSZ: I don't foresee a problem with it, but sure.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Who knows. It's an independent entity.

MEMBER BERMAN: If you get the curb cut, it's one thing. If you don't get the curb cut by the county, then perhaps you would want to design something else. So my suggestion would be to go for the curb cut first.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, I'd rather not, since I'm here and not have to come back. I'd rather be able to proceed and then decide how to best proceed. Why should I need to come back if I have the as of right for the lot coverage?

MEMBER BERMAN: Because if you don't get --

MR. ROKOSZ: I understand your

recommendation, but that's my money and my choice.

MEMBER BERMAN: No, but what I'm saying is it would not look right to put a circular driveway without the other curb cut. So perhaps you would get the curb cut -- the permission to do the curb cut first so that you could do what you have in the picture perhaps; and if you don't get that, you might want to redesign the configuration so that it doesn't look like a circular driveway that doesn't get a curb cut.

MR. ROKOSZ: I understand. I understand what you're saying; I appreciate it. I would take it under advisement. But I see no reason why I shouldn't leave here today with the right to do it, and then I can go tomorrow or next week to the county and deal with them.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Are you agreeing that if the county says no second curb cut, you will not have a circular driveway ending on your sidewalk?

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, I would agree with what you said beforehand, that I will not drive over the curb. I guess, technically, it's not a circular driveway if I don't have a second curb cut.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: My own view is that a circular driveway without a second curb cut is an invitation to drive over the curb, and I would vote against it. However, if you're willing to compromise and go to the county and if they give you a second curb cut, I vote for your circular driveway. If the county says no, then I say complete your circle and come back out the driveway you came in.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: Do you understand what he is saying?

MR. ROKOSZ: I think I do, but maybe I don't.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: Rather than have a

semi-circle, to have an entire circle around the

planting bed. I don't think there's room.

MEMBER BERMAN: There's no room, but certainly, you could do a turnaround. That would be more aesthetically pleasing than a circular driveway that you can't get out of.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, aesthetically, I think everyone has their own personal preference as to what they believe is aesthetically pleasing. I personally believe it's nicer what I have in the picture. That's why I'm willing to spend my money to do it, if you want to talk about aesthetics,

but I'm willing to state that if I don't get the curb cut that I'm not going to use it improperly.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: On that basis I would vote

against it. Putting in a driveway without a second curb cut, a circular driveway that ends at the curb, and relying on your undertaking that you will not drive across the curb to get into the street is something I can't support. We're giving you reasonable alternatives, and for some reason I feel they're being rejected. And it's not only what you think is aesthetic, we have to look at it in a broader perspective. But nonetheless, we're saying you could have what you want, just get your permit from the county first. And if the county says no, then a turnaround or some other way out.

So I recommend granting your circular driveway conditionally on the county giving you the curb cut. If you don't get the curb cut, then you must return and we'll talk further. That's what I would vote for.

MEMBER BERMAN: I would -- I would be in favor of that.

MR. ROKOSZ: Well, let me just finish. If I don't get the curb cut, I can't have a circular driveway anyway. It can't be a circular driveway,

correct? I don't foresee the county not giving me a circular driveway.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: I don't know.

б

MR. ROKOSZ: But if I don't get the curb cut for some reason, it technically wouldn't be a circular driveway.

MEMBER BERMAN: But then you could come back with a different design because this is a design for a circular driveway.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Ending at the curb.

MR. RIZZO: Sidewalk.

CHAIRMAN SPORN: Ending at the sidewalk.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: Tom, do you know the guidelines for Nassau County?

MR. RIZZO: No. They would have to file with Nassau County regarding a curb cut and the county forms and county fees and the beast unto itself.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: How long of a process is this?

MR. RIZZO: I do not know.

MEMBER WEINSTOCK: I'm just trying to give him some information.

MR. RIZZO: I have no idea. I never dealt with the county regarding that. We send the homeowners to talk to the county.

|   | 1  | CHAIRMAN SPORN: We just don't know if the       |
|---|----|---|
|   | 2  | county comes down                               |
|   | 3  | MR. ROKOSZ: My neighbor at 34 Meadow you        |
|   | 4  | also granted his circular driveway and he's two |
|   | 5  | houses away. Did you give him a similar         |
| - | 6  | condition?                                      |
|   | 7  | CHAIRMAN SPORN: Does he have a second curb      |
| * | 8  | cut?  |
|   | 9  | MR. ROKOSZ: Yes.                                |
|   | 10 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: He does? He got it from the     |
|   | 11 | county.   |
|   | 12 | MR. ROKOSZ: I'm asking if you approved his      |
| ) | 13 | application subject to the same conditions.     |
|   | 14 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: I don't know when he            |
|   | 15 | applied, I don't know when it was, and I don't  |
|   | 16 | know how relevant it is.                        |
|   | 17 | MR. GOLDMAN: They're granting you what you      |
|   | 18 | want.   |
|   | 19 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: We're granting you what you     |
|   | 20 | want.   |
|   | 21 | MEMBER BERMAN: We just want you to get the      |
|   | 22 | curb cut first.                                 |
|   | 23 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: I make a motion that we         |
|   | 24 | conditionally grant a circular driveway on the  |
|   | 25 | condition that the county grants a second curb  |

cut, and then we'll take it the next step.

All in favor?

MEMBER BERMAN: Yes.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: I have a question. I know you were very key to developing the guidelines in the first place. Is this circular driveway laid out in a manner that's effective? You know, we studied all the different radiuses. Being this deep and this narrow, do you feel given what you've studied with the guidelines --

CHAIRMAN SPORN: I mean, you're asking a good question. I don't know.

MEMBER BERMAN: It doesn't. It doesn't comply. But it doesn't comply, but we're willing to do it if you get the curb cut.

MR. GOLDMAN: Well, I think also, if I might, what the Board is doing is trying to reach a decision in terms of you made a very lengthy argument for an exception not only to get the curb cut but also to deviate from the guidelines based on the safety issues, which I think have been pretty much conceded. Therefore, irrespective of whether it matches exactly apples for apples, the broader issue is the safety to the extent that the county agrees with that and doesn't think that for

some reason another curb cut compromises their concept of safety. The Board, based on your specific presentation under this particular set of circumstances as they apply to you, not necessarily in comparison to everybody else, 140, 120, you've made a cogent argument in terms of --

Thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN: -- safety issues, and that seems to be what is directing the Board to try to accommodate you to provide you with the circular driveway to the extent that that's defined as a driveway that has two curb cuts at either end, and that will be up to the county; am I correct,

Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SPORN: You stated it correctly, and I thank you very much.

MR. RIZZO: I'd just like to get the vote down. Mr. Sporn was yes. Mrs. Berman?

MEMBER BERMAN: Yes.

MR. ROKOSZ:

MR. RIZZO: Mrs. Kupferstein?

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: Personally, I think that once the county comes back, if there is allowance for a curb cut, you know, I'd still like to be able to review whether it's effective. You know, depending if you have a larger vehicle you may

need to maneuver a lot to make this work, or you 1 have three vehicles as you mentioned. 2 I don't know how it's going to work. And I don't know 3 that it's going to necessarily -- you're concerned 4 about safety. Nobody is saying that it's not an 5 It is an unsafe condition. unsafe condition. 6 is a quick, you know, highly trafficked area. 7 don't know if this addresses or solves every 8 You know, it's being presented that this 9 10 is the solution. There's still going to be 11 traffic and there's still going to be all the other conditions. And I would like to -- again, I 12 mentioned this earlier, and I'm waiting for, you 13 know, to get some advisement from the legal side, 14 if I can ask for time to review it further in 15 16 light of some of the facts that were presented here tonight. But that being said, I think it 17 needs to go to the county first regardless, 18 because I do support, you know, Chairman Sporn, 19 20 and some of the other members have said they're 21 willing to grant it without any conditions should 22 the county approve it, and I'm asking whether we can ask for the county to review it and then ask 23 to review it further. 24

Well, you could also do it

25

MR. GOLDMAN:

subject to the Building Department concluding that it's a practical plan, the real deal.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: Well, as long as it's practical, and I want to examine the circumstances under which all of those driveways got through.

MR. GOLDMAN: Well, that wouldn't necessarily be on this particular issue.

MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: I'd like to see whether it's consistent judgment.

MR. GOLDMAN: I think that the applicant, notwithstanding his reference to the others, is asking to be judged on his particular application, and at least it's my hearing of the Board, and his argument seems to be most compelling on the safety issue as to this particular location.

MR. ROKOSZ: My reference to the other properties is only to show that how much more so the safety issue is important to me compared to them.

MEMBER BERMAN: I would say even without that, we got that part.

MR. ROKOSZ: Thank you.

MR. GOLDMAN: Well, anyway, you were voting.

MR. RIZZO: Mrs. Kupferstein?

MR. GOLDMAN: How are you voting?

Ų

1.3

•

|          | 1  | MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: I can't say yes to a          |
|----------|----|---|
|          | 2  | complete agreement.                               |
|          | 3  | MR. GOLDMAN: You'll be voting an abstention       |
|          | 4  | then?   |
|          | 5  | MEMBER KUPFERSTEIN: Okay, if we have enough       |
| ď        | 6  | members to make a ruling, then I'll choose that.  |
| <b>a</b> | 7  | MR. RIZZO: Mr. Pomerantz?                         |
| q        | 8  | MEMBER POMERANTZ: I'm for it.                     |
|          | 9  | MEMBER WEINSTOCK: Same, I'm for it.               |
|          | 10 | MR. RIZZO: The vote was Mrs. Kupferstein          |
|          | 11 | abstained. The yeses were Mr. Sporn, Mrs. Berman, |
|          | 12 | Mr. Pomerantz and Mrs. Weinstock.                 |
|          | 13 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: It's conditional on the           |
|          | 14 | county granting the curb cut and the design is as |
|          | 15 | set forth.  |
|          | 16 | MR. RIZZO: And the landscaping as shown.          |
|          | 17 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: And the landscaping as            |
| 4        | 18 | shown, certainly.                                 |
| 9        | 19 | MEMBER BERMAN: I can't even see what this         |
|          | 20 | is. Is this there now?                            |
|          | 21 | MR. RIZZO: No, that's proposed.                   |
|          | 22 | MEMBER BERMAN: That's what I thought. What        |
|          | 23 | is this, like a dish garden? This is not planted  |
|          | 24 | in the ground?                                    |
|          | 25 | MR. ROKOSZ: No, those are boxwoods, proposed      |
|          |    |   |

|        |    | Rokosz - 6/7/10                                 |
|--------|----|---|
|        | 1  | boxwoods.                                       |
|        | 2  | MEMBER WEINSTOCK: In the circle itself?         |
|        | 3  | MEMBER BERMAN: In the circle what this is.      |
|        | 4  | MR. ROKOSZ: I don't know what you would call    |
|        | 5  | it.   |
| -      | 6  | MR. RIZZO: Planters.                            |
| •      | 7  | MR. ROKOSZ: I assume it's a planter with        |
| σ      | 8  | boxwoods inside, with one boxwood inside each   |
|        | 9  | planter, and then some flowers surrounding that |
|        | 10 | boxwood.  |
|        | 11 | MR. RIZZO: Mr. Chairman, is he going to be      |
|        | 12 | held to this landscape, exact landscape plan?   |
|        | 13 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: Yes, that's what he             |
|        | 14 | submitted.                                      |
|        | 15 | MR. RIZZO: Okay, all right. I just wanted       |
|        | 16 | so everybody, this is the landscape plan        |
|        | 17 | they're approving.                              |
| ų<br>a | 18 | MR. ROKOSZ: That's the landscaping that I'm     |
| á      | 19 | proposing. That's currently what I'm planning.  |
|        | 20 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: Thank you.                      |
|        | 21 | MR. ROKOSZ: Thank you all. Have a good          |
|        | 22 | night.  |
|        | 23 | MR. RIZZO: Mr. Chairman, are you closing the    |
|        | 24 | public hearing?                                 |
|        | 25 | CHAIRMAN SPORN: Yes.                            |

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 8:15 p.m.)

3 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter