



State of New Jersey

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
PO Box 080
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0080

GURBIR S. GREWAL
Attorney General

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

ATTORNEY GENERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT DIRECTIVE NO. 2018-2

TO: All Law Enforcement Chief Executives
FROM: Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General
DATE: March 20, 2018
SUBJECT: **Statewide Mandatory Random Drug Testing**

I. Background

The Attorney General is responsible for ensuring that law enforcement provides the highest level of service to the public, and that all officers have the physical and mental capacity to perform their duties safely and effectively. An officer's life, the lives of fellow officers, and the lives of the public depend on the officer's alertness and ability to make rational decisions unaffected by illegal drug use.

Testing of law enforcement officers in New Jersey for illegal drug use is governed by the *Attorney General's Law Enforcement Drug Testing Policy* (hereinafter "AG Testing Policy"). Established in 1986, the AG Testing Policy has been reviewed and updated regularly over the years since its issuance. The AG Testing Policy already requires the testing of applicants and trainees for law enforcement positions. It further requires all law enforcement officers be tested if reasonable suspicion exists that they are using drugs illegally.

For the past 20 years, the AG Testing Policy has encouraged, but not required, law enforcement agencies to adopt a random drug testing component to departmental drug testing policies. Because illicit drug use can be hidden, random drug testing provides an objective procedure to test for violations of a department's drug policy. At the same time, the AG Testing Policy recognizes the importance of working with unions and governing bodies to ensure that privacy and collective bargaining interests are protected when implementing a random drug testing process.

Some County Prosecutors already mandate random drug testing in each police department within their jurisdiction and a significant number of police departments in New Jersey presently conduct random drug testing of their officers. To ensure that all law enforcement agencies are employing random drug testing and doing so in a consistent manner,



this Directive establishes a uniform policy requiring that all law enforcement agencies statewide conduct mandatory random drug testing of all sworn officers.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority granted to me under the Criminal Justice Act of 1970, N.J.S.A. 52:17B-97 to -117, which provides for the general supervision of criminal justice by the Attorney General as chief law enforcement officer of the State to secure the benefits of a uniform and efficient enforcement of the criminal law and the administration of criminal justice throughout the State, I, Gurbir S. Grewal, hereby DIRECT all law enforcement and prosecuting agencies operating under the authority of the laws of the State of New Jersey to implement and comply with the following policies, procedures, standards, and practices.

II. Implementation

A. Applicability

This Directive shall apply to all state, county, and municipal law enforcement agencies and sworn officers who are responsible for enforcing the criminal laws in New Jersey, come under the jurisdiction of the Police Training Act, and are authorized to carry a firearm under N.J.S.A. 2C:39-6. Applicants for a position as a law enforcement officer and law enforcement trainees shall continue to be subject to drug testing, as set forth in the AG Testing Policy.

B. Establishment of Random Drug Testing Policy

All state, county, and municipal law enforcement agencies shall adopt and/or revise their existing drug testing policies, consistent with this Directive, either by rule, regulation, or standard operating procedure (“SOP”), as required by state law.

C. Frequency and Number of Officers to be Tested

Each agency in its policy shall delineate the frequency and number of officers to be selected for random drug testing. At a minimum, random drug testing shall be conducted at least once in the remainder of 2018 and at least twice in every subsequent calendar year. At least 10 percent of the total number of sworn officers within an agency shall be randomly tested each time.

D. Notification of Random Drug Testing Policy

Each agency shall notify all sworn officers of the implementation of a random drug testing policy. The notification shall indicate that, upon an initial positive result, the officer shall be suspended immediately from all duties. The notification also shall indicate that, upon final disciplinary action, the officer shall be terminated from employment as a law enforcement officer, the officer shall be reported to the *Central Drug Registry* maintained by the State Police, and the officer shall be permanently barred from future law enforcement employment in New Jersey.

E. Continuation of AG Testing Policy Procedures

Each agency shall ensure that its random drug testing policy is consistent with the procedures set forth in the AG Testing Policy including:

1. Specimen Acquisition Procedures (Section IV);
2. Submission of Specimens for Analysis (Section V);
3. Analysis of Specimens (Section VI);
4. Drug Test Results (Section VII);
5. Consequences of a Positive Test Result (Section VIII);
6. Consequences of a Refusal to Submit to a Drug Test (Section IX);
7. Resignation/Retirement in lieu of Disciplinary Action (Section X);
8. Record Keeping (Section XI); and
9. Central Drug Registry (Section XII).

F. Notification to County Prosecutor

In the event of (1) a positive drug test by an officer, (2) a refusal by an officer to take the drug test, or (3) administration of a reasonable suspicion drug test to an officer, the law enforcement agency's chief executive or a designee shall provide a confidential written notice to their County Prosecutor or his/her designee within 10 days. Upon completion of any disciplinary action, each agency shall report the discipline to the County Prosecutor or designee.

By December 31st of each year, every law enforcement agency shall provide written notice to their County Prosecutor or his/her designee of the dates of testing conducted during the prior year, the total number of sworn officers employed by the agency, the total number of sworn officers tested, and the total number of sworn officers who tested positive.

G. Annual Report to Attorney General

By January 31st of each year, each County Prosecutor shall submit a report to the Attorney General, through the Division of Criminal Justice's Prosecutors' Supervision and Training Bureau. This summary shall include a statement indicating those agencies under the County Prosecutor's supervision that are in compliance with this Directive and those that are not. The summary shall not reveal any subject officer's identity.

III. Public Accessibility and Confidentiality

All random drug testing policies adopted by law enforcement agencies shall be made available to the public upon request and shall be posted on the agency's website. Annual reports from the County Prosecutors to the Attorney General (as required by Section II.G, above) also shall be made available to the public upon request and shall be posted on the agency's website.

All written reports created or submitted pursuant to this Directive that identify specific officers are confidential and not subject to public disclosure.


IV. Effective Date

This Directive shall take effect immediately upon issuance. All drug testing policies shall be adopted and/or revised in accordance with this Directive within 30 days. The AG Testing Policy will be updated to conform with this Directive within 30 days.



Gurbir S. Grewal
Attorney General

ATTEST:



Elie Honig
Director, Division of Criminal Justice

Issued on: March 20, 2018



State of New Jersey

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
PO BOX 080
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0080

GURBIR S. GREWAL
Attorney General

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

ATTORNEY GENERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT DIRECTIVE NO. 2018-3

TO: All Law Enforcement Chief Executives
FROM: Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General
DATE: March 20, 2018
SUBJECT: **Statewide Mandatory Early Warning Systems**

I. Background

An Early Warning System (“EW System”) is an important management tool designed to detect patterns and trends in police conduct before that conduct escalates. An effective EW System can assist a law enforcement agency in identifying and remediating problematic officer conduct that poses a potential risk to the public, to the agency, and to the officer. EW Systems, therefore, serve to not only increase public safety and public confidence in law enforcement, but also to assist officers through early intervention. Indeed, many law enforcement agencies throughout the State have recognized the utility of such systems and some County Prosecutors already require agencies within their jurisdictions to use them. For all of these reasons, this Directive now mandates that all law enforcement agencies in New Jersey adopt and implement EW Systems consistent with the requirements set forth below.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority granted to me under the Criminal Justice Act of 1970, N.J.S.A. 52:17B-97 to -117, which provides for the general supervision of criminal justice by the Attorney General as chief law enforcement officer of the State to secure the benefits of a uniform and efficient enforcement of the criminal law and the administration of criminal justice throughout the State, I, Gurbir S. Grewal, hereby DIRECT all law enforcement and prosecuting agencies operating under the authority of the laws of the State of New Jersey to implement and comply with the following policies, procedures, standards, and practices.



II. Implementation

A. Applicability

This Directive shall apply to all state, county, and municipal law enforcement agencies and sworn officers who are responsible for enforcing the criminal laws in New Jersey, come under the jurisdiction of the Police Training Act, and are authorized to carry a firearm under N.J.S.A. 2C:39-6.

B. Establishment of EW System Policy

All state, county, and municipal law enforcement agencies shall adopt and/or revise their existing EW System policies, consistent with this Directive, either by rule, regulation, or standard operating procedure (“SOP”), as required by state law.

C. Selection of Performance Indicators

An EW System may monitor many different categories of officer conduct which indicate potentially escalating risk of harm to the public, the agency, and/or the officer. The following performance indicators shall be included in all EW Systems, but also can be supplemented based upon the unique characteristics of the department and the community it serves. The chief executive of the department shall determine any such supplemental performance indicators. To the extent possible, supplemental performance indicators should be objectively measurable and reasonably related to potentially escalating harmful behavior by the officer.

1. Internal affairs complaints against the officer, whether initiated by another officer or by a member of the public;
2. Civil actions filed against the officer;
3. Criminal investigations of or criminal complaints against the officer;¹
4. Any use of force by the officer that is formally determined or adjudicated (for example, by internal affairs or a grand jury) to have been excessive, unjustified, or unreasonable;
5. Domestic violence investigations in which the officer is an alleged subject;
6. An arrest of the officer, including on a driving under the influence charge;
7. Sexual harassment claims against the officer;
8. Vehicular collisions involving the officer that are formally determined to have been the fault of the officer;
9. A positive drug test by the officer;
10. Cases or arrests by the officer that are rejected or dismissed by a court;
11. Cases in which evidence obtained by an officer is suppressed by a court;
12. Insubordination by the officer;
13. Neglect of duty by the officer;

¹ If EW System notification to the officer could jeopardize an ongoing criminal investigation, the County Prosecutor may in his or her discretion permit delayed notification to the officer or delayed initiation of the EW System review process.

14. Unexcused absences by the officer; and
15. Any other indicators, as determined by the agency's chief executive.

D. Initiation of Early Warning Process

At a minimum, an agency's EW System policy shall provide that three separate instances of performance indicators (as listed in Section C, above) within any twelve-month period will trigger the EW System review process. If one incident triggers multiple performance indicators, that incident shall not be double- or triple-counted, but instead shall count as only one performance indicator. The agency's chief executive may in his or her discretion determine that a lower number of performance indicators within a twelve-month period (i.e., one or two performance indicators) will trigger the EW System review process.

E. Administration and Tracking

The agency's chief executive shall assign personnel to conduct the EW System function. Typically, the EW System should be administered by the agency's internal affairs unit. Supervisory officers in the subject officer's chain of command also should be directly involved in any EW System review process.

Every department shall adopt a tracking system to enable the department to identify officers who display the requisite number of performance indicators necessary to trigger the EW System review process. Many departments in New Jersey have adopted automated systems that are capable of flagging emerging behavioral patterns. At least every six months, personnel assigned to manage the EW System shall audit the agency's tracking system and records to assess the accuracy and efficacy of the tracking system.

F. Remedial/Corrective Action

Once an officer has displayed the requisite number of performance indicators necessary to trigger the EW System review process (as set forth in Section II.C, above) assigned supervisory personnel shall initiate remedial action to address the officer's behavior.

When an EW System review process is initiated, personnel assigned to oversee the EW System should (1) formally notify the subject officer, in writing; (2) conference with the subject officer and appropriate supervisory personnel; (3) develop and administer a remedial program including the appropriate remedial/corrective actions listed below; (4) continue to monitor the subject officer for at least three months, or until the supervisor concludes that the officer's behavior has been remediated (whichever is longer); (5) document and report findings to the appropriate supervisory personnel and, if warranted, the internal affairs unit. Any statement made by the subject officer in connection with the EW System review process may not be used against the subject officer in any disciplinary or other proceeding.

Remedial/corrective action may include but is not limited to the following:

1. Training or re-training;

2. Counseling;
3. Intensive supervision;
4. Fitness-for-duty examination;
5. Employee Assistance Program (EAP) referral; and
6. Any other appropriate remedial or corrective action.²

G. Notification to Subsequent Law Enforcement Employer

If any officer who is or has been subject to an EW System review process applies to or accepts employment at a different law enforcement agency than the one where he or she underwent the EW System review process, it is the responsibility of the prior or current employing law enforcement agency to notify the subsequent employing law enforcement agency of the officer's EW System review process history and outcomes. Upon request, the prior or current employing agency shall share the officer's EW System review process files with the subsequent employing agency.

H. Notification to County Prosecutor

Upon initiation of the EW System review process, the agency's chief executive or a designee shall make a confidential written notification to the County Prosecutor or his/her designee of the identity of the subject officer, the nature of the triggering performance indicators, and the planned remedial program. Upon completion of the EW System review process, the agency's chief executive shall make a confidential written notification to the County Prosecutor or his/her designee of the outcome of the EW System review, including any remedial measures taken on behalf of the subject officer.

I. Annual Report to Attorney General

By January 31st of each year, each County Prosecutor shall submit a report to the Attorney General, through the Division of Criminal Justice's Prosecutors' Supervision and Training Bureau. This summary shall include a statement indicating those agencies under the County Prosecutor's supervision that are in compliance with this Directive and those that are not.

III. Public Accessibility and Confidentiality

All EW System policies adopted by law enforcement agencies shall be made available to the public upon request and shall be posted on the agency's website. Annual reports from the

² This Directive, and EW Systems generally, are focused on corrective actions to remediate officer behavior and to provide assistance to the officer. This Directive, and EW Systems generally, do not address disciplinary actions that might be warranted against an officer. Such disciplinary actions – to include the decision to suspend, terminate or, if applicable, charge an officer with criminal conduct – remain within the purview of the agency's internal affairs function, and may be imposed in accordance with existing internal affairs guidelines and applicable law, separate from and independent of the EW System.

County Prosecutors to the Attorney General (as required by Section II.I, above) also shall be made available to the public upon request and shall be posted on the agency's website.

All written reports created or submitted pursuant to this Directive that identify specific officers are confidential and not subject to public disclosure.

IV. Effective Date

This Directive shall take effect immediately upon issuance. All EW System policies shall be adopted and/or revised in accordance with this Directive within 60 days.



Gurbir S. Grewal
Attorney General

ATTEST:

Elie Honig
Director, Division of Criminal Justice
Issued on: March 20, 2018