1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE	
2	BOARD OF APPEALS	
3		
4		Village Hall 196 Central Avenue
5		Lawrence, New York
6		June 24, 2015 7:57 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	Mesvita Ateres Yaakov of Greater Long Island
9		131 Washington Avenue Lawrence, New York
10		
11	PRESENT:	
12		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
13		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
14 15		MR. MARK SCHRECK Member
16		MR. JOEL GANZ Member
17		MR. DANIEL HILLER
18		Member
19		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDER
21		Building Department
22		
23		
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next matter tonight is Mesivta Ateres Yaakov. Will their representative step forward. Mr. Ganz was not present, so the discussion will continue from last time.

MR. HOPKINS: Well, I'm not quite sure how,
Mr. Chairman, you would like to proceed. If I
could just make a few comments, and then if there
are any questions to be asked.

I want everybody, the Board and those in the audience who might be neighbors to understand the school is very much attuned to and sensitive to and understands the issues that concern the neighbors.

We know and it was discussed at the hearing back on June 4th, 2015 that the neighbors are not interested in seeing the buses that bring the kids to the school and from the school on Mulry in particular. We want to and we would like to reinforce that based upon the testimony of Mr. Eschbacher, that by having the lane that will come onto the subject property it is the expert's opinion, and I think everybody would probably agree, that it will tend to mitigate any problems caused by the buses that would reflect the current situation. So we would trust that the buses and

the vans coming onto our property to pick up and drop off the children should mitigate in a material way the complaints of the locality.

The school is also going to appoint a specific person to be designated a student traffic coordinator. The person is going to be responsible to monitor, coordinate and streamline the arrival and departure activities. Again, the desire being to minimize the impact and the disruption, if any, on the neighborhood.

The school will continue to work with the school district with regard to the idling of buses, which by law cannot exceed five minutes idling time. And again, the cutoff onto the property and the movement onto the property of the buses should really be mitigated as a consequence of that. Also, this will tend to de-emphasize traffic and pickup and drop-off because, as we said at the last presentation, the front as it were, the entrance to the school is really going to be on Mulry, as a practical proposition. So we believe that's going to mitigate issues on Washington as well.

The desire of the school is to minimize the impact as far as humanly possible on the

neighbors, and the neighbors should feel comfortable bringing issues to the school if anything is happening that they believe to be untoward.

And you will recall at the last meeting there were some discussions vis-à-vis the use of a basketball court late at night, which should be rendered academic by moving that inside by definition, and also that the children that were involved actually were young men, they were not affiliated with the school.

But I want to reinforce that if it should turn out that the children are doing things that are inappropriate it should be brought to the attention of the school, and the school will handle it. The school is willing to formalize the reporting procedures to ensure that the neighbors will have a specific person fundamentally 24/7 365 to contact in the event that they have a concern. Said person is going to be responsible not only to investigate but to report back to the neighbors with regard to the resolution.

And you will recall, Mr. Chairman, at the hearing several weeks ago when I asked the rabbi if any of the things that were said that night

were shown to be true, and that were shown to be the conduct of some of the students at the school, from a disciplinary point of view with the school this would include up to expulsion, and the answer to the question was yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. HOPKINS: We're going to make sure that the meetings with the neighbors are more formalized and come at a more regular basis than perhaps they've been done in the past, which tended to be ad hoc.

We want the neighbors to know that the houses on Mulry are in the process of being demolished. I know that that was a concern because there were older students at that house. That should not be a problem with a little bit of luck with those houses being gone. I want to emphasize there are no plans to replace it with any other facility at the present time.

The school will reinforce strenuously the existing rules which they have on the books right now that will forbid high school students from driving to school and parking on Mulry. It will also be formalized a similar protocol with regard to the older students to the extent that that may

become an issue in the future.

I think, I would trust, I would hope that with the expert testimony that was I believe unrebutted that we will be mitigating many of the complaints that people have. We'll be taking things inside. We'll be taking traffic off the street. We'll be taking buses off the street. We're providing more parking than is currently provided for. And the noise issue should be -- should be abated dramatically.

Also as I mentioned a few moments ago, making the gym a secure location will make it impossible for third parties to use it and cause disruption to the neighbors.

So I just want this Board to understand in making this decision that we are sensitive to the needs of the neighbors, and I would trust and hope that what's been proposed, the plan of action as it were, would certainly be acceptable to the Board.

And if there are any specific questions, I have Mr. Gold here to answer them.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: President Gold.

MR. HOPKINS: President Gold.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Good. We're very pleased

1 with the forthcoming attitude of the school and 2 the immediate attention to some of the concerns of 3 the neighbors. One of the things that I was hoping is that the individual who would be 4 5 responsible for the traffic control over there 6 should have some enhanced power so that in the 7 event of buses that are idling they're not going 8 to passively deal with it, they are going to 9 proactively be dealing with it. All matters 10 relating to traffic control on that street are 11 really attributable for the most part to the 12 school in terms of the buses and the dropping off, 13 especially with the gymnasium now being there and 14 that being the focal point for the entrance to the 15 school. I think we have to be very proactive 16 about it.

MR. HOPKINS: Absolutely. And I think that
-- I'm sure the school is being proactive and is
making sure that's being addressed.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Hopkins, can I address something about proactivity?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Of course.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You mentioned the school took things -- listened to the neighbors, took things to heart and you act proactively. On one

occasion only, I went on Mulry Lane in the morning, it was between 8:30 and 9. Two cars were parked on Mulry Lane only, both belonged to students.

MR. HOPKINS: I'm incapable of answering that. If Mr. Gold, President Gold is familiar with it. I truthfully don't know. I don't know how --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It was an observation. I'm telling you I was only there once and it was about two weeks after the last hearing. So certainly, there was enough time to tell students they can't park on Mulry. I don't know how long they were parked for. One was parked halfway between Washington and William. The other one was parked a little bit closer to the school.

MR. HOPKINS: Again, Mr. Gottlieb, I'm not familiar with the experience.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm speaking to the nature of you going to control things, but two weeks after the hearing they weren't being controlled.

RABBI YAFFE: Two weeks after the hearing there was no longer school.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have to identify yourself.

RABBI YAFFE: Sorry. I'm Rabbi Mordechai Yaffe. I'm the headmaster of the school. Two weeks after our hearing there was no longer classes.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOPKINS: I hope that would satisfy you, Mr. Gottlieb, but look, the person -- and I've stressed this to President Gold and the rabbis, the absolute importance of being on top of issues so that if something with regard to the idling or a student abusing something it's done forthwith and handled promptly, otherwise it's just going to engender misunderstanding at perhaps the most benign way of looking at it, and animosity with the neighbors if you look at it a little bit differently. So they understand the importance, that these procedures are going to be formalized in a plan of action, and are perfectly willing to adopt them to carry them out. And as we discussed them before in terms of discipline, if a student should be doing something like that, as a practical proposition, he could run the risk of expulsion if he continues to be an abuser, as a practical proposition. But the school is aware, the school heard what the neighbors had to say,

and the school will enforce it to a degree with the cooperation of course of the school district, because I guess technically --

MR. SCHALL: I'm right here.

MR. HOPKINS: The school district is represented here tonight. I'll let the school district therefore speak for itself. But I just wanted everybody to know, and I know some of the people personally who are down here tonight, the school is going to do those things to be a good neighbor.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, we appreciate the presence of the officials of the school district. I think it speaks to the seriousness in which it's looked upon, and I just urge the school to understand that as we heard in the last hearing how important it is to be sensitive to the neighbors and to be as proactive. We would like nothing better to have a monthly meeting with neighbors and there be nothing to discuss.

MR. HOPKINS: Precisely, precisely.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So that would be the optimal situation. We recognize that we're working under a very difficult strained situation on Mulry Street. We understand the need for the

school to have a gymnasium; we're not insensitive to that. We have to deal with the reality and work around it.

MR. HOPKINS: It can be done, Mr. Chairman, it can be done.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We will prevail.

MR. HOPKINS: If nothing else, then I'll sit down, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We wanted to discuss a vote.

MR. HOPKINS: I'll remain standing.

MS. PUGLIESE: Well, a lot of the homeowners are here tonight. We would like to speak too.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: By all means, we'll recognize you.

MS. PUGLIESE: Hi, how are you? I spoke last week. Antoinette Pugliese, 381 Mulry Lane, right next-door to the all boys' dormitory fraternity house that we spoke about last time.

I know you're saying that you're doing everything to make the homeowners happy. We're not happy. Nobody is happy on that block. I have a petition here with about 36 signatures going from 304 Mulry Lane to 394 Mulry Lane of people who oppose the building and this proposed

1.5

application for this gymnasium. You know, these are all the reasons; I could hand this to you. No one is happy about it. I mean, you know, this impedes on our quiet enjoyment of our block. You know, this is a residential block. You know, when we moved here, it was just houses. Now, this is a huge eyesore. Just the actual corner school that's there, which is not moving, but now this gymnasium is going to be another eyesore and more noise and traffic and, you know, there's a lot of littering from the boys walking up and down the block which, you know, we're getting frustrated about, you know. So I don't know who wants to take this. Do any of you want to take this (handing)?

MR. GRAY: We'll mark it as an exhibit.

MS. PUGLIESE: Yeah. You know, why is this a harm to Mulry Lane? The number one reason is this project causes a safety issue to the residents of Mulry Lane.

The number two reason is quality of life for the residents of Mulry Lane. You know, we live there. You guys don't live there. You don't see what's going on on a daily basis. Once this gymnasium is built and there is events going on at

the school, which I'd like to get a list of those events, and you know, more parents and other, you know, students from other schools coming there, you know, we're going to be coming home from work and there's cars being parked up and down, you know, our street. We want to come home and enjoy the quiet enjoyment of our home.

You know, located at the end of Mulry Lane on the left side is the elevated office building occupied by nine office spaces with parking below. Prior to that elevated office building, this property was owned by a previous owner of 131 Washington Avenue and was used as a parking lot. This is approximately 10,290 square feet. The previous owner did not use 131 Washington Avenue as a high school or an adult school, okay; they had this big parking lot there. Cars enter the parking lot of this elevated commercial building from Washington Avenue and exit onto Mulry Lane. This creates more traffic on Mulry Lane.

You know, I noticed traffic on Washington

Avenue. They use the parking lot as a

turn-around, you know, back onto Washington

Avenue. This would be a safety issue for the high

school, for this adult school if the proposed main entrance was located on Mulry Lane. None of the homeowners want this, you know, the proposed, you know, entrance on Mulry Lane, okay. This is a residential block. You know, put the two together, the office and the proposed school, you now have more congestion and traffic at the end of Mulry Lane turning on Washington Avenue. Add the traffic coming from Rockaway Turnpike and William Street and the residents leaving homes, which is normal because we live on that block, further add the traffic coming out of the CVS parking lot and if the railroad crossing is down you now have complete gridlock.

If an emergency event occurs at this time, emergency vehicles cannot respond, you know, creating a life-or-death situation for the residents on Mulry Lane and the students and staff of the proposed school if something were to happen to them. Would anyone be willing to risk this here? Would anybody want to risk a life?

In addition, you know, we spoke last -- a couple weeks ago about the Lawrence Cedarhurst Fire Department, noting that in their 2/23/2015 letter to Michael Ryder that this is definitely

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

something that would impede and create a dangerous situation and impede a fire department response in the area. So I don't know, nobody really addressed that. No one addressed it. Did anybody speak to the chief of the Lawrence Cedarhurst Fire Department?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder, has Lawrence Cedarhurst weighed in on this?

MR. RYDER: Yes. I spoke to them several times. I spoke to the chief, Chief Jack McHugh. We had several conversations that I shared with the Chairman, and there was a letter submitted in with the record as well.

MS. PUGLIESE: So, you know, how are we going to address this if there is like an emergency situation and there's all this traffic on the street?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm trying to understand.

MS. PUGLIESE: It's just going to cause more --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: There is an existing situation today with the school. We're not increasing the school population. You heard the testimony to that effect.

MS. PUGLIESE: Yeah, but --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let me finish. We are not increasing the school population. The question is we're discussing the construction of a gymnasium, all right, the demolition of an existing eyesore and issues relating to the frat house, as we denominated it. So the question becomes specifically to the applicant and what they're seeking at this point, what impact, what increased impact on Mulry Lane is there going to be. some extent it would appear that the drop-off that's being created it will serve to minimize and mitigate what's going on now currently on Mulry The fact that the building on stilts has a parking lot has been there for a long period of time, and if it's an untenable condition, it has been an untenable condition all along. So I'd like to narrow the discussion to the impact of the gymnasium.

MS. PUGLIESE: I'll go into the parking situation. I don't know how this could be passed because it's just -- there's not enough space in the proposed plan to create this structure 24,000 square feet, very narrow, one-way street. The proposed 14-space parking is a joke. It's irrational for a structure this size. You know,

the previous owner, this is how it all ties in, had 10,000 square feet parking lot for the existing structure, you know, which is, you know, he had a lot of parking spaces. So you want 14 spots for 188 students, staff, all of that. I don't see any space, you know, that in this proposed plan for the parking, you know. Where are people going to park? You know, that's the main issue here. You know, common sense says you need a lot more space, you know.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're not increasing the population. That's what I'm trying to understand.

MS. PUGLIESE: There's no parking already.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We're adding, again, based on the --

MS. PUGLIESE: You're not adding a parking garage though, are you?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Again, that's not the question on the table at this point.

MS. PUGLIESE: Okay. So then also, you can also see at the last meeting the proposed addition would be ADA compliant, but what about handicapped parking? Please tell me how many handicapped spots would be allotted for a structure for 24,000 square feet. Does anybody know?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do they have ADA?

MR. RYDER: Yes. I would have to pull that out.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They have ADA-allocated.

MS. PUGLIESE: I just want to know how many
allotted spots are going to be handicapped spots.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll get back to you on that.

MS. PUGLIESE: Are they designating any spots in the proposed plan?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sure they are.

MS. PUGLIESE: All right, I definitely want to see that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll find out. Go ahead.

MS. PUGLIESE: Again, the Village Code
requires 188 spots for a structure this size. It
doesn't matter if you have different shifts for
the staff members. But pardon my lack of
knowledge about what's going on at the school,
because honestly, I really -- this is my problem
now because I live there, but I don't think it
should be. Does the school have back-to-school
night, parent-teacher conferences, meetings with
the parents, other events, you know? No one
discussed when these events are going to occur,

when I come home from work what's going to be going on on my block. You know, and even tonight, I mean --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're going back to whether the school should be there. That's not our issue.

MS. PUGLIESE: It's not about the school. I think once you have the gymnasium and you're having sports and --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're speaking about back-to-school night, that they have currently. They have a school there, they have a C of O, it's a pre-existing situation. It has nothing to do with tonight, it's not in our purview.

MS. PUGLIESE: Okay. Well, let's say when the gymnasium is built and then they have, you know, basketball games and, you know, other games, where are people going to park?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: There was testimony to that at the last hearing.

MS. PUGLIESE: Yeah. I mean, nobody got any answers. All right, what else? Garbage pickup --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I thought there was.

Miss Pugliese, you realize the school is there?

MS. PUGLIESE: Yeah, definitely.

2.0

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: At the last hearing there was specific testimony as to how many times they're going to have sporting events, the type of sporting events, how many people will be attending. Sports are not a big function of the school. Every school does need to have a gymnasium. I believe it's New York State law or it's required to have, if not a gymnasium, some sort of physical education program. Just the same, there was detail as to how much it would be used, and it didn't sound like it was very frequent. It was not nightly. I believe it was weekly.

MS. PUGLIESE: But no one knows. We're not getting any answers. Nobody is giving us any definite answers. But once it's built and it's going on all the time, nobody wants to have monthly meetings about this. I'm busy. We're all busy. We have jobs, we have families.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Excuse me. This can't be --

MS. PUGLIESE: You know what I mean? It's a residential block.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We had a hearing on this previously. This is a continuation on the

hearing. We had extensive testimony on this.

There was more than adequate time to reach out to the neighbors.

MS. PUGLIESE: Yeah, the neighbors are here and --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: May I finish, please?

Don't interrupt me.

MS. PUGLIESE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You've had more than ample time to bring this to the discussion. If you have these questions, you've had more than ample time between the last hearing and tonight to have brought this matter up for discussion. So you're renewing the same questions that you may have had questions about last time, but they could have been clarified. We had extensive testimony at the last hearing.

MS. PUGLIESE: Yeah. None of it gave us any answers, I'm just saying. And also, I thought we were going to bring all -- we were going to have this hearing tonight to speak, and the neighbors came, you know, who weren't able to come last time, and they all would like to speak as well. I'm not the only one who like has something to say.

What about quality of life? You know, we should be able to have peace and quiet, you know, during the week, on the weekends, without further increased noise, pedestrian traffic. We live on a residential block to enjoy the quiet, the peace and quiet. You know, Mulry Lane is not a main road. If this was Peninsula Boulevard, we would learn to live with it. The street never had this before and should not have it now, you know. And also, I do want to leave some time for there's another neighbor who would like to speak.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Great.

MS. PUGLIESE: And so I mean, like I said,
Dr. Schall, is that you? Okay, you're the
superintendent of the Lawrence high school
district. Okay, so you -- the Lawrence school
district provides bussing for students to any
school, all right, it's a state mandate. However,
Lawrence school district does not have control
over the bus company, all right. Lawrence school
district does not own the bus company. You can
say whatever you want to the bus company, but you
have no control over whether these buses are going
to be idling. That was another issue.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, we've had testimony

that it's against the law to idle, and they're going to have a traffic individual who is going to bring it to the attention of the drivers and report it to the school district. There are means of addressing it in a more aggressive fashion than heretofore perhaps.

MS. PUGLIESE: All right. I mean, an example, this winter the bus drivers were there, you know, idling, you know, the buses, you know, were on, you know, the exhaust was coming into our properties. You know, in the winter, I don't think somebody is going to be turning off their bus and waiting I think if it's 20 degrees. I don't know if you can give us, you know, a definite answer of whether those buses will be turned off in the winter, you know, to pick up and drop off.

Let's see. All right, if these plans are approved, this Board will be relating a message to the residents of Mulry Lane and the residents of Lawrence that buy a piece of property in the Village of Lawrence, or multiple properties on the same street, and eventually you do whatever you want, it doesn't matter what the residents say. You know, it's just -- this is what's going on.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: At some point you're going to cross a line in terms of --

MS. PUGLIESE: No, but this is what you're saying. This is the message that it's giving to Mulry Lane, it really is. I'm just being honest with you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The hyperbole is enough. Who else wants to speak to it? Whoever wants to speak, is free to speak.

MS. ADDONA: Hi.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Could you just tell us your name and address.

MS. ADDONA: My name is Myriam Addona,

A-D-D-O-N-A, 348 Mulry Lane. I speaking because
it's 38 years I living in this block, 38 years.

My block is so nice and quiet, everything
beautiful. This temple is no high school. Now
they put the school, I don't know why, because
they never had a school. Because I go over there
sometimes on Fridays with my friends, but never I
see the school. Now, the kids are coming to the
block they allow at 12:00 at night. The other
night I almost call the police because they coming
in, they screaming and laughing, everything at
12:00 at night. Everybody working. I have

children. And this is not nice, but they're doing it because they don't give answers for us. You say something, they don't like. But me, I think it's not right what they doing now in the Village.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you very much.

MS. ADDONA: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any other neighbor or anyone who wants to speak to it?

MR. SCHALL: Gary Schall.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Just the neighbors, Mr. Schall.

MR. HYAMS: Hello. My name is Richard Hyams. I live at 376 Mulry Lane.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Where is that in reference to the school?

MR. HYAMS: Oh, I'd say maybe I'm six houses from the end across from the school, and from the people that just talked I am maybe -- where the gym is going to be, it's going to be across the street and two houses over, okay.

And my issues are I believe this area is saturated. I mean, I don't think you need experts or anything. You just have to have eyes to see the traffic on Mulry to Washington to like what was said when the railroad tracks go down. We had

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

a -- it took us a while, but on Mulry Lane at the end of the street we have arrows going left and right so we wouldn't get frozen when the gates were down for the tracks, and it was just one thing, people couldn't move. For the past -- how long has the school been there? For the past five years they've used that as, you know, a bus loading and unloading for two small buses, one large bus, so that freezes us out at certain times of day. So I could see, yes, they want to, you know, move the buses, but I'd like to know how long -- how many buses are you going to have, the big school buses?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have to address your questions here.

MR. HYAMS: I'm sorry. How many large school buses are they going to have? Did they say?

Whatever. I believe -- what I could see is they have four up front, one is five, and they have two small ones. So if you add it up, I looked it up, a school bus is at least 40 feet long. If you have five of them, that's 200 feet. Yet they're saying they have 120 feet that they're giving for the buses. Where are the other buses going to be? They have mentioned that they have no control. I

have it in writing in the notes they sent us. You know, can I read something that they sent to us?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sure.

MR. HYAMS: This is dated May 28th, 2014 when they first invited us over to talk about it. And basically it says: The situation is exacerbated by the district and private buses that services our schools twice each day. It has been disappointing to us they have not always been respectful of people's needs to drive on local streets and driveways.

So basically, they are admitting that they have, you know, no power over the people that are going to, you know --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, we're fortunate tonight because the head of the school district is here, so we'll ask those questions.

MR. HYAMS: Okay. Also: We should be encouraging our faculty and staff to not to park on Mulry Lane and, you know, our seniors, you know, most of our seniors that drive, in fact, the vast majority live within walking distance.

So basically, I believe that they should all walk; it's a good form of exercise, no gym. You know, that would be -- that would be just a good

1 way of doing it. 2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is that letter just an 3 informational letter or did they invite you to a meeting? 4 MR. HYAMS: "Dear neighbor," yes, "we want to 5 6 keep you posted on our gym proposal." 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Was there a meeting with 8 the neighbors that you attended? 9 MR. HYAMS: No. I've been in and out of 10 surgery and things, so I've been pretty much 11 restricted to home. 12 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sorry to hear that. 13 MR. HYAMS: Basically, like here's another 14 one from March. 15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Was there a meeting of 16 neighbors that you couldn't attend? 17 MR. HYAMS: I'm not sure. We've talked about 18 it, you know, but --19 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Continue, continue. 2.0 MR. HYAMS: Okay. And from March 24 it says: 21 Our goal is to ensure we do not make it worse and 22 that we do not inconvenience our neighbors. 23 Our neighbors are saying, you know, 24 otherwise. 25 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That it's untenable right

now, yes.

MR. HYAMS: Things like that, you know. We want to help keep our students safe indoors and reduce impact on our neighbors.

Now, I notice that I guess the lawyer for the school, for MAY, mentioned that just, you know, basically let them know what the problems are, you know, and they'll work on it. I've been letting them know for five years. I've never gotten anything about the students that drive.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Who did you speak to?

MR. HYAMS: I spoke to a rabbi a couple of times. The headmaster told me to take down the license plates and things like that, you know, because we had a problem. People were coming in from Washington Avenue as the said medical building. Instead of going around the block to enter William, they're going either completely wrong down Mulry to get a parking space, or backwards down Mulry to get a parking space.

They're going into our driveways. My wife and I -- I can't say always, but it happens, you know, enough to notice it and to be annoying that the cars are -- when she's going to work, a car is coming in the other direction, things like that,

peo

you know, that is a pain to, you know, a lot of people.

The parking I don't know -- you know, I heard someone saying about -- this gentleman about how many spots, you know, that they take. Basically, I'm home all the time, I'm recovering, and I'd say they take twenty spots all day long. They're there from whenever they come in 9:00 in the morning. Some days, maybe Thursdays, they're there till nine, ten at night. The two-hour parking they do not respect. The Village comes occasionally.

I've seen -- yes, I've seen this, this is

true, just to let you know, I've seen that -- I've

seen somebody, either an instructor, an older

gentleman, different people on occasions, could be

a -- you know, I don't know the titles there. So

when the Village marks these tires, they come with

a squirt bottle and a little brush and they clear

that off. We've mentioned that to them. It's

still being -- well, I haven't seen it in a while.

It's still being done. And that's just showing me

the respect they have for the neighbors and for

the law. If I don't want to stop at a stop sign,

am I allowed to just take the sign down and say,

well, it's no problem anymore.

2

3

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We understand.

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, they seem to be nice. I mostly see the boys; they're nice and polite, you know, they're fine, but they take every inch, plus in people's driveways. You know, they're kids, they're going back and forth, you know. They're kids, I mean, you know. I can't blame them for being kids, but just they're not respectful.

MR. HYAMS: So basically, the school is --

And I believe also that when the school buses are coming down Mulry, that's the blight, that's the problem that it's going to cause. It's not the fact that the gym is there, but the school buses, the larger buses I notice come down and park down Washington so they're coming straight down Washington. One bus usually, and two small buses park at the end of the street which is very annoying because it blocks us. So when they come across from Mulry, and even if they're going to be going into their little zone, they're still coming on Mulry.

MEMBER SCHRECK: Can I interrupt you for a second?

MR. HYAMS: Sure.

MEMBER SCHRECK: If we were to insist, hypothetically, that there be no buses going down Mulry, no pickup --

MR. HYAMS: It can't be done.

MEMBER SCHRECK: Let's assume that. Would the bulk of your opposition then be removed?

Would the neighbors basically then go along with this? Not be thrilled about it, but does that really represent -- basically, from the last hearing that's what sounded to be the main issue, the buses idling, the amount of the buses. Even if they sort of go into that little drop-off that's going to be by the gym, if we were to insist that there's going to be no buses going down Mulry, would the opposition from the neighbors basically cease?

MR. HYAMS: How will they get to the gym?

MEMBER SCHRECK: Well, maybe -- I don't want
to go into that right now.

MR. HYAMS: That would be a big plus in my personal, you know, book, you know, things like that. But also, the buses are going down Mulry, they're going to go in there, whatever, and according to them, 120 feet is what they told me, but the buses, like I said, are going to be close

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to 200 feet. There are going to be buses that are hiding somewhere, waiting to -- you know, waiting, staging, waiting to get back in there, you know. That's going to, I believe, cause a problem. I have photos of them. I don't know if it's -- you know, of them staging, waiting to go pick up people.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: By all means, please submit them. We'd like to see them.

MR. HYAMS: Here's another one (handing). could explain to you what it is. That's from my That's from my kitchen, six houses in. They're just sitting there. The other one the doors are opened and the kids walk down the block. This was before, and they are just -- they're staged there because there's no room, you know. So basically, they just open up. And you know, like with my neighbors, one of them is there, we're sitting there in the front of the house, the bus comes, it's making noise, belching smoke, and the bus is -- you know, opens the door, and it's just waiting there. The bus drivers are polite, but you know, they're, you know, you're not my boss type of thing, and you can't argue, but you say, please, you know, come on.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you very much.

2

I have a couple, one or two MR. HYAMS:

3

things.

4

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please.

MR. HYAMS: So as the buses come down Mulry, 5 6 now when they get to the end of the block, as I 7 was saying, they were coming down from Washington 8 in a straight line most of them, the big buses, 9 and heading towards Central; that's not a problem. 10 But when you take a bus that's at least 40 feet 11 long, put it at the end of -- you know, a lot of 12 them, and you have massive traffic there, a bus 13 can't turn like a car can. That bus is -- you 14 know, UPS picks up at that time, you know, people 15 deliver. There's ambulances, you know. There's 16 the -- you know, the sight line is really, you 17 know, corrupted there. So if you have a big bus 18 trying to make a turn in traffic, you know, it's 19 going to stop traffic from coming up. You know, I 20 used to be a truck driver, I know. I know the 21 space you have to have; your wheel has to clear 22 the curb. It's not just like a little -- your 23 neighbor's car, SUV, whatever, you know.

needs a lot of space. So coming off of the qym

and through Mulry you're going to jam everybody

25

24

up. The buses, you know, it's one way. The buses have to make that turn. That is going to freeze everybody, I know that for a fact.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Same as the existing situation right now, correct?

MR. HYAMS: No. The buses were coming straight down Washington so they didn't have to turn from Mulry onto Washington. They were in a straight line. They were parked. Two or three of them were parked in front of the temple, the school temple, and another one is usually parked in front of the medical building. So when one goes, they shift, but they're going in a straight line.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Understood.

MR. HYAMS: So like I said, the ones that come and, you know, like I said, the school, nice enough people, but we're saturated. We would just like a break. It shouldn't be --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We understand. Anything else you wanted to add?

MR. HYAMS: No, and thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you. Any other

neighbors?

MR. ELIO: Could I talk a little, sir?

Mesivta Ateres Yaakov - 6/24/15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please step forward, identify yourself for the record. MR. ELIO: Good evening, sir. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Name and address. MR. ELIO: My name is Mr. Elio. I live at 377 Mulry Lane. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Where is that in reference to the school? MR. ELIO: Where the school is there's one, two, three houses. There's three houses going house. The other day, these stupid kids don't

two, three houses. There's three houses going down. It's right across the street from Rich's house. The other day, these stupid kids don't look where they're going. I was walking by, this stupid kid is backing up, backing up. I come, hey, yo, don't you look where you're going? Oh, no. I said, excuse me? I'm with my wife. What are you going to do, run me over? And these kids, they don't care.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: He was driving on Mulry; is that what you're saying?

MR. ELIO: I was walking on Mulry Lane coming home.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MR. ELIO: This kid who is parked by where the fence is by where they have like the parking

area or so.
CHAIRMA
MR. ELI

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. ELIO: Okay. He got in his car. He didn't even bother to look.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MR. ELIO: I'm passing by. He almost knocked me over. I'm saying, don't you look where you're going? Oh, no, I don't look where I'm going. He almost ran me over. I almost got killed. That was one time.

Another time they park in front of my driveway. I asked the man to move; he told me, you know, where to go. He didn't care.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is this a student at the school?

MR. ELIO: The school, the kids, when they park.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The students from the school?

MR. ELIO: The kids park in front of my driveway. I asked him to move, and you know what they told me, everything else. They take their garbage, they have the cups, the cans, throw them on the sidewalk. They throw them in my driveway.

My father-in-law doesn't understand English;

my father-in-law talks Italian. They're looking at him like he doesn't know what he's talking about, he's crazy, he doesn't understand.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Are these the students living across the street in the house or they're in the school? What's your impression?

MR. ELIO: We're coming down --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Were those the students -there were complaints about those living in the
houses, that belong in these houses.

MR. ELIO: Yeah, the kid came out of the school, came out of the school, got in the car, he's going, I'm walking, he could see. He didn't care, got in the car and almost knocked me over. That was okay? I don't think that was okay. I was furious. And then if you ask him, please, you know, they tell you everything else, but I'm not going to say the words what they said, but they say everything else to you. They look at you like you're out of your mind. Then they throw the cups, the garbage, they'll curse at you, they'll tell you where to go. You know, I don't think that's the right thing to do. I've been out here 22 years, and I says to my wife, I like it out here, it's nice and quiet, it's country-like, it's

very nice, it's peaceful, I like the area. Then all of a sudden these kids come and do as they please. Do you think that's fair?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Obviously not. Okay, thank you very much.

MR. ELIO: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any other neighbors?
Dr. Schall.

MS. PUGLIESE: There's another neighbor here. There's one more neighbor here.

MR. KERINS: Patrick Kerins, K-E-R-I-N-S. I have a residence at 351 Mulry Lane. Now I live at 330 Pacific Avenue in Cedarhurst. I have a residence there. My grand kids live there in the downstairs two-family house.

Mulry Lane is a one-way street, and it's a one-way street, period, because you couldn't bring a truck, you couldn't bring a car and a go-kart side by side on Mulry Lane. Coming off Washington Avenue and they make the turn onto Mulry Lane, then they go down and make a U-turn because they don't want to go all the way down to Rockaway Turnpike and come all the way up.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Who does?

MR. KERINS: People do that. I've seen it.

25

The fact of the matter is we are here, this is our second meeting. I was at the other one in the temple. You have, the Board here has decided that we are here -- you're here to get approval to build your gymnasium on Mulry Lane. So regardless of what happens here tonight, and I assure you the gym is going to go up regardless of what we say. Just a minute. Regardless of what we say here, the gym will go up. There will be traffic there. Don't tell me that if we take the buses off of Mulry Lane that it will be okay. You will not take the buses, even if you agree, it might be agreed when the building goes up, two months later here comes the buses. So we are here asking you -- all of a sudden there's a temple there which has been there for a long time. And I mean, when I moved to the Five Towns there was nothing here like that. You had a lumber yard across the road from the temple. You had a whole different ball The place has changed, and in my opinion it hasn't changed for the better. You think you're adding to the property, you're adding to the community, but you're taking one-family houses that are on Mulry Lane and people who are there all their life and add misery to them.

regardless of what we say or do, it's going to go ahead because it will be approved by people like you and everybody else, and it will go up.

I don't live there personally, but my grand kids live there, and I have a garage that I go use there and I go in. Half of the time I can't get into my driveway, and it's a two-car driveway. You can park two cars side by side. I pull half the way across from the republican club, right next to the republican club. So we're here to voice our opinion and that's all we can do.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We appreciate that.

MR. KERINS: And I guarantee you, our opinion is going to go down the drain, and I thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Dr. Schall.

SPEAKER: We have one more.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think she spoke last time, but if there's something new she wants to add.

MS. ZOROVICH: Yes. My name is Teresa. I was here last time. Teresa Zorovich, 382 Mulry Lane, right across the street of the school.

We are most affected from all the traffic, from the buses and the traffic. Again, I said

nobody cares because it's Mulry Lane. It's poor people houses, like mister said before. We live there, now our family, our houses destroyed because you people live in a bigger area. You don't understand. You can't understand because you have big homes, big properties. Why don't they build there. That's all I have to say. Everything what we do tonight went down the drain. You don't care for the poor people that live over there.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sorry you feel that way.

MS. ZOROVICH: I feel that way.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MS. ZOROVICH: If any of you lived there you would not approve.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Dr. Schall.

MR. SCHALL: Gary Schall, superintendent of the Lawrence schools. I came to offer, once again, my assurance and my commitment to the Board and to the community as well to resolve this problem from a logistical level, a timing level and a routing level. Once the driveway would be put in place, it would facilitate certain things, but much of this has to be looked at by the

district and the bus company. The bus company does work for the district. They work under our direction. If we have to hold back buses so that they're not sitting for an inordinate amount of time, excessive amounts of times, we will hold those buses at the yard so that they are not sitting on Mulry Lane, that they arrive at the appropriate time for pickup.

In terms of management of this, we work very closely with the school, and if the community wants to contact the school they can, but certainly you could contact our transportation office and we will be immediately responsive to any complaints related to drivers not following the procedures that we're going to put in place.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think the question is a broader question. Obviously, this situation has been in effect for a long period of time. It's now come to the surface because of the matter before us. The question is have you ever heard from neighbors in this regard? I mean, it's pretty egregious behavior. I'm not talking about the kids. I'm talking about in terms of the traffic and the idling and everything else. Any of us living there, whether we live in bigger

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

house elsewhere or smaller houses elsewhere are sympathetic to what we just heard. completely untenable situation. To kick the can down a road and say we're going to address it, we're talking about people who are living there and telling you about an existing situation. there -- can a plan be fashioned to satisfy these people, and not just mouthing words, and again, I'm not suggesting you are. I see Dr. Mansdorfer here as well; I'm sure he's heard the testimony. It's heartrending to listen to these poor people, not in the sense of poverty, but in terms of who are put upon by the situation, and now we're going to perhaps exacerbate the situation. Whether things will be better, there's an existing situation that none of us would tolerate.

MR. SCHALL: I was aware of the situation early in the year. We put certain things into place. I honestly was not called by any community members in the transportation office. There was no alert sent up to me that there were complaints by the community.

If I had heard this level of complaint earlier in the year, we would have taken more serious action in terms of the management and

2.2

timing of the buses. We're talking about what time that bus gets to the school. We can control that. If a bus is sitting in front of a house for ten minutes idling, that's totally unacceptable to us. We would act upon that type of complaint immediately.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Or tying up the right-hand lane so that people who want to make that right turn onto Washington can't make it?

MR. SCHALL: I had a conversation with Nick
Fabrizio just today -- Nick Fabrizio, the
president of the company. If we have to hold the
buses back at the yard and release it five
minutes, it takes five minutes to get from the
yard to the school, we will hold the buses at the
yard to prevent this situation from happening next
year.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I mean, I would suggest to the neighbors you have Dr. Schall here tonight. I think it would be important after we finish the discussion of this matter that you meet with him outside and you can start, you know, your communication with him. Okay.

MR. SCHALL: Thank you.

MS. PUGLIESE: I have another question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No, I'm sorry, you had your opportunity.

MS. PUGLIESE: No? All right, I have more questions though.

MR. HYAMS: Can I address something that the superintendent said?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think you should address the superintendent after.

MR. HYAMS: Well, it has something to do with -- it would just be one minute.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

Now, speaking, they said like MR. HYAMS: have any of the locals, the residents, you know, spoken to the district, have we talked to them? Well, the temple said, you know, go through them for everything, just let -- you know, let them know, and like I read before, but just to say that, you know, we are disappointed, you know, it is disappointing to us that the district and private buses, you know, that go to our school twice each day, we have been disappointed that they have not been respectful of people's needs on our local streets and driveways. So you know, don't say we didn't say.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Dr. Schall can't

address --

MR. HYAMS: But the temple says we'll do that and then, you know, we'll take care of that, and then they say no one listens to us.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Understand, we can't repair the past. We're not involved, it's not our prerogative.

MR. HYAMS: But doesn't somebody's -- just the path that they take, just the way they completely disregard things and just basically talk sweet and nice and we're your friends and we're your neighbors and then crap on us, and basically that to me is, you know, showing you, you know, the past is going to show the future.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Show bad faith, I understand.

MR. HYAMS: So basically, you know, they -- CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We understand.

MR. HYAMS: -- talk nice, but you know.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I just hope as a result of what you heard tonight and your opportunity to reach out to Dr. Schall directly and Mr. Mansdorfer, you can speak to him outside. We can't repair that issue.

MR. HYAMS: What about the temple/school, are

they willing to talk to us anymore, the temple/school?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They've expressed they're willing to talk to you, and they've appointed somebody to be in communication.

MR. HYAMS: We get letters from them saying, you know, we want to continue being great neighbors and just tell us what we have to do.

Well, they are poor neighbors and we tell them and they --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We have a long calendar tonight.

MR. HYAMS: Okay. Yes, sir, thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They've already indicated that they're willing to set up a liaise so you have somebody to contact. They are here tonight; you have an opportunity to discuss with them. At this discussion you can specifically get names and telephone numbers. We're not --

MR. HYAMS: We would like to stop this due to -- you know, the main thing is they have not been honorable with this. They've just been -- and to me that's a good sign of the future. So --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Appreciate that. I thank you.

MR. HYAMS: I don't appreciate that. I mean, thank you for appreciating it, but we don't appreciate the appreciation.

MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, may I just briefly?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please.

MR. HOPKINS: Very quickly, in response to the observation of the neighbors, we would just rely on the testimony that was presented at the prior hearing, and that relates to all of the issues that were raised, including but not limited to the letter from the fire department. The evidence that we presented, we'll let that evidence speak to the Board.

Nothing further, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER: Excuse me, because we weren't here last time.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sir, please, please, please.

MEMBER HILLER: President Gold or Rabbi Yaffe, aside from the parking issues, one of the very disturbing things to me and I'm certain to you, as well as to Rabbi Yaffe, is the fact that they've cast aspersions on the students, the student body of your school, and I'm sure that's

very distressing both about the language, the throwing of garbage, all these things.

What steps has the school taken up to now, and in addition, what steps do you intend to take in the future to make sure that the students are respectful in the ways that they should be, that they speak properly, that there's -- even though you have a standing rule with no bringing cars, apparently there are cars. What is the school doing?

MR. GOLD: First of all, it's very hard for me to obviously address specifically incidents I didn't witness, et cetera. It's been made clear to us, obviously, based on the complaints from the last time that older students who inhabit the houses — they don't anymore — it seems to us to have been responsible for the majority of the incidents. We no longer have that house. We don't want to have a residence on the block anymore. Much of the complaints have convinced us that it's really not something that is appropriate, and that's not going to happen.

As far as our own students, I appreciate that it was said just tonight, again, that our students are very polite. Honestly, I can tell you that we

get tremendous numbers of compliments from all the stores about how nice our students are. I don't accept necessarily that somebody, a high school student cursed out anybody on the block. If they did, certainly it is not acceptable behavior and something we take immediate action on.

It was mentioned that we are not sensitive, but the fact is, as was just read, we made very large efforts to make it clear to the community that we are willing to listen and want to know if there are problems.

It was mentioned, for example, that somebody had been removing the marks of the traffic person. That was brought to our attention by the Village Administrator. We told the Village Administrator tow the car away, that's illegal and we don't accept it. The person who did it, the person was disciplined, and it's not acceptable. We don't allow people to break the law.

As far as meetings, et cetera, the woman that spoke tonight and the last night mentioned that she had called the school and spoken -- I'm sorry -- you said you called the school and spoke -- she actually spoke to Rabbi Lasker, and he is in fact the person whose telephone number we

promulgated, so I think that the system was working. Maybe they were not doing a good job communicating to all the neighbors. We're going to redouble that effort, obviously. What I might do is perhaps create a card that I can carry in my wallet that has the phone numbers.

And frankly, from our point of view, while it should not be their responsibility, we very much appreciate it when someone brings it to our attention. If one of our staff members or students is not acting appropriately and annoying the neighbors, that's not good for the school in general. Regardless of what happens tonight, we're still there, they're still our neighbors. As was pointed out, it's just common sense and common Jewish ethics to say that you shouldn't be annoying somebody next to you.

People are going to do the wrong thing sometimes, and when they let us know we appreciate it. We're going to redouble our efforts to make sure there is an opportunity and a forum for them to let us know that. If it mean having an open meeting that they can come to or not come to on a regular basis, that's a good idea. Certainly, if the gym gets built based on tonight's hearing,

that alone is going to create an environment for a good six months, and we want to hear exactly from the neighbors when the construction people do anything wrong. We want to make sure that it's done right. It's a public street, and granted it was a quiet street, and a lot of streets were quiet.

I grew up in this neighborhood also 45 years ago, and I understand that it's not the same as it was, but we didn't build that school. It was there before us. It was a girls' school before that as well. We are trying our best to make sure that the neighborhood is not affected by our activities. And we feel very strongly, as we said before, that our entire plan for the gym, which I can certainly tell you based on my work which was premised, and specifically, we went to him and said the first thing we want is to create an environment to get the buses off the streets.

That's a constant complaint. We tried it.

I will tell you when we first brought it up with the bus company, and Mr. Schall was not in charge at the time, we actually had Mr. Fabrizio and this plan in our school for a big meeting.

And we said to him, you're killing us; you have to

stop idling the buses, and he promised they would do it. In fact, they also promised at that time, and they did it for a few months, they did some staging. They staged some buses on Frost Lane, et cetera.

But ultimately, we're very fortunate now to have Mr. Schall who is very willing to get personally involved to ensure that the buses do what they have to do. And we feel that to the extent that any bus has to be there, being off the street is going to make it better for everybody. The idling rule, we are just as upset as anybody else. We tell them to turn it off. And knowing we have the backup of the Village --

MEMBER HILLER: I don't want to go through this again. I just want to emphasize to you -- I want to emphasize what disturbed me very much was the neighbors' complaints about the behavior of students, and I don't know if they're the only ones that were in the, quote, frat house or the general population that throws garbage on the street or is disrespectful of the neighbors or are parked in areas where they shouldn't be and block the neighbors, that is something -- you can't address it here, but you have to address it with

your students and it should have been done already. You should have had a meeting already with your students, with all your students, and informed them what the neighbors are saying about them, because some may be exaggerated, some may not exaggerated, but usually there's no noise without a little truth. If it hasn't been done yet, I would expect it to be done.

MR. GOLD: It has been done and it will be done as many times as necessary to make sure that it gets done.

MEMBER HILLER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's hear from Rabbi Yaffe now.

RABBI YAFFE: Mordechai Yaffe. We had the meeting on June 4th. On June 5th I spoke to the entire body of the high school, spoke in extremely strong terms, because as we well know this very much violates our ethics. I wouldn't want to live this way, and as much as people are cynical, we certainly don't want this. And I don't want it for my students, let alone the community. I don't want them to be these type of men. The students who lived in the residence, which again, is a moot point because they're not going to be there and

they are the ones who are driving. Anybody you saw driving are those young men. Except for the people who sometimes aren't part of our school at all and are just orthodox Jewish driving, that doesn't mean they're part of our school. But I spoke to them, and said I will close this down today. Now it is closed now, they're gone, it's all finished now. But I spoke very, very strongly that day.

Again, we took you very seriously. And again, not simply because of the gym, but because of the way we want to run our school.

MEMBER HILLER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. The sentiment of the Board at this time is to continue the matter to the next meeting, and we'd like to, to the extent that we can, insist that there be a meeting between the school board, Dr. Schall, with the residents, and we'd like to hear further at the next meeting what specific plan of action. I think it's been said here that we have had bad faith in the past. We can't rely on just a promise for the future, and so we would urge, obviously, it's not necessarily within our purview, but based on the testimony of tonight,

1 the anecdotal testimony, that we're very 2 uncomfortable to take a vote at this time. We're 3 not going to reserve decision, but continue it to the next hearing date, which is July --4 5 MR. RYDER: 22nd. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: -- 22nd, okay. 7 MR. SCHALL: We'll be sure to meet. 8 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you very much. 9 To the neighbors, I urge you to meet with 10 Dr. Schall, and then we'll revisit on July 22nd. 11 (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 12 8:55 p.m.) ********* 13 14 Certified that the foregoing is a true and 15 accurate transcript of the original stenographic 16 minutes in this case. 17 Mary Bener 18 19 MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter 20 21 22 23

24

25

1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE		
2	BOARD OF APPEALS		
3			Willers Hell
4			Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5	` .		June 24, 2015
6			8:55 p.m.
7	APPLICATION:	Pinter	
8	ALL BIOMITON.	74 Margaret Aver Lawrence, New Yo	
9			
10	PRESENT:		
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSO Chairman	ON
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTI	LIEB
13		Member	
14		MR. MARK SCHRECK Member	ζ
15		MR. JOEL GANZ	
16		Member	
17		MR. DANIEL HILLE Member	ER .
18		MR. KENNETH A. G	
19		Village Attorney	7
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDE Building Departm	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			ry Benci, RPR urt Reporter
			-

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Pinter,
74 Margaret Avenue. Good evening.

MR. YOON: Good evening.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: As I indicated, we're a hot Board, so we've reviewed the file. Just give us a quick review, and if we have questions.

MR. YOON: I'll be happy to answer them. My name is Young Yoon. I'm with Pereiras Architects, P-E-R-E-I-R-A-S.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Address.

MR. YOON: 579 Willow Avenue, Cedarhurst, New York.

So Michael Pinter was given the option to raise his home through New York Rising, and he opted to elevate his home. As you guys all know, Margaret Avenue is notorious for flooding. His backyard swamps. So he took the opportunity to raise his home, but in raising his home it exceeds the height limitation, and he also -- and he encroaches into the height/setback ratio.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. YOON: And for that reason we're here asking for relief.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Just to make mention of the fact that it was devastated in Sandy. I think

his application reads that way. 1 2 MR. YOON: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So that's what brought him to this point of why he wants to raise it higher 4 5 than the FEMA requirements. Any questions from the Board? 6 7 During Sandy, how much MEMBER GOTTLIEB: water was taken on? 8 9 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How high was the water? 10 MR. YOON: I believe he had roughly like two feet of water in his house; is that correct? 11 12 MR. PINTER: Yes. 13 MR. YOON: About two feet of water. 14 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I assume that the neighbors did also, the ones on your side of the street? 15 16 MR. PINTER: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any other questions from 18 the Board? 19 MEMBER SCHRECK: When he raises the house the 20 backyard will still be wet. 21 MR. YOON: The backyard will still be wet, 22 that's fine. 23 MEMBER SCHRECK: There is nothing that can be 24 done about that, right? 25 MR. YOON: Right. There's nothing that can

be done about that. You know, the only thing is the mechanical room would be lifted. There's -- you know, there will be a crawlspace, but it won't be a habitable crawlspace. There will be flood vents throughout the crawlspace. So it will be meeting all FEMA requirements. It's meeting -- you know, going above what FEMA requires. And meeting just FEMA requirements, you know, in that area it doesn't seem to be enough.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If you took on two feet of water, what is the FEMA requirement?

MR. YOON: FEMA -- I don't have that information.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Approximately, because you said you're going above FEMA requirements.

MR. YOON: Right. They're going above FEMA requirements by I believe it was another two feet I think it was. But FEMA would only require them to raise it another foot -- I'm sorry, another two feet, which basically means the water would have been at the floor level.

MEMBER SCHRECK: Are there any letters from neighbors in support or in opposition to the petition?

MR. YOON: No.

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Simple answer, yes or no. 2 MR. YOON: No. 3 MR. RYDER: A few things, Mr. Chairman, if I 4 may. 5 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please. 6 MR. RYDER: I just want Mr. Pinter to be aware that if the Board does go ahead and approve this application, the grade, the grade must remain the same, and then you will have additional stairs, which I'm sure your architect explained to you, that we can't alter the grade because the building now is elevated. If you would like that to be, you would have to come back and reopen the hearing to change that. Right. In our drawings we didn't MR. YOON: alter the grade. The only thing we did was --CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Very good. Anybody else in the audience want to speak to this matter? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So using the statutory criteria, weighing the benefit to the applicant as opposed to any detriment to the neighbors, the health, safety and welfare of the

neighborhood, et cetera, we'll vote.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Schreck.

_	
1	MEMBER SCHRECK: I'm going to vote for.
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ganz.
3	MEMBER GANZ: For.
4	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.
5	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.
6	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And Mr. Hiller.
7	MEMBER HILLER: For.
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I vote for as well.
9	MR. YOON: Thank you very much.
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll give you two years,
11	okay?
12	MR. YOON: That should be plenty of time.
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That should be enough to
14	levitate it.
15	MR. YOON: It should be plenty of time.
16	MR. RYDER: Board of Building Design
17	approval.
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Really?
19	MR. RYDER: Well, he's elevating it. I know
20	he's not changing the look, or is he changing
21	any
22	MR. YOON: He is not changing the look. It's
23	brick facade, so we're talking about how we're
24	going to address the bottom, you know, whether
25	we're going to go with brick or stone, but besides

that. MR. RYDER: If it remains the same, no Board of Building Design. But if you change that, you would have to go in front of them. MR. YOON: Not a problem. MR. RYDER: Just a run-through, just for them to give their opinion on it. MR. YOON: No problem. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 9:01 p.m.) ******** Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case. MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter

1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE		
2	BOARD OF APPEALS		
3		Village Hall	
4		196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York	
5			
6		June 24, 2015 9:01 p.m.	
7			
8	APPLICATION:	Gans 186 Lakeside Drive South Lawrence, New York	
9		Edwieliec, New York	
10	PRESENT:		
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman	
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB	
13		Member	
14		MR. MARK SCHRECK Member	
15			
16		MR. JOEL GANZ Member	
17		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member	
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.	
19		Village Attorney	
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDER Building Department	
21		burraring beparement	
22			
23			
24			
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter	

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Gans, 2 186 Lakeside Drive South. Will they or their 3 representative step up. 4 MR. GANS: Murray Gans, 186 Lakeside Drive 5 South. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gans, as I explained we're a hot Board, and you're levitating also. 7 8 MR. GANS: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Tell us what your 10 application is for. 1.1 MR. GANS: We're also applying to raise the 12 house. We are in a -- in a special flood hazard 13 area as identified by FEMA. There was flooding 14 during Sandy. And we are -- we have the 15 opportunity to raise the house through the 16 New York Rising program. And we are going to be 17 -- we're not in compliance with the site --18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Height/setback ratio. 19 MR. GANS: -- height/setback ratio, and 20 that's why we're here to ask for a variance from 21 that. 22 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Fine. Questions from the Board? 23 24 MEMBER SCHRECK: Did you suffer a lot of 25 damage during Hurricane Sandy?

MR. GANS: 1 Yes. 2 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You've appeared before the Board for another variance, right? 3 MR. GANS: 4 Yes. 5 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: At that time you didn't consider raising the house? 6 MR. GANS: At that time -- at that time the 7 option was not available. 8 9 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You mean through New York 10 Rising? 11 MR. GANS: Correct. So it was out of sight, 12 out of mind. When after the variance, after the 13 approval, we were working on the permit and then 14 this became available, and all the other plans 15 stopped and we began working on the elevation. 16 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Did you finish the other 17 work that was approved or you didn't complete 18 that? 19 MR. GANS: No, we didn't start. 20 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You didn't start it? 21 MR. GANS: Didn't start it. 22 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You probably still have 23 time left, or do we have to add it onto this? 24 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: This is a new application, 25 no.

Gans - 6/24/15

1	MR. RYDER: New application.
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You've enriched the
3	Village by \$1,500.
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I mean the prior approval.
5	MR. GRAY: Because you're running out of
6	time. You're asking if he's running out of time?
7	MEMBER SCHRECK: Does he have another two
8	years?
9	MR. RYDER: I believe you have about one year
10	left on that existing permit.
11	MR. GANS: Something like that.
12	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I just want to see that it
13	is sufficient that he doesn't have to come back
14	for the prior approval that was postponed if there
15	is enough time.
16	MR. RYDER: We may discuss that. I'm not one
17	hundred percent sure.
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Anyone in the audience
19	MR. GANS: And I do have four letters from
20	neighbors. These are four letters from direct
21	neighbors agreeing, approving the plans.
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Anyone in the
23	audience want to speak to it? Any questions from
24	the Board? Any further questions, any further
25	comments?

Gans - 6/24/15

1	MR. RYDER: Mr. Chairman, I would just like
2	to echo what I said earlier to Mr. Pinter about
3	the grade. You can't alter the grade. The grade
4	must remain the same. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So employing the statutory
6	criteria, weighing the benefit to the applicant as
7	opposed to the detriment to the neighborhood, the
8	neighbors, et cetera, we will vote, starting with
9	Mr. Hiller.
10	MEMBER HILLER: For.
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.
12	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Schreck.
14	MEMBER SCHRECK: For.
15	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ganz.
16	MEMBER GANZ: Favor.
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I vote for as well.
18	MR. GANS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have two years on the
20	new variance.
21	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
22	9:05 p.m.)
23	***************

Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter

INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE BOARD OF APPEALS Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York June 24, 2015 9:05 p.m. APPLICATION: Roth 70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney MR. MICHAEL RYDER			
Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York June 24, 2015 9:05 p.m. APPLICATION: Roth 70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE		
Village Hall 196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York June 24, 2015 9:05 p.m. APPLICATION: Roth 70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New Yorl June 24, 2015 9:05 p.m. APPLICATION: Roth 70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
June 24, 2015 9:05 p.m. APPLICATION: Roth 70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. CANY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
APPLICATION: Roth 70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
70 Sutton Place Lawrence, New York 9 10 PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member			
9 PRESENT: MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
Chairman MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. MEMBER MR. MEMBER MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
MR. MARK SCHRECK Member MR. JOEL GANZ MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
Member MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
MR. JOEL GANZ Member MR. DANIEL HILLER Member MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
Member 18 MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. Village Attorney			
19 Village Attorney			
MR MICHAEL RYDER			
Building Department 21			
22			
23			
24			
Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter			

MR. BONESSO: We do.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Roth, 70 Sutton Place.

One thing I'm going to offer a caveat, please don't tell us what you could have asked for.

MR. BONESSO: Understood.

I'll say good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. William Bonesso, Forchelli, Curto, Deegan, Schwartz, Mineo & Terrana, 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale, New York, here on behalf of the applicant, Leah Roth.

Mrs. Roth and her husband George are seated in the back, and they're here this evening asking for variances to permit them to construct a new dwelling on the premises known as 70 Sutton Place in Lawrence.

The property is 13,278 square feet, has 84 feet of frontage along the east side of Sutton Place. It's in the C1 residence district. And in order to build the proposed new two-story single-family dwelling with a one-car detached garage -- or attached garage, excuse me, they require several variances.

The first is a building coverage variance.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You know, our sympathies on new construction.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have to explain with a clean sheet of paper why.

You can enumerate the variances.

MR. BONESSO: The lot that we have before us is basically half as wide as it is deep. It's a larger lot, but nonetheless it's only 84 feet wide. And as a result, the existing house on the lot maintains a deficient aggregate side-yard setback as well as side-yard setback.

So the property as it's presently -- or the house as it's presently situated has aggregate and side-yard setback issues. We are proposing, albeit a side-yard setback -- a side-yard setback variance and an aggregate side-yard setback variance what we will have will actually be a more centered house, one that provides a better streetscape for the community. It will be -- we will increase the southerly side-yard setback from 8.2 feet to 10.08 feet where the garage is, but then a full 15 feet as you see here. The garage juts out a little bit in order to accommodate a full-sized car, but then the remainder of the house all the way back to the back of the house is at a full 15 feet. So it's 10.08 feet up front, but then a full 15 feet. So you have an aggregate

of 25.08 because we are providing the required 15-foot side yard on the northerly side yard where presently there's about a 20-foot side-yard setback.

So right now if you were to look at the house from the street it's skewed to the right, or to the south end of the lot. We are going to center the house, and it will actually look much more symmetrical on the property. We'll have a 15-foot side-yard setback, and from the street it will appear to be a 10.08-foot front-yard setback, but all along the side yard once you get past the garage it will actually be 15 feet.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Could you direct our attention to the streetscape that we don't have.

MR. BONESSO: Yes. Oh, I'm sorry, we do have those to hand up. I apologize.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's okay. You apologize for not putting them in the file.

MR. BONESSO: We apologize for not having submitted those at the beginning of the hearing.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Prior to the hearing, actually.

MR. BONESSO: Prior to the hearing and at the beginning of the hearing. The streetscape also

gives you a good idea --

2 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold it, hold it, hold it.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Everybody wants one.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Bonesso, before you continue with the actual details, how long have the residents owned or been living in the house?

MR. BONESSO: They have not been living in They purchased the house in January.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So this was purchased as a house not to live in but to remodel?

MR. BONESSO: Correct.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So we're not accommodating an existing family, this is just a desire.

MR. BONESSO: It is. They had originally looked at doing a renovation. Perhaps, Mr. Defonseca, would you explain why the decision was made. This is Mr. Defonseca, Carlos Defonseca. Can you explain to them why it was ultimately decided that a rebuild as opposed to a renovation would be better suited for the property.

MR. DEFONSECA: We originally submitted drawings to do a renovation to the existing house, but we couldn't -- we would not be able to get the amount of space that was required, the amount of

room that we require. So I think it was in terms of the economics and in terms of the actual design of the house the proposed new building is what they are really looking for. The existing house it will cost a lot of money to renovate it and it won't give them the space that they require and they need.

MR. BONESSO: The Roths, albeit they're the only two people who would live in the house, they do have three children and fifteen grandchildren. They have many family gatherings for religious and simply social purposes. The house as designed would be four bedrooms and would provide living areas, dining area to accommodate their families, their extended family to be able to spend the times, the family times and gatherings that they want to have together.

The building lot coverage that would be resulting from the size of the house as proposed is 8.7 percent. I know that there was a time when the Board felt that a 10 percent building lot coverage was -- overage was acceptable. I believe the Board has gotten somewhat more reserved in their consideration of building lot coverage. So we kept it under the 10 percent. We feel that

this is -- with the -- with the needs of the Roths 1 2 in terms of the style of the house that they're 3 seeking to construct and reside in and have for their family that that overage is not something 4 5 that is substantial. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Unfortunately, I

understand you haven't appeared before us in a while.

This is true. MR. BONESSO:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I was wondering if you were going to mention it.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We miss you. However, in the post-Sandy era, things have dramatically changed and we've been chastened by Sandy and by the Mayor and Trustees and the like, and so we've become much more circumspect about what we can do and what we can't do, and need has to be understood as to why, if it's anything above zero. It's not that we work down from ten. We're working up from zero. So as sympathetic as we are and understanding, you know --

MR. BONESSO: May I ask?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sure.

MR. BONESSO: With regard to -- I mean, we also have the height-to-setback ratio variances

that are relatively de minimis. We have a northerly side height/setback ratio overage of 0.49, I believe, or -- 0.49 and we have a front height-to-setback ratio overage of only 0.12.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think one of the other concerns is that one of the concessions we've been making recently is to go from a two-car garage to a one-car garage, because we give recognition to the fact that people are not parking theirs cars in their garages, so we're already asked to get that extra space. So sometimes in order to offset the requests for building coverage, they come and they say, well, give us the one-car garage as a concession. So here we're taking the one-car garage plus the 8.7. I think that's the concern you will hear evinced by my neighbors here on my left and my right.

MR. BONESSO: Okay.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: There is something else missing which perhaps you're not aware of, but when there's an existing structure we sometimes would appreciate seeing what's existing versus what's permitted and what's proposed so we can get a feel for just how much larger it is than what's there and what are the current setback ratios and

how they will be exacerbated or not compared to what's existing.

MR. BONESSO: If you take a look at the streetscape that I just provided to you, you will see the existing -- you will see the existing house on the upper line and you'll see the proposed house on the lower, and on the upper one you will see an outline, a dotted outline of the additional bulk of the proposed -- of the proposed new dwelling.

I would also point out that to the south we have a relatively large house which certainly is on par in terms of its bulk and width with the house that we're proposing. That's a full two-story house that certainly matches our bulk from the streetscape.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Do we know what the lot size is on the house to the south, the frontage that is? Is it also 48 feet or is that a larger frontage?

MR. BONESSO: I can tell you that in a moment. That appears to be basically identical in terms of lot width, as does the dwelling to the south of that. It's interesting, the two -- the two dwellings to the north of our property both

fronting on Central Avenue are on significantly smaller lots and nevertheless maintain relatively large dwellings on them.

I have aerial photographs that I can provide of the houses surrounding our property. Our property, if you look on here, our property is actually comprised of three tax lots. It's a small strip tax lot along Central -- along Sutton, rather, than the main part of our lot, and then a rear rectangular piece. It's 331, 333 and 335.

But looking at that parcel, our parcel, the width is basically the same as the parcel next-door, and you can see the two houses to the north fronting -- one fronting on Central and one fronting on Sutton are significantly smaller lots with a large -- with large houses on them (handing).

MR. DEFONSECA: Our side yards that we proposed are consistent, even larger than what is existing on the street along that block. Most of them are closer, the houses are larger, are closer to the property lines, so we will be -- what we propose is actually a better condition than is a standard on that particular block.

MR. BONESSO: We felt that from an impact on the -- on the neighborhood, the area character,

1 the pattern of development, that this house, 2 albeit larger than permitted, albeit having side 3 yard and aggregate side-yard setback variances, 4 the centering of the house, the maintenance of the 5 15-foot side yard, and the increase of the southerly side yard from 8.2 feet to 10.08 feet at 6 7 the front, and then 15 feet all along the rest of the side yard, does in fact improve the 8 9 appearance, does in fact improve the effect on the 10 surrounding properties and the character of the 11 neighborhood. We think that's evidenced in fact 12 by letters of support that we've obtained from the 13 seven certainly most affected neighbors. We have 14 three of the four adjacent property owners, two on 15 Sutton Place, one on Central. We have letters from the four residents across Sutton Place, and 16 17 they all provide their support for the 18 application.

MR. DEFONSECA: The shape of the lot, normally all the lots are rectangular. It makes it difficult to make it longer, to really work out the layout. It is very difficult with that type of lot configuration.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Bonesso, I'm probably the most vocal, unfortunately, about new

24

19

20

21

22

23

construction, and believe that unless there's a real circumstance that needs to be mitigated, why you can't build within code, and you're close to code. I don't see why you can't reduce the house. You've already got the one-car garage which gives you almost another 200 square feet of interior living space. And you're asking for 240 -- I missed my page somewhere -- you're asking for 242 and you're asking for some side yard. Just to move this on and be amicable, get rid of the 242 feet, narrow the house by a foot, and you've got substantially what you're looking for without asking us to accommodate new construction.

MR. BONESSO: I understand.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: On a lot certainly large enough where you can build this perhaps deeper instead of wider, although you seem to have enough side yards.

MR. BONESSO: If we narrow the house by a foot, we did some calculations, and if we narrow the house by a foot width-wise and depth-wise we would reduce our building coverage to 4.6 percent from the 8.7 percent.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Do you know what the coverage is instead of the percent?

Roth - 6/24/15

1 MR. BONESSO: It would reduce it 2,895.82. 2 It's an overage from the permitted 2,767. It's an 3 overage of 128.02 feet -- square feet. And that 4 would also increase our side-yard setback on the 5 south side to 11.08 as opposed to the 10.08. aggregate would go up to 26.08 as opposed to 6 7 25.08. And we would certainly reduce, if not 8 eliminate, our front yard -- our front 9 height-to-setback ratio variance because the foot 10 that we take off the depth of the house we could 11 take off the front thus pushing it back. 12 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And would the house line up 13 with the other houses on the street? 14 MR. BONESSO: In terms of the setback, 15 certainly. 16 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder, do you want to 17 take down these new numbers? 18 MR. RYDER: Please. MR. BONESSO: Would you like me to repeat? 19 20 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Repeat. 21 MR. RYDER: Please. 22 MR. BONESSO: Taking a foot out of the width 23 of the house and the depth of the house would 24 reduce our proposed building coverage to 2,895.82

square feet, representing an overage from the

1 permitted building coverage of 128.02 square feet, 2 or 4.6 percent. 3 MR. RYDER: Continue. 4 MR. BONESSO: Our new southerly side-yard 5 setback would be 11.08 feet, and our new aggregate 6 would be 26.08 feet. 7 MR. RYDER: 26.08? 8 MR. BONESSO: Yes. 9 MR. RYDER: Excuse me, Mr. Bonesso, but which 10 side is the --CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The southerly side? 11 12 MR. BONESSO: The southerly side. It's the 13 right side as you're looking at it from the 14 street. MR. RYDER: Which is the 8.17? 15 16 MR. BONESSO: It was the 8.2 which we 17 increased to 10.08 which will now be 11.08. 18 MR. RYDER: 11.08. Continue. 19 MR. BONESSO: And as far as the front 20 height-to-setback ratio, we didn't do the 21 calculations on that, but by moving the house back one foot we will reduce that, if not eliminate it. 22 23 MR. RYDER: Reduce the side. 24 MR. BONESSO: And we'll submit modified plans 25 to reflect all of this.

Roth - 6/24/15

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. 2 MR. RYDER: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any others questions from the Board at this time? 4 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Anyone from the audience 7 who wants to address the matter? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So we're going to 10 vote on the new relief requested. Just enumerate for the record, Mr. Ryder. 11 12 MR. RYDER: Building coverage will be -- the 13 new proposal will be 2,895.82 square feet for an 14 overage of 4.6 percent, or 128 square feet. 15 Side-yard setback, originally 8.17, will now be 16 11.08 on the southerly side. The aggregate will be 26.08. The height/setback ratios will be --17 18 have not been --19 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Calculated. 20 MR. RYDER: -- calculated. We will have that 21 at another date. 22 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Lastly --23 MR. BONESSO: It will certainly be reduced. 24 The front will certainly be reduced. 25 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Lastly is the request for

a one-car garage.

MR. BONESSO: Yes, I apologize.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb is asking about dormers.

MR. RYDER: The dormers, if they're decorative dormers and there's no habitable space, I don't feel that they are dormers, second-floor dormers.

MR. DEFONSECA: It's part of the traditional Georgian house, and the dormers are a very important part of the architecture.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We don't have interior plans.

MR. BONESSO: It will not be habitable, non-habitable space.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: In the future we'd appreciate getting the full complement of paperwork, whether it be the streetscape or the full interior plans, in a timely fashion. As you see, we have a long night and it's very hard to pull everything together. You're very understanding, and you know what we're talking about.

Having said that, and taking into consideration the statutory criteria, weighing the

Roth - 6/24/15

1 benefit to the applicant as opposed to any 2 potential detriment in terms of the community, we 3 are going to start with Mr. Ganz. 4 MEMBER GANZ: I'm in favor. 5 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Schreck. 6 MEMBER SCHRECK: For. 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm going to vote for, with 8 9 just a comment. 10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No doubt. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: With a comment that the 11 12 reason why I don't have an objection to the 13 side-yard setback is the frontage is only 84 feet, and given a 35-foot aggregate required leaves you 14 with a 49-foot wide house, which is a little 15 16 substantial for this community. So I'm voting for 17 and I just wanted to point that out. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller. 19 MEMBER HILLER: For. 20 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I vote for. Two years. 21 MR. BONESSO: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Board of Building Design, 22 2.3 I quess.

25 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you.

MR. RYDER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

Roth - 6/24/15 MR. BONESSO: Lovely to see you all again. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 9:26 p.m.) ******** Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case. MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter

1	INCORPOR	ATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		Village Hall
4		196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5		June 24, 2015
6		9:26 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	Deutsch 43 Auerbach Lane Lawrence, New York
9		,
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14		MR. MARK SCHRECK Member
15		MR. JOEL GANZ
16		Member
17		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDER Building Department
21		bulluling beput emeric
22	•	
23		
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Deutsch of Auerbach Lane.

MR. YOON: Good evening, again, Young Yoon.

So Mr. Deutsch, we're asking for variance from

Section 212-12.1 for the lot coverage. So the

proposed square footage, the total square footage
is 11,911 square feet including the house,

driveway, the detached garage, which is 44.5

percent overage, which is roughly 3,576 square
feet over.

Now, for, you know, for religious reasons

Mr. Deutsch is asking to be allowed to put a

private pool, you know, because -- and I'm sure I

don't have to explain.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You don't have to explain why people want a pool. All you have to do is go outside today.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't think many people say they need a pool for religious reasons.

MR. YOON: And he has an elderly mother who $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Whatever. He wants to have a pool.

MR. YOON: And he wants a pool for fun, it's fun. I've got to provide a hardship, right? So and it's -- you know, besides the lot coverage,

the -- you know, the proposed pool is not encroaching on any setbacks. And you know, we're only asking for a five-foot walk around the pool with a 15-foot kind of patio on one side so that he could have lounge chairs right next to the pool.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You realize that when you come before us it opens the Board for all kinds of questions?

MR. YOON: Yes, of course.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Who lives in the carriage house in the back of the house?

MR. DEUTSCH: No one.

MR. YOON: No one.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's not occupied?

MR. DEUTSCH: It's not occupied.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Could you just identify yourself.

MR. DEUTSCH: David Deutsch.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So you're coming before the Board to ask for an outrageous amount of overage that we never grant. So the question is why should we grant it? Wherefore is this property different from all other properties?

MR. YOON: It's different from all the other

properties?

MR. YOON: No, we took out -- by code, you're allowed to take --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I was hoping maybe you didn't include that and already maybe it's 1,500 feet less. That didn't work.

MR. RYDER: That would have been good.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We never give overages of 44 percent. So the question becomes why should we make the exception here?

MR. YOON: You know, the property, you know, he had a pre-existing garage and a pre-existing driveway, which I'm sure you've seen the property and it covers, you know, a good portion of the property, and that's what's really triggering this overage. The existing driveway and the carriage house that you call it that's at the back of the house.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So maybe Mr. Ryder can help me. How many square feet does that contribute which could give rise to this unusual request?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can I ask the architect, when you did your calculation for surface coverage did you include the entire driveway?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That would have been a lot 1 2 easier for us. Now we've got to fight. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder, can we help him? 4 5 MR. RYDER: The front building house line to the front of the garage 10 feet wide is exempt. 6 MR. YOON: We didn't calculate it in. 7 MR. RYDER: So you stated that the driveway 8 9 and the carriage house is kicking up the surface 10 coverage numbers? 11 MR. YOON: Correct. 12 MR. RYDER: I think what the Board is looking 13 at is where can you downsize those numbers to be 14 able to go forward with the pool that you're 15 proposing, because the numbers are at an overage that's just not favorable for this application. 16 17 MR. YOON: Right. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How much does the carriage 19 house and whatever is calculated for the driveway 20 contribute? 21 MR. RYDER: Do you have a breakdown of your 22 surface coverage? MR. YOON: I do not. But the carriage house 23 24 is 44.8 feet by 25 feet. So we're talking about

roughly 800 square feet or 900 square feet.

then if you look at the driveway, you know, it 1 really widens up towards the back. Let me see. 2 MEMBER HILLER: Can I ask Mr. Deutsch what he 3 uses the carriage house for? 4 5 MR. DEUTSCH: I'm sorry? MEMBER HILLER: What is the use, what do you 6 7 use the carriage house for? 8 MR. DEUTSCH: I have a home office in the 9 carriage house; other than that, nothing. MEMBER HILLER: Just a home office? 10 MR. DEUTSCH: Correct. 11 12 MEMBER HILLER: Are you very attached to the 13 carriage house? MR. DEUTSCH: It's been there for over a 14 15 hundred years. It's probably the same age as the 16 main house. 17 MEMBER HILLER: And if you had to trade? 18 MR. DEUTSCH: I'd trade the driveway, which 19 was gravel before and we paved it not thinking 20 that that would be coverage. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't think that would 21 22 make a difference. Even though for drainage it 23 certainly does. But I think, Mr. Ryder, is a

gravel driveway the same as a paved driveway for

24

25

surface coverage?

MR. RYDER: Yes. What's the total percentage that you're covering of the lot? We have a number that we think we calculated correctly with the house, the driveway, the carriage house, the pool.

MR. YOON: It would be 44.5 percent.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: How much?

MR. RYDER: 44.

2.1

MEMBER HILLER: 44.5 percent.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Are you suggesting that you wanted to reduce some of the patio around the pool?

MR. YOON: I believe -- I believe -- I believe we would be open to reducing the size of the patio, doing a typical walk around, like a four-foot walk around.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder is suggesting very cleverly, astutely, that perhaps to take a few minutes with your client. We'll go on to the next matter. Step outside so you can reformulate.

MR. YOON: Sure, but can I -- these are letters of adjacent neighbors that are not contesting (handing).

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No problem, happy to accept them.

MR. WIESENFELD: Can I say something?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Certainly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WIESENFELD: I'm Dr. Allen Wiesenfeld. I'm the southern neighbor. I live at 45 Auerbach I've been in Lawrence for over 23 years.

Mr. Deutsch had moved in just a few years after that. He has been an exceptionally good neighbor. Not only does he keep a good fence, which makes good neighbors, he has very private property. We've had incidents with trees falling over onto my house, and generously we've split the costs of removing trees, and we've been very good neighbors to one another.

He has a very large property and it is very private, and I really think that he should be allowed to do what he wants with that property. It doesn't really -- we have a very deep water table where we live, and we're on the top of a hill and we really have not had any kinds of water And I think that his property is issues. sufficiently large. It does not really -- you can't see anything from the street of the breadth of the property and, you know, as I said, he's quite private. There are nice bushes in front. He maintains the property well with flowers and all kinds of other things, and he has maintained

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

it so well that it really adds to the beauty of the block, and I don't think that this would infringe on any of the neighbors. So that's all I

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you very much.

MR. WIESENFELD: Thank you. Thank you for

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do you have a pool, by the

MR. WIESENFELD: Yes, I do. I have an indoor pool which is private for religious reasons, totally for religious reasons.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can I explain something to you that you may or may not be aware of. brought up something so important that you don't realize what you said.

MR. WIESENFELD: The coverage, the land coverage.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You live on the top or the crest of Auerbach Lane. I live at the bottom. So as you cover more surface, that water running off your driveway finds its way down to the other sides of Auerbach Lane, and that's something that we are concerned about. I'm not saying that's the reason not to grant it, but that's one of the

1 things we do look at, and that's why 44 percent 2 surface coverage is so important to consider. 3 Again, you have a deep water table; not everyone 4 else does. 5 MR. WIESENFELD: Right, I do appreciate that. 6 What we did to mitigate that was to put in large dry wells and, you know, for my property as well 8 we put in very large dry wells in order to try to 9 maintain the area, you know, to make sure that we 10 don't have water issues for us or for the 11 neighbors. 12 Thank you so much for your time. 13 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Thanks. 14 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So you will step outside. 15 MR. YOON: Let me talk to David. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken; the 17 application was recalled.) CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Now everybody to the pool. 18 19 Mr. Deutsch returns. 20 MR. YOON: Third time is a charm. 21 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So you went back to 22 the drawing board. 23 MR. YOON: Yes. I have a quick question. 24 The four-foot walk around the pool, does that 25 count towards coverage?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Four does, three does not.

MR. RYDER: Correct. Three feet is the allowable encroachment. Four does knock it over. Four counts it all.

MR. GRAY: If you count three you don't count any of it?

The three-foot walk around does MR. YOON: not count?

Right. MR. RYDER:

If we reduce the walk around to MR. YOON: three feet around the pool, then that would basically give him -- that would be roughly 1,348 square feet of coverage that we're taking out. And then he's willing to cut out 400 square feet of driveway, which is essentially this portion here, all right (indicating), which would bring the total coverage down to roughly 37.9 percent.

All right, and he's also willing to put in five -- five dry wells. You are required to have three; he's willing because of the concern of the water, the runoff and everything, he's willing to put in another additional two dry wells, which is essentially having another 1,300 square foot coverage, you know. The two dry wells cover -- if

you had a surface coverage of 1,300 square feet, you would be required to have two dry wells, so he's willing to put in two additional dry wells.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Ask away.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm deciding if I should go on the record or off the record because I don't want to appear soft. Do you need the driveway to make a U-turn to turn the car around?

MR. DEUTSCH: I do.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Otherwise, you have to back up into Auerbach?

MR. DEUTSCH: Correct.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I cannot permit that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: He's going to have gravel, that's still --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's still coverage. I think that to do that would be a disservice to the community, to a neighbor. You can't back out because you have blind spots on both sides of your driveway. You have to find another way.

And also, I know you're going three feet around the pool, you're not going to have a patio.

Can you go three feet on three sides and keep the 15 feet on the other side so you can put out lounge chairs and enjoy the pool?

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. YOON: We would like to but we were trying to reduce the percentage.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You put in extra dry wells, I know you're at the top of the hill, I don't think you're going to have much runoff from the pool. It's a concern. You have a 26,000 foot lot which is exceptionally large, and we realize from by code you're actually penalized more percentage-wise, more than if it was a smaller lot. I don't want to sound like I'm a tough guy or the soft quy, but to get rid of the driveway and then you have to back out doesn't work. to have a pool --

I appreciate it. MR. DEUTSCH:

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And to have a pool with only three feet around the edge, as I used to have, is miserable. So maybe three feet on three sides and keep the 15 feet there, and that's just my suggestion.

MR. RYDER: Hold on.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't speak for the Board.

MR. RYDER: I don't mean to speak while you're speaking. Four feet is permissible, I spoke to soon.

1	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Four feet around the pool
2	is free.
3	MR. YOON: Okay. So we could do the four
4	feet and then but we were willing to come down
5	to whatever the minimum was.
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: But if it's four feet
7	MR. DEUTSCH: If we take away one side and we
8	what is it at now with the drawing? Is it
9	five?
10	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's eight feet on three
11	sides and fifteen on one.
12	MR. DEUTSCH: Eight feet on three sides, how
13	much does that come out to square footage?
14	MR. YOON: So eight on three sides was
15	roughly 1,350 square feet, 1,350.
16	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Of reduction?
17	MR. YOON: No, that was the total.
18	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's more like 800 square
19	feet, I think. 40 feet times eight, 40 feet times
20	eight, and 20 times eight is 100 times eight is
21	800 square feet.
22	MR. YOON: I'm sorry, I was also including
23	the 15 feet. So when I did the calculation I
24	included the 15 feet.
25	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Actually, it's a little bit

more than 800. 64 and 64 is 128. I think we 1 reduced 928 square feet by going four feet on the 2 three sides. Mike, can you verify it? 3 4 MR. RYDER: I've got my calculator. Go 5 ahead. MR. YOON: So having the 15 -- we're asking 6 what the current patio -- I mean the current walk 7 around and the coverage is, correct? That would 8 9 be -- the total square footage of the existing 10 patio that I get is 1,468. The existing walk 11 around and the patio. What I have drawn currently 12 I have it as 1,468. 13 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The 15-foot patio, are you 14 going 36 feet wide or are you going --15 MR. YOON: So we would do the four-foot walk around. If we did the full four-foot walk around 16 17 it would be 28 by 15 then. MR. RYDER: 420. 18 19 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Say that one more time, 20 please. MR. YOON: If we did a four-foot walk around, 21 then that patio, that 15 foot, would be the 20 22 plus the four on each side, so it's 28. 23 24 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: 28 times 15.

MR. YOON: Correct.

1	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Which someone told us is			
2	472.			
3	MR. RYDER: 420.			
4	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: 420, okay.			
5	MR. RYDER: 420 is the total reduction?			
6	MR. GRAY: Not the reduction.			
7	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So what is 10,840 over			
8	permitted? If you can just figure out these			
9	percentages.			
10	MR. RYDER: Ten thousand what is it?			
11	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The overage is 10,840, and			
12	if you can subtract 8,335 which is permitted			
13	MR. RYDER: Thirteen percent no, no, can't			
14	be.			
15	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Thirteen percent			
16	MR. RYDER: What will the new overage be?			
17	MR. YOON: 10,863.			
18	MR. RYDER: 10,863.			
19	MR. YOON: So it would be 40.6 percent, 40.6			
20	percent.			
21	MR. GRAY: I get 30.3.			
22	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What will the total			
23	coverage be?			
24	MR. YOON: The total coverage I'm getting is			
25	40.6 percent.			

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: 40 percent total coverage. 1 2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What's the overage? 3 MR. GRAY: As proposed what do you have for the patio and the walk around, how many square 4 5 You had the number before. MR. YOON: The walk around was 1,468 with the 6 7 patio. MR. GRAY: 1,468. 8 MR. YOON: Correct. And if we --9 10 MR. RYDER: 30 percent, 30.3 percent. What you have to do is take the 10,863 square feet, 11 subtract 8,335, which gives you 2,528 square feet, 12 13 which is the overage. 14 MR. YOON: Right, of what is allowed. 15 MR. RYDER: Right. Which comes out to 30 percent of what's permitted, okay. 16 17 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So let's assume that your numbers are correct, at this point we're looking 18 19 for a proposed of 10,840? 20 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. 22 MR. RYDER: 10,863. 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Which equates to 24 approximately 40 percent of overage? 25 MR. RYDER: No.

1	MEMBER HILLER: 30 percent.			
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: 30 percent of overage.			
3	Obviously, we'll need a new drawing in order to			
4	reflect those numbers.			
5	All right, if that be the case, does anyone			
6	else want to speak to the matter? Do want to			
7	speak again?			
8	MR. WIESENFELD: No, thanks.			
9	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Ganz has been very			
10	quiet tonight.			
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller.			
12	MEMBER HILLER: For.			
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb.			
14	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Are we voting?			
15	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes.			
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Good night, for.			
17	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Schreck.			
18	MEMBER SCHRECK: For.			
19	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ganz.			
20	MEMBER GANZ: For.			
21	MR. YOON: Thank you very much.			
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You didn't get my vote			
23	yet.			
24	MR. YOON: Thank you. I apologize.			
25	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Don't jump to hasty			

conclusions. Mary wants to go home. 1 MR. RYDER: Conditioned on the two dry wells 2 as well. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I vote for with the two 4 5 dry wells and the proper drawings. MR. YOON: Thank you very much. 6 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're welcome very much. MR. DEUTSCH: Thank you very much. 8 9 MR. RYDER: Revised plans. MR. YOON: Yes, I will get that to you ASAP. 10 11 (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 12 10:13 p.m.) ******* 13 Certified that the foregoing is a true and 14 accurate transcript of the original stenographic 15 16 minutes in this case. 17 May Bence 18 19 MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter 20 21 22

23

24

1	INCORE	PORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		Village Hall
4		196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5		June 24, 2015
6		9:37 p.m.
7	APPLICATION:	Samter
8		6 Sterling Place Lawrence, New York
9		
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
12		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
13		Member
14		MR. MARK SCHRECK Member
15		MR. JOEL GANZ
16		Member
17		MR. DANIEL HILLER Member
18		MR. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ.
19		Village Attorney
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDER Building Department
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The next matter is Samter, 6 Sterling Place.

MR. TAVARES: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Jose Tavares, I'm the agent for Mr. Samter who is not here today, unfortunately, but Mrs. Samter is here, as well as Mr. Carl and Stephani Maza.

MR. MAZA: I'm Chaim Maza. This is my wife Stephani Maza, my daughter Bayla Samter. The house is in Judah Samter's --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Hold it, hold it. Don't jump. If you want to step forward, we're more than happy to hear you.

MR. MAZA: I just wanted to add a note of instruction.

MR. MAZA: Chaim Maza, my wife Stephani Maza, and daughter Bayla Samter. The reason that it's -- the matter is Samter is because the house, the deed is legally in my son-in-law's name, Judah Samter, but he has never lived there, and my wife and I have lived there for the last eight years,

so it's really our petition, our application that

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Did you get his name?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No problem.

we're talking about.

MR. MAZA: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please proceed.

MR. TAVARES: Before I start, I do want to clarify or amend the application with respect to the overage on the building coverage. The way we had calculated it, basically we were looking at the addition with the two floors and adding the total area of those two floors, when it really as I'm being told now that it's really just the footprint of the building which would mean that it's half that area. So where we had 602 square feet of addition for building area coverage, it's only 301 square feet.

What that does, that instead of having coverage, an overage of 471 square feet of area, we are now down to 170 square feet, which also reduces the percentage.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: 170 excess?

MR. TAVARES: Of excess. That reduces the overall percentage from 22 percent, which is what we were showing, to 8 percent.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MR. TAVARES: Now, one thing that I just heard in the previous application, which unfortunately also I wasn't aware of, there seems

to be on the surface coverage that there's an 1 allowance of 15 percent is what I heard of 2 reduction. Is that what I thought I heard, or no? 3 MR. RYDER: For a detached garage. 4 5 MR. TAVARES: For a detached garage? We have an existing detached garage. 6 MR. RYDER: For a detached garage the 7 exemption is 10-foot wide from the front house 8 line to the front garage line. 9 10 MR. TAVARES: Well, we have it, basically. Part of it is a 10, less than 10 feet actually. 11 MR. RYDER: Let me ask you this question. 12 Did you calculate at those numbers or did you 13 remove those numbers from your surface coverage? 14 15 MR. TAVARES: No, I did not. What I was getting at, maybe I misunderstood it, that if 16 17 there was a 15 percent reduction that could be taken from the overall, what I show is the overall 18 of everything. So I was thinking if I could take 19 20 15 percent off and that would reduce the surface 21 coverage that we are showing also. 22

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: What's our discount tonight; 10 percent, 5 percent or --

23

24

25

MR. RYDER: I don't know where the percentage discounts are coming from.

1	MR. TAVARES: If that's not the case, I	
2	wanted to clarify before I continued with that	
3	also because that does make a difference also in	
4	our case.	
5	MR. RYDER: It is the case you can you can	
6	take that part of the driveway and remove that	
7	from your surface coverage numbers. I don't know	
8	what	
9	MR. GRAY: You calculated everything?	
10	MR. TAVARES: I calculated everything.	
11	MR. GRAY: Including the entire driveway?	
12	MR. TAVARES: Including the driveway and	
13	everything, yes.	
14	MR. GRAY: So what should he take out?	
15	MR. RYDER: You should remove from the front	
16	house line to the front garage line 10-foot wide,	
17	whatever the linear footage is.	
18	MR. TAVARES: Oh, okay, that will reduce it	
19	by a lot. So and I don't have that percentage	
20	right now. But the applicant is asking for the	
21	reduction in the	
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do you understand we need	
23	precise numbers? How do we approve something	
24	without having the precise numbers?	
25	MR. TAVARES: Right now, I mean, I could try	

to get you that, but I don't have that at this moment.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We understand. We can't vote on something that doesn't exist.

MR. TAVARES: Can you give me some time afterwards?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll take the next matter. We keep rolling.

MR. GRAY: 560 feet.

MR. RYDER: But then he has to figure out and remove the total square footage number from surface and he's got to come up with the overage and the percentage overage, the reduced, which may be zero.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder is going to come to a computation quickly. I ask you to take it outside, really, it's a long night.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken; the application was recalled.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's go back to the code relief chart.

MR. TAVARES: With respect to the surface coverage --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Let's start again with the building coverage so we know where we are. So

1 once again, the proposed is? 2 MR. TAVARES: The proposed building coverage 3 is 301 square feet as opposed to 602. 4 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: 301 excess. 5 MR. RYDER: To make it easier for the Board, 6 what's the overage, total overage? 7 MR. TAVARES: The overage is 170 square feet. 8 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Can I ask you how you get 9 170 when you're adding 301? Because I get 121 10 over, and I'll tell you how I did it. I took your 11 existing of 1,920, I added 301, and I got 2,221 12 total square footage is proposed. MR. TAVARES: I'm actually going by the fact 13 14 that when we showed 602 square foot total, which is what we're adding for both floors anyway, the 15 16 overage that the Building Department came up with 17 is 471. I was just taking the difference. 18 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So you're taking it from 19 the higher number. Does the 271 include a patio 20 or the 52 that was granted previously? 21 MR. TAVARES: That would be surface coverage. 22 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm just taking the 23 existing and adding in 301 and I get 2,221. 24 MR. TAVARES: You're saying 1,974? 25 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I remember 1974.

MR. TAVARES: The existing building coverage 1 2 right now that we have is 1,974 square feet. 3 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So I'm looking at my report which I believe you prepared says 1,920. 4 MR. TAVARES: Oh, okay, okay, you're right, 5 you're right, yes. It's 1,920, yes, correct, 6 7 correct, that is the existing condition. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And then we're just adding 8 in 301? 9 301, that's what it is. 10 MR. TAVARES: MEMBER GOTTLIEB: To get 2,221 total? 11 12 MR. TAVARES: Yes. 13 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Permitted is 2,100, so I 14 get 121 overage? 15 MR. TAVARES: All right, sir, that's correct. 16 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The only difference might 17 be there was 50 feet was previously added during a 18 different application not before us. MR. TAVARES: Yes, exactly. 19 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So is that 50 feet 20 21 something that was not included that you're 22 including it now? MR. TAVARES: That's why I did the other 74, 2.3 yes, the 1,974 which is included in this. I did 24

include it in this application because we do have

1	the permit for it and it's under construction.		
2	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So that's the other 50		
3	feet?		
4	MR. TAVARES: Yes, that's the other 50 feet.		
5	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So we go back to your		
6	number of 171.		
7	MR. TAVARES: Yes. So the 170 overage		
8	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's 171.		
9	MR. RYDER: Yes, stay with 171.		
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: 8.1 percent?		
11	MR. TAVARES: Yes.		
12	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, 8.1 percent.		
13	MR. TAVARES: 8.1 percent.		
14	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Surface coverage.		
15	MR. TAVARES: With respect to the surface		
16	coverage, what we have done is removed the it's		
17	10 by 556 square feet.		
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't want to hear that.		
19	I want to hear the net.		
20	MR. TAVARES: The net is we're reducing it		
21	by 560 square feet.		
22	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't want to know what		
23	you're reducing it. I want to know what you're		
24	asking for.		
25	MR. TAVARES: It's 3,188 square feet, which		

is less than the required 3,272, so no variance.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: There's no request for a

variance.

MR. RYDER: No variance.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Eureka. Next, how about the rear-yard setback, is that changing?

MR. TAVARES: No. The rest of the application remains the same, both the first two items are the ones that were at variance there. They were also asking for the rear-yard setback relief from the required 30 feet to 22.96 feet proposed, and relief of the side yard height/setback ratio from the required 2.2 to 2.4 on the east side, and 3.1 on the west side. Also, the rear yard height/setback ratio from required 0.74 max to 1.4 proposed.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So I'm going to summarize for the Board's purposes on the building coverage requested 8.1 percent overage, nothing on surface area coverage, rear-yard setback is dropping from -- well, the existing is 37 feet, permitted is 30, you're dropping down to 23 feet. And on the height/setback ratio for the side is the same as existing, and on the rear yard height/setback ratio of course is a significant

increase because of whatever the building max is at. So why are we building this?

MR. TAVARES: All right. The subject property which is a 6,921 square foot parcel located on the north side of Sterling Place 160.12 feet west of Sealy Lane, the property as it is currently improved is 3,238 square foot --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Again, with all due deference, we all can read. Can somebody summarize. We have volunteers from the audience. Why don't you come forward.

MS. MAZA: Good evening, Stephani Maza.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For purposes of the stenographer, introduce yourself.

MS. MAZA: Stephani Maza. I've lived at this address for eight years, approximately. I moved in with my husband Chaim to the property. We had at the time three grandchildren. We now have seven, we're blessed; however, we don't have enough room. We need some den area, some living space to congregate when our three children and seven grandchildren frequently join us, very frequently join us, sometimes on a twice or three times a weekly basis. And we are looking to extend our den area to include enjoyable living

space for all of us to congregate and enjoy. I have a picture of the existing den.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's okay. In essence, the expansion is attributable solely to the new den?

MR. TAVARES: Yes.

MS. MAZA: And upstairs to enlarge the master bedroom.

MR. TAVARES: We have a second-floor master bedroom addition we're proposing.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Do you have any letters of support from neighbors? And I specifically ask because you're building 15 feet into the backyard and you're going 15 feet deeper into the side yard. The length of the house is expanding 15 feet -- 14 feet.

MR. TAVARES: On the side yard?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Alongside the house you're building 14 feet deeper, so it affects your side-yard neighbor to the left and it affects your rear-yard neighbor. Do you have any letters of support?

MR. TAVARES: We did not get any letters of support.

MS. MAZA: I don't anticipate that it would be problematic, and I could get several letters.

We've had verbal conversations with several of our neighbors.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's just those two that are most affected that I was concerned with.

MS. MAZA: I spoke with the house to the east, with the neighbors east of our house that would affect, if we go back it affects them, or are you talking about directly in back of us?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Well, I meant the house to the left.

MS. MAZA: She stays in Florida. She probably just got back. She's in Florida for the season, an elderly woman. Chances are -- and her backyard is a complete forest, so I don't think that would be problematic for her, but I could certainly check.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: In the instructions to get a variance isn't it you're supposed to contact your neighbors or just a letter?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.\ MAZA}}$. We sent letters. We sent letters as was required.

MR. RYDER: That's the 300-foot radius that they notify the neighbors.

-

1	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I know that. I've been		
2	there.		
3	MS. MAZA: She's I believe 87 years old. I		
4	could definitely engage her in a dialogue, if		
5	that's something that's required here.		
6	MEMBER SCHRECK: Is that Sloyer?		
7	MS. MAZA: Yes. And Kadish is to the other		
8	side. I've already had a conversation with them		
9	and it's not a problem for them.		
10	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Any further questions from		
11	the Board?		
12	(No response.)		
13	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Anyone in the audience		
14	left interested in speaking to the matter?		
15	(No response.)		
16	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Are we running out of		
17	audience?		
18	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We are running out of		
19	audience participation.		
20	MS. MAZA: No pictures?		
21	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No, we don't want		
22	pictures.		
23	MS. MAZA: Thank you.		
24	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. In the future, if		
25	vou ever appear before us in such a fashion we're		

going to send you on your way; do you understand? It's 10:00 at night, we're reconstructing it for you. Having said that --

MR. TAVARES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: -- taking into consideration the benefit to the applicant as opposed to any detriment to the community, we are pleased to say that based on our analysis of the numbers, we've been able to rationalize why we should consider giving it to you, and now we just need the assent from the Board.

Mr. Ganz.

MEMBER GANZ: For.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Schreck.

MEMBER SCHRECK: I've been in the residence,

I'm familiar with the den area. I'm going to vote

for.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb, have you ever been in the den area?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I've not been in the den. Maybe I'll be invited to the new den.

In the absence of any neighbors' displeasure or any neighbors showing up in opposition, I'm going to assume that they were all notified properly and there was no opposition, and I will

vote for. 1 2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hiller. MEMBER HILLER: For. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. And I think in 4 light of the reconstructed numbers we can find 5 that it's palatable and acceptable and probably 6 7 very much needed, and so we wish you well. for as well. You have two years. You're actually 8 in the middle of construction. 9 MR. TAVARES: Well, it's already in the 10 middle of construction in the other part of it. 11 12 MR. RYDER: If I may, Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes, please. 14 MR. RYDER: I will need revised plans to 15 reflect the numbers. 16 MR. TAVARES: Yes, I'll get that to you. 17 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's very important. 18 MR. TAVARES: No problem. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That is extremely 19 20 important. MR. TAVARES: Thank you very much. 21 22 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Thank you. 23 MS. MAZA: Thank you. Good night. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 24 10:00 p.m.)

Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

> MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter

1	TNGODDOD	AMED VILLACE OF LAWDENCE	
	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE		
2		BOARD OF APPEALS	
3		Village Hall	
4		196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York	
5			
6		June 24, 2015 9:44 p.m.	
7			
8	APPLICATION: Ve	erschleiser 00 Briarwood Crossing	
9	Lá	awrence, New York	
10	PRESENT:		
11)	a. LLOYD KEILSON	
12		aairman	
13	11	mber	
14	11	MARK SCHRECK	
15		mber	
16	"	. JOEL GANZ mber	
17	II .	. DANIEL HILLER mber	
18			
19		. KENNETH A. GRAY, ESQ. llage Attorney	
20		. MICHAEL RYDER	
21	Ви	ilding Department	
22			
23			
24			
25		Mary Benci, RPR	
		Court Reporter	

Verschleiser - 6/24/15

1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of 2 Verschleiser. 3 MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, before I go on the record, could I make a request. I brought a 4 5 copy of my old petition, not the new application that I submitted. Would you have a spare copy? 6 7 And I apologize. 8 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: No, we don't have any 9 spare copies. 10 MR. HOPKINS: It's unbelievable. MR. RYDER: You're looking for the petition? 11 12 MR. HOPKINS: Yes, thank you, sir. 13 Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the 14 Board. My name is Michael Hopkins, from the firm 15 of Hopkins & Kopilow, attorneys for the 16 applicants, the Verschleisers. 17 Before we begin, I have a letter here signed 18 by the neighbor on the south side. 19 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Hopkins, why don't we 20 get to the substance before you give us neighbors' 21 letters. This is a very controversial matter we 22 have before us tonight, and therefore, I'm a 23 little concerned that the applicant is not here. 24 MR. HOPKINS: I can explain it.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sure it's a valid

reason.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: His daughter was married. They have it over at the bistro, so if we all want to go.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We'll be happy to join them, over a steak.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: I think so. He would be happy doing that.

MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, they're not here for the reason stated by Mr. Capobianco.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm very happy for them. You'll send our felicitations on the very happy event.

MR. HOPKINS: Is it the Board's preference?

Obviously, I'd love to have my clients here. I only found out a few moments ago that they would not be attending tonight.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think considering the seriousness of the matter and some of the activities that have gone on regarding this matter, I think it -- I think if I were the applicant I would be here to -- or I'd want certainly an opportunity to defend some of the activities, if they need to be defended.

MR. HOPKINS: Well, under those

circumstances --

2 MR. CAPOBIANCO:

MR. HOPKINS: Under those circumstances and with the Board's permission can we continue this hearing?

I think we'll adjourn.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sure.

MR. HOPKINS: The reason why I phrase it like that, Mr. Chairman, so we don't have to re-notice and republish it, if that meets with your permission.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For sure.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: The only thing, Mr. Ryder, I wanted to mention and bring up is the issue you found in the field, because the house is under construction and it's pretty much up already, framed.

MR. RYDER: The building height?

MR. CAPOBIANCO: The building height of just the turret. The building height of the main roof is fine, we established that. The height of the turret, the one that -- the point that goes up, we found it to be eight and ten inches higher, if we know where grade is. I mean, we're trying to figure out where the grade is.

MR. RYDER: This is what I'd like to do.

Verschleiser - 6/24/15

There's a couple of things going on. 1 2 MR. CAPOBIANCO: That's why I wanted your 3 opinion on how to handle this. MR. RYDER: Let's have a field inspection and 4 5 come up with a definitive number and a proposal 6 and bring it up at the next hearing. Would that be okay? 7 MR. CAPOBIANCO: Should I have that done by a 8 9 surveyor? 10 MR. RYDER: From the mean grade. 11 MR. CAPOBIANCO: The problem is did they 12 establish their mean grade? MR. HOPKINS: Therein lies the rub. 13 14 MR. RYDER: There's no rub. 15 MR. CAPOBIANCO: I can go from what we drew 16 as the main grade on the approval and try to 17 establish that in the field and then measure from 18 there up. I think it's about eight inches, 19 something like that, over the 30 feet, but that's 20 to the peak. I mean, they could just cut it off 21 and make a flat section, but I think the main roof 22 is fine. 23

MR. RYDER: What if they cut it off, that's not a bad idea. Then you wouldn't have to be here.

24

Verschleiser - 6/24/15

1 MR. CAPOBIANCO: You know what, let's meet 2 out there. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: But Mike, you still need to 3 know if the ridge on the main house is conforming 4 5 before you cut off the turret. 6 MR. RYDER: Exactly. That's why I want to 7 meet in the field. I'm not 100 percent sure about 8 the ridge. 9 MR. CAPOBIANCO: The turret is higher. MR. HOPKINS: Mr. Chairman, the next meeting 10 11 is? 12 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: July 22nd. 13 MR. HOPKINS: July 22nd. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Before you gentlemen 14 15 disappear, when you reconvene, I found --16 unfortunately, I found the application a little 17 bit hard to follow. Could you put on your 18 request, on your code relief what was already granted and what you're asking for so I could just 19 20 oppose them side by side. 21 MR. CAPOBIANCO: That's why I brought the 22 two. I brought the previously approved site plan 23 with me tonight for that reason, but we'll do it. 24 MR. HOPKINS: It will be done.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I want to offer the caveat

Verschleiser - 6/24/15 that if work was done that's at variance with the variances, we will be looking quite askance at it. Okay, we'll leave it at that. MR. HOPKINS: Fully understood. MR. CAPOBIANCO: Totally. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 9:48 p.m.) ******** Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter