



Cass County  
Planning Commission/Board of Adjustment

August 12, 2013

The Cass County Planning Commission/Board of Adjustment conducted a regular meeting August 12, 2013 in the meeting room of the Cass County Land Department in Backus. The field inspection was conducted August 5, 2013 with Anderson, Fitch, Froehlig, LaPorte, Moore and Sundberg present.

Sundberg called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

Members present: Anderson, Fitch, Froehlig, LaPorte, Moore and Sundberg.

Staff Present: Berg, Fairbanks and Ringle.

Citizens Present: Greg Bennett, Bonnie Braun, Kevin Braun, Nick Buchentin, John Coleman, Tom Cox, Bob Haire, Kay Halligan, Rob Knutson, Melanie Mix, Dianne Olson, Homer Olson, Ben Peterson, Dennis Peterson, Jeff Peterson, Lisa Peterson, Karen Turner, Norm Wieland, Ernie Wollak, Doug Wolter and Mary Jo Wolter.

MS/P Fitch/Froehlig to approve the minutes of the 07/08/13 PC/BOA meeting as presented.

Variance

Appeldoorn, Properties LLC, W Sylvan Township on property described as Part of Gov Lot 5, Section 19-133-30, PID #41-219-3403 located at 13541 Backwater Trail SW. An application submitted to construct a 40 feet x 40 feet, 1,600 square feet, garage to be located 50 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 75 feet from a lake classified General Development (GD). In addition the proposed garage will exceed the maximum accessory structure allowed, 1,200 square feet, by 400 square feet. Section 1101 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) allows 1,200 square feet of accessory structure on lots that contain .5 - .99 acres. The property contains .68 acre riparian to Lake Placid (GD).

PC members were at the site 08/05/13. 22 notices of the application were mailed. Three responses were received, one offering no objection, one concerned as to what type equipment might be stored in the proposed structure and one from Sylvan Township recommending denial due the excess size of proposed structure. No other responses have been received from any

persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The application was discussed and reviewed with the applicant's representative Mr. Wollak.

MS/P Froehlig/Fitch to approve the location at a 50 feet setback for the accessory structure to be located upon PID #41-219-3403 based upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. The lot was created in 1967 prior to land use regulation.
2. Although part of the lake, the portion requested for 50 feet is back water and not part of the lake utilized for primary use and activity.
3. The proposed accessory structure setback seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the size and configuration of the lot along with where it will be located which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
4. The proposed accessory structure at 50 feet will not be excessive of scale or obtrusive to neighboring property or the lake which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
5. Because the surrounding area contains structures similar in scale along with where it will be located in relation to other structures there is no reason to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed expansion.
7. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the location of the proposed accessory structure.
8. There is no reason to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The proposed accessory structure shall not exceed 1,200 square feet and not be less than 50 feet from the water.
2. The existing accessory structure must be removed prior to the commencement of construction of the approved structure.
3. Any material resulting from construction or demolition not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
4. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
5. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. If determined necessary by ESD, run-off shall be redirected from the structure by gutters to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
6. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.
7. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.
8. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Braun, Kevin, C Turtle Lake Township on property described as Lots 1 & 2, Block3, "South Agency Estates", Section 29-142-30, PID #45-520-0305 located at 7957 S Agency Estates Drive NW. An application submitted to be allowed to establish Lots 1 & 2 as separate parcels each allowed for development. Section 1115.2 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) allows contiguous non-conforming lots in the same ownership to be allowed as separate lots provided that they each contain at least 66% of the area required MN Rule 6120. In this case the required total for a non-riparian lot within the shoreland area of a GD lake is 40,000 square feet.  $40,000 \text{ square feet} \times 66\% = 26,400 \text{ square feet}$ . Lots 1 & 2 each contain 25,000 square feet therefore each lot is deficient 1,400 square feet. The property contains 1.15 non-riparian acres within the shoreland area (.25 mile) of Leech Lake (GD).

PC members were at the site 08/05/13. 47 notices of the application were mailed. No responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. Braun.

MS/P Moore/LaPorte to approve the application as submitted for PID #45-520-0305 based upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. "South Agency Estates" was platted in 1971.
2. The applicant has been assessed owners association fees for two lots and each lot has a harbor slip.
3. The area deficient for each lot, 1,400 square feet, amounts to .055 of the amount required.
4. The proposed lot area seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the configuration and non-riparian location of the lots which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
5. The proposed lots will not be excessive or obtrusive to neighboring property which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
6. Because the plat area contains similar lots in individual ownership there is no reason to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed expansion.
7. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the lots.
8. No responses or objections have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application.
9. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions of the lots shall not vary from that approved in 1971.
2. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO

ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO,  
REVOCAION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Coleman, John, Woodrow Township on property described as Part of Lot 1, "Minne Teepe Portage", Part of Gov Lot 3 & of NW NW, Section 22-140-29, PID #51-452-0205 located at 3517 8<sup>th</sup> Ave NW. An application submitted to expand a non-conforming residence with a 10 feet x 16 feet x 8 feet x 16 feet x 16 feet x 40 feet addition. The residence is non-conforming because it is located 50 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 75 feet from a lake classified General Development (GD). In addition, Section 1115.3 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires a variance for the expansion of any non-conforming structure. The property contains .75 acre riparian to Woman Lake (GD).

PC members were at the site 08/05/13. 56 notices of the application were mailed. One response from Woodrow Township recommending approval was received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. and Mrs. Coleman.

MS/P LaPorte/Moore to approve the expansion of the residence located upon PID #51-452-0205 based upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. The property was platted in 1923 and it is presumed that original construction at less than 75 feet from the lake occurred prior to land use administration.
2. The proposed expansion appears reasonable when taking into account the size, location and configuration of the of the residence which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
4. The proposed expansion will not be excessive of scale, obtrusive to neighboring property and mostly unobservable from the lake which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
5. The surrounding area contains structures similar in scale therefore there is no reason to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed expansion.
6. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed expansion.
7. The applicants have offered to remove the existing non-conforming storage structure to a distance that complies with applicable setback requirements.

8. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions of the expansion shall not vary from the site plan submitted with the application 07/03/13.
2. Approval does not allow or imply that the structure to be expanded can be removed by demolition or any other method from the site nor shall the structure to be expanded be reduced to "one wall".
3. Any debris resulting from construction not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
4. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
5. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. If determined necessary by ESD, run-off shall be redirected from the expanded structure by gutters to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
6. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.
7. The non-conforming storage structure must be moved prior to the commencement of construction to a location within the buildable area of the lot that shall comply with all applicable setback requirements.
8. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.

9. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Haire, Robert, Woodrow Township on property described as Part of Gov Lot 6 & an Undivided 1/9 Interest in Tract C of Gov Lot 6, Section 22-140-29, PID #51-022-3104 located at 1043 N Widow Lake Road NW. An application submitted to expand a non-conforming residence with a 33 feet x 26 feet x 16 feet addition/deck. The residence is non-conforming because it is located 71 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 100 feet from a lake classified Recreational Development (RD). In addition, Section 1115.3 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires a variance for the expansion of any non-conforming structure. The property contains .92 acre riparian to Widow Lake (RD).

PC members were at the site 08/05/13. 38 notices of the application were mailed. Two responses including that of Woodrow recommending approval of the application were received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The application was discussed and reviewed with Mr. Haire.

MS/P Anderson/Moore to approve the location and size of the expansion of the residence located upon PID #51-022-3104 based upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. There is no record of the original construction at less than 100 feet in the permit archive and it is presumed it was constructed prior to the adoption of land use regulation.
2. The proposed expansion seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the location of the structure along with the topography of the lot which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
3. The residence with the proposed expansion will not be excessive of scale or obtrusive to neighboring property which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.

4. Because the surrounding area contains residences similar in size and scale, there is no reason to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed residence.
5. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed expansion.
6. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions and location of the expansion of the residence shall not vary from that submitted 07/15/13.
2. Approval does not allow or imply that the structure to be expanded can be removed by demolition or any other method from the site nor shall the structure to be expanded be reduced to "one wall".
3. Any material resulting from construction not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
4. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
5. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. If determined necessary by ESD, run-off shall be redirected from the expanded structure by gutters to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
6. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.



7. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.

8. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Halligan, Kathryn & Senkyr, James, Kego Township on property described as Lot 5, "Likens North Shore Beach", Section 26-141-28, PID #19-439-0050 located at 1563 Suzanne Drive NE. An application submitted to replace the existing residence with new construction located at the closest point, 70 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 75 feet from a lake classified General Development (GD). The property contains 1.73 acres riparian to Long Lake (GD).

PC members were at the site 08/05/13. More than 50 notices of the application were mailed. One response advocating for approval of the application was received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was discussed and reviewed with Ms. Halligan focusing on placement opportunities that might comply with the lake setback. The application was withdrawn from consideration by Ms. Halligan.

Halvorson, Family Holdings LLC, Hiram Township on property described as Lot 26, "Northwest Shores Ten Mile Lake", Section 4-140-31, PID #16-349-0260 located at 4611 Alder Lane NW. An application submitted, "after the fact", to retain the expansion of a non-conforming residence with an 8 feet x 12 feet non-lakeside addition. The residence is non-conforming because it is located less than 75 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 75 feet from a lake classified General Development (GD). In addition, Section 1115.3 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires a variance for the expansion of any non-conforming structure. The property contains .57 acre riparian to Ten Mile Lake (GD).

PC members were at the site 08/05/13. 30 notices of the application were mailed. No responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application.

MS/P Moore/Froehlig to approve the expansion of the residence located at PID #16-349-0260 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. There is no record of the original construction at less than 100 feet in the permit archive and it is presumed it was constructed prior to the adoption of land use regulation.
2. The expansion is non-lakeside.
3. The expansion is a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the location of the structure which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
4. The expansion is not excessive of scale or obtrusive to neighboring property which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
5. The surrounding area contains residences similar in size and scale therefore there is no reason to conclude that area land use has been negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the expansion.
5. There is no reason to conclude that water quality has been in any way affected by the size or location of the expansion.

Condition:

1. The area covered by the expansion shall not be enclosed.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Heggen, Robert, Woodrow Township on property described as Lot 2, Block 2, "Whitecap Shores", Section 1-140-29, PID #51-553-0220 located at 4458 Barred Owl Trail NW. An application submitted to construct a garage 3 feet from a property boundary line. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 10 feet from a property boundary line. The property contains .25 acre riparian to Woman Lake (GD).

21 notices of the application were mailed. One response from Woodrow Township recommending approval has been received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with the applicant's representative Mr. Buchentin

MS/P Moore/Froehlig to approve the location and size of the accessory structure/garage to be constructed upon PID #51-553-0220 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of

the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. The property was platted in 1988 and consists of former DNR lease lots to which local regulation does not apply.
2. Barred Owl Trail terminates at this lot with little or no likelihood of extension.
3. The proposed accessory structure expansion seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the location of the structure along with the size of the lot which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
4. The proposed accessory structure will not be excessive of scale or obtrusive to neighboring property which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
5. Because the surrounding area contains structure similar in size and scale, there is no reason or evidence to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed accessory structure.
6. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed accessory structure.
7. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions and location of the garage shall not vary from that submitted 07/25/13.
2. Any material resulting from construction not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
3. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
4. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. If determined necessary by ESD, run-off shall be directed by gutters from the garage to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
5. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.
6. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.
7. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Karrick, Clarissa & Walter, Ponto Lake Township on property described as Part of Gov Lot 8, Section 3-139-29, PID #32-003-4201 located at 908 County 46 NW. An application submitted to locate a double wide manufactured home that will be 78 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 100 feet from a lake classified Recreational Development (RD). The property contains .6 acre riparian to Island Lake (RD).

More than 30 notices of the application were mailed. One response advocating for approval of the application has been received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. Karrick.

MS/P Froehlig/Anderson to approve the location and size of the residence to be located upon PID #32-003-4201 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. The existing single wide manufactured residence is 100 feet from the lake but the proposed double wide manufactured will result in a reduction to 88 feet at the closest point to the lake.

2. The location of the proposed residence seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the configuration of the lot and the location of the proposed residence which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.

3. The proposed residence will not be excessive of scale and virtually unobservable from the lake and neighbors which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.

4. The surrounding area contains residences similar in size and scale therefore there is no reason or evidence to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed residence.

5. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed residence.

6. One response supporting approval of the application has been received. No other responses or objections have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application.

7. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.

2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.

3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.

4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a Permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions and location of the structure shall not vary from that submitted 07/15/13.

2. Any material/debris resulting from construction not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
3. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
4. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. The approved structure if determined necessary by ESD shall redirect run-off by gutters to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent structure run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
5. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.
6. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.
7. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Knutson, Robert, E Sylvan Township on property described as Lots 6, "McKisson Acres", Section 28-13-29, PID #41-429-0060 located at 13970 Hardy Lake Road SW. An application submitted to expand a non-conforming residence with a 27 feet x 9 feet addition which will consist of the enclosure of an existing 14 feet x 9 feet deck along with 13 feet x 9 feet new construction. The residence is non-conforming because it is located 62 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 100 feet from a lake classified Recreational Development (RD). In addition, Section 1115.3 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires a variance for the expansion of any non-conforming structure. The property contains .61 acre riparian to Hardy Lake (RD).

More than 50 notices of the application were mailed. One response from Sylvan Township recommending approval has been received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. Knutson.

MS/P Moore/LaPorte to deny the application to expand the non-conforming residence located upon 41-429-0060 based upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and condition:

Findings:

1. The existing configuration of the residence was approved by VP09-133-29-4.
2. The 2009 approval specifically did not include any additional lakeside expansion.

3. The current construction was started with no consultation or approval by ESD.
4. The variance if granted will not be in harmony with the intent of the official controls Section 201 C. of the Land Use Ordinance, Regulating the location, height and bulk of structures.
5. The variance if granted is not consistent with the scope and purpose of the Comprehensive Plan, Private Land Use Plan, Land Use Planning D. 1. Development of lakeshore property with minimal natural resource impacts.

Condition:

!. The residence must be returned to pre-construction appearance and configuration no later than 10/31/13.

Olson, Homer & Dianne, Hiram Township on property described as W 128 Feet of E 513 Feet of Gov Lot 2, PID #16-010-4308, Section 10-141-31 located at 5686 Fernhurst Drive NW. An application to construct 30 feet x 30 detached guest quarter structure on a lot that does not comply with the applicable lot standards. The applicants' property contains more than 200,000 square feet total area, more than 100,000 square feet buildable area, is 113 feet wide at the lake and 125 feet wide at the structure setback. Section 1113.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (2013) requires that in order to have detached living area/guest quarters a riparian lot on a General Development (GD) lake must contain not less than 75,000 square feet total area, 27,000 square feet buildable area, be at least 180 feet wide at the lake and be 180 feet wide at the structure setback. The property contains 4.68 acres riparian to Ten Mile Lake (GD).

36 notices of the application were mailed. One response advocating for approval of the application has been received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. and Mrs. Olson.

MS/P LaPorte/Moore to approve the placement of a guest house upon PID #16-010-4308 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. The lot was created in 1974 and contains 4.68 acres.
2. The lot exceeds the minimum GD riparian guest quarter total area minimum by 128,860 square feet.
3. The lot exceeds the minimum GD riparian guest quarter total buildable area minimum by 73,000 square feet.
4. The lot width at the water and setback, 125 feet, is 70% of the minimum required 180 feet.
5. The proposed guest quarter exception does not seem unreasonable when taking into account the total square feet contained in the lot which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the

lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.

6. The proposed guest quarter structure will comply with setback and footprint requirements and will not be excessive of scale or obtrusive to neighboring property which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation. 5. Because the surrounding area contains structure similar in size and scale, there is no reason or evidence to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed accessory structure.

6. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed guest quarter.

7. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The guest house structure shall comply with all ordinance requirements.
2. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.



Peterson, Dennis, Slater Township on property described as Part of Gov Lot 2, Section 34-142-26, PID #39-034-2103 located at 7512 Raccoon Trail NE. An application submitted to expand a non-conforming residence with a 12 feet x 14 feet addition. The residence is non-conforming because it is located 30 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 100 feet from a lake classified Recreational Development (RD). In addition, Section 1115.3 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires a variance for the expansion of any non-conforming structure. The property contains .8 acre riparian to Big Sand Lake (RD).

22 notices of the application were mailed. No responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. and Mrs. Peterson.

MS/P Froehlig/Fitch to approve the location and size of the expansion to be added to the residence located upon PID #39-034-2103 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. There is no record in the permit archive of the original construction of the residence but it is obvious that it substantially predates land use regulation and lake setback standards.
2. The location of the proposed residence expansion seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the configuration of the lot and the location of the residence which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
3. The proposed residence expansion will not be excessive of size or scale which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
4. The surrounding area contains residences similar in size and scale therefore there is no reason or evidence to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed residence.
5. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed residence.
6. No responses or objections have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application.
7. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a Permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions of the proposed expansion shall not exceed 15 feet x 15 feet.
2. The location of the proposed expansion shall not vary from that submitted 07/25/13.
3. Approval does not allow or imply that the structure to be expanded can be removed by demolition or any other method from the site nor shall the structure to be expanded be reduced to "one wall".
4. Any material/debris resulting from construction not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
5. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
6. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. The approved structure if determined necessary by ESD shall redirect run-off by gutters to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
7. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.
8. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.
9. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Turner, Brian for property owned by Shulte, Curtis, Kego Township on property described as Lot 6, Block 1, "Wilderness Shores", Section 14-141-28, PID #19-409-0112 at 1950 Shaw Lane NE. An application submitted to expand a non-conforming residence with an 12 feet x 18 feet non-lakeside addition, a 12 feet x 16 feet addition and 8 feet x 12 feet deck both to be located at the west side of the residence at current setback. The residence is non-conforming because it is located 85 feet from the lake. Section 1126.1 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires structures to be located 100 feet from a lake classified Recreational Development (RD). In addition, Section 1115.3 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) requires a variance for the expansion of any non-conforming structure. The property contains 1.8 acres riparian to Kego Lake (RD).

More than 50 notices of the application were mailed. Two responses advocating for approval of the application has been received. No other responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Ms. Turner and Ms. Mix.

MS/P LaPorte/Moore to approve the location and size of the expansion of the residence located upon PID #19-409-0112 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. Although the residence is more than 100 feet from the furthest extension of the lot, it is 85 feet at the closest point.
2. The proposed expansion to the west side of the residence will not reduce the existing setback and the other proposed expansion is non-lakeside.
3. The location of the proposed residence expansion seems a reasonable use of the property when taking into account the configuration of the lot and the location of the proposed residence expansion which therefore does not necessarily contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
4. The proposed residence expansion will be modest of scale and mostly unobservable from the lake and neighbors which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
5. The surrounding area contains residences similar in size and scale therefore there is no reason or evidence to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered by the size or location of the proposed residence.
6. There is no reason to conclude that water quality will be in any way affected by the size or location of the proposed residence.

7. Two response supporting approval of the application have been received. No other responses or objections have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application.
8. There is no reason or evidence to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included no permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a Permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions and location of the addition shall not vary from that submitted 07/25/13.
2. Approval does not allow or imply that the structure to be expanded can be removed by demolition or any other method from the site nor shall the structure to be expanded be reduced to "one wall".
3. Any material/debris resulting from construction not reused or recycled must be disposed of in a permitted facility.
4. ESD shall determine the need for construction erosion control and if required applicant shall install ESD approved erosion control placement prior to the commencement of construction.
5. No run-off to the lake or adjoining properties is permitted. The approved structure if determined necessary by ESD shall redirect run-off by gutters to water retention structures such as rain gardens to retain and prevent run-off to the lake and adjoining properties.
6. ESD shall evaluate shoreline vegetation and determine if necessary, vegetative enhancement or a no mow maintenance option shall be implemented.
7. Applicant consents to inspection of the property from time to time by ESD to verify compliance with conditions.
8. Unless commenced or otherwise extended or revised by the Planning Commission this approval shall be effective until 08/12/15.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

Wolter, Doug, Pine Lake Township on property described as Lot 5, Block 1, "Sugar Maple Shores 3<sup>rd</sup> Addition", Section 9-141-29, PID #30-350-0150 located at 1204 Maple Leaf Trail NE. An application submitted to be allowed to retain an existing retaining wall located in the shore impact zone and fire pit located within a bluff area. Section 1126.9 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) establishes retaining wall criteria. In addition, Section 1106.3 establishes the bluff standards. The property contains .8 acre riparian to Leech Lake (GD).

More than 30 notices of the application were mailed. No responses have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application. The variance request was reviewed with Mr. and Mrs. Wolter.

MS/P Moore/LaPorte to allow the retaining wall to remain as constructed and located upon PID #30-350-0150 upon review of the criteria contained in Section 800 of the Land Use Ordinance (02/15/13) and in M.S. 394.27, Subdivision 7 with the following findings and conditions:

Findings:

1. The applicant has willingly taken steps to reduce the visibility of the retaining wall by implementing a plan for vegetation upon the flat area of the tiers
2. The applicant has willingly reduced the size of the platform to comply with the platform ordinance requirement not to exceed 150 square feet.
3. The applicant has willingly moved a storage structure that was located within the setback area to a point 75 feet from the lake.
4. The retaining wall seems a reasonable use when taking into account the location of the lot as it relates to the long fetch across the lake from the northwest which therefore does not contradict the purpose of the Land Use Ordinance which is to protect, preserve and enhance the quality of the lakes, rivers, forests, wetlands, natural land forms and open space for future generations and to promote health, safety, and general welfare.
5. The size of the retaining wall seems not excessive and when considering the tier vegetation it will not be obtrusive and will not contradict the purpose of the comprehensive plan which is to 1. Provide a rational basis to make difficult land and resource decisions wisely, 2. Eliminate the conflicts caused by unplanned development, 3. Improve coordination and communication between county, local governments and the citizens in land use planning, and 4. Protect the County's natural resources from degradation.
6. No responses or objections have been received from any persons or governmental entities notified of the application.
7. The retaining wall is similar in size and scale to other structures along Leech Lake and therefore there is no reason to conclude that area land use will be negatively affected or altered.

8. There is no reason to conclude based upon the size and location of the retaining wall that water quality will be negatively affected.

9. There is no reason to conclude that financial consideration is the sole reason for the application.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: If the following conditions are included, No permit to implement shall be issued until and if:

1. ESD required and approved erosion control is installed.
2. A written plan for suitable vegetation is approved by ESD and agreed to be implemented by applicant.
3. At applicant's option, the vegetative plan is substantially implemented as determined by ESD or financial assurance in the amount of \$1 per square foot of the buffer area for no-mow or \$3 per square foot for all other vegetative buffers or if less 125% of the cost estimate.
4. As required by other conditions as set forth.

NOTICE TO THE APPLICANT: No site preparation or building construction shall be commenced until issuance of Permit. Commencement of either prior to issuance of a Permit may result in withholding, withdrawal or revocation of a Permit until inspection by ESD, correction of any circumstances in violation of laws or ordinances or the terms of this Variance, deposit of financial assurance as determined by ESD to secure correction of violations and completion of other measures required by ESD.

Conditions:

1. The dimensions of the retaining wall shall not vary from that in place as of 08/05/12.
2. A plan for the re-vegetation of the western slope of the lot from 75 feet to the lake must be submitted to ESD no later than 09/15/13 with failure to do so resulting in nullification of approval.
3. The applicant or contractor must repair the incorrect installation of the silt fence for the residence construction not later than 08/31/12.

COMPLIANCE WITH ALL CONDITIONS IS REQUIRED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY CONDITION SHALL RESULT IN A VIOLATION AND SUBJECT THE APPLICANT TO ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, REVOCATION OF THIS VARIANCE, REMOVAL OF VIOLATIONS AND MITIGATION.

#### Other Business

Consider request from Gerald Bader to revise CU13-143-29-2 to allow 12 RV sites from the previously approved 10 RV sites which is within the applicable density standard for the property.

MS/P Moore/Fitch to revise CU13-143-29-2 to approve 12 RV sites.

Consider request from ESD to approve resolution for County Board and Commissioner DNR to revise lake classification for Meadow Lake from NE to RD.

MS/P Moore/Fitch to approve the resolution as presented for County Board and Commissioner DNR.

MS/P Froehlig/Anderson at 1:55 pm, to adjourn.

P. Fairbanks