1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2	BOARD OF APPEALS
3	Lawrence Village Park House 101 Causeway
4	Lawrence, New York
5	May 13, 2010 7:40 p.m.
6	APPLICATIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT:
7	Collbtush
8	Gelbtuch 60 Muriel Avenue Lawrence, New York
9	Eisenberg
10	3 Copperbeech Lane Lawrence, New York
11	Ostreicher
12	198 Harborview South Lawrence, New York
13	Lawrence, New 101k
14	PRESENT:
15	MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
16	MR. ELLIOT FEIT Member
17	
18	MR. MARK SCHRECK Member
19	MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
20	MR. RONALD GOLDMAN, ESQ.
21	Village Attorney
22	MR. GERALDO CASTRO Building Department
23	MR. MICHAEL RYDER
24	Building Department
25	Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter
	Court Reporter

Proceedings - 5/13/10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Lawrence Board of Zoning appeals hereby convenes. And proof of posting, Mr. Castro? MR. CASTRO: Good evening. I offer proof of posting and publication (handing). CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, thank you very much. I think, let's take note of the fact that we have Mr. Mark Schreck, an alternate joining us this evening sitting in for Mrs. Esther Williams. We'll also note that Mr. Rosen is thus far Maybe he will join us later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

We have a request for an extension in the matter of Gelbtuch. We have a letter asking for an extension of two years to June 2012. They were unable to build during the last two years due to financial instability. We believe -- the letter reads that we will be able to begin construction on our home in 2011. It relates to 60 Muriel Avenue. Any discussion, any questions from the audience?

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Has any work been done on this to date?

MR. RYDER: No, they have not picked up their permits either.

MR. GOLDMAN: So there's no disruption to the

Proceedings - 5/13/10

neighbors. 1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So let's vote. 2 Mr. Gottlieb. 3 4 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For. 5 MEMBER FEIT: Abstain. I want to put it off 6 7 six months to see -- to see if they're really ready to build or not. 9 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Mr. Schreck. MEMBER SCHRECK: For. 10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, so the extension is 11 granted. 12 MR. GOLDMAN: So three in the affirmative and 13 14 one abstention. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's correct. 15 There's a request for adjournment in the 16 17 matter of Eisenberg on Copperbeech. They asked 18 for an extension to the next date, which is on June 16th. Any discussion? 19 20 Mr. Gottlieb. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No discussion. 21 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. 22 23 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm sorry. For, yes. 24 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For.

MEMBER FEIT: For.

Proceedings - 5/13/10

1	MEMBER SCHRECK: For.
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. So we'll put them
3	on for the June 16th calendar.
4	The matter of Ostreicher, Harborview South,
5	has also been asked to be put on the June
6	calendar.
7	Mr. Gottlieb.
8	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: For.
9	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: For.
10	MEMBER FEIT: For.
11	MEMBER SCHRECK: For.
12	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
13	7:45 p.m.)
14	**************
15	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
16	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
17	minutes in this case.
18	
19	Mary Benci
20	MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter
21	COULT REPOLECT
22	

1	INCORI	PORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		
4		Lawrence Village Park House 101 Causeway Lawrence, New York
5		May 13, 2010
6		7:45 p.m.
7	APPLICATION:	Katsman
8		160 Harborview South Lawrence, New York
10	PRESENT:	
11		MR. LLOYD KEILSON
		Chairman
12		MR. ELLIOT FEIT
13		Member
14		MR. MARK SCHRECK Member
15		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB
16		Member
17		MR. RONALD GOLDMAN, ESQ. Village Attorney
18		MR. GERALDO CASTRO
19		Building Department
20		MR. MICHAEL RYDER Building Department
21		Bulluing Department
22		
23		
24		Mary Benci, RPR Court Reporter
25		

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So let's proceed with the calendar. We have one matter this evening, that's Katsman. Will they or their representative please step forward and identify yourself.

MR. MUHS: Good evening. My name is Paul Muhs, from Express Permits. I'm representing Mr. Katsman at 160 Harborview South in Lawrence. We are here to propose a revised rear extension to the home for a bathroom and a swimming pool project, including patios, a pool, pool equipment and a fence.

MR. GOLDMAN: If I could just interrupt just so the record is clear. You're from what firm, please?

MR. MUHS: Express Permits.

MR. GOLDMAN: What is the nature of that firm? Is it an architectural firm, a design firm, an attorney's office?

MR. MUHS: We do have an architect. We are located in Farmingdale at 201 Northwest Drive, and we have permit expediting services. We have an architect on staff, a drafting department. I am also a licensed plumber, and we are here representing the application for Sun Design Pool Company who's putting in the pool, hopefully, in

Mr. Katsman's house.

•

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The requirement is 20

So we were here previously to request a more ambitious project which has now been scaled back. Previously, it was including approximately a 55 square -- 55 percent of lot coverage which included a much more ambitious project.

I'd like to display the current version of the project, okay. This is the residence, the front of the home. And unlike before, the only addition now that we're adding structurally is a small addition to the left rear corner of the home which will be a bathroom. That facility will be used, obviously, for the pool area. It is just a shower, a stand-up shower, a toilet and a sink.

The rest is a patio. There was an enclosed cabana before; that's been completely removed.

The walkways, the patio have all been scaled back considerably.

So at this point in time we have a total lot coverage of 3,887 square feet. That represents 770 square feet over the allowable lot coverage, or 20 percent. And let's see, the rear setback of the pool is 20 feet from the property line (indicating). The side --

feet. 1 2 MR. MUHS: I'm sorry, right, the required. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The proposed is 16.64? MR. MUHS: Correct. 4 5 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. MR. MUHS: And let's see, the side yard --6 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You have a 15-foot 8 requirement. 9 MR. MUHS: Okay, and the side -- the rear yard is 14 feet. The side-yard setback, the 10 11 minimum of the swimming pool is 15 square feet 12 required, and we have eleven. 13 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's on the west side? MR. MUHS: Correct. 14 15 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The east side you have 16 nine. 17 MR. MUHS: 9.5 percent. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: 9.5 inches. 19 MR. MUHS: 9.5 feet, correct. 20 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So you're encroaching on 21 all the neighbors? You're being more neighborly. 22 MR. MUHS: Yes, they're all welcome to come 23 for pool parties. And we do have two additional 24 letters of consent. Previously, we had submitted

three. We have two additional, if I could submit

1 those. 2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do we have the contiguous 3 neighbors? 4 MR. MUHS: Aaron, could you explain the 5 neighbors. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Aaron, could you identify 7 yourself. 8 MR. KATSMAN: Aaron Katsman, the homeowner. I have one letter from across the street. One, 9 10 let's see -- a letter from the neighbor that's 11 located here (indicating). 12 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Identify the neighbors. 13 Is that Hoch or Gross? 14 MR. GOLDMAN: If I could just interrupt. 15 There are letters from Gross at 125 Lawrence 16 Avenue, and Fennik, Marsha Fennik at 179 17 Harborview North. And I'm submitting, deeming 18 those marked Applicant's 1, and I'm providing them 19 to the Board for your review, Mr. Chairman 20 (handing). 21 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do we have anything from 22 Hoch or Rosenfeld or Klein? 23 MR. KATSMAN: We have -- from Hoch we have --Hoch submitted a letter the previous time with the 24

more ambitious plan. I didn't think it was

1 necessary. 2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We assume that he would 3 not object tonight. 4 MR. MUHS: To the smaller plan. 5 MR. KATSMAN: And the neighbor immediately 6 behind my property also submitted a letter last 7 time. 8 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. 9 MR. KATSMAN: So the only one -- there's a --10 there's a property right -- located right at this 11 corner and they're not exactly adjacent. I was 12 unable to reach them. I stopped by many times. 13 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm sorry, Rosenfeld you have? On the left side. 14 15 MR. KATSMAN: No. 16 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, okay. 17 MR. KATSMAN: There's a property on the corner that I wasn't able to reach them. I don't 18 19 know. 20 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You're not impacting as 21 much on the corner as you are on the contiguous 22 properties. 23 MR. KATSMAN: I also did meet with the former

MEMBER FEIT: Dr. Levenbrown.

24

mayor.

1	MR. KATSMAN: I met with Dr. Levenbrown late
2	last week or earlier this week. I don't remember
3	the exact date. I sat down with him for a while,
4	went over his concerns with the driveway. He
5	understood what we're trying to do, and he told me
6	that he was satisfied with what we were how we
7	were going to make the changes and what we were
8	proposing to do in order to adequately address his
9	concerns. He told me he'd rather not sign a
10	letter, I understand, but he's
11	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You mean sign a letter of
12	objection, but not of support.
13	MR. KATSMAN: Well, I guess.
14	MR. MUHS: He didn't express any objections.
15	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I understand.
16	MR. MUHS: The one other thing that we'd like
17	to point out, drainage, we know, is a big concern
18	everywhere. And now Al from Sun Design is here.
19	Can I invite him up
20	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Absolutely.
21	MR. MUHS: to address the drainage.
22	Al, just introduce yourself.

Al, just introduce yourself.

23

24

25

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Al Hildebrandt, general manager, Sun Design Pools.

MR. MUHS: Okay. We've explained the

project, we've explained the bathroom, and the pool itself has been scaled down considerably, but maybe you could talk specifically to drainage and then also to how this project will be screened from the neighbors.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think you should take into the presentation that which hadn't been mentioned in your presentation about the change in grade.

MR. MUHS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The change in grade is probably the key element here tonight in terms of impacting other properties.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Okay. I understand what you're saying. Well, the change in grade that we're talking about, if you start from the house, there is a grade that comes down considerably, rather quickly all the way to the back area here (indicating).

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: So we are actually going to be lowering the grade between the pool and the house, and the transition is going to be taken care of by the staircase going down.

The back part here in this -- in this area,

22

23

24

25

the change in grade from here to here is going to be about approximately twelve inches. And to accommodate the concerns regarding drainage, we are doubling the amount of dry wells that would normally be required. Now, and the type of dry well that we're using is actually a corrugated plastic half-moon type; I believe it's spec'ed out in the plans. The reason why we're using that type is because we only have to go down three to four feet in order to accommodate -- you know, if you go down too deep you're going to be hitting the water. So this is a shallow type dry well system, and it will be approximately 32 feet long going across this whole back area in front of the tree line and in between the pool, and there will be, you know, drains, catch basins that will be able to catch any additional water that comes down this way. So, in essence, like I said, we're doubling the amount of drainage that's normally required for a dry well.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Katsman, what do you presently experience when you have storms?

Because on the Klein property the previous owner of the property always had pools in his backyard without having a swimming pool; is that your

experience in the rear of your yard?

MR. KATSMAN: No. We get a little, a slight buildup of water right over here on the grass area. And when our neighbor uses their sprinkler in the summertime we get a little buildup of water right along the edge over here, right back, back there, so we do not turn on the sprinkler for much time over there because it's just not needed. But there's a little bit over here and a little bit right there from when he turns on the sprinkler (indicating).

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So the suggestion is the change of grade will not affect whatsoever, particularly with the use of the new wells that you're putting in.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: I believe it's actually going to help the situation for a couple of reasons. The grade change that we're proposing is -- basically, if I was to -- it's equal to a point approximately here on the property (indicating). So over here it's not going to cause anything big. This property over here has approximately a two-foot-high retaining wall with a six-foot fence on top of it.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right. We saw the

pictures.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Right. So even though there is a grade change elevation, it's not -- a grade change in the elevation, I think it would -- I would consider it to be more favorable to the situation that exists right now. And I know everyone doesn't agree with this, but with a 500 square foot container of water, it's going to take a loot longer for the additional water to build up; the pool is actually going to take some of that, a significant amount of that, 3,000 gallons of that.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder, do you agree with that?

MR. RYDER: I do agree with that design. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MEMBER FEIT: The short dry wells -- first of all, where is the water going to run off from them?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: How will the water get to them?

MEMBER FEIT: Not how the water will get to them. How will it disburse into the ground?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: It disburses into the ground.

MEMBER FEIT: But you have the water underneath it fairly high.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Right, which is true in all of Lawrence.

MEMBER FEIT: By taking out -- by taking out ground surface with the pool, aren't you eliminating places where the water would be able to run off and be absorbed?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Actually not, because the current grade of the property here is very high; it's approximately even with the back. It's very high. And then approximately this point right now the ground actually comes down and goes like this (indicating). So the elevation of this area is actually in an area where water would run down anyway. So by putting this in here, and again with the pool in the middle, it's -- I would -- it's either going to be the same or better because this area here was not absorbing any water anyway; it's running down to the lowest point.

MEMBER FEIT: Is that all going to be cemented over?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: This is paving over here.

MEMBER FEIT: All paving.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, the paving -- the

paving -- the portions of the paving can have a permeable base underneath it.

MEMBER FEIT: Well, is it planned that water landing on the paving is going to be able to sink into the ground, or is it just going to sit there and run off the sides?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Oh, no, no, it's going to grade off, it's going to run off. It's going to -- yeah, everything will be pitched away here, but we basically eliminated -- we eliminated all of the paving in the back of the pool, except for a twelve-inch coping finishing edge to create more --

MR. MUHS: Of natural ground.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: -- natural ground.

MEMBER FEIT: For the dry well, okay, when you talk about a three-foot dry well --

MR. $\mbox{HILDEBRANDT:}$ Well, it would be the equivalent of --

MEMBER FEIT: No, height-wise, about three feet, give or take?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Yes. It's about two feet high. But you're going to have to put it into the ground three feet.

MEMBER FEIT: How far below the surface is it

going to be? 1 2 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Approximately three feet. 3 MEMBER FEIT: No. In other words, the top of 4 it. 5 MR. HILDEBRANDT: The bottom -- the top, you want the top to be at least a foot below the 6 7 surface. 8 MEMBER FEIT: What I'm concerned about, is 9 there going to be enough soil there to be able to 10 retain planting, grass, et cetera? 11 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Grass, absolutely, yup. 12 MEMBER FEIT: And absorb water? 13 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, absolutely, because 14 grass -- first of all, grass is going to be in 15 this area, and grass can grow -- you know, six 16 inches of soil is plenty for grass. 17 Also, the way we install these particular 18 type of dry wells, when we excavate the hole we 19 line it with gravel, put the dry well in, use a 20 landscape fabric and backfill with gravel so we're 21 actually creating even more of a permeable area. 22 MR. MUHS: It's a very permeable surface; it's like a golf course. 23 24 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Kind of like --

MEMBER FEIT: A French drain type?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Similar, similar. Like in 1 2 Yankee Stadium, it falls and it goes right out and 3 it's gone. 4 MR. GOLDMAN: May I just make one inquiry, 5 please. 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Certainly. 7 MR. GOLDMAN: PE Pro Engineering Solutions 8 prepared a correspondence that was sent to the 9 Building Department on October the 22nd, 2009. 10 Now, that's a professional engineer; am I 11 correct? 12 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Correct. 13 MR. GOLDMAN: Now, is that a component or was 14 that part of your application? Was that part of 15 Express Permits? 16 MR. MUHS: Do you know how they were involved? 17 18 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Who was that? 19 MR. GOLDMAN: Well, there's a correspondence 20 here about the drainage facilities to be used exclusively for the construction of a swimming 21 22 pool on the above-referenced property. 23 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Right. That changed. That 24 was for the original dry well for the pool. 25 Everything is changed since then.

MR. GOLDMAN: Now, has -- fine, I appreciate 1 2 that. Has there been a professional engineer that supports this particular proposal in terms of the 3 4 pumps, et cetera, that you're now providing the 5 In other words, somebody who is standing Board? behind it, and when and if there's a drainage 6 problem the Village and/or neighbors can look to 7 8 them or a licensed professional engineer, someone 9 that we can look to to say that representations 10 were made as to the drainage and somebody who's 11 going to be in the sling if everyone else is --12 MR. KATSMAN: They're the ones that produced 13 the new drainage plan, PE Pro. 14 MEMBER FEIT: Who is they? 15 MR. KATSMAN: The same company. 16 MR. MUHS: Right, this is October 22nd, 2009. 17 This -- this is a specification on the drain 18 itself. It shows what its capacity is in terms of -- it doesn't -- it doesn't substantiate the 19 20 design though. This explains the functionality. MR. GOLDMAN: Well, I'm not familiar with the 21 22 terminology, but is there a way that they would provide a certified letter to the Village 23 24 basically saying that as you're relying on them

the Village and/or this Board would be relying

upon them as well.

MR. MUHS: That that drainage design won't cause a problem?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: If it's not in there, I have to -- I'm not sure where it's written but, yes, they would be able to do drainage calculations based on the patio area and the amount that it would be --

MR. GOLDMAN: And also the change of grade, obviously.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Yeah, the change of grade is going to be next to nothing as far as -- as far as changing anything like that because we're eliminating a high part of the ground, you know.

MR. RYDER: In looking for the engineer design of this new dry well system, our concern is the storm water drainage going into the neighboring properties. So we're looking for a design that's bullet-proof and we need someone to stamp it and sign it.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Bulletproof is relative. If we have 19 inches of rain in an hour, no drainage system is going to be -- like we had a couple of weeks ago.

 $\mbox{MR.}$ RYDER: Let me rephrase that. We need a

1 security.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Reasonable.

MR. RYDER: Yes, reasonable.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yes, sir, Mr. Katsman.

MR. KATSMAN: The fact that we're lowering almost half of the yard certainly would have the effect of causing my property to retain more water than it currently retains.

MR. RYDER: True.

MR. KATSMAN: So the only question then as I understand is because of the paving that the paving will not absorb like grass; is that the question of the drainage?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think we're looking to the overall impact of everything that's being done and how it's impacting on or potentially impacting on the neighbors. And the suggestion is made that the dry wells that are being placed at the rear of the yard are going to easily absorb anything in the normal course of events, and I think that's the type of a letter we would like or some document.

MR. KATSMAN: Can I speak to him privately for a minute?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Sure.

(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)

MR. MUHS: Okay. So do I understand that the request then would be to have an engineer substantiate the adequacy of this design for this installation, and if that was provided would that be acceptable?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think that's what we discussed.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That would be a start.

about the change in grade and any impact on the neighboring properties, I think because of everything that's going on in this small area we're particularly concerned. So that's why it's generating this type of, you know, inspection. So an engineering firm to verify that that which is being done will ensure against any concerns against spillover is what we're looking for.

MR. MUHS: Okay.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: The other note that I would just like to bring out too, this property over here is higher than Mr. Katsman's property all the way down.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Rosenfeld's property.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: 1 Okay. 2 MR. MUHS: But so is this, right? MR. HILDEBRANDT: 3 This? Well, this is two feet higher over here. So you have to raise the 4 5 pool three feet to --6 MR. MUHS: To even get it to be level with 7 the --8 MR. HILDEBRANDT: The only property that's in 9 question really is the rear property. Because 10 this property is not going to be affected, this 11 property is not going to be affected, this 12 property is not going to be affected (indicating). 13 MEMBER FEIT: Let the record reflect you're 14 talking about the side properties, and the one 15 that could be affected is the one in the rear. 16 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Potentially, the rear 17 property. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: To the north. 19 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Exactly. 20 MEMBER FEIT: Otherwise, this, this comes on the record. 21 22 MR. HILDEBRANDT: So with the current design 23 of the pool, also, because there's no patio back 24 here, this elevation change can be minimized even

further because when we had walkways and patios

you had to come out eight feet level before you 1 start coming out. So now that you're only there a 2 foot --3 The natural grade is preserved. 4 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Ryder, on behalf of 5 the Building Department, would you be comfortable 6 7 if they have an engineering report regarding that 8 aspect? 9 MR. RYDER: I would be comfortable, yes. 10 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: We want you to be 11 comfortable. 12 MR. RYDER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb. 13 14 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: You claim that it will have no effect on the neighbor to the left. 15 16 MR. HILDEBRANDT: This one (indicating)? 17 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No, Mr. Rosenfeld's side, 18 for lack of a better name. You will be changing 19 the grade. His property slopes on the same way 20 that the proposed property does? 21 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Not exactly the same, but 22 his property is higher here and it comes down this 23 way. It looks like his property at one time might have been built up, but this property here has, 24

you know, a higher elevation. It's probably equal

here. At some point about here, which is like the 1 height of the pool, from here to here, there's 2 3 going to be no significant difference between 4 these two properties. 5 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: But you're removing the swell. 6 7 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Yeah, which is up here 8 (indicating). So if anything, it's putting us a 9 little bit lower. 10 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The swell doesn't exist 11 along the fence line. 12 MR. HILDEBRANDT: There is --13 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: This is all that I've got 14 to go by, so excuse me for not -- I haven't been 15 in the backyard. 16 MR. HILDEBRANDT: I forgot to bring my 17 glasses. 18 MR. GOLDMAN: The record should reflect that there's a series of photographs. Is that what 19 20 you're referring to? 21 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Yes. 22 MR. GOLDMAN: And they're attached and 23 Mr. Gottlieb is maybe referring to these photos. 24 MR. MUHS: I'd like to submit additional

photographs. Can I submit additional photographs?

MR. GOLDMAN: Oh, additional? These are additional?

MR. MUHS: Yes.

2.2

MR. GOLDMAN: These are deemed Applicant's 2, and they're being shared with the members of the Board; two sheets of four photos, please.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I need to address surface coverage with or without the engineering report. You have a property that's already pretty much built out in terms of surface coverage with no ability to add on. So you're adding on 777 square feet in overage, which is 20 percent. I don't want to say the word excessive, but I guess I will use excessive. Is there a proposal to reduce the surface coverage?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, we started out at 55 percent the last time we were here. We reduced it down to 45 percent, which the Board was not happy with. We've now reduced it down to 20 percent. A lot of the coverage has to do with the driveway that's in the front of the house that Mr. Katsman inherited when he bought the house, and that driveway I believe is built to the specs required by the Village of Lawrence. A lot of the square footage is taken up by that driveway. So

theoretically, if we were to eliminate half of that driveway --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Be careful of what you say.

Are you proposing eliminating part of the driveway?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: No. Well, that's up to Mr. Katsman.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I'm just asking if that's what you're proposing.

MEMBER FEIT: You're talking about a vinyl pool.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Correct.

MEMBER FEIT: My experience in Lawrence with a number of neighbors and friends is that every couple of years the vinyl pools buckle somewhat underneath and the pool companies have to come in in order to pound it out or to bring it back to its configuration that had originally been there.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: You need to give your friends my name because that will not happen with any pool that we put in because of the construction that we do. We do a special sand and cement bottom and it's not sand, it stays firm, and this liner can last in this pool twenty years if it's properly maintained, which it will be. It

is not going to be an issue if the pool is built correctly.

1.0

MEMBER FEIT: So what soil or whatever will not cause it to buckle?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: No, what causes it to buckle is when people just use sand on the bottom and they don't properly shore up the bottom of the pool, and perhaps whatever water condition they were dealing is not dealt with properly, but that's not going to be an issue here. There's a number of pools in Lawrence I built; for instance, the Hillers, for instance, their pool has been in for fifteen years, and there are others, and they never experienced that kind of thing. But I understand what you're saying; it's true with other pool companies.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So going back to my question about the 20 percent overage.

Approximately 500 feet of that is pool?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Yes.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: And then I believe there's a possibility of reducing the lot coverage with the proposed adjustment?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Excuse me. Say that again.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I presume I'm reading the

letter that was written: At the night of the 1 hearing we will be glad to discuss the potential 2 of reducing this lot coverage with a proposed 3 4 adjustment. 5 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Right. We reduced it 35 percent lower than what we --6 7 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Oh, this is the adjustment? 8 MR. HILDEBRANDT: The original application was --9 10 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: This is April 14th. 11 MR. HILDEBRANDT: -- was 55 and then 45, and 12 we dropped that down to 20. We basically cut off 13 hundreds of feet of patio. 14 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: In all fairness, we don't 15 care what the first application was. It's nice 16 that you reduced it, but you're still at 20 17 percent. I thought all my files were current. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: This is current. 19 MEMBER FEIT: When was our last meeting? 20 MR. HILDEBRANDT: The 20 percent refers to --21 MEMBER FEIT: When was the April meeting? 22 MR. CASTRO: The 22nd. 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: This is the current 24 letter, is it not?

MEMBER FEIT: That was put down as Exhibit D,

which is current. 1 2 MR. KATSMAN: I didn't sign it. 3 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's on your stationery 4 and signed by you. 5 MR. GOLDMAN: What's the date of it, please? 6 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: April 14th, which was the 7 date that they had tried to be on the calendar. 8 It's on the last calendar call in April. 9 MEMBER FEIT: Our last Zoning Board meeting was April 22nd. 10 11 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, let's go back to the letter. 12 13 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Should I read the letter? 14 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, why don't you read 15 them the letter. 16 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The entire letter? 17 MR. GOLDMAN: No, the salient points. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Just the sentence that 19 relates to what we were saying. 20 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The accumulated lot coverage of 4,664 square feet is a result of the 21 22 swimming pool, the needed paving around the pool, 23 and the construction of the one-story frame 24 addition. This addition is to be used as a

The bathroom is only accessible from

25

bathroom.

24

25

the outside for the convenience of those using the rear yard. At the night of the hearing we will be glad to discuss the potential of reducing this lot coverage with a proposed adjustment. We look forward to the opportunity of being considered for this project, as a pool will considerably add to the quality of our home.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: I believe that was in reference to the previous plan before this.

MR. KATSMAN: It must have been. I would like to be able to keep it the way it is. taken to heart, honestly, what was discussed at the previous hearing. I understand that what we originally asked for was substantially more than you ever really would allow for. And I recognize that, and all I'm asking for is to be treated in a similar manner to the way that the Board has decided in the past of what they would allow over the existing rules for the Village. I know that 20 percent is significant. But I also know that in the past the Board has approved that type of a request. And in taking into consideration -- let me just take out my notes here -- in taking into consideration the laws of the Village, I think it's Section 7 -- can I read a little something

I wrote?

2

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Sure.

As I understand the standards MR. KATSMAN: for granting a variance of this nature, require that the Board consider the benefit to the applicant, meaning me, and weighing against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the community by giving such a grant. In making a decision of this sort, I submit that the granting of this variance will produce no undesirable changes to the character of the neighborhood, nor be a detriment to nearby properties, a point attested to by all the letters that I have submitted in support of my application. the property that stands to have the most potential effect to this project was happy and had absolutely no issue with the original plan that had far greater land coverage. The character of the neighborhood is such that this fits exactly in with what does exist in the Village of Lawrence. I'm not asking for more than -- more than that. don't want to make my house stand out as something unusual in the neighborhood, and my neighbor that would be logically most affected by what I'm proposing is for it. And I just ask that you take

that into consideration.

2

3

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Can we hear from the neighbor, Mr. Rosenfeld, who is present tonight.

4

MR. ROSENFELD: Good evening, panel members.

5

My name is Avi Rosenfeld. I live at 156

6

Harborview South, and I am the property adjacent

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Mr. Katsman's property. I want to preface my remarks by saying that I have absolutely no objection whatsoever to the needs of Mr. Katsman and his family. I do want to make some general comments that I've observed during the two years that I've lived on the The first comment is that there is property.

flooding on the property directly behind my property.

severe flooding on my property. There is severe

Now, I come here as a lawyer, not an engineer. So I can't testify about the dry wells that they're proposing to put in, and I presume that the representations here made tonight are accurate. However, the representations that are being made are from an installer and someone who has a license as a plumber. I concur with the panel's comments that we need an independent, objective evaluation of the pool that's going to

be put in to evaluate this and make the determination that there won't be any additional adverse effect to the properties.

Secondly, I think that the analysis has to take into consideration not just general rain, but the amounts of rain and what impact that would have to the variety of different properties that surround Mr. Katsman's property.

Something else that I observed and, again,
I'm here as a lawyer, not as an engineer, I heard
Mr. Katsman say that they were going to put in dry
wells over here because the property behind was
the one that was most potential for adverse
effect. I didn't hear, and it could be I was
wrong because I was sitting on the other side,
that there was any discussion about putting dry
wells on the side lines of the property.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, see, the location of the dry well in and of itself is not the critical issue.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Why don't you let

Mr. Rosenfeld finish, and we'll see what we can do

to resolve his concerns.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: I thought he was asking me a question.

MR. ROSENFELD: So I didn't hear them discuss
anything about putting dry wells on the side line
of the property. Frankly, I'm not exactly sure
the impact of what dry wells will have when
there's rain. I mean, it could be that it will
absorb the rain, but when there's a surface area
that's very, very limited, how much of that rain
can actually be absorbed by the dry well without
running off first, and that's where my concern is.
And if you come to the backyard during the winter
and again, I don't have any issues with
Mr. Katsman or Mr. Katsman's project, I really
want him and his family to be happy, but during
the winter there was an ice skating rink literally
in the neighbor's yard, and there was a tremendous
amount of runoff.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The neighbor you're referring to is the Cohens in the rear of your yard?

MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I'm actually referring to the neighbor who signed.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Klein.

MR. ROSENFELD: Klein and Fennik. Fennik had

MR. KATSMAN: Fennik signed the letter

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supporting this, and so did Klein.

MR. ROSENFELD: I understand.

MR. KATSMAN: They apparently had no issue

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Katsman, let him

MR. ROSENFELD: It's fine. There was an ice skating rink in the Fennik's backyard. about 20 feet deep and 30 feet across.

MEMBER FEIT: Twenty feet deep?

MR. ROSENFELD: Twenty feet to the depth.

MEMBER FEIT: Wide, not down.

I'm sorry, I'm sorry. Twenty MR. ROSENFELD: It went 20 feet wide and 30 feet long. feet wide. Part of that also came to my backyard. And then there was over here on the neighbor over here, the Kleins, they also had a significant amount of water. So the fact that they signed this is great, and I'm very happy for them, but just as a property owner next-door I want to make sure that the proper procedure and measures are in place to make sure that this result doesn't happen.

Now, assuming that's all done and everything is fine, I'd like to have some assurances from the Board that if this design which is going to be

signed off by an architect, which is going to be paid for an engineer by the party that's requesting this here tonight, if for some reason or another there is flooding after all this, where is the recourse here?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, in such cases we often ask the homeowner to engage an independent firm that the Village has used, that would be Cameron?

MR. GOLDMAN: Cameron.

MR. RYDER: Cameron.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And they would sign off, Cameron, if they -- if they believed that it will solve the problem by whatever the drainage is proposed, they will sign off as professionals in a sense guaranteeing it's going to be the case.

MR. RYDER: Yes.

MR. RYDER:

Now, it could very well be CHAIRMAN KEILSON: that some sort of a drainage system might be required along that line of a French drain or the like.

Mr. Ryder, do you have any opinion on that?

That's a good point,

Mr. Chairman. There is a French drain perforated pipe on the westerly side of Mr. Katsman's

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

property. That we could run and maybe filter vertically and horizontally to the dry well that will be in the rear portion of the yard.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: That potential exists. The one thing that I want to address also is, as you were saying, I don't know about you, when I was a kid though I would have had ice skates on, I would have been playing hockey.

But anyway, the situation that we're talking about is not going to eliminate there will never be another flood. Our contention is it's not going to be any worse than it is now and it would be potentially better.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I think that's legitimate. We're not asking you to remediate his issues.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: They've been there since the house was built.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: I know that. I wanted to clarify that.

Now, also, as far as the catch basins -whether or not there's dry wells here, here or
here doesn't really matter. It matters, you know,
how water enters and gets into them. So there are
ways of doing what was suggested where you could
use a French drain system that would carry water

2.0

down. But again, don't forget here we're lowering this pool down, and if my recollection is correct we're almost a little bit lower than your property over here, and then it comes out that way (indicating).

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Would the applicant be comfortable to engage an independent engineering firm which is approved by the Village to certify whatever has to be done to ensure that it's no worse than what exists, that the impact, you know, will be no worse than what previously exists?

MR. ROSENFELD: And I propose it's a small measure of caution that should be taken in advance of something that can have a lifetime impact that would adversely affect the neighbors.

There's one other point that I just wanted to make, and I apologize, I didn't mean to interrupt you. You know, part of the concern for the drainage is that there's a -- I believe, I might be wrong -- a 15-foot requirement, and in this instance I think as a matter of right Mr. Katsman is actually using eleven foot, or I don't know the exact -- eleven foot.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: It might be eleven or 10.5.

MR. ROSENFELD: So that in and of itself is

an encroachment. And then you have a particular

-- what could be explosive situation where you're
having a 15-foot requirement and here you're
having encroachment and then you have an issue
here where you have a history of flooding between
the neighbors. So I think the panel has to err on
the side of caution to make sure that before this
installation is put in that all the possible
outlooks or considerations are given before the
variances are issued.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, Mr. Ryder, if

Cameron Engineering would review this and evaluate
the impact, we can feel comfortable in terms of
ensuring the neighbor that the impact would be
abated.

MR. RYDER: You would get a professional opinion from Cameron and that's all we can ask for.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And they can make a representation as to what has to be done to ensure that there is no impact?

MR. RYDER: Yes.

MEMBER FEIT: Now, what is the size of a standard pool?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: I don't know if there is

such a thing. 1 MR. HILDEBRANDT: The standard pool --2 16 by 32? MEMBER FEIT: 3 MR. HILDEBRANDT: A standard pool? If you go 4 into the Hillers backyard, it would be a 20 by 40; 5 16/32 would be, 16/32, 18/36, 20 by 40. 6 7 MEMBER FEIT: For 16 by 32, for that size, why is this 16 by 33? 8 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It's bigger. 9 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, the way it was 10 designed, there's a little bit of a spa over here. 11 So in order to fit the step, the step was eight 12 foot wide, in order to fit it properly it had to 13 be a little bit longer. 14 MEMBER FEIT: What I'm thinking is on the 15 width from east to west, if you took off that inch 16 17 or so, or two inches, don't you sort of alleviate some of the side-yard variance problems? 18 taking it down to standard or an inch below 19 standard. 20 21

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Oh, you mean making the pool like a foot shorter?

MEMBER FEIT: Yeah.

22

23

24

25

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: What Mr. Feit is alluding to is that as far as we remember we would never go

below ten feet on a side yard for a pool. 1 him saying it, I think that's what he was --2 MEMBER FEIT: Yeah, I was getting there. 3 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Even though we have a 4 15-foot requirement, when we have given a variance 5 that would never go below ten feet. 6 MR. HILDEBRANDT: I don't believe we are 7 below ten feet. Are you talking about to the 8 water's edge or are you talking about to the 9 10 pavers? MEMBER GOTTLIEB: To the coping of the pool, 11 no? Isn't that nine and a half feet? 12 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, just refresh my 13 14 memory. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: To the pool. 15 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Is it to the water's edge 16 17 or to the pavement? MEMBER GOTTLIEB: The water edge. 18 MR. HILDEBRANDT: To the water's edge? No, I 19 20 believe we -- I thought we were eleven feet. 21 MEMBER FEIT: I think we're talking about from all respects you have 9.5, so we're sort of 22 loathe to give that extra half foot. 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Nine foot five on the west 24

25

side.

MR. MUHS: 4.5 in patio and that's on the 1 short side. 2 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Nine foot five is from 3 where to where? 4 MR. RYDER: I think I could help, 5 Mr. Chairman. We have 20 feet from the wall of 6 7 the pool to the easterly property line. MEMBER FEIT: What about the westerly 8 property line? We're looking at the westerly, 9 Mike. 10 MR. RYDER: It's the pool equipment. 11 why. The pool equipment is accessory to the pool 12 and that has to meet the minimum requirements as 13 14 well. MEMBER GOTTLIEB: We're talking about the 15 third on the code release box? 16 17 MR. RYDER: Yes. CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So when we speak of the 18 19 encroachment of no less than ten foot, that's what we're speaking of. In this case it's the pool. 20 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's what I thought this 21 22 was. 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Either way, when we speak 24 of encroachment we're speaking of 10 foot. So 25 however it's achieved.

MR. RYDER: Right.

MEMBER FEIT: Did I understand you to say that the pool equipment is on the west side of the pool?

MR. RYDER: The pool equipment is on the east side of the house.

MEMBER FEIT: The east side of the house.

MR. MUHS: It's right here. The pool equipment is right on this side (indicating).

MEMBER FEIT: Oh, okay, all right. I see it.

Will the noise from the pool equipment impact on the house on the east or not?

MR. KATSMAN: We already have the air-conditioning units for the house are presently located there.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Yeah, they're noisy.

MR. KATSMAN: That's already noisy.

MEMBER FEIT: Basically, again, I don't know,
I don't have a pool, never wanted one. I don't
know how noisy pool equipment is as compared to
air conditioning. Is it the same, less, more?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: If your air conditioner is on, it will drown out the pool equipment.

MEMBER FEIT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's far worse.

Just summarize, the pool as far as where the 1 pool is located, the distance on the west side is 2 -- what is it to the neighbor? 3 MR. HILDEBRANDT: It's eleven feet to the 4 water's edge. On the west side eleven feet to the 5 water's edge. It's approximately --6 MR. MUHS: It's in line with the house 7 almost. 8 MR. HILDEBRANDT: No, it's one foot in, 9 further in than the house is. It's one foot 10 further in than the house. 11 MR. MUHS: This way. So it lines up with the 12 house, but it's even more inward than the house. 13 MR. RYDER: Yes, it is. It's more inside. 14 MEMBER SCHRECK: Is there a reason that the 15 pool wasn't centered more on this property? 16 MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, we have -- you have a 17 huge retaining wall over here and --18 MR. MUHS: It's imposing to be close to that. 19 MR. HILDEBRANDT: To favor one side, you 20 know, enables us to grade properly over here 21 and --22 MR. MUHS: It lines up nicely with this side 23 of the house, and there's a view from the back. 24 25 That's where the door is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FEIT: Are you talking about the left side, the west side?

MR. MUHS: The west side, that's correct.

Okay. The trouble that we have MEMBER FEIT: is when you say here, there, whatever, we're seeing it, but on the record they see this, that, where, how, when, and nobody knows what they're talking about.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: We'll be more specific.

Could I take the conversation just MR. MUHS: a little bit further and point out just a few other things. You know, this pool because of the retaining wall and the fence and all of the screening over here is very well shielded. know, like Mr. Katsman had pointed out character of the neighborhood affecting neighbors, this is -- it's a flat patio. It's -- there's no cabana or permanent cover. There's going to be a table and chairs, and it's a flat pool. So it really is well within the confines and, you know, even though it exceeds, and that's the reason there's a process, the lot coverage, but you know, we would like that to be considered and we're hoping that that's not an encroachment that's going to affect anyone and hopefully will be favorable on a

decision with regards to that.

But now that we know that there is a concern as far as drainage and engineering, if the Board is considering granting this application with a favorable outcome of that engineering application, I would like to propose or request if it would be at all possible, because the season is progressing, if this doesn't happen soon, he's going to miss the entire season for his family, if there could be perhaps a decision that would say is --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Subject to.

MR. MUHS: -- subject to.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I'm comfortable with that.

MR. GOLDMAN: I've taken the liberty of asking Mr. Ryder how long, and perhaps we can all chime in here, how long such a report will take so that the Board would be advised.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And what did Mr. Ryder say?

MR. GOLDMAN: Well, certainly within the month, before the next June meeting, because you would have to render a decision.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, I have no discomfort in rendering a decision subject to their hearing

and abiding by, whether it's Cameron Engineering that we are choosing as far as whatever their recommendations are, and if he performs pursuant to those recommendations, as far as I'm concerned we can go ahead with the variance this evening. Why bring him back in?

MR. GOLDMAN: Perfect.

MEMBER FEIT: May I ask one other question.

The paving around the pool, can it be made of permeable cement blocks, whatever, to assist in some of the runoff so it just doesn't gather or move off to the side. But you actually have some of it being absorbed under the blocks.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That would eliminate some of the surface coverage also being semi-impervious.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, I don't know how you define that. But, I mean, pavers are individual -- these pavers are individual, you know, bricks. The base under it, in other words, around the immediate pool it would be concrete to support the patio, but there could be a permeable base underneath that will allow water to go. You're still going to have pitch going away.

MEMBER FEIT: No. You have and we've seen

them, and as Mr. Gottlieb pointed out, there are permeable pavers which also eliminates surface coverage, and actually it makes your request much smaller if you use these permeable pavers; and as a second purpose that it will help the runoff and lower surface coverage.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Yes. But the permeable pavers that you're referring to are something that are normally used in driveways and basically they have grass growing through them. So it's not conducive to putting a lawn chair down and you sink into a hole or somebody is walking around the pool and stubbing their toe.

MEMBER FEIT: I sort of remember that we had it around a pool. We had it around a pool that there are pavers. Mr. Ryder, Mr. Castro, am I right or wrong about using permeable pavers around a pool?

MR. RYDER: The comment I'm going to make is if it's pavers, I still think it would calculate into the surface coverage. Unless there's something out there that I've never come across.

MEMBER FEIT: Well, we've been told that the pavers again with the driveways when they put them in it's not included in surface coverage. Which

2.1

we've done over the years, we've had that. Now, what they're actually made of or consisted of, I don't know.

MR. RYDER: And they have the look of a paver?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: No, Mr. Ryder, I know what he's talking about. They're actually like honeycomb-shaped --

MR. GOLDMAN: Right, we saw pictures of it.

MR. HILDEBRANDT: They're honey-comb, you know, blocks that they put down and you let the grass grow in between them.

MR. GOLDMAN: It comes up through it.

MR. MUHS: It becomes quite a maintenance issue. But the thing is that, you know, I'm going to lean on a little plumbing knowledge, you know, if there is a drainage system and there is adequate drain entry points along this way, a trough drain or, you know, drains, this design, trust me, is going to be well in excess of what is normally required. That's what we're, you know, recommending here. So the catch basins would be back here which have tremendous capacity, but the drains themselves would be on a piping system along the perimeter of the pool. So by doing

that, you know, I mean, you pour water on those stones, and I think that was a good suggestion, but if you pour water, you know, out of a bucket it's going quick and it's -- but if there's a drain there it's going for the drain because things are graded to that, and so that would be the most effective way under an intense rain and that's where I think the problem is. So we would certainly be looking to provide a very adequate drain system here, and if we could, you know, have -- now, is the process that we would have our professionals submit a plan and then Cameron review that plan, or Cameron would be it?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I would suggest that and, again, subject to Mr. Ryder's recommendation, but I think that the suggestion is the applicant engage Cameron Engineering to review the situation and make their recommendation as to what has to be done in order to ensure that there is no further impact on the neighbors in terms of whatever can be built in this backyard.

MR. MUHS: Okay. Well, that makes sense, if they're recognized as a professional by the Village.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And the Building

Department would oversee to ensure that that recommendation is followed. If the applicant finds that it's too onerous or the like, he can always come back in some other fashion to try and modify it. I think if we're going to consider the application I think that's what we should consider when Mr. Gottlieb returns.

MR. GOLDMAN: I shall get Mr. Gottlieb.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Evidently, it was something very important.

MEMBER SCHRECK: How long will it take for this project to be completed?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Well, they hope to be there for the summer.

MR. MUHS: We're hoping to get to talk to

Cameron and hopefully that will only be a few day

process, and if we are successful there, then how

long does it take once the permit is issued to

construct the project?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: Well, we usually give a six- to eight-week time frame including weather, inspections.

MR. MUHS: From beginning to end.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: You don't control the weather?

MR. HILDEBRANDT: No, we can't even control the inspections.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: It's my recommendation that we should approve the application as presented with the proviso that the applicant will engage Cameron Engineering and seek their advice on what has to be done to ensure that the neighbor's properties are not impacted by the construction that's contemplated and that the Village Building Department will oversee to ensure that it's followed to the T.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Comments?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Please.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: In the event that Cameron makes a recommendation that you don't agree with or you're not willing to do, does that null and void this application?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Subject to, they can't proceed, I assume, Mr. Goldman.

MR. GOLDMAN: That's correct.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Do they come back and reapply or do they amend and adjust?

MR. GOLDMAN: I think in fairness to all parties what they would do is they would come back to you and indicate what the issue was with

Cameron and why they don't believe that they
comply, or they may want to have an alternate
opinion or whatever.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So in the event that
Cameron says you can't exactly do this plan as
such, but however we're suggesting something else,

does it then come back to us?

MEMBER FEIT: Unless they follow Cameron's suggestion. I have no problem with them following.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No, no, no, if they are following Cameron's suggestion, then we're off.

MR. GOLDMAN: That's correct.

MEMBER FEIT: It's just that if plan B was too expensive or whatever, then they would have to come back.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: If it becomes financially impractical for you to do what they are saying.

MR. KATSMAN: And I also want to be able to come back to you to see if there is anything else and if we can make any other changes.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's right. I just want to know if you don't do it.

MR. GOLDMAN: Rather than force them to.

MR. KATSMAN: But not reapply to begin with.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: No, you would do an amendment.

MR. GOLDMAN: You would ask to be placed on the calendar, with the Chair's permission to be placed on the calendar for continued -- we would reopen it.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I don't want to penalize Mr. Katsman for agreeing to do something that we're suggesting and then it comes back impractical and then he's now forced to make a reapplication for 2011.

MR. GOLDMAN: That would be my suggestion to the Board that he would just simply ask to be, you know, put back on the calendar for a continuation.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So I'm not going to repeat everything I said.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I just want to see Mr. Rosenfeld who was kind of blocked.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The only addendum that I would add is that I think the Village should invite Mr. Rosenfeld to review the program that Cameron is instituting. Again, I don't think he should have veto power, but he should certainly review it and be aware of what's being contemplated.

MR. GOLDMAN: If I might, Mr. Ryder, what I 1 thought was obvious but should be put on the 2 record, that Cameron will be submitting a written 3 report that will be reviewed by the Building 4 Department, obviously, and that's precisely what 5 we can share with Mr. Rosenfeld, if that's what 6 the Board contemplated as well. 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I would vote for my 8 own recommendation. 9 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's very good of you to 10 do that. 11 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Gottlieb. 12 MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I will second that vote. 13 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Feit. 14 MEMBER FEIT: Far be it from me to disagree 15 with the Chair tonight. 16 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. Mr. Schreck. 17 MEMBER SCHRECK: I agree as well. 18 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay. How long will you 19 require? Give them a year? 20 MR. KATSMAN: Could we get two years in case 21 it takes a little too long. 22 23 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Certainly. 24 MEMBER FEIT: Did I hear two years?

25

hear two years?

1	MR. KATSMAN: Two years.
2	CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Two years is fine.
3	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I just want to mention
4	something. Mr. Rosenfeld, you're an attorney?
5	MR. ROSENFELD: Yes.
6	MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So you probably know this.
7	Probably the after divorce court or matrimonial
8	law this is probably the most difficult situation
9	to speak an objection to, and, you know, to defend
10	your rights and yet try to keep a neighbor, so I
11	applaud you for coming down. And I hope you guys
12	will end up being neighbors, and you'll be in his
13	pool, alive, not at the bottom of the pool.
14	MEMBER FEIT: And off the record.
15	(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the
16	record.)
17	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
18	8:45 p.m.)
19	*************
20	Certified that the foregoing is a true and
21	accurate transcript of the original stenographic
22	minutes in this case.
23	
24	Mary Bucc
25	MARY BENCI, RPR

Court Reporter