1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE	
2	BOARD OF APPEALS	
3		
4		
5		Village Hall
6		196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
7		August 18, 2015
8		7:30 p.m.
9	APPLICATION:	Mesivta Ateres Yaakov
10		131 Washington Avenue Lawrence, New York
11		
12	PRESENT:	
13		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
14		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
15		MR. MARK SCHRECK
16		Member
17		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDER
21		Building Department
22		
23		
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR
		Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the Lawrence Board of Zoning Appeals. I'll ask you to please turn off any cell phones, and if there's any conversation, please have it outside the room. have a large crowd. We have an important matter.

And proof of posting, Mr. Ryder?

MR. RYDER: Mr. Chairman, I offer proof of posting for the record.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

The matter is Mesivta Ateres Yaakov. The application was continued from two meetings, I quess. This is the third meeting on the matter. Does the attorney for the applicant have anything to add to the record at this point?

MR. HOPKINS: I do not, Mr. Chairman. last time that this was on, there was a request by the neighbors to adjourn it. So that's really where the presentation starts this evening.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okav.

MR. HOPKINS: I'm sorry, sir?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: On behalf of the neighbors, would you like to?

MS. PUGLIESE: Sure. I'm going to sit, if that's okay.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's more than okay.

MS. PUGLIESE: Good evening, members of the Board. We reconvene here today to discuss whether the applicant should be granted a variance for the proposed one-story gymnasium that's going to be built in a Residence F Zone on Mulry Lane.

In making your decision, you have a duty to take into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance is granted as weighed against the detriment of the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood by such a grant.

Based on your recommendations, we met with Dr. Gary Schall, and the Lawrence-Cedarhurst Fire Department and both are here tonight to comment. The meeting with Dr. Schall was very productive. We explained to him the issues on having the buses come down Mulry Lane, and we came up with a solution. Dr. Schall has a very efficient way of staging the buses off of Mulry, he will tell you where, and making the drop-off and pickups as quick as possible so the buses do not idle. Our solution is to have the drop-off and pickup of the students on Washington Avenue, and Dr. Schall will speak further about that, how he thought, you know, that would be -- where it is now should

1 remain on Washington Avenue.

We met with the fire department, and they offered an opinion on safety issues. I invited Chief McHugh here today to comment. I don't believe he was invited to the previous meetings.

And Mark Gold commented for the fire department at the June 4th meeting and said the fire department does not have any objection to the proposed building and, you know, they're concerned about Washington Avenue, but we'll have Chief McHugh comment on that, you know, when he can.

So since the eighteen-wheelers of delivery trucks, UPS, Snapple trucks are allowed to park on Washington Avenue, we can't make a blatant, like a blanket statement that the buses can't be on Washington Avenue due to this issue. Washington Avenue is a commercial street. There's no law stating that drop-offs and pickups can't be on Washington Avenue. It will alleviate the concerns of the residents of Mulry Lane to have -- to continue to have a pickup and a drop-off of the students of the MAY school on Washington Avenue.

I also want to let the record reflect that I called the Village several times to make an appointment to meet with the Mayor, and he's never

gotten back to me. I spoke to his assistant

Allison a couple of times. She said he would get
back to me. So I still would like to reserve the
right to meet with the Mayor. Some of the other
residents on Mulry Lane would like to meet with
him as well.

We have a petition with 36 signatures from the homeowners on Mulry Lane that we submitted to you at the June 24th meeting that opposes this application. You know, we live there, we pay the taxes, we're the ones affected by the issues that will occur if the gymnasium is built. The increase in traffic, noise, parking and safety issues are detrimental to us on Mulry Lane. You know, this petition speaks volumes, you know, over Mr. Hopkins' letters of eleven business owners on Central Avenue. The businesses do not live on Mulry Lane; they're not affected by the proposed building of the gymnasium. Their opinions are completely moot.

And then at the first meeting, Mr. Hopkins and the Chairman used RLUIPA -- mentioned RLUIPA, and I know I did not -- I had not ever heard of RLUIPA. I don't do municipal law, and I know a lot of the residents and homeowners on Mulry Lane

did not know what RLUIPA was. And after doing research on the law, you know, I realized that it's not a blanket exemption for religious institutions to not abide by the Village codes and ordinances. It's for the members here and the residents of Mulry Lane.

RLUIPA is the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Person's Act. It's a civil rights law that protects individuals and religious institutions from discriminatory and unduly burdensome land regulations.

And after doing my research, I found out RLUIPA does not exempt religious assemblies and institutions from the zoning -- local zoning laws. RLUIPA is not a blanket exemption from zoning laws. As a general matter, religious institutions must apply for the same permits, file the same requirements and go through the same land use processes as other land users, and it does not preamble or replace the normal zoning code, but rather imposes a number of safeguards and requirements on local governments regarding zoning that impacts religious use by requiring that the zoning law or its application not substantially burden religious exercise without compelling

justification pursued through the least restrictive means; the zoning law not treat religious uses less favorably than nonreligious assemblies and institutions; the law not discriminate based on religion or religious denominations; and the jurisdiction not totally or unreasonably restrict religious uses.

So as long as a municipality applies for RLUIPA formally and does not impose an unjustified substantial burden on religious exercises, it may apply traditional zoning concerns such as regulations addressing traffic, hours of use, parking, maximum capacity, intensity of use, setbacks, frontage to religious uses just as they apply to any other land uses. So, you know, we can't just use RLUIPA here as a complete exemption for getting this variance. And there's no discrimination here on behalf of the Village denying the MAY school permits.

The other issues, you know, that we want to bring up is that, you know, the building -- the proposed building will impede on the quality of life of the residential street. We as the homeowners have a right to quiet enjoyment.

By reading the first record and the second

record, there's a lot of discrepancies saying that this is just going to be a gymnasium, and then there's certain parts of the record that state there's going to be a social hall. So no one really knows whether it's just going to be a gym or a social hall. I think that we need to know today what it's going to be used for, because that would mean that there will be people coming after school hours, parking on the streets.

You know, we're going -- they're going to need additional parking in order for events, instead of parking in front of our houses. So, you know, the building of this 9,000 square-foot gymnasium would be a nuisance to us because if there are going to be events going on, you know, the noise and the parking issues are going to affect us during the evening hours when we are trying to enjoy our quietness.

The area that the proposed gymnasium is to be built on is a designated Residence F Zone. By granting this variance, you're essentially turning the residential area into a commercial area. This is the reason the Village of Lawrence has codes and ordinances on building in this Village. So the residents of Mulry Lane can see how this will

benefit the applicant, but it does not benefit the people who actually live on the houses on the block.

I just want to touch base on the safety issues just really quick. As stated in the prior meeting and recorded on the record, these proposed plans are a safety issue. For example, if an emergency situation occurs and the students and staff are unable to exit the main entrance of the school, the school needs to exit the side and the back of the building which will require more time for the students and the staff to reach a safe location.

New York State Education Law Section 807 states: It shall be the duty of the principal or other person in charge of a public or private school to train pupils on how to safely, without confusion and panic, exit the school in the shortest time possible.

You know, I want to leave a blank in the record today to find out where is the safe location today. There are over 200-plus students and staff at the MAY school. Where do they meet right now for the roll count for fire drills? I know private schools are not exempt from this

procedure.

Also, the New York State Education Law says that the fire drills must be conducted at least twelve times a school year. Eight of the drills must be held between September and December 1st.

Where will the student and staff meet to conduct roll calls at the proposed new plans? If over 200 students are exiting the main entrances that you now want to have on Mulry Lane, this does not seem like a safe alternative because they're going to be piling onto our street, and this is an undue burden on the residents of Mulry Lane, once again, you know, causing a safety issue when there's other things going on on the block, where fire trucks need to go down.

Another question that the residents have, and you can put a blank in the record, where are the dumpsters going to be? Where is the garbage going to be stored at the proposed structure with the addition? We see where it is now.

And then also, we want to know if the applicant consulted with the Nassau County fire marshal about having fire lanes in this new driveway that you want to build, and the capacity in that proposed driveway.

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to touch briefly on the traffic study. As stated on page six of the record from the June 4th meeting, you know, one of the major issues is traffic. Mr. Hopkins states on page seven of the record that there's approximately eight buses and vans that are going to come into this new proposed driveway. Once again, Mulry Lane, a very narrow residential one-way street, two-hour parking, and people do park on the right side of the street. The high school/adult school will create more traffic in the proposed main entrance if built on Mulry Lane. Based on the traffic survey, 40 percent of the students get picked up and dropped With the proposed plan, this will increase off. Mulry Lane traffic by 20 percent. I'm not sure anyone can say that the school will not create more traffic. In addition, on Sunday the traffic on Mulry Lane will increase by approximately 65 percent.

The high school hours are not your typical school days at a regular school; it's probably like eight to two. I'm not sure if the residents that are here tonight know that classes are held from Monday through Wednesday from 7:55 to 6:10 p.m., on Thursday from 7:55 a.m. to 7:40 p.m. and

Friday from 7:55 a.m. to 12:15, and classes are also held on Sunday from 12:55 a.m. to 1 p.m.

So if the main entrance is located on Mulry Lane, you're transferring all the issues you have on Washington Avenue onto Mulry Lane, a residential block where residents live and are entitled to quiet enjoyment. The burden is obviously being placed on us. And this will create further noise and disruption and this is nonstop disruption.

And then I would like to reserve the right to have our own traffic study conducted when the school resumes in the fall, since as these meetings started school is not in session right now, and we don't have these issues because I don't see anybody there.

And then I just want to address the gymnasium and the purpose of the gymnasium. New York State Education Laws says the minimum time devoted to physical education is 120 minutes per week; that's two hours a week. So this school has been operating for approximately five years without a gymnasium.

This school knew when choosing to operate the MAY school that it didn't have a gym. So what

3

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

changed now? The school purchased the three properties on Mulry Lane and now decided they needed a gym. From the proposed plan there's not enough space to accomplish this. The students have been transported to areas close in proximity to participate in their physical education requirement. There has not been an issue with that, and that should continue to be the way it is.

The MAY school is not known for its physical education. As Mark Gold, president of the school, states on page 65 of the June 4th record: are some schools where sports, of course, are a very strong part of what the school stands for. Our students like sports, but we are a yeshiva league where sports is a very low-key thing. Therefore, what is the compelling need to break all these codes and ordinances in order to build a 9,000 square-foot gym where sports is not of importance and physical education is only required two hours a week? There seems to be another agenda for the use of the gymnasium. Therefore. the MAY school can continue to send their students to a local gymnasium or to the park, like they have been doing for the past five years to fulfill

the requirement.

And since Dr. Schall has a special relationship with the MAY school, I'm sure he will be more than accommodating to let the students of the MAY school use the gymnasium at the Lawrence Middle School. This is definitely a solution to their problem. The only other solution is to buy more property on Mulry Lane so they could have room to build their gymnasium and they have appropriate parking facilities to accommodate a structure that size.

Parking. You are trying to create a campus on a residential street. I think the word campus was used in the record. This area in this area of Lawrence is overly saturated and there's nothing more you can build, especially on Mulry Lane. There is not enough space for this structure that is going to be 24,000 square feet when you include the school. Proposed 14-space parking is irrational for a structure this size.

Also, stated at the last meeting, the proposed addition would be ADA-compliant, but we have not heard yet about how many handicapped spots are going to be added. We don't see it in the proposed plans, and if we could leave a blank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you are the Zoning Board. You know, we know everybody needs to abide by the rules.

in the record, you can tell us how many handicapped spots would be allotted for a structure over 24,000 square feet. If there's 14 parking spots allotted, how many of those are handicapped?

And then also, you know, the residents have noticed that events have been taking place at the MAY school; one particular was 6/18/2015. Mulry Lane was packed with cars all the way down the block. The bank parking lot was full. And I mean, this is a perfect example of why a proposed facility this size needs a parking lot to accommodate any functions taking place at the site.

And another thing I realized, you know, going to school myself, what about a visitor parking lot? There's always a visitor parking lot at every school. Where is this in the proposed plans? I don't see it. I'd like to know if that's going to be included. The MAY school has enough property now to build a parking lot for the existing school, but not enough for the 9,000 square-foot gym.

Mr. Nelson was present at the first meeting on June 4th testifying on the record on how the property values on Mulry Lane will not go down. He didn't present any evidence of this. It was all oral testimony. When I asked again for the information, Chairman Keilson asked whether I wanted to have Mr. Nelson back on the record, and I did; however, he never came back on the record. I object to his testimony.

I'd like to qualify myself on the record as a New York State licensed real estate broker. Based on my knowledge and experience, adding more congestion, traffic, noise, safety issues to the neighborhood will bring the property values down.

You know, there's a lot of talk about being a good neighbor. Well, bad neighbor can bring down the value of a home possibly by even 10 to 15 percent. You know, what qualifies as a bad neighbor is one that has no consideration for the rest of the community. A bad neighbor may also come into the neighborhood, bring -- you know, take up parking spaces, cause more traffic congestion on an overly saturated street. The eyesores on a neighboring property can drop home value by more than five percent to ten percent,

according The Appraisal Institute.

Another issue was the notice to the neighbors on Mulry Lane. A lot of us didn't know that this gymnasium was -- the proposed gymnasium was even an idea. At the June 4th meeting, Mr. Gold mentioned that multiple meetings were held by the school with the residents of Mulry Lane. I never attended one of them. I didn't know about any of them.

Only one meeting was scheduled with the residents of Mulry Lane in April of 2014. I know two of the residents here attended, addressing the proposed plans. The residents objected to those proposed plans now and then objected to these proposed plans today. No additional meetings were requested. If the school wanted to have open communication with the neighbors before it had to go to the Zoning Board, I think you should have had them way before the appeals meetings.

Future envisions have already been presented to this Board about the school in the last meeting. Mention of an elevated dormitory on the corner of William and Mulry Lane. I understand the school has to appear before you at a later time, but this should tell you the school wants to

grow in the future. The school is purchasing a piece of property in the middle of Mulry Lane, and it doesn't stop here.

This proposed variance will also have an adverse effect on the environmental conditions in the neighborhood. On page 95 of the record,

Mark Gold states: We told the buses over and over you are not allowed to keep your exhaust on,

certainly in the front of somebody's house which it is right now. We don't have control over them.

Mr. Gold admits the fact that the buses idle, he has no control over them. This is hazardous to the community and the residents of Mulry Lane.

He further states: At the very least this activity will occur on our property and not in front of someone else's house. I live next-door to the proposed gym, and this will still affect me because that exhaust will seep into my adjoining property.

On page 53 of the June 24th, 2015 record

Mark Gold states: We are trying our best to make

sure that the neighborhood is not affected by our

activities. Well, Mr. Gold, your activities are

very affected by the neighbors on Mulry Lane. You

received a petition with 36 signatures objecting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to this project on Mulry Lane. For the record, the addresses are as follows: 348, 351, 353, 356, 364, 376, 377, 379, 380, 381, 382, 384. Let's call this the effected area of Mulry Lane, which is a lot of Mulry Lane. The residents of Mulry Lane object to the proposed plans. I believe you should need the support of the residents of Mulry Lane to grant this application.

I also want to leave a blank in the record for Mr. Hopkins to answer, once again, is this proposed gymnasium only going to be used for physical education. We are hearing different things from the June 4th record to the June 24th record. Mr. Gold states: This is being used to fulfill the physical education requirement, and then he states there's going to be a social hall. We need to know whether there's going to be activity there, you know, all day into the evening. The Zoning Board needs to know that. Ιf this gymnasium becomes a social hall and there are many people coming to gather, causing more traffic, parking in front of our houses, noise after school hours, the Village will be called every time, and this places the burden on the Village to cure these problems. The homeowners

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pay the Village taxes, and this is where we are going to call. We are not going to always call the school. So we need to know this now. We don't want to know after the gym is built. And I think the Zoning Board needs to investigate more into this matter.

And also, you know, we talked about this all-boys dormitory. You know, we joked that it's a fraternity house and that it's being removed. The issue is it's been there for a long time. You know, we joke and call it an eyesore, but since the boys have moved in there's been no maintenance done on the outside of the house. I live next-door to the house. I look at it, I walk by it every day. The weeds are overgrown. The trees and bushes are overgrown. The fence is broken. That alone brings down the property value of my home next-door. Regardless of you knocking it down is proof and proof alone that the MAY school is not a good neighbor. If you were a good neighbor, you would treat that house with respect and realize that people live next-door and across the street. I mean, Mr. Gold, you should be a little bit embarrassed that you could care less about the upkeep of the property. The only time

we saw anybody come was after we had the Zoning Board meeting to come and do a little bit of gardening.

The property located at William and Mulry is even worse. This shows the block that you do not care about your properties and you have no respect for your neighbors. Mark Gold states that he will buy more properties on block. Is this how you will continue to treat the properties that you will buy on Mulry Lane? The MAY school has not been a good neighbor. It's only because you now want to obtain this variance that you're stating that you've been a good neighbor. Prove it to us. Prove it to us that you've been a good neighbor.

And that's my presentation, and this application I believe should be denied.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much for your very comprehensive recitation.

MS. PUGLIESE: Antoinette Pugliese, 381 Mulry Lane, Lawrence, New York 11559.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Before I have you respond, Mr. Hopkins, I think let's hear from the professionals. Dr. Schall, I thought I saw him

step out. Is he taking a call?

out. Is no caning a call.

MR. FEDER: Mr. Schall had to go to another hearing. I'm representing on behalf of Lawrence Public Schools, Jeremy Feder, assistant superintendent for business operation.

So on July 8th, the superintendent,

Mr. Schall, extended an invitation to the

residents of Mulry Lane to discuss the

transportation, particularly the buses that come

to MAY on a regular day. There are approximately

90 students, and we later found out there are an

extra approximately 70 students who will require

busing, and which is approximately two buses,

three minibuses and a van which come to MAY in the

morning and afternoon.

The school district is recommending that the buses -- because MAY is together with another school on Frost Lane that the buses go first to Frost Lane and then only come to MAY after a representative from MAY contacts the bus, let's them know all our students are ready, and then the bus comes from Frost Lane to MAY and picks up the students there.

In the short term, we're recommending they either pick up, it can either be at the

convenience of the fire department, either right in front of the building on Washington, or like right in the corner of Washington and Mulry.

Students should quickly get on the bus and depart immediately. As soon as one bus is loaded and the next bus is ready, they will contact, and a representative from MAY has to be constantly in touch at dismissal time with the buses on Frost Lane.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How many residents were in attendance at that meeting?

MR. FEDER: There were two. It was extended through Antoinette Pugliese to all the residents, two of the approximately four residents, I believe two families.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And what was the -- what was the conclusion of the meeting? Were the residents satisfied with the suggestion?

MR. FEDER: I believe most of them were.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Thank you very much.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can I have Miss Pugliese admit was that acceptable?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, she said that. No, she said it in her presentation. They're

introducing now the possibility of Washington

Avenue which is something new and, of course, we would like to hear from the fire chief about that.

MEMBER SCHRECK: Let's hear from him now. I think he's in the back.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

MR. FEDER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is the fire chief here or somebody from the fire department?

If you would like to sit, you're more than welcome to sit.

CHIEF MCHUGH: Thank you, I'll sit.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please identify yourself for the record.

CHIEF MCHUGH: John McHugh, M-C-H-U-G-H. I am the Chief of the Lawrence-Cedarhurst Fire Department.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Welcome, we're honored to have you. Would you care to comment on what discussions have taken place regarding the proposed transportation issues that the Mulry residents have faced in terms of the idling of buses and the suggestion of staging them on Frost and the like, or any opinion that the fire department has at this point.

10 11

8

9

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF MCHUGH: Yes. Washington Avenue approximately three years ago the signs were changed to prohibit the buses from parking on Washington Avenue. Washington Avenue was a main thoroughfare, not only for the fire trucks, but for the members to get to the fire department, which is complicated by the railroad tracks, the other side of the tracks being Cedarhurst. had the Village change those signs so the buses couldn't park there. The buses also can't park on Mulry; that will create the same situation. They're required by law to have their stop signs out when they're loading and unloading. will back up quite a distance, and we won't be able to get there if we have to. If the buses are not physically on the street on Mulry Lane and on private property, we don't see a problem with It doesn't become a safety issue.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So in terms of the suggestion put forth by the superintendent of having them staged on Frost and bringing them over to that new ingress/egress that they're creating, are you familiar with the new suggested area where they're going to have a cut?

CHIEF MCHUGH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So that in a sense would 1 2 satisfy the needs of the fire department? 3 CHIEF MCHUGH: As long as they're off the road, yes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: As long as they're off the 6 road. 7 Any questions from the Board for the Chief? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you very much. 10 CHIEF MCHUGH: You're welcome. 11 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Appreciate it. 12 Okay. Mr. Hopkins, we've raised a number of 13 issues. I don't mind if you sit. 14 In terms of the meeting with the Mayor, okay, 15 every citizen obviously has the right and should 16 make every effort to reach out to the executive of 17 this Village. The Mayor plays no role in the 18 decision of zoning. We're an autonomous Board 19 and, of course, whatever you wanted to entertain 20 with him is most appropriate and I'm sure at some 21 point you'll find him. 22 MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, yes, I'll try to 23

keep it brief. I do have Mr. Eschbacher again if you have any questions. He, of course, is the person who conducted the engineering study and was

24

25

qualified as an expert by the Board.

I also have Mr. Capobianco here if you have any questions with regard to the plans, which I'm sure you're very familiar with, if in case you have any questions.

I point out, of course, that Mr. Nelson has been qualified in numerous Boards as an expert to testify in the area in which he testified, has all the appropriate certifications, licensures going back 30-odd years, and continuously recertified, which nobody else to date has had.

Number two, the RLUIPA, I thought I was very clear when we discussed RLUIPA, RLUIPA is not a blanket, that anything a religious organization requests it automatically gets; it wasn't presented that way. I know the Board knows it doesn't stand for that proposition. I never said that it stood for that proposition. Mr. Gray knows it doesn't stand for that proposition.

On the other hand, the cases of RLUIPA are very clear about the Board in discharging its duty has to be acutely aware and accommodating to the extent that it can in order to justify the actions of the Board, and that's because RLUIPA fundamentally is referring back to the First

Amendment right of religion. And so it's critically important that -- not that we get it because we're a religious institution, ergo we win, but that the fact that it is a religious institution has to be taken into account. That's all it was suggested for.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Hopkins, can I interrupt you for one second?

MR. HOPKINS: Yes, Mr. Gottlieb.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can you speak a little bit louder. There are seven rows behind you that can't hear you.

MR. HOPKINS: I certainly will.

Some of the issues raised by Ms. Pugliese, these were issues that were actually discussed in our presentation. For example, the utilization of the gym, how frequently it would be utilized, when it would be utilized, under what circumstances it would be utilized, the number of persons in anticipation who would be there. The school days were in the petition that we submitted to the Board. So this --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ms. Pugliese already read off the hours of the school. As you raised the question about it, I think it's worthwhile having

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Why would they have to

it reviewed because of the suggestion that there's social activities going on, and she raised the question, and I agree that it was on the record previously, but it would not hurt. You have President Gold.

MR. HOPKINS: Well, I have Mr. Gold here, and again, I will ask him to speak when I'm finished speaking, with your permission, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No problem.

MR. HOPKINS: Many of the issues with regard to the Education Law fall within the purview of the jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Education of the State of New York, and so I would point that out as well.

The traffic study in my opinion was clear and unambiguous. I want to reinforce that if the status quo remains going forward, the status quo includes the buses, the minibuses and the van, whatever the numbers they were, picking up and discharging from Mulry and Washington. In other words, that which is the cause of many of the current complaints would just simply continue, as a practical proposition. There's no expansion in population. There's no --

continue as such? If you bought additional property, in theory you could use it to stage the buses.

MR. HOPKINS: In theory, I suppose it could go on, but I have to deal with the situation as I currently have it, Mr. Chairman, as you know.

What I have is a piece of property that they can use. We've discussed in the prior application about the utilization of some property at a remote site, all of which has been previously addressed.

Again, I just simply would say, to be extremely brief, everything that was raised has been addressed and has been discussed. But with your permission, since you've expressed that one question, I'll ask Mr. Gold to speak briefly. Then I'll ask Mr. Eschbacher if he has any further comments, and I'll ask Mr. Capobianco as well, with your permission, Mr. Chairman.

MS. PUGLIESE: Can he address the parking situation?

MR. HOPKINS: Parking was addressed in the first meeting. I'll stand on what the record reflects.

MEMBER SCHRECK: What about the fire drills, that issue that Ms. Pugliese was addressing?

MR. HOPKINS: I have Mr. Gold here to talk about the fire drills. I would just simply repeat the issues of the fire drills are a matter of the New York State Department of Education.

Having said that, we'll still have Mr. Gold answer the question. Two questions, utilization of the gym and the issue of the fire drills.

Mr. Gold.

MR. GOLD: Mark Gold. Of course, we have fire drills. We currently, I believe, send the students out towards Central Avenue; is that correct? I'm not there.

MR. HOPKINS: What I'll do, Mr. Chairman, at the appropriate time I'll ask the rabbi to stand up and explain how the fire drills work. I apologize.

MR. GOLD: So again, we do have fire drills. I do not know how they're run. They're once a month, not when I'm there, and I don't see any reason why if it's possible we can't accommodate our neighbors to not have it where they are. There's lots of ways you can exit the facility, et cetera.

As far as a social hall, I don't believe I ever said we're building a social hall. What we

are building is a gymnasium, a recreation room for the students, some classrooms, specialized classrooms, a computer lab, the facilities for a locker room, and a couple of offices. So there's no social hall.

In previous meetings, I think I submitted a listing of all of the school events that occur outside the school day. Offhand they are pretty much exclusively either on Thanksgiving morning or on Sunday. They include the open house once a year, the school's commencement. We have a breakfast Thanksgiving morning. And on those days we said that we direct our parents to park in the Cedarhurst parking field, which at that point has tons of spaces. So we are more than happy to again remind our parents at every occasion that they should avoid parking on Mulry so as not to disenfranchise the neighbors. So we don't have any plans for a social hall.

The gym will be used throughout the day.

Because not only is there the gym phys ed

requirements and whatever games we have in the

evening, which we also give a listing for, but

kids use that in recess. Right now the kids go

outside in recess. We'd much rather have the kids

inside rather than having to deal with the neighbors and have them wandering around outside. So the purpose of the gym is not just for 120 minutes a week, or whatever number was put forward, it's to be used throughout the day.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Fine.

MR. HOPKINS: If the rabbi could address the issue of the fire drills.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can we go back to the gym for one second. I just want to hear again that the gym is being used as a typical high school gym. You have no plans on using it as a social hall or for other events other than the few events that you mentioned on Thanksgiving morning, and the open house and so forth, but you have no intention of using it for other than the typical gym which is associated or affiliated with a high school?

MR. GOLD: No, we're not building any kitchen or food facility, nothing. It's a gym.

MR. HOPKINS: With your permission, one of the rabbis, please, address the issue of the fire drills. Rabbi.

RABBI YAFFE: My name is Rabbi Mordechai Yaffe. I'm the headmaster of the school. We do

1 have regular fire drills. What we have done until 2 now, and again, no one has every told us that it 3 would be a problem otherwise, is that I've had two 4 grades of the students entering/exiting the door 5 on Washington and going towards Central Avenue. 6 We've had two grades walking on the sidewalk. 7 again, anybody who was ever there would know that 8 I instruct everybody that they need to stay off our neighbors' property. Whether people believe 9 it or not, that is the truth, and we try and 10 11 enforce it. And that the two grades walk down the 12 street until they are a significant distance away 13 from the school, and we call them in. On numerous 14 occasions the fire department has been there with 15 us.

There are many people who remember that two years ago we had a bomb threat, and the fact that we were able to have everybody safely evacuated out of the building within minutes, we were commended by the police department for the way we handled things.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So again, this was a new one. I wasn't expecting this, but that is how we deal with it, as any responsible school.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

MR. HOPKINS: If I may, Mr. Chairman,
Mr. Eschbacher, anything you care to add to the
record above and beyond what you've already
testified to?

MR. ESCHBACHER: Just very briefly.

Robert Eschbacher, with VHB Engineering. I'm pleased to hear the comments from the representative of the school district about the interim measures that have been discussed to stage the arrivals and departures of the buses. I think that is a major benefit.

The long-term goal here is to eliminate the need for any of the buses to be stopping or parking even for a short period of time on either Washington or Mulry, and that was echoed by the fire chief.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. ESCHBACHER: And the plan that's been presented will do such that. It will provide that separate lane so the buses can be off the street and do that in a more safe manner than what the current conditions provide.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you.

MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Capobianco, anything that you would care to add?

1 MR. CAPOBIANCO: Well, only that the gym --2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The record, John. 3 MR. CAPOBIANCO: John Capobianco, architect 4 for the project. The social hall would not be a social hall. 5 There's a room downstairs next to the locker room 6 area and the classroom and the lab that I think we called a social hall, but that room really is like 8 9 a meeting room for the kids during school hours, and that's all it would be used for. That's the 10 11 only thing I wanted to add. 12 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okav. 13 MS. PUGLIESE: Mr. Capobianco, when you did 14 these plans did you --15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please, any questions 16 direct them to me. 17 MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, do you have any 18 other questions? CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes. 19 Mr. Gold, let's talk 20 and obviously a thorn in the side the way the 21

about the remote property, since it's been raised and obviously a thorn in the side the way the properties have been maintained in the past, and of course one of the thoughts was that with the demolition of that house on that property so there's actually an improved condition for the

22

23

24

25

street, but let's talk about the remote location that's intended for use at some later date.

MR. GOLD: We currently use it for parking, but we don't use the entire property. We park eight cars on there now. We actually asked to demolish that property several years ago, but we were not allowed to do so. We were refused the demolition permit pending I'm not sure what, so it's remained.

There were some -- we had the meeting in April. Some of the neighbors complained about painting of the facility. Again, the fact is it came -- the condition it was in is exactly how we received it from the prior owner, but we then sent people to paint it, et cetera.

I believe recently we have removed extraneous shrubbery, et cetera, from the front of that building. We have no intention of replacing or building a new exterior to an ancient building that has been there forever, and it is our goal either now or in the future to demolish the building.

The building is not an asset to us. We store things in it, but we'd much rather put a few more cars there. Again, that was not -- we were not

Mesivta Ateres Yaakov - 8/18/15

allowed to do so. I'm saying we do park cars there and our neighbors park their cars there at night. And some neighbors do and that's the story.

So we try to keep it as clean as possible.

And ditto for the other houses. The two houses we demolished already they were just huge messes. We demolished them as fast as we could. We were in the middle of obtaining the permits to demolish the last house now that we're under discussion. I believe we finally got the utilities cut off.

There's no sewage or water I think now, and we're on our way to navigating with the utility companies, which takes quite a while.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: John, can you -- there was a question raised as to the ADA parking proposed.

I'm sure it's on the plans. How many spots have been allocated for ADA?

MR. CAPOBIANCO: Yes. We have one spot dedicated as a handicapped space.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And that's the requirements?

MR. CAPOBIANCO: It would fill the requirement based on the ratio to parking, yes.

25 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any further questions from

the Board?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You say it fills the requirement ratio. It's one to twelve or one to thirteen.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: It's supposed to be five percent of the total parking area, but the problem is that you have 14 spots, so five percent of that is, you know.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So whose purview is it that you have enough handicapped parking? Is that a state issue? That's not a zoning issue. We don't govern handicapped parking.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: No. It's an ADA state issue. It's a federal issue.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's a higher authority that will govern the ADA parking. It's not really our purview.

Just for a moment, getting back to the house that you hope to demolish. Should you demolish it, would it just be used as a parking lot, or do you intend to use it as a house, or you don't wish to commit yourself at this time?

MR. GOLD: I don't have a particular use for it at this time. You know, it's hard to say. You know, I don't know. I mean, we have no immediate

plans. We have not made any applications for it.

Frankly, you know, the cost of building our

extension now is going to keep us busy for quite a

number of years. And we do need -- the spots that

we now use on that property are part of our

parking requirement to meet the true needs of our

staff. We're not planning on getting rid of that.

I mean, you know. I mean, again, you know.

MS. PUGLIESE: One more question. You know,
I live next-door to the all-boys dormitory, and I
know the previous owner, he was there for four
years, the house was beautiful. He kept it really
nice. I just don't know why when the boys moved
in they decided not to keep the house up. People
were living there. It was still a residential
block. Did you already know you were going to
demolish the house?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't believe it's important at this point. The house is gone.

MS. PUGLIESE: But why -- if they didn't know they were going to get a variance to build this, why would they demolish the house? What was the reason for demolishing the house?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It sounds to me like there was a lot of opposition to keeping what we call

the dormitory but was actually a two-family house.

MR. GOLD: That property is required for room to build the gym. That cannot remain in any case. Regardless of what would happen, we would have to demolish the house because it's part of the plan to build the gym. We need that part of the parcel.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any other questions from the Board at this point?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: If there's anyone from the audience who wants to speak to the matter and has something to add, okay, please. Again, I want to emphasize, we've had long presentations so it has to be something new to add.

MR. HYAMS: How are you? My name is Richard Hyams, H-Y-A-M-S. I live at 376 Mulry Lane, Lawrence, which is two houses, and one across the street from the projected whatever.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do you want to stand or sit? Everyone seems to want to sit tonight.

MR. HYAMS: I might as well stand.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No problem.

MR. HYAMS: First of all, I just want to hand you the RLUIPA. This is from the Department of

Mesivta Ateres Yaakov - 8/18/15

Justice; this is their interpretation.

MR. HYAMS: Okay, very good.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ms. Pugliese already read from it.

MR. HYAMS: Also, I would like to go to just one more thing on RLUIPA. On page 76 from the meeting of June 4th, it says here, Chairman Keilson says one of the things that was brought up by Mr. Hopkins there's this overriding RLUIPA law from the year 2000. Why is it overriding? What is it that's overriding anything that we do? Those are your words.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're misinterpreting my words, but continue.

MR. HYAMS: Also, I have a couple of issues that I would like to talk about. First of all, the school/temple, MAY, says that they're going -- you know, a big thing is that they're going to be a place for in case there's another storm like Sandy or something, that that's going to be part of their -- you know.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They had mentioned that, yes.

MR. HYAMS: Yes. Also, they mentioned that, and when we were at -- a few of us were at the local meeting, you know, the one and only meeting there, they mentioned that, and then we asked them, they said -- we said we had no power, and they said, well, we had power, and I said didn't you -- did you think maybe to ask your neighbors, saying you're such a good neighbor, you know, share the power that you had with people that lost it for weeks, and they just, you know, I guess were weren't, you know, on the list, I guess.

Also, the fire department, I see something different. All right, I have something interpreted different from the fire department before. When we spoke to the Board with Dr. Schall and the head of the busing, we were told that -- we went on -- we could stage buses on Frost and one on Pearsall at the end of where the tracks are where there's the parking for the -- you know, for the school -- excuse me -- for the railroad. And we were told by the -- by the fire department, the chief, when we went to the meeting that, no, you cannot stage buses on a private -- on a public street. And he just said yes, you know, that Frost was okay, and he told us no.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HYAMS: Okay. Also, as far as I'm disagreeing, you know, with the fact that when there is going to be -- when allegedly when the school is going to move the main entrance from Washington to Pearsall -- excuse me -- to Mulry, I live on Mulry, yes, Mulry, that I think that the area that the buses are -- were in is still going to be an entrance and exit for the school. It's just going to be devoid of the vacuum and is going to be filled up with parents and students dropping people off. You won't have three or four buses, but you'll have, you know, ten and twenty -- I'm estimating ten and twenty, you know, cars that are going to be there, and they're going to be on Washington and they're going to be sitting and dropping off people in no stopping and parking

Also, it was noted in the last meeting that, you know, to congratulate the Village on them getting the two-way street on William. So people coming from I guess the Seasons parking lot could go there if they so desired to be in that area. Well, trucks can't go there also. So basically, what you're doing is you're saying let's take --

area and that is going to be I believe a problem.

you know, great job, we got the cars going down William, not on Mulry, but yet you're making the main entrance on Mulry and all the cars are going to be coming onto Mulry again. That is going to cause a traffic problem, you know. If that's their main entrance and it's not just buses, then, you know, that's going to be a problem.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MR. HYAMS: Also, I have an issue with the amount of buses. When we spoke to the superintendent and his --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Assistant.

MR. HYAMS: Yes, his assistant. He told us there were three buses, you know, there. Now, we have it from June -- the June 14 -- June 4th meeting on page eight, Mr. Hopkins says there are eight buses. So we'd like to know is it three or is it eight? And that does make a difference. Because if the parking lot, the entrance --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think they clarified in terms of number of vans, buses, and --

MR. HYAMS: When you say you have 120 feet of area to go, that's the size of three buses, and I know that they plan on staging them. We don't know where. But you're having just -- you're just

going to create a problem, you know. That's the same problem that we're having. I believe that it's just going to -- you know, you're going to move things around and it's going to be exactly the same.

And basically, it was mentioned on the June 4th meeting on page 96 by Mark Gold, he made a statement saying we spent a lot of money and we need it, and that's in the record. Now, it's in the record. And --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Go ahead.

MR. HYAMS: And --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What's your point?

MR. HYAMS: And our point is if that can be, you know, on the record, I can put on the record we live here, our houses cost money too, and that's money, you know, to us, and if you add up our houses to the three houses that they bought, we probably cost more money. If he wants to say we spent money and money is power, then I would add up our money and it has power.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're extrapolating a conclusion that's an inappropriate one.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can I address some of the things you said. Not everything that is said to

us is taken as gospel or as law.

MR. HYAMS: Very good.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We have our own

interpretation of RLUIPA. We listened to what they have to say. It doesn't mean that we agree with everything. So when you say they spent a lot of money, we're not really concerned with how much someone spends on their application or on their school. That's not a concern. So you've heard a lot of stuff, and obviously, it's irritating, but it doesn't mean that we're taking it all at face value.

MR. HYAMS: I'm very pleased to hear that, thank you. And that's my statement. Thank you very much for listening.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is there anyone else in the audience who wants to speak to the matter?

Again, something new. Please step up here. State your name for the record.

MR. PULATANI: Tom Pulatani, 328 Mulry Lane.

I would like to know your interpretation of how
you see things, because I do think that you would
treat this issue seriously. I'm sure the

Mesivta Ateres Yaakov - 8/18/15

homeowners on Mulry Lane are concerned. We also promote the school and whatever it wants to do with it, but we have to look at safety issues.

The gentleman to the left, he's never witnessed the fire drill in his life from what he just said. He turns back to the rabbi to ask him. And that's another concern, because I have nieces and nephews and brothers and sisters, and if that causes a problem on the block and nobody knows what to do, that's an issue in my eyes. I mean, I think there's a lot of questions.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What is the issue with the fire drill? Every school has fire drills. Every school has fire drills.

MR. PULATANI: He doesn't know the procedure.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What does that have to do
with anything?

MR. PULATANI: Human life.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How does that bear on the issues tonight?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You're not the principal of the school. You're the president of the school. It's the purview of the principal of the school who oversees the fire drills, that's -- someone has to help me out here. By New York State law

it's the principal of the school that oversees fire safety, not the president of the school.

The president of the school, this might be a part-time job for him, and he may not be there during the day. But I understand you have a concern.

MR. PULATANI: Okay. The fire chief sitting here just said that it was going to be an issue if they park on Mulry, if they park on Washington, and all I hear is excuses, which kind of, you know --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Those are two separate items. One was school buses blocking the fire trucks.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ PULATANI: But what if there's an actual fire?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If there's an actual fire,
I really can't answer, but I can tell you the
school buses are going to move away pretty
quickly. I'm not speaking for the school, but I'm
just speaking as a --

MR. PULATANI: I'm speaking for human life that's in the school and human life that's on the block. That's a concern to me, and I think to a lot of residents. That's number one the biggest

Mesivta Ateres Yaakov - 8/18/15

1 issue for us.

As far as the school and the gymnasium, by all means you could build it. I mean, if you abide by the laws. Mr. Capobianco is a great architect. I'm a builder. I don't see it feasible to have one handicapped parking spot on that space. I don't see it. Maybe he does, but I don't. I've been building for seventeen years. And if he could show us again, I'd really like to entertain that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, it's on the plans.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I think that the handicapped spaces is something we've never addressed, nor is it --

MR. PULATANI: When will it be addressed? This meeting, the next meeting, next year?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Do we address handicapped spaces at the Board?

MR. GRAY: The amount of handicapped spaces is not dictated by the Village Code. It's something handled by federal law.

MS. PUGLIESE: We need 188 spots for the proposal.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ms. Pugliese.

MR. PULATANI: 188 spots for that structure.

MS. PUGLIESE: You could give us 90, give us half.

MR. PULATANI: It doesn't make sense. It doesn't add up. They should add up to you. I know you're trying to be as fair as possible, and so am I. I would love the structure there. I don't see any objection to it, but it has to be safe.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think -- I think you're losing sight of the fact that the school has been there for five years or longer, okay. So the situation obtained in terms of the shortage of spaces, that's always been there. They have a CO that they inherited from the existing school that was there previously. So it's certainly within their legal rights to have the school.

The question is now adding the gymnasium, which the testimony is will not impact necessarily on traffic. That's been the testimony of the traffic study.

MR. PULATANI: I'm living there for 26 years. The traffic has only increased. I almost got hit actually by a building on the left-hand side on the medical center. The gentleman came flying out. I was with my niece and nephew, taking them

to the park. Let me just finish. He jumped into the parking lot that's going to be the proposed gymnasium. I mean, that threatened my life, and my niece and nephew. I don't know how important it is to the committee, but it's important to me. I mean, for the record, they should know it's not going to be just me.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Tom, tom, I don't want to cut you short. The fact of the matter is the traffic has been diminished greatly since the William Avenue thing took place, factually. I mean, I go there all the time. All the traffic that used to feed out of the supermarket, that used to feed into Mulry is greatly -- please let me finish.

MR. PULATANI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So the fact of the matter is this traffic has been diminished. The school has been there five years, nothing has changed. The question is whether the gymnasium will add to that in any which way. That's the discussion tonight, okay.

MR. PULATANI: And you don't see that clearly?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're not here to

question me. You're here to make testimony as to anything you want to add to it. Is there anything further you want to add?

MR. PULATANI: I think there's a lot of questions that need to be answered on the committee side. You said you see things in a different way. I'd like you to take a clear look at things on behalf of the committee and be fair to the whole entire block and the members of the school and the students, because it's a safety issue, and we'd like to review these blueprints. Once again, I've never seen them, and I'd like to take a look.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: With all due deference, this is the third meeting, with an adjournment. You've had more than adequate time. There's been meetings with the residents on the street. You've had more than adequate time to review the plans. It's insulting to us to come here tonight to say you haven't reviewed the plans.

MR. PULATANI: Absolutely, you're absolutely right. It's insulting to me too that you put human life secondary to --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't put anything, I'm sorry, all right. Is there anything further you

1 want to add? 2 MR. PULATANI: I think I've added enough. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you. 4 MR. PULATANI: I think you should really 5 think about it. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think sit, okay, thank 7 vou. That's it. 8 Anybody else in the audience who wants to 9 speak to the matter on something new, please step 10 forward. MS. JACOBS: I'm a parent in the school. 11 12 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sorry, you weren't 13 recognized. 14 MS. JACOBS: I'm sorry. How do I approach 15 this? 16 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Wait your turn. 17 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Wait your turn. 18 MS. JACOBS: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't realize, 19 I'm sorry. 20 MR. KERINS: Patrick Kerins, K-E-R-I-N-S, 21 351 Mulry. Couple of questions. One is, do you 22 have permits to start the buildings yet? 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Beg your pardon? 24 MR. KERINS: Do you have the permits to start 25 the buildings?

MR. RYDER: Mr. Kerins, it has to go through this process first. To answer your question, we're not at that step.

MR. KERINS: Thank you. Another question is, we come here, it's a large room, and the audience is quite a big audience tonight, and you're talking, Mr. Chairman, and as far as I'm concerned, you're talking to the people in the front row. There's no speakers, there's no sound system. You can't hear anything that's happening in the back from any one of you. You catch the words when you get angry or something like that you can hear them, but nobody can hear you. You can't hear what anybody is saying. You read statements and you can't hear them. Why don't you have a podium here so the people can hear you in the room?

As regards with Mulry Lane, I'm against it. That's number one.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You expressed that at the previous meeting.

MR. KERINS: I'm against it because I don't live there, but I have my grandkids who live there.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You expressed that at the

previous meeting. I don't want to cut you off.

This is a long meeting. Is there something new
you want to bring to our attention?

MR. KERINS: I would like to be able to hear what you're saying in the back. Why can't you have a mic here? That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Fine. Thank you very much.

MS. PUGLIESE: I mean, the only reason I read the record, not everybody got the record, and that's why I knew you stated RLUIPA, which I've never heard of before. It takes time to get all this together. We're not experts here. We're homeowners that pay taxes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Does anyone else want to speak to the matter? Please.

MS. JACOBS: My name is Kayla Jacobs. I'm a parent in the school. I apologize in advance. This is likely irrelevant, but it was brought up the need for the gym. And as my son just finished 9th grade, the boys have a very long day. There's Judaic studies as well as English studies. They do desperately need the outlet of physical activity. My son is outside playing, he's cramped. He says the ball goes over the fence and

they can't go get it. They really do need the 1 outlet of a gym on a daily basis. I think that it 2 would be very beneficial to him. I speak as part 3 of the parent body for all of the students, and 4 they're also -- even though yeshiva is not well 5 known for their sports teams, the boys are very, 6 7 very pro the varsity team. They have the football, they have basketball. 8 They go to the 9 This is -- they love -- they're very proud of what there is, and it is as far as the yeshiva 10 system goes, it's up there in the schools with the 11 programs that exist for yeshiva boys. 12 So that's 13 all I wanted to say.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No, we appreciate the comment. And as Miss Pugliese pointed out in her earlier remarks, it's a weighing of the benefit to the applicant as against any detriment to the neighbors, the neighborhood, et cetera. That's the burden on us after hearing all the testimony to weigh those benefits against any possible detriment that's already been outlined by neighbors and the like.

Anyone else, please.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FIBER: I did not have any -- Esther Fiber, F-I-B-E-R. I'm a parent of three children

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the school, and I'm also a neighbor. I live in Hewlett on the same street as a school, as a school that's been expanded upon three or four times in the nineteen years that I'm living on the block of the school.

I just want to speak to the neighbors on Mulry Lane. Just for -- just in my humble opinion of being a neighbor of a school for nineteen years, a school is a pretty good neighbor because, number one, the children are inside most of the There is a drop-off and there is a pickup, day. that is true, but 99 percent of the time they are good neighbors. They are mandated by laws of the fire department and alarm companies, and fire drills are done. I don't know about you, but I have no idea what goes on in my neighbors' homes. They demolish their homes. They build two homes where there was one home, and I have no idea what's going on. I have a new neighbor that moved directly next-door to me whose lawn is completely unkempt, and I have no choice. They're our new neighbors and we're trying to give them some time to prove themselves as good neighbors.

But I do know that Rabbi Yaffe and the administration do 100 percent of their due

1.0

diligence whenever possible to make events, you know, making parking available and doing events at certain times so that the neighbors are not disrupted. So that's all I wanted to say.

And as far as real estate taxes, my taxes and my property is still worth a lot. As you know, as my taxes and all the houses on the block that have sold, you know, in the last couple of years on my block near the school are comparable to everything else in the neighborhood.

MS. PUGLIESE: You're a real estate professional?

MS. FIBER: I actually am working on my license.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please don't respond.

MS. PUGLIESE: When you get a license come back.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Does anybody else want to comment at this point?

MR. LIEBER: Jason Lieber, L-I-E-B-E-R. I just wanted to point out again, obviously, you know, I agree with the need for the gym. But I also wanted to say, particularly Rabbi Yaffe, as a parent I can tell you he is extremely conscious of safety in any way possible, and I've seen that,

you know, in many different instances.

And in terms of, you know, someone mentioned about, you know, the properties and, you know, this is a school that runs on donations, and

this is a school that runs on donations, and there's been a lot of interest on the parents. I don't think there's one school that I know of in the entire Five Towns that doesn't have a gym, and there's a tremendous need. If the kids would be running in here, I don't think we want 190 kids

here tonight, but they all are desperate for this gym. And you know, there wouldn't be -- you know,

it's not like we could buy and build a huge

parking lot. There's no money for that. The

parents are donating money for this gym and, you

know, it is a tough block. There's a train,

there's Gourmet Glatt, we know all that. But you

know, we're not adding more kids, and these kids

really deserve to have a $\operatorname{\mathsf{gym}}$, and I just wanted to

say that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Again, the question becomes they deserve to have at what expense. So we have neighbors who are very concerned about, you know, their lifestyle over there and that have a legitimate concern. The question is balancing the equity here and seeing if we can achieve

something that's satisfactory to all parties.

MR. LIEBER: From my understanding they are adding that lane for buses, which is an improvement.

MS. PUGLIESE: Why didn't they have a gym five years and now they need it? Mr. Gold, don't shake your head; it's very rude and disrespectful.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ms. Pugliese, you've had ample time in your presentation. Thank you. Any further questions from the Board?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're going to move into Executive Session for five to ten minutes, and then we'll be back.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ladies and gentlemen, we're back on the record.

Mr. Gray, counsel to the Board.

MR. GRAY: I just wanted to clarify that when you made that motion to go into Executive Session, it was to be stated for taking legal advice for counsel, and that's what we did. So I just want to confirm that, that that was the purpose of the Executive Session; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Very much so.

MR. GRAY: Very good. I also want to now announce that we are now out of Executive Session, we're into regular session, and I want to state that no action was taken in Executive Session, no vote or action taken by the Board.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That is correct.

Okay. The Board is burdened with evaluating the equities of the situation. As it has been mentioned, we have to review the benefit to the applicant against any potential harm or detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighbors and the neighborhood. It's a very difficult balancing test for us. We want to take into consideration all of the aspects in terms of the school, and at the same time all of the evidentiary and testimony presented by the neighbors, and most importantly by the professionals.

We've had two very important presentations tonight, both by the school superintendent as well as the chief of the fire department. In light of that, we are not prepared to issue a decision tonight. We're going to reserve decision. And hopefully, we will give due consideration to everything that's been testified to tonight as

well as the previous hearings. And so we adjourn the hearing at this point.

MR. GRAY: Are you going to close the public hearing?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll close the public hearing. There will be no discussion at that next hearing. It will just be the issuance of a decision. And we will advise both the applicant and people from the opposition or the neighbors as to what date that will be. Hopefully, it will be in time for the September 9th meeting.

Okay, thank you very much.

MR. HOPKINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at

8:45 p.m.)

Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter

25