In The Matter Of:

City of Doraville

State of Georgia

City Council Meeting

August 17, 2009

American Court Reporting Company, Inc.

52 Executive Park South

Suite 5201

Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2217

(404) 892-1331 - (800) 445-2842

Original File 58779-1.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

CITY OF DORAVILLE

STATE OF GEORGIA

* * *

Transcript of the proceedings held in the City Council Room at Doraville City Hall, 3725 Park Avenue, Doraville, Georgia, Mayor Ray Jenkins presiding, before Theresa Bretch, Certified Court Reporter, commencing at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, August 17, 2009.

* * *

APPEARANCES:
Doraville City Council:
Hon. Ray Jenkins, Mayor
Councilmember Maria Alexander
Councilmember Brian Bates
Councilmember Robert Spangler
Councilmember Donna Pittman
Councilmember Bob Roche
Councilmember Pam Fleming
Murray Weed, City Attorney
Ms. Rhonda Blackmon, City Clerk

P R O C E E D I N G S

MAYOR JENKINS: Meeting come to order. Call the roll, please.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Alexander?

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Here.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Here.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Here.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?

COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Here.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Here.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Here.

MAYOR JENKINS: I need a motion to approve the minutes of 7/7/09. Do I get a motion?

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: So moved.

MAYOR JENKINS: Second?

COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Second.

MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember

Alexander?

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?

COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.

MAYOR JENKINS: Sunday afternoon, I got a call at my home from John King, and he wanted to make everybody well aware that he was fine. Him and his people were involved in a suicide bombing at the end of last week, but he wanted me to tell all the citizens that he's fine and looking forward to coming home.

- - -

(Applause)

- - -

MAYOR JENKINS: We have a recognition of Robert Hardy. He's retiring from the Police

Page 5

Department. But he was unavailable tonight, so we're going to put that off until next week and we'll recognize him then.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: He's retiring, is he not, Mayor?

MAYOR JENKINS: Yes. He's leaving the Police Department. He stayed long enough to retire.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Wonderful.

MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. At the last meeting, I discussed the hiring freeze that we supposedly put in back sometime back, and we can't seem to find any evidence of where we did vote on it. But I talked to the City Attorney, and he said the best thing to do is for us to go ahead and make another motion to relieve the hiring freeze, and we need to hire at least two policemen. We've got two -- three out of the dispatcher's office that --

Have they finished the academy yet, Chuck?

CHIEF ATKINSON: No, sir.

MAYOR JENKINS: And we need two more. But one thing about it, they're in the budget. It's not a new expense. It's already in the

Page 6

budget so it won't be any new budgetary thing on it.

So really, I don't know what else to say about it other than we need to lift the hiring freeze and bring our Police Department up. If we don't, we're going to get so far behind on people, we won't have enough, and we'll have to pay overtime to keep up.

So these will be street patrol; is that right, Chuck?

CHIEF ATKINSON: That's correct, sir.

MAYOR JENKINS: The two and the three that's in the academy also; is that correct?

CHIEF ATKINSON: Two in the academy, yes, sir.

MAYOR JENKINS: Two in the academy. Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I would like to thank the Mayor for promoting agenda item 15 which was exactly what we were going to be discussing when we got down there. So obviously I discussed this earlier with Councilman Spangler, and we're certainly all in agreement that regardless of the condition of the hiring freeze, we definitely need to replace these two

Page 7

officers. And as Acting Chief Atkinson has said, that the people we'd be bringing in would be people that we could probably get out there on the streets within a few weeks instead of -- the people going through the training, I mean I think it's like six months almost from beginning to end before they're actually ready to go.

Do you want to add anything on that, Mr. --

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: No. I think it's real important that we do replace these police officers.

And the hiring freeze, you know, I don't really see that this should affect the Police Department because --

MAYOR JENKINS: It shouldn't have.

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: No, it shouldn't have. I think what it was when we put that in place was bring on any additional positions, new hires. But we definitely need to keep our staff full at the P.D., especially with the street patrols and the neighborhood patrols.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, since there seems to be some confusion about the status of the hiring freeze, which everyone I know -- I

Page 8

mean I could have sworn we voted for it, and I think it may have been -- I seem to remember it was during the mid-year budget review, and I'm not sure if it was a meeting where we had a transcript or not. But we certainly voted to lift the hiring freeze before to hire the attorney -- I'm sorry; not the attorney, the accountant -- so obviously whether we actually physically made the vote or not, we've certainly been acting that way. But I think we need to send a clear message that the Council would like to hire these two -- at least replace these two open positions for police officers.

MAYOR JENKINS: I have no problem with either of them, just the gentleman to make a motion.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Do you want to?

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Go ahead.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I make a motion that we direct Acting Chief Atkinson to go ahead and replace two of the police officers -- these are officers on the street -- with currently certified officers, I believe it is, so we can get them on the road as soon as possible.

Page 9

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I'll second.
MR. WEED: Would you also just say, in effect, lifting the hiring freeze if it's relevant to this?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: In effect, lifting the hiring freeze for these two positions if it's relevant to the situation.
MAYOR JENKINS: I appreciate that. I really don't care who brings it up or who makes the motion as long as we get it done --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's right. Me, too.
MAYOR JENKINS: -- because it's City business as far as I'm concerned.
Anymore discussion?
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Captain Atkinson, are you sure these two officers that you guys started the interview process are still available?
CHIEF ATKINSON: I haven't spoke to them -- we haven't spoke to them in the last day or so, but they understood that we had to come before the Council.
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Okay.
CHIEF ATKINSON: And yes, as far as I

Page 10

know, they're still available.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, if not one of these two, I mean there's other -- hopefully, there will be other people that are --
CHIEF ATKINSON: Certainly.
MAYOR JENKINS: You got some good people in mind; right?
CHIEF ATKINSON: Yes, sir. We think we do.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Good.
MAYOR JENKINS: Soon as we get this motion through, you're free to go.
Anymore discussion?
- - -
(No response)
- - -
MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll, please.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Alexander?
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?

Page 11

COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Thank you.
MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. I give all of you a copy of Autumn Park Phase II and III.
Mr. Chad Oelstrom, would you come up to the microphone?
I asked Chad to come by tonight because he can enlighten us on whatever you don't understand about the pages I gave you.
So Chad, if you would kind of start at the first part, what part did you play in this versus what you'll do to get it all going.
MR. OELSTROM: Okay. As you know, we did the construction plans for the overall Autumn Park Stream Bank Restoration project. However, construction was divided up into three phases. The first phase was completed and now we're looking to move forward with Phases II and III, and we're happy and thrilled that you guys, City Councilmen, had approved the next two

Page 12

phases for construction.
When the first phase was complete -- or excuse me -- when it started, we just did a proposal for providing bid management, construction observation and construction administration services. It did not include Phases II and III.
Also, the contractor -- or when we put it out to bid, the project was only awarded for the first phase for construction so the contractor has only completed the first phase. He is not hired for the second and third phase.
What we have done since you guys have gone ahead and given the approval for the next phases, we submitted draft proposals to the City, to Ronald Buice and the Mayor, for doing the same services we did in the first phase, which would be handling the bid management, handling the construction observation and handling the construction administration.
MAYOR JENKINS: Any questions on that part of it?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: It looks like here -- well, I have two questions. First of all, can this part of it be paid out of storm-water?

Page 13

MAYOR JENKINS: Everything's paid out of it.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: This whole thing.
MAYOR JENKINS: That's the way I understand it.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And it looks like we're going to go ahead and combine Phases II and III.
MAYOR JENKINS: Yes.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I mean that would be the plan and just get the thing over with.
Yeah, this was on the meeting agenda last time around, I think, and I appreciate, you know, this happening.
Now, all due respect to Mr. Oelstrom, Precision Planning, I notice we have separate ones that are for $4900 each. Would this be more appropriate to send this part out for bidding or can we just get the show on the road, City Attorney?
MAYOR JENKINS: I think the only thing needs to go out for bid is the construction work, which Chad takes care of that also.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Oh, I understand.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I would actually

Page 14

-- because they were responsible for the design documents, that this is -- I would classify this as a sole source.
MR. WEED: With regard to the law, under 2-90 (b)(4), you know, clearly the Council in their discretion can determine if they think is an appropriate single-source vendor, so you definitely have that authority.
In the State law on the subject, one of the requirements, which we have not adopted locally but if this is helpful to you in your consideration, would be experience with the contractor and no unknown quality of the contractor. That's -- if we get back around to making any changes to this ordinance, those State standards would not be directly applicable now, but that's something for you to consider.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I would, based upon the work that you guys did with Phase I, make a motion to accept under a single-source agreement, because they were the providers of the original source documents, and their familiarity with Autumn Park, to accept their proposals for bid management of $4900, construction observation for $4900 and

Page 15

construction administration for $4400 for Phases II and II of Autumn Park.
MAYOR JENKINS: Do I get a second?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I just have one quick question. Other than citing single-source, is there another way to do this? I just feel a little uncomfortable with using the single-source.
MR. WEED: Well, you could always bid it out. You have that right.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No, I got that but --
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: But it's not necessary to consider it as sole source or bid it out because each one could be individually motioned because they're under $5,000.
MR. WEED: That's also true, too.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Okay.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'm just concerned about the sole-source language.
I mean I agree with Councilman Bates. And of course we're talking about stormwater and obviously it's not a good idea to switch horses midstream.
But seriously, I mean I'm fine with

Page 16

that. I just -- I know that we're going to be taking up the whole concept of sole source, and I just feel weird about citing it.
So I would make a friendly -- ask for a friendly --
I would be happy to just do this individually if you'd rather make three motions if that would work.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I'm fine. I'll withdraw my motion, make a motion to accept Precision Planning's bid for bid management for Phases II and III for Autumn Park for the sum of $4900.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And I second that.
MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll.
MR. WEED: I'd actually --
MAYOR JENKINS: Excuse me.
MR. WEED: I'm sorry, Mayor.
MAYOR JENKINS: Go ahead.
MR. WEED: I'd actually not like to -- Real briefly, the proposal is under $5000; correct?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
MAYOR JENKINS: Yes.

Page 17

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
MR. WEED: -- for --
MAYOR JENKINS: Hold on.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Each individual.
MR. WEED: Well, you know, you can simply do it. In other words --
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: So we don't have to make a motion.
MR. WEED: You don't have to make a motion.
And I'm a little uncomfortable framing it in the context of a bid if you aren't going to use -- if you're really not bidding it out. I'd rather you just vote to do it. That's my opinion.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Vote to accept all three of these proposals?
MR. WEED: You can do that.
MR. WEED: I mean the motion?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: There you go.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Would that work?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: All right. I'll withdraw my second motion and I'll make a third motion to accept the proposals from Precision Planning for Phases II and III of Autumn Park.

Page 18

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And I'll second that.
MAYOR JENKINS: Anymore discussion?
- - -
(No response)
- - -
MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll, please.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Alexander?
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?
COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.
MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I do have a question, though. We had voted on Phase II, to go ahead and do Phase II, and now we are indeed

Page 19

going to do Phase II and Phase III. Are we going to request from Precision Planning for them to have separate bids for Phase II and for Phase III or are we going to request a lump-sum phased bid?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: My preference would be to knock it out --
MAYOR JENKINS: Combine them.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- and get Phases II --
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Combine both --
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- and III done, combined.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- both of them.
MR. OELSTROM: Yes, that was my understanding, both phases.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I just wanted to make sure we were all on the same page.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Chad, you are going to bid the drawings out; correct?
MR. OELSTROM: Yes, yes. We'll put together a bid schedule and bid package.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Thank you.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Thank you.

Page 20

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you, Chad.
Item number four, Bob Roche.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yeah. Schedule of Fees. This has been on the last several agendas. And little by little, I think we've chipped away at it and finally gotten it to where we want it.
I don't remember exactly which dates we voted for what, but essentially what we're trying to do is reduce the fees for when businesses or individuals feel like they want to bring something before the Council, such as appeals to the Mayor and Council as set forth in 1401. I think it was $750 and now it's down to $250 per occurrence for a residential appeal and $400 for a commercial appeal, and the City may elect to refund all or a partial amount of this fee if the appeal is found in favor of the applicant by the Council.
Section (c) was a conditional use request. I think that was $750, too, and we brought it down to $400 plus $150 per concurrent variance.
And then for variances, administrative variances were $200, Mayor and Council was $250

Page 21

1 plus $50 per concurrent variance without any
2 associated Council action and then Mayor and
3 Council was $150.
4 This significantly reduces the price of
5 government. This significantly reduces the
6 ability for -- you know, to make government
7 available to people to say, well, you know, I
8 understand that that's what the ordinance says
9 right this minute.
10 But you know, there's businesses that
11 haven't even been invented yet, and I want us to
12 be out there and be available for when that
13 happens.
14 So one by one, we did vote to pass each
15 individual one, and Attorney Weed has put this
16 all in the form of a draft ordinance, and I
17 would like to make a motion to waive first
18 reading for the Fee Schedule Chapter 2
19 Administration, Section 2-261 (b), (c) and (f).
20 MAYOR JENKINS: Do I get a second?
21 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Second.
22 MAYOR JENKINS: Anymore discussion?
23 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Do you have
24 Mr. Linkous's notes?
25 MR. WEED: I have read Mr. Linkous's

Page 22

1 notes. I didn't bring them.
2 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: And what was
3 the motion last Council meeting?
4 MR. WEED: In accordance with the
5 minutes, what was adopted at the last meeting
6 actually, as far as I can tell, it only dealt
7 with Subsection (b), which was clearly adopted,
8 the 1401 provisions, the $250 per occurrence for
9 residential and the $400 per occurrence for
10 commercial.
11 And then in looking back through the
12 minutes Rhonda and I have gone through, you did
13 at one point adopt part of Subsection (c) which
14 is a conditional use request for $400.
15 We haven't been able to find anywhere
16 in the minutes whether or not you adopted the
17 $150 per concurrent variance.
18 And although it was discussed a great
19 deal, we couldn't find in the minutes
20 technically where you adopted subsection (f)
21 with regard to variances, as I've put forward in
22 the draft ordinance.
23 I generated a draft ordinance covering
24 all three sections on June the 18th of '09, and
25 I believe it's been on a number of agendas. So

Page 23

1 I actually would say to you that you don't need
2 to waive first reading. I think this has been
3 thoroughly read, at least in my legal opinion,
4 so you can get down to voting it up or down or
5 voting whatever parts of it you wanted to vote
6 in or change it.
7 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, then I
8 withdraw the motion for first reading.
9 MAYOR JENKINS: Scott, do you have any
10 input on this as far as these fees? I know you
11 have to be the one to enforce them and all that.
12 Do you have any comments?
13 MR. HAEBERLIN: Mayor, I've already
14 made extensive comments that are part of the
15 record that indicate my opposition to these fee
16 changes, so there's really no point in going
17 over those any further at this point.
18 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, then, I'll
19 make a motion to adopt this ordinance.
20 MAYOR JENKINS: Wait just a minute.
21 Yes, sir?
22 MR. O'CONNOR: Joe O'Connor. I'd like
23 to speak against the fees.
24 I don't believe that the amount that's
25 going to be charged is even going to be enough

Page 24

1 to cover the costs. Just on rezoning, we have
2 to publish, which sometimes we have to publish
3 twice. The fee can be well in excess of $100
4 just to do that. We have to put up signs and
5 notify everyone. We have a meeting at the
6 Planning Commission, then you have to have a
7 meeting. There's a lot of time, money and
8 effort, and I don't believe that these fees
9 proposed will cover it.
10 Now, I can understand why we want to
11 keep the residents' fees down, because it's
12 something that we want to do. But I don't
13 understand why we have to keep the commercial
14 fees down. It's just part of doing business.
15 And I think if we have a resident who wants to
16 change his zoning, for instance, from R-1 to
17 O/I, to commercial, his fees ought to be more
18 because he's going from a residential reasoning
19 to have a rezoning to a commercial reason.
20 And I think we ought to give this a
21 little thought and do some surveys as to what
22 Norcross is charging, Dekalb. I think we're
23 just -- we're about half price or maybe even a
24 third of what everybody else is charging.
25 Thank you.

Page 25

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you, Joe.

- - -

(Applause)

- - -

MAYOR JENKINS: Stuart Anderson?

Bob?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: All due respect, I believe we have done that. I believe the Council has considered this. As Attorney Weed mentioned, this has been on several agendas.

The last numbers that I actually remember, I think that the Building Department took in something like $300,000 in one year just in permit fees. I think their actual expenditures were about $100,000.

So again, we want to do everything we can to encourage new business to come into Doraville, particularly in the current economic environment.

Again, I believe that the Council has voted -- I guess we've proven that the Council had voted for at least two of these. And I thought we had voted for a third one, but if we can't find any evidence of that, then maybe that one's up for grabs. But considering the Council

Page 26

has voted --

Which one were you saying?

MR. WEED: The records clearly indicate that Subsection 2-261(b), that has been approved the way I've got it in draft ordinance June 18, '09.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Right.

MR. WEED: Subsection (c), the first part of it, conditional use requests $400, that definitely had been approved as well.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Right.

MR. WEED: The latter half of (c), there's no evidence in the minutes that that's been approved and there's no evidence that any part of (f) has been approved.

Now, bear in mind there is already existing language that, until you change it, would still be in effect. But with regard to the changes in the June 18th ordinance, that's what's been passed and what hasn't been passed.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay. You mentioned the latter part of (c)?

MR. WEED: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Because on the draft ordinance, there is no latter part.

Page 27

MR. WEED: What I meant was that the $400 is in the motion but not the plus $150 per concurrent variance. We couldn't find that.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay. But we voted on the hundred -- the $400.

MR. WEED: Yes, sir.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Do we have a date on that one?

MR. WEED: The date for when we approved the $400?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.

MR. WEED: It was June. Unfortunately, I don't have the exact date but I --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's fine.

But again, this has been going on since June, and I think we need to go ahead and pass something here, so --

And you're saying that we don't have any record of the variances where we go $200 for the administrative?

MR. WEED: There was a great deal of discussion on it but it never came to a vote.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I see.

Well, since we have passed (b) and (c), I would be willing to make a motion that we pass

Page 28

this draft ordinance for (b) and (c) and then (f) we can come back to.

I guess I could form that more formally.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: (b) and (c) have already been passed, though.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's what I'm saying. All we're doing here is basically just putting into the ordinance what we've already passed for (b) and (c).

MR. WEED: (b) is already there. Well, I would say one half of (c). And then (f) is to be decided.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: All right. Let me try again. I'll make a motion to pass -- to amend the City Code of Ordinances Sections 2-261 (b); 2-261 (c) to read $400 for conditional use requests, period, because that's what we voted on.

What that means, we would strike (f) and we would strike the $150 for concurrent from this version of the draft ordinance.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: So you're proposing to strike the $150 per concurrent variance.

Page 29

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, if we didn't vote on it, then we can't.

So I'm not sure why we have to vote to do something twice, frankly. I mean we already voted to do this. I mean wouldn't we just simply --

MR. WEED: You don't. The Council has decided (b) and half of (c) are in place.

When we originally were talking about it, subsection (f) was brought up, and I went ahead and drafted an ordinance drafted an ordinance based upon my understanding of what the Council in general wanted to go in that direction.

And the $150 per concurrent variance on (c), that simply reflects what's already in the Code as being -- it used to be conditional use request $850 plus $150 per concurrent variance, which never got around and Council didn't get around to adopting the second half of that. When you lowered it to $400, you didn't get back around to adopting the $150 per concurrent variance. So I included that to essentially, you know, make four corners of the square to cover every part of what was in the original

Page 30

ordinance.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: But theoretically, we don't have to vote on anything anymore. And if we didn't vote on anything, it would read $400 plus, which is already there, the $150 per concurrent variance.

MR. WEED: It would be a matter of some debate. There would be a 50/50 chance.

And the reason why I say that is because if I had to take it to court, I would be able to demonstrate that you definitely intended to lower from $850 to $400. And since nobody mentioned the latter half of it, I could argue with a straight face, you didn't mention it; you meant it to stay the same.

But when you look at the chart, it's all in one line. So I could also say with a straight face --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I understand.

MR. WEED: -- when you made one change, you meant to change the whole thing and you purposely deleted it, which is why I included it in an effort to just go ahead and answer the question, have it passed and be done with it.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So would the next

Page 31

step be a motion to add the language of $150 per concurrent variance, which is essentially already the law but --

MR. WEED: Yes, and that would -- the only thing that would change from what is already in the books would clarify for all time that that's what you meant to do, and that (c) would read conditional use request $400 plus $150 per concurrent variance, and you'd be done with that.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay. So I will withdraw my last motion and I will start with a motion to say we'll modify 2-261 Section (c) to read $400 plus $150 per concurrent variance.

And then once we get past that, then I think we're . . . It's essentially what we've already passed. We're voting on the same thing, essentially.

MAYOR JENKINS: You're changing $400 and you're adding $150; is that correct?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, no. The $150 per variance is already in the ordinance, and since we were silent on that, you would think it would just take effect anyway, but I understand City Attorney Weed's idea that since

Page 32

we're going to change the sentence, we should change the whole sentence. We're, I guess, correcting something we didn't officially -- or that we overlooked before.

MAYOR JENKINS: It's still $250 for residential?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yeah. We're not even talking about that yet.

MR. WEED: Yeah. Essentially, (b) is off the table unless somebody else wants to bring it back up.

MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. All right.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So all the motion is right now is to change the language or accept the language in the draft ordinance for Section (c), conditional use request, and the only real change is that we're just including the language that currently exists in the ordinance, which is also $150 per concurrent variance.

MAYOR JENKINS: Second?

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Second.

MAYOR JENKINS: Anymore discussion?

- - -

(No response)

- - -

Page 33

MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Alexander?
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: No.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: No.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?
COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: No.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Tie vote, sir.
MAYOR JENKINS: I'm going to abstain. I think we need to look at this a little bit deeper. No use to get into something we really don't know what we're talking about.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Mr. Weed, would you please tell me at this moment in time what the variances are per this ordinance? Item number (f).
MR. WEED: Oh. What they are currently

Page 34

-- without making a change, they are currently (f) variances, administrative $200 per each item being varied, Mayor and Council $750 without any associated Council action, Mayor and Council $150 per item with concurrent Council action.
And then what you had discussed previously, although you had not voted on it but there was a lot of discussion, would be changing what I've just read to: (f) variances administrative, $200 per each item being varied, Mayor and Council $250 plus $50 per concurrent variance without any associated Council action, and Mayor and Council $150 per item with concurrent Council action.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: So presently it's $200 administrative and $750 for Mayor and Council.
MR. WEED: Yes, ma'am.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: And $150 for Mayor and Council with concurrent Council --
MR. WEED: That is correct.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- action.
MR. WEED: That is what it is today.
MAYOR JENKINS: And you want to bring it to $250 instead of the seven?

Page 35

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: The ordinance that we're looking at on June 18th, which is version number two, it states $250. And that's the reason why I just wanted him to tell me what it is today and not what it is on the sheet of paper I'm looking at.
And since you abstained, could I make one comments in regards to this?
MAYOR JENKINS: Uh-huh.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: The City Council and Mayor does not expect any of our departments to be self sustaining. We can't expect the Police Department to go out on the streets and write tickets to be able to pay for their salaries, nor do we expect any type of permitting fees for building to be self sustaining or to be able to pay for our Planning Department's expenditures. So I just want to make that perfectly clear, that we don't expect any of our departments to be self sustaining.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: In accounting, there's a concept called profit center and there's a concept called service center, which essentially they look the same as far as departments, but I think that the City Hall

Page 36

should be a service-oriented thing.
Anyway, if nothing else, on 6/18 we did vote to modify Section (b).
MR. WEED: Yes, sir.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So is there any good reason why that won't take effect?
MR. WEED: No, sir. That's in effect now.
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: And Section (c) excluding the $150 per concurrent variance.
MR. WEED: That's also correct.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So right now, the ordinance -- I mean we don't have to do anything else, then, as far as Section (b) or Section (c).
MR. WEED: You're not required to, no, sir. I mean --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And it will take effect --
MR. WEED: It's in effect now already.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay.
MR. WEED: Those sections are already in effect.
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: And actually the $150 per concurrent variance is in effect

Page 37

also.
Like you said, it's just going to be a little harder for you to explain that in court or try it in court.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, that's the thing. Apparently right now the $150 is not part of the ordinance anymore because we --
MR. WEED: You know that I like to give conservative advice, and my opinion would be I would lean toward the side of it not being in effect, and the reason being is that you clearly changed the language. It's only, you know, a seven -- it's a seven-word sentence, and I don't feel comfortable with having changed that one part of it without some definite decision of Council.
Well, now there's been a definite decision. There's been a clear motion that was made to do the $150. That has not passed. Therefore, my professional opinion is Subsection (c) reads, conditional use request $400. That's all it reads.
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Just so I'm clear, your opinion is is that if we make a motion to modify a fee without commenting on any

Page 38

subsequent portions -- if we make a motion to modify any portion of a sentence without commenting on the balance or remaining portion of that sentence, the balance or remaining portion of that sentence is then therefore null and void?
MR. WEED: If the language were traditional language and in a paragraph long text, you clearly could intend to change one word in the text. And you have every legal right to do that; we would change the one word.
This is actually a chart, and in the chart, it's broken into sections. And this particular section, as I said, is -- (counting) one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine -- ten words long. And safety would indicate when it's something that short with this much discussion, then I would have felt more comfortable if you had expressed the intention to either do one of two things. Either the Council just wanted to change $850 to $400 and that was it, then I think impliedly I can clearly say the rest of it stays the same. But that isn't what you did. Or if you had intended to change the entire thing and voted it

Page 39

up or down, which is what happened tonight, in this case you clearly intended not to pass $150 per concurrent variance. That's the difference.
You could definitely have just changed $850 to $400, but that's not the wording of the motion. That's not how the motion was made. So I'm a little uncomfortable, you know, saying that the $150 per concurrent variance is automatically left in there, especially now that there has been a motion and a second and that motion essentially didn't pass.
MAYOR JENKINS: So it stays in or is it taken out?
MR. WEED: In my opinion, it's out.
I believe Subsection (c) now, the reading of that, although I could argue either way, the safest reading of it would be as follows, quote: (c) conditional use request $400.
That's the safest reading in my opinion.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I make a motion to reconsider.
MAYOR JENKINS: Reconsider what?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: The last

Page 40

motion, the last voting hearing.
MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Do I get a second? I mean how do you want to reconsider it?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Make a motion to reconsider the conditional use fees motion that was just discussed and voted on.
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: How do you want to change it?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Don't I have to get a second to reconsider it before we even go into another motion?
MR. WEED: I think that would be a safe thing to do.
MAYOR JENKINS: Do I get a second?
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Second.
MAYOR JENKINS: You want to leave the $150 per concurrence in there?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes, sir.
MAYOR JENKINS: Is that on residential also?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes, sir.
MAYOR JENKINS: Because it's $250 for residential on the other section which is (b).
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: The conditional

Page 41

use request in this ordinance for item number (c) is $400 plus $150 per concurrent variance, and that is the motion that I would like to reconsider.

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I'll second.

MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Anymore discussion?

- - -

(No response)

- - -

MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Alexander?

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?

COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.

Page 42

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you, Pam.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: What? Are we going to vote on it again or --

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: No, I think we just -- we just did.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No. I know.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I made a motion to reconsider.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- we considered it but --

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Did I need to make a second? I don't think so.

MR. WEED: I think the way you worded it, you're good. I think you got (c) covered and (c) now reads, conditional use request $400 plus $150 per concurrent variance. That's my opinion.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So that's now the fee for that too.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: That is correct.

MR. WEED: Yes,sir.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay.

MAYOR JENKINS: Okay, Bob.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Building permit

Page 43

audits.

At the last meeting, I requested -- thanks to some work from Charlene Fang and some other people, we discovered that a lot of building permits had not been getting over to Dekalb County.

And for anyone that wasn't here before, what happens is when a building permit is issued here and a certificate of occupancy, whatever, that information is sent to Dekalb County, the Board of Assessors or at least the property assessment people. What that does, that triggers someone to come out and reassess the property.

One of the examples was the H Mart. The H Mart was currently on our books as basically dirt. The building had been built, the building permit had been issued, et cetera, et cetera, but because the City of Doraville never sent the building permit over to Dekalb County, it was taxed as if it was still dirt, and that's a problem obviously.

I believe there was a house that was built recently, you know, like a 400 or 600 thousand dollar house that was still being taxed

Page 44

as if it was a $125,000 house -- again, because these building permits weren't getting over to the County.

And I have it here at the last meeting, we requested that a plan of action be put together to rectify the situation. We received this memo from Mr. Haeberlin.

In fact, if you'd rather, would you just like to read this into the record?

MR. HAEBERLIN: I don't see that it's necessary. I mean there's a copy tendered as part of the record exhibit tonight.

Basically what we've done upon notification from the City Council, the Mayor and I assigned Ms. Ruby Biggers, who's the permit clerk, to go back and to complete during regular hours and overtime as available, as approved by the department head, to begin this process. Thus far, she's actually done year. And she's also scanning these documents so that we create a paper list system, so it's actually getting duality from this occurring. And from what we've done thus far, I think that we'll probably have this done in about 30 work days, and then we will notify the Council and we'll give you a

Page 45

1 proper accounting of the costs.
2 We would like to involve the City
3 Attorney because we want to make for certain
4 that we only transmit these items one time and
5 only one time. And then thereafter, we'll make
6 it a monthly policy to send these items,
7 certified return receipt delivery, to the
8 County.
9 Again, this is the first time I had
10 been notified of this situation in ten months.
11 I did check with the Mayor's office. He had
12 received no notification. And the City Clerk,
13 who was also the permit for the previous four
14 years, basically told me, hey, this is the first
15 time in four years we've had contact with these
16 folks.
17 But we are going to be responsive, and
18 hopefully these past three years will then bring
19 up the tax base reflective of a more lucrative
20 tax base for the city.
21 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And I want to
22 commend you. I think that's excellent.
23 The only thing I would ask is if at
24 some point, they'll get back with us, the County
25 will get back with us and tell us the changer?

Page 46

1 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct, yeah. I'd be
2 very interested to see how the tax base changes
3 as a result of these items.
4 I mean I am slightly concerned about
5 how they go about doing this. I've never worked
6 in a jurisdiction where you didn't actually
7 transmit actual copies of the building plans.
8 But I know everybody does things differently, so
9 I will remain hopeful that the method that
10 they've chosen works for them.
11 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay. Well,
12 we'll look forward to seeing the actual change
13 there, too, and thank you very much.
14 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Mr. Haeberlin,
15 thank you.
16 - - -
17 (Applause)
18 - - -
19 MAYOR JENKINS: Is that all on that,
20 Bob?
21 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's it.
22 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. The annexation
23 from -- Collier Pharmacy wants a petition to
24 annex into the city. They did not get all their
25 paperwork done, so they won't be here tonight.

Page 47

1 And we'll put that on the next agenda,
2 Rhonda --
3 CLERK BLACKMON: Yes, sir.
4 MAYOR JENKINS: -- for Collier's
5 Pharmacy, and that's Luke's item.
6 Okay. Murray is number 7.
7 MR. WEED: Yes, sir, Mr. Mayor and
8 members of Council. I've communicated with you
9 by virtue of e-mail the Alcohol Beverage
10 Ordinance.
11 Councilman Fleming is sponsoring some
12 suggested changes with regard to the penalty
13 provisions, and I'm not going to address that.
14 What I wanted to discuss very briefly,
15 as you know, the Police Department conducted an
16 operation, and we're going to be having a number
17 of alcohol beverage license hearings on the
18 results of that investigation. As part of that
19 process, we've had an opportunity to engage the
20 Alcohol Beverage Ordinance, which I hadn't done
21 up to now, but now I've thoroughly gone through
22 the Alcohol Beverage Ordinance.
23 In the other part of my career, I do a
24 lot of alcohol beverage-related work with regard
25 to local government ordinances. And in my

Page 48

1 opinion, there are four things I think it would
2 behoove us to consider changing.
3 First, we have some character quali-
4 fications which legally we are certainly allowed
5 to do. There is a case, which is Folsom v. City
6 of Jasper, and in that case, the Georgia state
7 court held that if you are going to have
8 character qualifications, they've got to be
9 rationally related to the regulation of the
10 ordinance and it can't be overbroad.
11 So it is not a good idea to have an
12 ordinance to say, if you commit any violation at
13 all, you are not entitled to get an alcohol
14 beverage permit. For example, that would mean
15 if you had your grass too high and were issued a
16 citation for it and pled guilty for it, that
17 would automatically prevent you from applying
18 for an alcohol beverage license and getting it.
19 Can you create an ordinance that would
20 be that tough? Arguably, you could. However,
21 you would have to have a finding that having
22 your grass too high is rationally related to how
23 you would conduct an alcohol beverage business.
24 And that's a steep climb, in my opinion.
25 What clearly is allowed would be if you

Page 49

1 had a felony offense in your background --
2 murder, rape, theft, things of that nature,
3 crimes of moral turpitude, which are crimes that
4 are more severe and have a moral component;
5 crimes related to sex; crimes related to
6 gambling, theft, narcotics; things that are
7 traditionally -- that occur in places that
8 served alcohol beverages, which is how
9 Prohibition came to be, to begin with.
10 So bearing that in mind, I've made some
11 changes to the existing qualifications. As you
12 know, the way I like to do these things, I only
13 like to change ordinances the minimum amount
14 possible to make them legal in my opinion. So
15 I've added language with regard to character
16 qualifications, simply stating that you have
17 made a finding that the character qualifications
18 are related to the regulatory purpose of the
19 ordinance. I added gambling, which wasn't in
20 there before -- simply the word "lottery" was in
21 there -- and in addition to sex offenses, I
22 added prostitution. There are different
23 occasions where those words were used and
24 occasions where they weren't in the original
25 text, but I tried to once again make that shape

Page 50

1 completely square and have four sides.
2 The next thing that I recommend would
3 be you have a residency requirement for all
4 applicants. That's been on the books for quite
5 some time. In my opinion, the statute -- it's
6 3-3-2 in the Code -- is frankly illegal. You
7 can't require someone to be a city of Doraville
8 resident or even a Georgia resident to hold an
9 alcohol beverage license.
10 What you can do under the statute is
11 you could have the applicant assign somebody,
12 designate somebody who is a city of Doraville
13 resident who can be, quote, responsible for all
14 aspects of the license. You can do that.
15 And that makes sense when you think
16 about it. The Hilton Hotel, they're
17 incorporated probably in Delaware because
18 Delaware had the most liberal corporation for a
19 long time and, you know, obviously they might
20 not want to have their general manager or their
21 majority stockholder live in Doraville, and this
22 would allow them to conduct business here.
23 Currently you actually have residency
24 requirements that are, in my opinion, probably
25 not compliant with the law.

Page 51

1 Two other things, in trying to move
2 this along quickly, you currently have a
3 requirement that would indicate that any person
4 who had an alcohol beverage license could not
5 essentially run for elective office in the city
6 of Doraville if their business was in the city
7 of Doraville. I think that's clearly an
8 unconstitutional restraint of trade. You simply
9 can't do that any more than you can say that all
10 people who own book stores, none of them can run
11 for office in the city of Doraville.
12 You do have ethics ordinances,
13 obviously. You've got conflict-of-interest
14 charter provisions, ordinances, and therefore
15 those things -- those people who might be a
16 restaurateur who had an alcohol beverage license
17 in Doraville, who wanted to run for Council, you
18 know, they still couldn't vote on their own
19 license, obviously, but they have a legal right
20 to be able to run if they so choose. So I think
21 that provision needs to go.
22 And lastly, the only other provision I
23 recommend is problematic under current law,
24 because the current law's changed, and that's
25 coin-operated games. Clearly, you can't have

Page 52

1 illegal gambling based upon coin-operated games,
2 but there's a very lengthy statute that deals
3 with coin-operated games. And your provisions
4 right now essentially say you couldn't have a
5 pool table, you couldn't have a video game in a
6 place that sold alcoholic beverages. In my
7 opinion, I don't think that's legally
8 defensible.
9 So those are the four areas of changes.
10 Those areas are throughout the entirety of the
11 ordinance, which is why the ordinance is 14
12 pages. Those are the changes I would recommend
13 you make.
14 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Let me ask you
15 this: All you did was take the existing
16 ordinance that was on the books and modify it to
17 be in compliance with what you believe to be
18 legal today.
19 MR. WEED: Yes, sir.
20 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: On a scale of 1
21 to 10, with 10 being an amazingly well-written
22 ordinance and 1 being it barely passes muster,
23 rank this one for us.
24 MR. WEED: 6 or 7.
25 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: That good?

Page 53

1 MR. WEED: Yeah. It's not bad.
2 Please bear in mind this ordinance has
3 been on the books a long time and a lot of these
4 provisions are all the way back from 1972. This
5 wasn't really a badly-constructed ordinance. It
6 was a badly-managed ordinance because, over the
7 years, the laws changed. The Folsom case didn't
8 come out until 2005, and nobody told you that.
9 I'm telling you that. That's my job.
10 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I have one more
11 big issue and then a number of minor ones, and
12 I've not had a chance to thoroughly read through
13 it. But the one big issue is the residency
14 requirement on a designee. You referenced
15 Hilton Hotels. We'll just use them as an
16 example. As it sits right now, they're required
17 to, but this would require in a less burdensome
18 requirement Hilton to identify someone who lives
19 within the city of Doraville to be their
20 official appointee.
21 MR. WEED: That is correct. It is not
22 required. What the statute says is that you can
23 do it; you don't have to do it.
24 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: No, it --
25 MR. WEED: What I do want to do is

Page 54

1 change it from what you've got now, which also
2 requires residency completely. It essentially
3 requires, you know, the managing partner or the
4 majority shareholder to actually have residency,
5 and the statute plainly doesn't allow for that.
6 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I actually
7 disagree with you. This proposed ordinance
8 clearly requires it. I'm reading page 3 of 14.
9 First paragraph, 10 or 12 lines down, it says,
10 "In all instances, all establishments licensed
11 hereunder must have and continuously maintain in
12 Dekalb County and the City of Doraville a
13 registered agent who can be the resident license
14 holder's designee, upon whom any process, notice
15 or demand required or permitted by law or under
16 this chapter to be served upon the licensee or
17 owner may be served. This person must be a
18 resident of Dekalb County and the City of
19 Doraville."
20 MR. WEED: I didn't make myself clear,
21 and I apologize. I agree with you; it does say
22 that.
23 What I was saying was it takes it down
24 a notch from the existing ordinance to simply
25 say that the corporation, the partnership could

Page 55

1 be a foreign corporation but they could -- they
2 have to designate somebody to live here. And
3 that is legal. You can do that --
4 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: That means --
5 MR. WEED: -- but you don't have to.
6 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: That means that
7 Outback Steakhouse, if they want to open up an
8 Outback Steakhouse, has to find a person that
9 lives within the city of Doraville to be the
10 official designee.
11 MR. WEED: Yes, if you adopt this
12 ordinance.
13 But you do need to at least -- and you
14 don't have to do that, but you definitely need
15 to at least change your existing ordinance which
16 would require something even more.
17 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Right. As it
18 sits right now, if Outback Steakhouse wants to
19 open up a restaurant, they have to have one of
20 the applicants or a partner of the company or
21 someone now live in the city of Doraville.
22 MR. WEED: That is correct.
23 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: So this is
24 lessening the existing burden.
25 Council, I personally have a huge issue

Page 56

1 with this requirement. This is, to me,
2 un-business friendly. It is onerous on anybody
3 who wanted to open up a business, a restaurant
4 within the city, and we need to fix this --
5 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I agree.
6 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- whole-
7 heartedly.
8 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I mean we've
9 probably put people out of business. Right now,
10 we've probably got people out there with
11 licenses that don't really know.
12 MR. WEED: I don't know that for a fact
13 but I suspect that is correct.
14 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I wouldn't be
15 surprised.
16 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yeah. As you
17 know, I called you as soon as we got this draft,
18 and I have a real big heartburn over this.
19 There are other items within this ordinance that
20 I don't have as much heartburn over, but that
21 one really has my goat.
22 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I would certainly
23 support a motion to remove the resident
24 requirements for Dekalb County and Doraville.
25 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Since this has

Page 57

1 come across the wire at 4 o'clock today --
2 correct?
3 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, there's
4 that, too.
5 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: -- I think we
6 need more time to review it and find any other
7 concerns.
8 MR. WEED: My only hesitancy is that,
9 you know, I began working on this and gave my
10 initial memo to you last week, and I do think
11 there is good reason to try to move this forward
12 tonight if it is possible, that I've already
13 explained in my electronic communications to
14 you.
15 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Can we make a
16 motion to postpone any appearance of appeals to
17 us until such time as this ordinance has been
18 adopted?
19 MR. WEED: I wouldn't -- I don't think
20 we have to go that far because the way the
21 ordinance is written we actually control
22 triggering all of the hearings. And I think if
23 -- I know you have another meeting, I believe on
24 the 24th, which is a zoning meeting. You know,
25 if we could move along the changes and get it to

Page 58

1 that point, I can -- I could make contact with
2 my counterpart, like I had mentioned to you
3 before, and I think that would be reasonable and
4 I could -- we could move it forward that way.
5 And hopefully that'll give you, you know, a
6 little bit more time to look at it.
7 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Well, should we
8 e-mail you our questions and --
9 MR. WEED: Sure.
10 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- so forth for
11 all Council to consider them?
12 MR. WEED: Absolutely. However you
13 want to do it -- e-mail, call.
14 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Because I am
15 not prepared to vote on this particular ordi-
16 nance this evening.
17 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I can't vote on
18 this tonight.
19 MR. WEED: And I respect that, but one
20 thing I would advise you that if you want to
21 talk about it further, I'd recommend you go into
22 Executive Session so we can open up the conver-
23 sation a little bit more. But this is something
24 that's important and we need to keep it moving.
25 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I understand your

Page 59

1 concerns.
2 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I would
3 like to go ahead and make a couple quick points
4 because I will not be here next Monday but I can
5 at least get it on the record with your
6 indulgence. I know I never say anything in
7 Council meetings, but anyway --
8 I agree completely with the part about
9 any violation obviously has to be a serious
10 violation. I also agree completely with
11 removing all things about being a resident of
12 Doraville and probably even Dekalb County.
13 However, I do like the part that says
14 you do have to be a United States citizen or a
15 legal resident of the United States for at least
16 three years. I like that part.
17 MR. WEED: It's not legal. I hear you
18 but it's not legal.
19 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Oh, it's not
20 legal? But that's what's in here. I'm
21 confused.
22 MR. WEED: Well, it's in there with the
23 caveat that the person is the designee, not the
24 applicant.
25 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No, no, no. I

Page 60

1 don't like the idea of a designee.
2 I was talking to Councilwoman Fleming
3 earlier about it. I can just go into business
4 as a legal resident of Doraville if this gets
5 passed and say, hey, I'll be your designee for,
6 you know, 500 bucks a month or so.
7 MR. WEED: And I imagine somebody would
8 do that. That would be a great job.
9 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: To me, it just
10 seems useless to even have that just for the
11 fact if someone happens to live inside the city
12 limits of Doraville.
13 So I'm just saying for me, my comment
14 would be to just take all that out, that it's
15 just kind of useless, but I do like the part
16 about the United States citizen.
17 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: But you're
18 indicating that's illegal as well?
19 MR. WEED: That is correct. The
20 statute --
21 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: For whether an
22 applicant or designee?
23 MR. WEED: No. It's legal for a
24 designee. It's not legal for an applicant.
25 For example, I could be --

Page 61

1 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: That doesn't
2 make a lot of sense, either.
3 MR. WEED: I could be an Italian
4 corporation who wants to open up a restaurant in
5 Doraville. I can be a completely foreign
6 corporation with all foreign officers, and I
7 still, under State law, can get a Georgia
8 alcohol beverage license. The State statute
9 would allow me to do that.
10 If I want to -- if I'm Doraville,
11 however, the statute in question also allows me
12 to require that that Italian corporation have
13 some designated person who does live in
14 Doraville, that the police can clearly identify,
15 that the City can identify, that I could go
16 serve papers on, that I know who and where
17 they're at. So that's -- and the statute does
18 provide that.
19 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I'm going to
20 interrupt you, Mr. Roche, because I got to get
21 this other thing off my chest, and I apologize
22 if I'm stealing your thunder.
23 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Sure.
24 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: "Each applicant's
25 designee must have resided continuously for a

Page 62

1 period of three years in the State of Georgia
2 prior to making application."
3 MR. WEED: Right. Now, that's actually
4 in your existing ordinance, only that burden is
5 on the applicant themselves.
6 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Right.
7 MR. WEED: So I've actually -- you
8 know, once again, trying to do the least amount
9 of changes I could to make it legal, I simply
10 lowered the burden to the designee.
11 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: So we're saying,
12 using your Italian corporation, that in order
13 for them to open up a Provino's in the city of
14 Doraville, they must find a designee who has
15 lived in the state of Georgia for at least three
16 years continuously.
17 MR. WEED: Yes. In other cities, they
18 do that.
19 You don't have to do that, though.
20 It's not required.
21 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Trust me, I'm not
22 picking on you. You're merely --
23 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Right.
24 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- regurgitating
25 what was already on the books, and I'm just

Page 63

1 trying to understand why it's in there and if
2 that makes any lick of sense.
3 What's magic about three years? Why
4 couldn't it be --? And I don't mean to put you
5 on the spot, Mr. Weed.
6 MR. WEED: You can't pin that one on
7 me. No, I don't know. I -- Councilman, I don't
8 know.
9 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Let me ask you
10 this. Let me ask you this to help me understand
11 and process through this: Are there any
12 compelling legal reasons for these two particu-
13 lar clauses --? And there are others within
14 this that I have some concerns over. Is there
15 any compelling legal reason for these to be in
16 there?
17 MR. WEED: The original compelling
18 reason was historic, meaning alcoholic beverages
19 are a mind-altering substance and, you know, the
20 United States Congress essentially adopted the
21 prohibition laws because they were genuinely
22 concerned that, you know, people were using
23 these mind-altering substances, they were losing
24 the farm, you know, they were getting in
25 trouble, and crimes were a direct secondary

Page 64

1 impact of people drinking -- hence, prohibition.
2 What happened of course was, you know,
3 we simply just gave alcohol beverages to
4 organized crime, who made a lot of money off of
5 it, and they eventually repealed prohibition and
6 started taxing it. So that's how we got to
7 today.
8 And then the South particularly being,
9 you know, very conservative, over the years, the
10 blue laws continued on longer than in some other
11 parts of the country.
12 So these ordinances are, in my opinion,
13 an historic reflection of an anti-alcoholic-
14 beverage movement that began in the teetotaling,
15 you know, '20s, '30s. So that's just an
16 offshoot of that, historically.
17 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: So there's no
18 legal compunction and requirement of having
19 these in here.
20 MR. WEED: No. The only legal
21 compunction and the only rational basis to do it
22 today is to give the police, to give the City
23 staff, a human being that they can always go to
24 and literally arrest if necessary, cite if
25 necessary, give notices to if necessary, someone

Page 65

that they know is supposed to be responsible and in control of the activities at the facility that we granted them a license for.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I'm going to --

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Well, even if Provino's -- using that example, even if Provino's wanted to open up here, someone personally would have to come in to Ms. Blackmon and request an application for a permit for Provino's.

Now, that person would be the applicant, would they not be, for Provino's?

MR. WEED: Not necessarily. They could simply hire somebody -- they could hire a lawyer -- who would come in.

I would get the application. I would fill it out. I would send it off to Italy and have the C.E.O. or the secretary of the corporation sign it, seal everything, send it back.

The actual applicant would be the C.E.O. of Provino's who might be in, you know, Milan, so, and that would be perfectly legal.

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Could we not incorporate some language --? because I have

Page 66

concerns. Some of the other municipalities I've discussed with you, as far as they used language to prevent surrogates.

And so on a small business, the person applying for the applicant, to verify they were not doing it as a surrogate because their brother or whomever was turned down, had to prove that they had a financial stake in the corporation.

On a large corporation that is registered obviously with the Secretary of State, they have registered agents at the Secretary of State's office.

MR. WEED: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Would that not be satisfactory?

MR. WEED: It could be. It certainly could be. And we could structure the ordinance to say that every applicant, foreign, domestic, et cetera, would have a registered agent and that registered agent would be the person who everything would be served upon. And that's perfectly legal. Lots of cities do it that way.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I mean as a corporation, though. I mean any corporation

Page 67

operating in Georgia has to have a corporate license with those names listed.

MR. WEED: Well, yeah. They can be a foreign corporation registered with the Secretary of State and they would all have registered agents; that's correct.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Right. I mean I have one in my corporation.

MR. WEED: And you could use that -- you could designate that person to be the person for service.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: There's much more friendlier ways of getting this --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I think so.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- done than ...

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I just don't like -- I agree with you completely about the residency thing. I mean --

MR. WEED: Just one last thing about it, and I'm not really advocating one way or the other, but part of these laws come from -- you know, there's an old saying that hard facts make bad law, and that comes from the idea of there's always the person who's just going to be completely recalcitrant, who's not going to

Page 68

cooperate, who's going to try to fly in the face of the system. And most of these ordinances are designed to deal with that one person who is going to be a problem child, and how do you get that one person to be responsible for their business and how do you get them into court? And that's where a lot of these requirements come from.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Sorry, Mr. Roche. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yeah, and I'll finish real quick.

I agree with the idea that we shouldn't make coin-operated machines illegal.

The part about you can't have a liquor license and be on the City Council, or the Mayor, I guess some people could make the argument that holding public office is a sign of moral turpitude, but on the other hand, I don't think that that really is an issue.

But the one thing I would ask is there are a lot of -- I really don't want to see anyone lose their license because of modifi-cations we make to the ordinance after the fact. And there may or may not be other people out

Page 69

there today that hold licenses that, under the new requirements, may not be able to hold that license continuously, because there's good corporate citizens -- there may well be corporate citizens out there and good restaurateurs, et cetera, et cetera.

So I would like to see some kind of a grandfather clause that basically says if you hold a license as of such-and-such a date, you know, then going forward.

And that was the only comments I really had about this, so -- I don't know. Is that legal to kind of grandfather things or --

MR. WEED: Yes. I think we can structure something.

You know, these type of regulations are police-powers ordinances and, you know, ordinarily you can't grandfather someone to drive at a higher speed limit in an area where you just lowered the speed limit sign. But I think we could probably find a way to accommodate, you know, people who have licenses now.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I mean I guess I had this thought without -- before I came to Council and realized that, again, my big

Page 70

concern was the residency thing. And my concern is if we pass this as-is, then suddenly all these people don't have licenses anymore. I have no idea how many people that would be, but I got to believe it would affect some people.

So we're probably going to clean it up anyway, so it may not be as big a deal. I just had noted the grandfathering thing before, so --

I'm done. Thanks.

MAYOR JENKINS: Murray? Any more?

MR. WEED: That's it, yes, sir.

MAYOR JENKINS: We're going to take a five-minute break.

- - -

(Brief recess)

- - -

MAYOR JENKINS: Meeting come to order.

Item number 8.

MR. HAEBERLIN: You'll recall, Mayor and Council, at our last meeting, we discussed the City's dumpster ordinance. I felt that it gave a lot of latitude and a lot of responsibility to the Code Enforcement officers that was unduly needed in making a decision as to whether the dumpster was visible from the road or was

Page 71

not visible, whether landscaping adequately covered it, and other items, and thought that we should look at revisiting this dumpster ordinance which has really been in effect since 2006.

And as you're aware, I really can't write a permit for landscaping, so that's kind of a difficult provision to address. And most landscaping just doesn't work well adjacent to a dumpster site. So I looked at what I had done in the past in other municipalities, also kind of did a survey of what's occurring in the city.

We do have some nice masonry dumpster enclosures throughout the city that were done voluntarily by a number of our businesses, and of course the City itself is looking at screening some of our dumpsters as well. Actually, with cost comparisons, we found that the concrete block or the masonry enclosure was actually cheaper than the chain link fence. So in some cases, the masonry just came out to be a better deal for it, and I think it has more longevity certainly.

So we looked at how to revise the dumpster ordinance to create dumpster enclosures

Page 72

that will last and are useful rather than the wood enclosures that we have throughout the city. Many of them are not permitted, many of them are in locations that are inappropriate and many of them certainly are not on a concrete pad.

Now, you may ask me why the concrete pad. The concrete pad comes to us from the waste haulers. The weight of the trucks that pick up the dumpsters actually sinks in asphalt, and that's one of the reasons why the dumpster haulers give us the specification of concrete.

So what I did was go through here and basically delineate between new construction and other items, and it came down to this: basically, landscaping and the dumpster not being visible from a public right-of-way were completely removed from all consideration but an enclosure is required.

On new construction, it would be a masonry enclosure with a brick or stone face, and that would be consistent with our wanting to adopt architectural requirements for the city.

On existing construction, it gives opportunities if the dumpster enclosure is in

Page 73

1 the rear yard for it to simply be masonry
2 concrete block which then could be textured with
3 some sort of stucco product as well.
4 There are also a provision in here for
5 exemptions because we know there are some very
6 unique situations in the city where dumpsters
7 have been there for a long time. They are at an
8 appropriate location for the tenant to use;
9 however, there just isn't enough space to put an
10 enclosure around there. So we put a provision
11 in here for the Building Official and the City
12 Planner to review specific locations for
13 exemptions. Now, these will not be the norm,
14 but there will certainly be situations where we
15 will need to have exemptions present.
16 So the whole effect is to create a
17 uniformity in the look of these enclosures, that
18 they are a superior product to what is generally
19 being installed throughout the city, which I'll
20 be honest with you, is just residential-grade
21 fencing that anybody could buy at Home Depot.
22 And the gate enclosures are very rickety; they
23 are not something that will survive too many
24 swings, certainly, by the garbage hauler.
25 And in the back, I provided you with a

Page 74

1 specification which came from one of our local
2 garbage haulers. And I think this is a good
3 diagram, particularly -- this is Exhibit A --
4 because it provides for the bollards which help
5 secure the dumpster and prevent the dumpster
6 from hitting the enclosure. It also provides a
7 pedestrian access gate as an option, and then of
8 course it requires the swing gates in the front
9 to have a good pipe bollard system for securing
10 them when they're open. And like I said, that
11 is based on a recommendation from an actual
12 hauler. So we're moving from that of the wooden
13 fences to masonry enclosures.
14 And I did help define in here what is
15 multi-family, which is triplex, three units or
16 greater, and that churches, since they are
17 really commercial facilities, are treated the
18 same as a commercial facility because, as you're
19 aware, we have a lot of churches on residential-
20 zoned property in the city.
21 So this is to make it consistent
22 throughout the city. It will be less of a
23 judgement situation for the Code Enforcement
24 officers. It gives a time frame for these
25 enclosures to be in place, and that I just put

Page 75

1 forth as January 1st, 2010. I'm not married to
2 that if that's something that you want to
3 change. I think that gave a pretty good amount
4 of time for the general public to be aware of
5 the situation, including the business owners,
6 and then to get those items permitted and in
7 place.
8 There will be very limited inspections
9 on these items because, really, it's just a
10 footing inspection and a final. There's really
11 nothing in between.
12 We did remove the requirement for the
13 drain. The drain was not even wanted by Dekalb
14 County and so there was no necessity in having
15 it in there. It's an additional cost. So
16 unless the health department specifies a drain
17 or a roof on the dumpster, those items were
18 removed.
19 So this is based on the existing 2006
20 dumpster ordinance that was in place. I simply
21 modified it to meet the city's needs as I see
22 them today. Of course this is just a recom-
23 mendation to the City Council. But it is a
24 substantial departure between the fencing that
25 is allowed today and moving toward more of an

Page 76

1 architectural treatment of dumpster areas.
2 The Mayor and I did an extensive ride-
3 about of the city, and the areas that we store
4 garbage are problems, and they're -- not only
5 they're health problems but they're aesthetic
6 problems. And as we found throughout the city,
7 mostly the dumpsters were not screened and that
8 they were in pretty rough shape.
9 Yes, sir.
10 I see Councilman Bates looking at me as
11 though he's got a question.
12 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I do.
13 MR. HAEBERLIN: Uh-huh.
14 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: For existing
15 developments -- and I'm going to pick on Jimmy,
16 and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, I'm sure --
17 like behind Northwoods Plaza, I don't know if
18 there's sufficient space to require an enclosure
19 and allow for a piece of equipment to get back
20 there and maneuver itself around to get to a
21 front-loading piece.
22 I know there are exemptions in here for
23 fire lanes and things along that nature. Walk
24 me through the backs of strip malls where you've
25 got a relatively narrow driving lane and the

Page 77

1 requirement that even a concrete block that's
2 not fancy is still required under existing
3 development unless they're allowed an exemption.
4 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct. And like I
5 said, the reason I put the exemption in there
6 was with my full knowledge of that. I have
7 driven behind those facilities.
8 I will tell you in more modern
9 construction that occurs, that will not be a
10 problem because we'll worry about the siting of
11 the dumpster from day one.
12 But the exemption I put in there is
13 because we do need to review those situations,
14 and I think we will have properties that clearly
15 there is no physical way. However, I will say
16 that at these facilities, most of these
17 facilities will have at least one location for a
18 central dumpster location, and based on the way
19 this is written, they will have to have one
20 central dumpster location which is screened
21 somewhere on the site.
22 So for those individual tenants you're
23 talking about, there might be the potential for
24 exemptions but they should have a master garbage
25 facility area for that of the shopping center.

Page 78

1 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yeah, and I'm
2 just visualizing in my head, and I'm just going
3 to use Northwoods Plaza again. I don't know
4 where that would be situated. There's traffic
5 lanes on both sides of Northwoods and a narrow
6 drive behind. So unless you're going to require
7 it to be placed in the parking -- the front
8 parking lot in a screened enclosure there, I
9 don't know where you would put it.
10 And I don't mean to -- I don't want to
11 go into --
12 MR. HAEBERLIN: Sure.
13 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- this property,
14 that property and go through these. I just want
15 to get a general sense --
16 MR. HAEBERLIN: Sure.
17 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- of what you're
18 thinking.
19 MR. HAEBERLIN: There will be
20 logistical areas, and I'll tell you this:
21 Because a dumpster enclosure is an accessory, it
22 becomes even more problematic because then that
23 accessory is regulated by code, and there are
24 certain places they can't be -- for example, in
25 the front building setback -- and so that is a

Page 79

1 concern of mine.
2 In the proposed accessory structure
3 ordinance which is coming to you at a later date
4 along with the R-1 changes, et cetera, I
5 specifically address the situation where was no
6 other location on the site but the front, front
7 yard or the front building setback, and it gives
8 some latitude in that situation.
9 The reason I did that is because I'm
10 having people come in every day. They have
11 these really shallow lots, and they're having a
12 great amount of difficulty.
13 Of course they can attach the enclosure
14 to a building as long as it meets the setback,
15 and then you get to use the side of the building
16 as a free wall. So we have been very creative
17 in working with people thus far. The city has a
18 lot of multi-frontage properties.
19 But I would certainly agree with you;
20 there might be locations where honestly we
21 cannot get a dumpster anywhere on the site.
22 However, I don't think we've encountered that
23 yet.
24 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I'll show you
25 one, but that'll be at a later time.

Page 80

1 MR. HAEBERLIN: I understand.
2 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I'm a little
3 concerned with the implementation time frame --
4 MR. HAEBERLIN: Yes, sir.
5 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- on this. I
6 would be much more inclined to extend it out.
7 And you'll not like it, but I'm looking at a
8 year: do a 12-month implementation time frame
9 for existing prebuilt properties rather than a
10 four-month, five-month time frame.
11 This is one of those where I think
12 everybody will understand that it's a good thing
13 and it'll help the aesthetics.
14 MR. HAEBERLIN: Sure.
15 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: But in the
16 economies that they are, I don't know that even
17 though it's relatively, comparative minor cost,
18 putting that requirement on existing property
19 owners now is the most wise thing.
20 MR. HAEBERLIN: Like you said about
21 extending the time frame, it would give the Code
22 Enforcement officers a significant amount of
23 time to go to every facility, to meet with some
24 representative from that facility and provide
25 them a copy of the ordinance. And then of

Page 81

1 course obviously I don't want a thousand
2 dumpster permits on me in one month.
3 So from a logistics perspective of
4 issuing permits, I have no problem with
5 extending the time frame. But I think we need
6 to be really crystal clear: at the end of that
7 one-year period, there will be, you know, no
8 further latitude given to these folks, that
9 they've had ample time within a year.
10 Remember, this has been on the books
11 since 2006, not as a masonry enclosure but as a
12 requirement. And it's unfortunate that -- well,
13 fortunate for us that the implementation was not
14 that strong because we would have had a lot of
15 inferior enclosures, basically.
16 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Sure.
17 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: I guess I
18 have concerns with not just the construction of
19 the enclosures for existing businesses but also
20 the requirement that they also install a
21 concrete pad.
22 MR. HAEBERLIN: That comes to you from
23 your haulers because what happens is --
24 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Well, I
25 understand that's a recommendation from them --

Page 82

1 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct.
2 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: -- but the
3 same issue with the dumpster enclosure, how are
4 you going to get equipment back there to tear up
5 asphalt and lay concrete in these tight spaces?
6 MR. HAEBERLIN: Well, though these
7 situations obviously were exemptions, but on
8 many of these properties it will not be the type
9 that you're talking about. I mean you take a
10 masonry saw and cut the ground up basically and
11 put a form and then pour the concrete.
12 The concrete recommendation, I did
13 follow up with the city's Building Official, and
14 he did agree consistently. He said, absolutely;
15 the reason why you have to have the concrete, he
16 said, because the asphalt gets hot and the
17 trucks sink into it. And he said, if it doesn't
18 sink when it's hot, he said, they crack them
19 because of the weight. And so he was concurrent
20 with me; that was a concern of ours.
21 Now, remember, if you had it part of a
22 parking lot and you put it on a blacktop
23 surface, for example, and then you repaved the
24 parking lot or needed to destroy the parking
25 lot, you would have that as a uniform pallet

Page 83

1 that you're breaking up, and so that again
2 becomes a concern.
3 I want people if they're investing in
4 these items to get their true net worth out of
5 them. And realistically, a really well-built
6 dumpster container enclosure should outlive the
7 longevity of the facility. In other words, you
8 could basically tear down the facility and leave
9 the dumpster enclosure and build a new facility
10 on the site. There's no reason why they
11 wouldn't.
12 So we are asking a significant
13 investment in terms of from what -- a departure
14 from what was there to what's today, but I think
15 it's a sadly needed item. You know, you hear
16 this when we do conditional zoning: that if I
17 keep repeating the same item time and time
18 again, it probably needs to be addressed as a
19 City code.
20 On page 2 under Construction Dumpsters,
21 there could be a situation where a homeowner has
22 had some kind of a catastrophe and they have a
23 construction dumpster in their driveway but they
24 have not secured a demolition permit.
25 MR. HAEBERLIN: Yes, ma'am.

Page 84

1 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: So how is
2 that addressed? It says in here you have to
3 have a demolition permit.
4 MR. HAEBERLIN: Well, Dekalb County
5 actually regulates construction dumpsters. I
6 think it's a good idea, and I'll tell you why:
7 because we have a lot of unpermitted building
8 activity in this city. It is rampant. And I'll
9 be honest with you: It's more rampant on the
10 residential side than it is the commercial side.
11 You know, perhaps we could put a
12 provision in here for them to apply for an
13 exemption or something of that nature, but I do
14 think we need to be cautious as to why all these
15 construction dumpsters are on residential sites.
16 I, myself, have used these at my own
17 home, and I will tell you, when they show up, a
18 code enforcement officer usually shows up and
19 starts asking questions with good cause, because
20 typically if one is there, you're not just
21 pulling up carpet, you're not just throwing
22 away, you know, dead leaf matter; you're doing
23 construction.
24 So we could certainly look into some
25 sort of exemption or the City Council could

Page 85

1 suspend this item in the event of emergencies or
2 some sort of storms or what-have-you, and
3 there's some things we can consider in that
4 portion. But I think it's a good concept to
5 follow. It is consistent with Dekalb County.
6 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, for that
7 one -- I mean we have Chief Atkinson here. I
8 mean could someone get with the Code Enforcement
9 people and just say if they see a construction
10 dumpster in the front lawn to check it out? I
11 mean if we have two people that are, you know,
12 circulating through three square miles, I would
13 think they would notice a construction dumpster.
14 CHIEF ATKINSON: Absolutely.
15 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So I mean I think
16 that maybe we could solve that, or we just have.
17 MR. HAEBERLIN: Basically, now you have
18 no codes addressing that, so I mean that's --
19 that's where my concern is.
20 Could you technically put a dumpster
21 right now in your front yard? I think you
22 could. I don't recall any exemption [sic] that
23 says it has to be sitting on a paved surface.
24 And could you leave that dumpster there for 300
25 days? Sure. Why not? I don't see, again, any

Page 86

1 restriction now that regulates that.
2 That's my concern, because we've had
3 some properties where we have consistent calls.
4 It's the same thing every time. It's all
5 related to construction dumpsters. And the root
6 base of this usually is somebody doing work
7 without a permit.
8 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So they would
9 probably get cited for doing work without a
10 permit.
11 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct, correct.
12 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Or they would get
13 cited for, you know, parking on the grass or
14 something. There would be other things they
15 would be cited for.
16 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct.
17 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: And then
18 you're limiting -- say a homeowner can only have
19 it there for nine days.
20 MR. HAEBERLIN: That's typically what
21 the haulers provide. That's been my experience
22 as a homeowner is that's typically what the
23 hauler provides you for a container.
24 I can check around with some different
25 companies.

Page 87

1 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Well, we've
2 got a house on Live Oak now that's going to be
3 under repair because an oak tree took out the
4 whole corner of the house, and I bet you he's
5 going to have a dumpster there more than nine
6 days.
7 MR. HAEBERLIN: Well, remember, again,
8 if people have an active building permit, that's
9 a different situation than simply just having a
10 dumpster at your house without any permit, and
11 that was the intent of the way this was written.
12 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Okay. And
13 then on page 5, the whole thing with the brick
14 or stacked stone, you're not allowing the
15 property owner to paint the outside of the
16 brick. How are they going to address graffiti?
17 MR. HAEBERLIN: That's always going to
18 be a problem.
19 There is actually a masonry cover that
20 can be applied. In fact, I think we're using
21 this in English Oak Park on our gazebo. There's
22 a commercially available sealant. It's like a
23 clear sealant that goes over items that helps
24 prevent graffiti from adhering to it and it
25 makes the cleanup a lot easier. And so there

Page 88

1 actually are commercial applications that would
2 basically make these enclosures, you know,
3 graffiti-proof to some extent. It makes it
4 easier to clean up.
5 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Because I
6 know on my company's property we just keep
7 gallons of paint and just paint the concrete
8 over and over, and that's the only way to battle
9 the graffiti.
10 MR. HAEBERLIN: These are the brick-
11 and-stone enclosures --
12 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Right.
13 MR. HAEBERLIN: -- the requirement to
14 not allow painting. As you know, the painting
15 is the last -- when you paint masonry such as
16 brick or stone, it's a last-ditch effort; it's
17 completely destroyed. For example, a park
18 gazebo that has had so much defaced and did not
19 have any sealant on it, that you basically have
20 to paint the red brick red to cover it up. So
21 we could put a requirement in there for a
22 sealant to be applied to that.
23 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Do you have a
24 rough idea what it would cost to build one of
25 these enclosures? I mean assuming that

Page 89

1 someone's got one and it's behind the building
2 or whatever and we pass this, they have to build
3 an enclosure with the concrete. Well, I would
4 assume they'd have something. If they have a
5 current dumpster, they've been dumping it for
6 some time. But with the swing gates and the
7 walls and the cement and all that and the pipe
8 bollards and everything, how much that would
9 cost to go out and install.
10 MR. HAEBERLIN: Depends on your
11 contractor. I will tell you that right now, the
12 ones that we're looking at doing or actually
13 working on right now for the City properties, I
14 think it was twenty-five hundred to three
15 thousand, and we're doing concrete block
16 enclosures that are consistent with the items
17 that are built on the property -- in other
18 words, across the street: Flowers Park
19 properties, as we'll call them; the Police
20 station, the Extension, et cetera.
21 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Is this with a
22 brick facing or stone facing or is it just --
23 MR. HAEBERLIN: The ones that the City
24 are doing right now are concrete block, which is
25 consistent with what's over on that site.

Page 90

1 And of course you can build a concrete-
2 block enclosure with an additional brick ledge
3 and then go back later and add to that, so
4 that's always an option. But the brick ledge is
5 very important because if you don't put it in at
6 initial construction, you'll never be able to go
7 back and modify it.
8 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I have a couple
9 questions. I wanted to verify that this
10 particular ordinance is for all of our zone
11 districts; is that correct?
12 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct.
13 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: All of them.
14 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct. It starts at
15 other than duplex. At triplex level, it goes
16 into a quote/quote residential zone. So
17 triplex, traditional multi-family, single-family
18 attached townhomes such as Chestnut Place,
19 et cetera; all the commercials, all the offices,
20 all the industrials.
21 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Okay. Let me
22 address, then, the office/warehouses. With the
23 experience that I have had in multi-door
24 facilities, warehouses, generally speaking, the
25 occupant will use one of their bay doors for the

Page 91

1 dumpster site.
2 MR. HAEBERLIN: Okay.
3 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Not enclosed.
4 The dumpster is not enclosed at all because
5 there might be a need to move it to another bay
6 door in case there are areas, other trucks
7 coming in or whatever. So I'm concerned about
8 office/warehouse. I'm also concerned about
9 commercial as well.
10 The next thing in regards to
11 commercial, for instance, the property owner
12 that has 40 suites, okay, that property -- Well,
13 first of all, the commercial receptacles are
14 leased by the tenant normally rather than the
15 owner of the property.
16 MR. HAEBERLIN: Okay.
17 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Okay. So
18 looking at it from that point of view, it's
19 multi-dumpster facilities that are coming in to
20 pick up the solid waste from us, from --
21 MR. HAEBERLIN: Correct.
22 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- the city.
23 Have we checked on their requirements
24 as to whether they will pick up within an
25 enclosed structure?

Page 92

1 MR. HAEBERLIN: Are you talking about
2 like inside of a roll-up door is what you're
3 asking?
4 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Or the
5 enclosure that you're referring to.
6 The solid waste removers, what are
7 their specifics for their dumpsters? Are they
8 to be enclosed into an enclosure that we're
9 designing? Do they have a contract with the
10 owner of the property to say, you will not do
11 this, this, and this and this with my dumpster?
12 MR. HAEBERLIN: Well, each one of those
13 are obviously independent contractors that the
14 City is not necessarily involved in.
15 However, like I said, the very fact
16 that the solid waste haulers here and throughout
17 the country actually put these specifications
18 for dumpsters up is evidence that they do pick
19 up dumpsters from these locations with these
20 enclosures. And it's interesting that in every
21 community they have a little bit different take
22 on this diagram. But nearly every solid-waste
23 person that I looked at on the Internet had a
24 specification, which tells me that they consent
25 to these. And I've worked in many jurisdictions

Page 93

1 where they're present. In fact, this is the
2 only jurisdiction I've ever worked in that did
3 not have enclosures that were masonry.
4 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Okay. Getting
5 back to the landowner with 40 suites --
6 MR. HAEBERLIN: Okay.
7 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- are you
8 offering a community dumpster area? I notice
9 that this wouldn't, obviously, the design that's
10 provided, be able to accommodate 40 dumpsters.
11 So are we providing some alternative for that
12 landowner that's now going to have to put the
13 enclosures up or are we going to see 40 little
14 enclosures next to each one of those suites?
15 MR. HAEBERLIN: I think with a lot of
16 these properties there would be more prudent use
17 of the dumpsters. The reason why there are so
18 many of them is because, number one, they've
19 gotten away with not screening them, so they're
20 everywhere now whether they're being used or
21 not, okay, and I think somewhat accepted in some
22 situations. The property owners that manage
23 these properties could certainly encourage more
24 prudent use of dumpsters.
25 And what you're talking about is a

Page 94

1 community dumpster where there's two or three
2 together. They could certainly, you know,
3 double or triple the specifications here and
4 build a larger enclosure, and I have seen that
5 happen.
6 There are also folks that have actually
7 trash compactors as well because they have just
8 such a garbage need, they want a compactor. So
9 there's a provision in here for that to be
10 reviewed as well. Particularly multi-family
11 usually has that.
12 Now, on our O/W zoning, remember we
13 have very little if no O/W zoning. We have just
14 maybe two large properties in the city, and so
15 they're kind of distinct from the rest of the
16 commercial properties.
17 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Okay. The
18 other thing I thought about as well, and I did
19 call two solid waste removal companies today.
20 One of them did, one of them did not. But the
21 one did charge extra to go into an enclosed area
22 to remove the dumpster and dump it, so that's an
23 additional fee that that property owner would
24 have to pay as well.
25 And I'm just making this as a comment

Page 95

1 so we all understand, because, generally
2 speaking, we don't see commercial haulers during
3 the day. They come at night. So these dumpster
4 enclosures are going to be closed and sometimes
5 maybe even locked, for that matter, and so it's
6 going to be a little bit difficult for the
7 various and sundry dumpster haulers that we'll
8 have.
9 MR. HAEBERLIN: Remember throughout the
10 latter part of this ordinance -- and that's the
11 part that I definitely didn't rewrite -- all
12 these things about dumping household waste into
13 other people's dumpsters and all of these
14 prohibitions that are replete through the rest
15 of this ordinance were already in existence.
16 I really just addressed the enclosure,
17 the look of it. And so my goal was a more
18 durable, long-term enclosure that we have today.
19 The fences are just not lasting. Within two or
20 three years, they're looking bad, to begin with.
21 They just don't hold up. If you ever watch one
22 of these dumpsters being picked up and dumped,
23 you know that it's a violent situation. It's
24 noisy, it's loud and, you know, it's not
25 something that's something that's not well

Page 96

1 constructed will endure many times. And I think
2 that's the reason why we're having the problems
3 we have today.
4 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Thank you.
5 MR. HAEBERLIN: Uh-huh.
6 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I just have a
7 couple of comments.
8 We've talked about the appearance more
9 than once, and I think that this would be
10 probably more appropriate as part of our
11 architectural standards. I think we have
12 established that there are usually other avenues
13 of enforcement.
14 There's nothing to encourage recycling,
15 because I notice a lot of places, they not only
16 have dumpsters for just compacting; they also
17 compact like their cardboard or whatever.
18 It is an unfunded mandate, I think,
19 because I don't know that everyone can get this
20 done for $2,500, and some of the businesses out
21 there may not even have the $2,500.
22 I would feel a lot more comfortable if
23 this was strictly for new construction only but
24 I understand your concerns about the fence.
25 It's just my opinion, I think that it would be

Page 97

1 better to spend some more time on this. I think
2 if we brought it up for a vote tonight, I'm not
3 sure if it would pass. I don't think I could
4 support it in its current form.
5 I would much rather spend some more
6 time on this and get something I'm a little more
7 comfortable with than to have a vote and kill it
8 tonight, frankly. I would recommend postponing
9 it to the next meeting and give us more time on
10 it because I want to solve this problem and
11 solve it right.
12 MR. HAEBERLIN: I do understand. You
13 know, I have an extension basically from the
14 Code Enforcement ladies that, you know, until
15 the end of the month, the 31st, they're going
16 to have to do something with the existing
17 warnings, I guess, and citations that they have
18 out there. We do still have some time before
19 the end of the month, so that if the Council
20 directs specific questions on things that they
21 think we should look at, to me via e-mail and to
22 Attorney Weed, then we could look at how to
23 address those.
24 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, now I have
25 to say I'm just concerned that we're giving out

Page 98

1 warnings and then we're passing an ordinance
2 afterwards. I mean that just doesn't make sense
3 to me.
4 MR. HAEBERLIN: Well, remember your
5 ordinance was already in effect. It just had
6 not been enforced.
7 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I understand.
8 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Did you not
9 say that the ordinance was not enforceable?
10 MR. HAEBERLIN: That was my opinion,
11 and I'll tell you why.
12 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I think it was
13 Mr. Weed's opinion also.
14 MR. HAEBERLIN: No. I think he
15 disagreed with me.
16 MR. WEED: I don't agree with
17 Mr. Haeberlin.
18 MR. HAEBERLIN: From my perspective,
19 what job function I do, it's unenforceable,
20 because I can't write you a permit for land-
21 scaping. So having a landscape requirement is
22 judgmental, to me. Does a maple tree next to
23 your dumpster screen it? I don't know. Does a
24 leyland cypress screen it? I don't know. So to
25 me, the landscape was very judgmental or

Page 99

1 subjective, and can I see it from the road or
2 can't I see it? That's really -- you know,
3 that's a weird argument in Doraville, particu-
4 larly with our topography.
5 At some point, the backs of these
6 buildings will probably be exposed and we'll see
7 what's back there. But you know, my concern
8 about saying, well, it's not visible and it
9 doesn't require an enclosure really is bowing
10 out of a situation we need to correct.
11 COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: I agree with
12 Mr. Roche. I think we need to do further work
13 on this, so I'm going to make a motion that we
14 table this to the next agenda.
15 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'll second that.
16 MAYOR JENKINS: Stuart?
17 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Oh, I'm sorry. I
18 didn't realize.
19 MAYOR JENKINS: That's all right.
20 MR. HANSARD: Mayor, can I say some-
21 thing?
22 MAYOR JENKINS: Yes, sir. Wait just a
23 minute.
24 Stuart?
25 MR. ANDERSON: Go ahead.

Page 100

1 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Come on up, sir.
2 I'll give you three minutes.
3 MR. HANSARD: My name is Charlie
4 Hansard. I am probably the oldest resident in
5 Doraville and I've been here since 1932. I've
6 seen it go from a cow pasture to what it is
7 today.
8 And this dumpster mess that you've got
9 going is not the answer to Doraville's problem.
10 You've got more serious problems than dumpsters.
11 What you're doing, my man got an
12 estimate on what -- the specifications required
13 to replace this dumpster was $30,000. Now,
14 don't kid yourself that you're going to get it
15 for $2,500. You can't hardly get somebody to
16 come out here, anything for $2,500, not do any
17 work, especially landscaping and --
18 MAYOR JENKINS: We're doing our own
19 labor on it.
20 MR. HANSARD: -- all this. And what
21 you're going to do, you're going to destroy the
22 small businessman in the city of Doraville
23 about dumpsters. The economy is so today that
24 this is no time to do this. If we were sitting
25 on cloud nine like we were five or six, seven or

Page 101

1 eight, ten years ago, great. People had the
2 money to do it. But property owners don't have
3 it now. They are losing their customers every
4 day -- I mean their tenants. They're losing
5 their tenants.
6 And really, I'm not kidding you, this
7 dumpster mess, you've got a lot more important
8 things than dumpsters. And we've been looking
9 at dumpsters for years. You go down to
10 Chamblee, you go to Norcross, you go to Duluth,
11 you go to Decatur, you go anywhere in Dekalb
12 County, you see a dumpster. It don't hurt me,
13 it don't hurt you and it don't hurt you and it
14 don't hurt you, or you or you or you or you or
15 any of you here to look at a dumpster. And I
16 just think you're putting to much emphasis on
17 dumpsters when there's more important things to
18 do in the city of Doraville. Thank you.
19 - - -
20 (Applause)
21 - - -
22 MAYOR JENKINS: Stuart, can you top
23 that one?
24 MR. ANDERSON: No, but I can certainly
25 follow it. I'm Stuart Anderson.

Page 102

1 I agree the priorities -- I've stood up
2 here time and time again and said priorities are
3 a problem with this bunch, so I agree with you a
4 hundred percent.
5 I did some figuring while the
6 discussion was going on, and the minimum I came
7 up with was five to six thousand dollars for
8 bricks, for putting it up, for a slab, for the
9 bollards, the gate, all of the rest of that.
10 $2,500 would be really a great deal.
11 But once again, the priorities are
12 wrong. Thanks.
13 MAYOR JENKINS: All right. Need a
14 motion at the table.
15 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I seconded.
16 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: You already
17 have one. There's been a first and second.
18 MR. ANDERSON: I apologize. I
19 apologize.
20 And I'd like to hear from Jimmy, a
21 person that represents a large landowner here
22 with businesses and dumpsters.
23 MAYOR JENKINS: Mr. Stuart, he did not
24 sign up.
25 MR. ANDERSON: Well --

Page 103

1 MAYOR JENKINS: We got a motion and a
2 second.
3 MR. ANDERSON: -- he's somebody that
4 would have a valid comment.
5 MAYOR JENKINS: Anymore discussion?
6 - - -
7 (No response)
8 - - -
9 MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll, please.
10 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember
11 Alexander?
12 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.
13 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Councilmember
14 Bates?
15 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
16 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?
17 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
18 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?
19 COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.
20 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?
21 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.
22 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember
23 Spangler?
24 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.
25 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: This is on the

Page 104

1 agenda for 9/8; is that correct?
2 CLERK BLACKMON: Yes.
3 MAYOR JENKINS: Yes.
4 MAYOR JENKINS: Bob Spangler?
5 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I'm taking
6 mine off tonight, Mayor.
7 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay.
8 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I'll bring it
9 up again at a later meeting.
10 CLERK BLACKMON: Do you want it on the
11 next agenda, sir --
12 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Not the next
13 one.
14 CLERK BLACKMON: -- or just wait?
15 Okay.
16 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I'll call and
17 ask or set it up.
18 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'll make this
19 quick, hopefully, maybe.
20 MAYOR JENKINS: Number 10, Bob Roche.
21 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yeah. I.T.
22 Support Strategy.
23 As we all know, we don't really have a
24 contract with anyone as far as providing
25 information technology services, maintaining our

Page 105

1 servers, printers, that sort of thing. I think
2 we had someone kind of on a month-to-month
3 basis.
4 On June 1st, there was a motion made to
5 authorize the Mayor to make payments to the
6 current I.T. services company until another I.T.
7 services company is chosen by competitive bid,
8 and that was passed unanimously. June 1st,
9 that's six weeks ago or whatever. No. Ten
10 weeks ago? I got to look at a calendar. Any-
11 way, there's been no movement on this whatso-
12 ever.
13 The response to the original RFP, the
14 dollar amounts ranged like a hundred grand and
15 up per year. And it just seems reasonable, if
16 we're going to spend a hundred thousand dollars
17 a year, instead of spending a hundred thousand
18 dollars a year for I.T. services, particularly
19 when we're talking about hiring -- or bringing
20 in new software for accounting and everything
21 else, instead of spending a hundred thousand
22 dollars and continuing to spend all this money
23 on I.T. services from an outside company --
24 Right now, the one kind of people that
25 are looking for jobs -- and believe me, I know

Page 106

1 -- is information technology people. I think
2 that it might be a good idea to go ahead and
3 take that money and just simply create a
4 position of an I.T. person at, you know, middle
5 to high level that's capable of, you know,
6 changing passwords and that sort of thing but
7 maybe even providing some direction as far as
8 application, software integration, you know,
9 overall strategies going forward. I think it
10 would be a much better use of the City's money
11 than to spend, again, a hundred thousand or so
12 dollars a year for someone that just comes in
13 occasionally when a printer's broken.
14 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I agree. I
15 think we can save a lot of money in the end
16 result, and this person could probably have
17 other duties other than just the I.T.
18 MAYOR JENKINS: Rhonda, do you know how
19 much actually we're spending now?
20 CLERK BLACKMON: It's case by case.
21 Everything is anywhere from $200 to $2,000
22 depending on what they're doing.
23 But I would like to state for the
24 record that not one person can handle this whole
25 thing, and we do not have any place to put

Page 107

1 anyone else staff-wise in this building.
2 MAYOR JENKINS: I'm aware of that, yes.
3 CLERK BLACKMON: They would have to go
4 somewhere else.
5 But no, I do not have an overall.
6 CLERK BLACKMON: How many bites did we
7 get when we put it out for bid, Scott?
8 MR. HAEBERLIN: Mayor, I can't
9 specifically recall because I didn't receive
10 those RFPs. It was Luke Howe.
11 If I recall, it might have been three
12 or five.
13 CLERK BLACKMON: It was five.
14 MR. HAEBERLIN: It wasn't much. I mean
15 it was not the onslaught you would have expected
16 with the downturn in the economy.
17 Maybe it was not fully understood what
18 these folks would be doing or maybe there's just
19 not that many people who have experience in
20 working with local governments per se, because
21 our I.T. needs -- you know, everything's an
22 emergency to us. In other words, if the system
23 goes down, we need the system right back up.
24 It's a little different situation than working
25 in the private sector.

Page 108

1 I was really shocked there was not a
2 whole lot of bids that came in.
3 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, if I may,
4 the comments that were made during that, I found
5 -- I got a copy of the RFP. I think the RFP
6 went out and it was on the web site, and then of
7 course it was taken off. And as I mentioned
8 before, I had to pay the City for a copy of it.
9 The RFP frankly wasn't very well
10 written, it wasn't very clear; and hence, I
11 believe that's why that the responses from these
12 were all over the place. Some of them basically
13 said, "We'll come in and replace everything for
14 you, and then going forward it'll be a lot
15 cheaper," which probably is the best approach.
16 But they were just kind of all over the place
17 because it wasn't a very well-designed RFP. And
18 that was kind of the whole point of, you know,
19 making good RFPs: so that they know what
20 they're bidding on.
21 I remember we reviewed the audit, the
22 I.T. audit, and you know, every server seems to
23 be on a different software release level. You
24 know, they're not really communicating with each
25 other very well. We have applications that

Page 109

1 don't interface very well. We have processes
2 that aren't really in place. We don't have good
3 security. We have a whole raft of problems
4 here.
5 And yes, we keep going. And believe
6 me, I know a lot of software companies. If they
7 knew that was what we wanted and what our
8 requirements were, they would be more than happy
9 to come up with a reasonable bid.
10 But again, until we establish require-
11 ments for our software and I.T. needs, it's
12 never going to happen. We're going to spend a
13 whole lot of money.
14 So again, we can continue like we are
15 now in disaster mode, waiting for the next thing
16 to fix, and then, oh, my God, it's a disaster
17 and we have to pay someone $150 an hour to come
18 and fix it; or we can go through, clean up the
19 systems, get them nice and straightened out,
20 et cetera, et cetera, so that going forward, we
21 don't have disasters to start with.
22 It's not rocket science. It can be
23 done. I've done it many times. That's what
24 normal, reasonable places do.
25 So we either come up with a good RFP

Page 110

1 that's going to work and meet our needs -- And I
2 think frankly we would probably need some help,
3 some consulting help, to help us put together
4 these requirements, or we just continue throwing
5 money at bad servers and throwing money at --
6 MAYOR JENKINS: Can you --
7 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- this big mess
8 that --
9 MAYOR JENKINS: Can you make us an RFP,
10 Bob?
11 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I think frankly I
12 don't want to get directly involved, Mayor, with
13 that. I think that we need to direct people to
14 do that.
15 If you remember correctly, Mayor, I was
16 in between projects last year and I said I'd be
17 happy to come in and help out, and all heck
18 broke loose, so I'm not even going to go there.
19 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay.
20 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: But no. I think
21 that we either need to bring someone in that can
22 establish our requirements and write a good RFP,
23 hire an employee. For that kind of money, I
24 think we could hire an employee to take care of
25 all this stuff.

Page 111

1 We got to do something. All we're
2 doing now is just paying a whole lot of money to
3 somebody and it's never going to improve.
4 MAYOR JENKINS: You got somebody we can
5 suggest to write up an RFP, Bob?
6 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I guess we need
7 to put out an RFP for RFPs because I certainly
8 wouldn't want to be involved in it.
9 MAYOR JENKINS: You seem to have a lot
10 of suggestions but you can't give me the infor-
11 mation that I need. Tell me somebody I can
12 hire.
13 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Do you want me to
14 tell you some names of people that you could
15 hire?
16 MAYOR JENKINS: It wouldn't hurt.
17 Would it hurt you?
18 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I think it
19 might show favoritism, frankly.
20 MAYOR JENKINS: Forget it. I'll find
21 somebody.
22 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay. Thanks.
23 MAYOR JENKINS: Anymore on that?
24 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I guess
25 not. We'll continue struggling along like we're

Page 112

1 doing now and spending money like we're doing
2 now.
3 And I think we're at 9 o'clock.
4 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Bob, you have a
5 motion?
6 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: I want to make
7 a motion to end the meeting and go into the
8 citizens' comments.
9 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Well, we got to
10 have police comments, too. Let's have the
11 police comments first.
12 MR. WEED: Could we do attorney's
13 comments tonight, too, Mayor?
14 MAYOR JENKINS: Murray?
15 MR. WEED: I actually have comments
16 tonight.
17 MAYOR JENKINS: You've got a lot of
18 comments?
19 MR. WEED: No, sir, but --
20 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Police?
21 MR. WEED: -- I have them.
22 MAYOR JENKINS: Wait just a minute.
23 Let me get the police first.
24 Come on up.
25 CHIEF ATKINSON: I just have a couple

Page 113

of things that I'd like to just advise the Council and citizens.

We are programming our radar signs on Wednesday. They have finally come in, and you should see them on the streets soon. So we have that meeting on Wednesday.

Some of you know or are aware that we had a single-car accident on Windsor Oak the other night. And long story short, we made an arrest after a pretty lengthy fight with the subject. One of our officers was injured during the fight. The person that we arrested was a prison escapee. He walked away from a work detail out of Clayton County. So that was a good job by our officers.

Also, we arrested a person for committing a burglary in Oakcliff subdivision, a house on lower Pin Oak down by the red light. All the property was recovered in that incident. The subject confessed.

We're having an issue now at Northwoods Plaza as well as Pinetree Plaza with someone, several different people stealing compressor units, I guess, out of the A.C. units that are on top of the building. We've had numerous

Page 114

incidents of this. The other night, although we didn't catch anybody, we feel like we interrupted them. And our officers have been going up on top of the roofs every -- well, I don't want to say every night, but they have been keeping a close eye on the rooftops. And like I say, we've had several incidents, and we're working with Mr. Cushman and Halpern to try to get a handle on this.

Like I say, you know, I don't know how many we've had, but I know that a couple of businesses have been hit a couple times. So that's a lot of money that these business owners are having to take out of their pocket to fix the systems.

Remember that we do have a Citizens' Police Academy starting September the 1st. If you have anybody who is interested, we still have -- still accepting some applications.

And I believe you'll hear about it later, but the Neighborhood Watch program kicked off down in Northwoods.

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you.

CHIEF ATKINSON: Any questions?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Captain, I just

Page 115

have one thing. I've been meaning to say this for weeks and weeks, and I apologize. It just popped back into my head.

Thank you guys for being aggressive on the cars and vehicles that were for sale along Buford Highway. I haven't seen them on our side.

And Mr. Cushman, thank you for -- Halpern for being aggressive on that. It's cleaned up immensely, and I thank you.

MR. CUSHMAN: Rosemary, the Quality of Life unit, we've been working along with them. They have been very wonderful to work with.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yeah.

MR. CUSHMAN: They've helped us.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: It's much improved, so thank your teams for making that improvement for us.

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you. Murray?

MR. WEED: Mr. Mayor, just to give some paperwork to close out some business, the City did adopt the budget formally. I have prepared a budget resolution to close out that process. I have submitted to the Council on 23 July -- pardon me -- 23 June, '09, and just wanted to

Page 116

have the Council adopt a formal resolution adopting the budget. This is required. We do this every year. We also do it when we amend budgets.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I think July 23rd was correct, sir.

MR. WEED: Oh. You mean it's actually July 23rd?

COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.

MR. WEED: Okay. Well, the date on this is July 23rd but I didn't recall that.

And it indicates -- it's a one-page resolution, four paragraphs long, and we do this all the time.

MAYOR JENKINS: Do I get a motion that we adopt the budget resolution?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: So moved.

MAYOR JENKINS: Second?

COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Second.

MAYOR JENKINS: Anymore discussion?

- - -

(No response)

- - -

MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll.

CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember

Page 117

1 Alexander?
2 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.
3 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?
4 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
5 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?
6 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: And the motion
7 was to adopt the budget?
8 COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: The reso-
9 ution.
10 MAYOR JENKINS: The resolution.
11 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: The resolution.
12 Yes.
13 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?
14 COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.
15 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?
16 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'll vote the
17 same way. I'll vote no.
18 CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember
19 Spangler?
20 COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: No.
21 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Three minutes on
22 citizens comments. Who's first?
23 Are you still a citizen? Where do you
24 live?
25 MS. HOFFMEISTER: Here. I still live

Page 118

1 in Oakcliff.
2 MR. ANDERSON: She has many houses.
3 MS. HOFFMEISTER: I have many houses.
4 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. Come on up. I
5 didn't know. Somebody told me you'd moved.
6 MS. HOFFMEISTER: Well, I'm trying.
7 Just to bring to the citizens' and the
8 City's attention that there are two items on the
9 Dekalb Planning Commission tomorrow at 6:30 at
10 the Chamblee Library. Citizens may be
11 interested in two of the rezonings that are
12 going to be happening between here and Tucker.
13 MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you.
14 MR. HAMMETT: Rich Hammett.
15 I would like to take a second to agree
16 with Mr. Roche about the I.T. That is something
17 that's really critical. We've got a very small
18 city with lots of things going on in it, and
19 it's a force multiplier. It's a good way -- and
20 the improvements we've already gotten with Luke,
21 with the web site and things like that enable
22 fewer people to reach a larger audience and
23 interact with them, and that's true also for all
24 the work that you have to do here in house. So
25 I would just stress that we get the I.T. house

Page 119

1 in order.
2 And I would suggest looking at cor-
3 porations with comparable numbers of employees
4 and looking at other municipalities to see how
5 they are dealing with this issue because it is
6 something that's -- it is critical and needs to
7 be dealt with.
8 MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you.
9 Tom?
10 MR. HART: I have to agree with that
11 I.T. comment as well. We've changed the thing
12 on the site design to make communication better
13 in the city, and that goes with it.
14 And my topic is about something else we
15 voted on that never happened, which was a wire-
16 less thing so that people in the library can use
17 their laptops. We bought a SonicWALL unit
18 that's been sitting in the back room there for
19 two years. It was never installed, and they
20 can't use their laptops in the library. So I
21 would really strongly advise if we have an I.T.
22 person floating around, maybe they'd want to
23 install it so we could use a laptop.
24 MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you.
25 MR. HART: It's sitting there gathering

Page 120

1 dust.
2 MS. FRAYSSE: Susan Fraysse.
3 I'm confused, because I've been in the
4 library and used a laptop and I know other
5 people have, too. I met someone in the commu-
6 nity one day who was just sitting out in front
7 of the library under the lamppost, and it was
8 dark. And I was coming to a Council meeting,
9 and he was there because he was using what is
10 commonly available. But I've used it inside the
11 library and also outside the library and at the
12 civic center. So I think there's something
13 working well. It may need to be improved, and I
14 don't know about the panel.
15 And I support the idea of having an
16 I.T. position and getting an RFP and getting
17 more organized about all of our I.T. support.
18 MAYOR JENKINS: Okay.
19 MR. HART: I could answer that.
20 MAYOR JENKINS: Ms. Watanabe made me
21 aware day before yesterday that the wireless
22 does not work up there. But you're saying it
23 does at certain times?
24 MS. FRAYSSE: It has. I mean those
25 things are kind of "iffy." Maybe some will work

Page 121

and some won't. But we've used it at the civic center for presentations, and I've been in the library and outside of the library and been able.

MR. HAMMETT: Sometimes it does. It hasn't been working at all lately.

MAYOR JENKINS: Okay. We'll --

MS. FRAYSSE: Okay. Well, it needs reset, it sounds like.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: It works here.

MAYOR JENKINS: Yes.

Who's next, please? Anybody else?

Come up, Stuart.

MR. ANDERSON: Stuart Anderson. Two things.

During the discussion about fees, I commented to Ms. Fleming about residential versus commercial. There wasn't, I felt, enough discussion of splitting that up. Reducing the fees for the residential and keeping the same fees for the commercial would be appropriate. That was just kind of skipped over so I thought I'd say it now.

And my comment about priorities. Susan Crawford said to me, "What are priorities if not

Page 122

dumpsters?"

Well, City-initiated zoning, certificate of completion, financial reports, accounting software. Those are all issues that should be prioritized on the agenda if nothing else, in my opinion.

Thank you.

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you, Stuart.

MR. ANDERSON: And since I was commenting on the other thing, I should have asked for Jimmy Cushman to be able to finish my three minutes, anyway. I think that would have been appropriate if I'd thought of it. Sorry.

MS. CRAWFORD: Susan Crawford, Oakcliff.

I was misquoted. I did not say, "What is a priority if a dumpster isn't?" I didn't say that at all.

However, since I am up here, I will take the unpopular position that I think architectural standards are important. I know that a dumpster is not a priority. Zoning is a priority. I mean the businesses themselves are priorities. There are many things that take precedence over dumpsters.

Page 123

However, I think that we should have the same sorts of architectural standards as the cities that are we doing well, and before we throw this out -- no pun intended -- I think we should look around and maybe studies other cities and see what they're doing.

I think hiding the dumpsters behind something attractive is a good idea, maybe not a priority, and I don't think it should be terribly expensive. But I do think that most business owners -- many business owners could afford to cover up their dumpsters.

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you.

- - -

(Applause)

- - -

MS. AVERS: I was reminded of one big announcement. In Northwoods, we did launch our Northwoods Neighborhood Watch program this past week. We had 121 residents sign up and more and more every day, Jerry.

I'd like to introduce to the Council and to the citizens here, Jerry Cullen, who is leading our effort. He's been able to save us a significant amount of money, over $3,000, by

Page 124

going to Dunwoody and retrieving their old signs so that we can put them up.

We've also gone out and asked --

Chris Avers, by the way.

We've also gone out and asked people in the neighborhood to adopt signs that are crappy looking right now. So some of us have taken the bait, I guess, and we're going to refurbish some of those older signs so that we have additional signs to put up.

We've also gone out as block captains and received our badges with the support of the Police Department. And of course this whole effort is in support of the Police Department, and they are supporting us, and so it's a really synergistic kind of a project.

And we hope after we get Northwoods underway and that we have improved our safety and security through the Neighborhood Watch program, that we can expand out into the other neighborhoods and city-wide, to the businesses as well.

So I'd just like to announce that.

MAYOR JENKINS: Thank you, Chris.

MS. AVERS: Thank you.

- - -
(Applause)
- - -
MAYOR JENKINS: Chris is president. She's president of the group, so I didn't know if she told you that or not.
MS. AVERS: Treasurer.
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: She's treasurer, Mayor.
MS. AVERS: This time, treasurer.
MAYOR JENKINS: Oh, you're just the treasurer?
MS. AVERS: Yes. I write the checks.
MAYOR JENKINS: Anybody else?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Motion to adjourn.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: We have 10 minutes left.
MAYOR JENKINS: Second?
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Second.
MAYOR JENKINS: Call the roll.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Alexander?
COUNCILMEMBER ALEXANDER: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Bates?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Fleming?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: No.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Pittman?
COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: I'm not sure what Pam wanted to -- Yes.
MAYOR JENKINS: Go ahead with the vote, please.
COUNCILMEMBER PITTMAN: Yes.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Roche?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No.
CLERK BLACKMON: Councilmember Spangler?
COUNCILMEMBER SPANGLER: Yes.
MAYOR JENKINS: Motion carried.
CLERK BLACKMON: Yes, sir.
MAYOR JENKINS: Adjourned.
- - -
(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m. the same day.)
-o0o-

C E R T I F I C A T E

E OF GEORGIA]
TY OF DEKALB]

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript taken down, as stated in the caption, and the eedings were reduced to typewriting under my ction and control.

I further certify that the transcript is a true correct record of the evidence given at the said eedings.

I further certify that I am neither a relative mployee or attorney or counsel to any of the ies, nor financially or otherwise interested in matter.

This the 27th day of August, 2009.

_____
Theresa Bretch, CCR
Permit No. B-755

[SEAL]

$

$100 (1)
24:3
$100,000 (1)
25:15
$125,000 (1)
44:1
$150 (29)
20:22;21:3;22:17;27:2;28:21,24;29:15,18,22;30:6;31:1,9,14,20,22;32:19;34:5,13,19;36:10,25;37:6,19;39:2,8;40:18;41:2;42:16;109:17
$2,000 (1)
106:21
$2,500 (5)
96:20,21;100:15,16;102:10
$200 (6)
20:25;27:19;34:2,10,16;106:21
$250 (8)
20:15,25;22:8;32:5;34:11,25;35:3;40:23
$3,000 (1)
123:25
$30,000 (1)
100:13
$300,000 (1)
25:13
$400 (21)
20:16,22;22:9,14;26:9;27:2,5,10;28:17;29:21;30:5,12;31:8,14,19;37:21;38:22;39:5,19;41:2;42:15
$4400 (1)
15:1
$4900 (4)
13:17;14:24,25;16:13
$5,000 (1)
15:16
$50 (2)
21:1;34:11
$5000 (1)
16:22
$750 (4)
20:14,21;34:3,16
$850 (4)
29:18;30:12;38:21;39:5

[

[sic] (1)
85:22

0

09 (3)
22:24;26:6;115:25

1

1 (2)
52:20,22
10 (5)
52:21,21;54:9;104:20;125:17
12 (1)
54:9
121 (1)
123:20
12-month (1)
80:8
14 (2)
52:11;54:8
1401 (2)
20:14;22:8
15 (1)
6:19
18 (1)
26:5
18th (3)
22:24;26:19;35:2
1932 (1)
100:5
1972 (1)
53:4
1st (4)
75:1;105:4,8;114:17

2

2 (2)
21:18;83:20
2005 (1)
53:8
2006 (3)
71:5;75:19;81:11
2010 (1)
75:1
20s (1)
64:15
2-261 (4)
21:19;28:16,17;31:13
2-261b (1)
26:4
23 (2)
115:24,25
23rd (3)
116:6,8,11
24th (1)
57:24
2-90 (1)
14:5

3

3 (1)
54:8
30 (1)
44:24
300 (1)
85:24
30s (1)
64:15
31st (1)
97:15
3-3-2 (1)
50:6

4

4 (1)
57:1
40 (4)
91:12;93:5,10,13
400 (1)
43:24

5

5 (1)
87:13
50/50 (1)
30:8
500 (1)
60:6

6

6 (1)
52:24
6/18 (1)
36:2
6:30 (1)
118:9
600 (1)
43:24

7

7 (2)
47:6;52:24
7/7/09 (1)
3:19

8

8 (1)
70:18

9

9 (1)
112:3
9/8 (1)
104:1
9:20 (1)
126:20

A

ability (1)
21:6
able (11)
22:15;30:11;35:14,17;51:20;69:2;90:6;93:10;121:4;122:11;123:24
Absolutely (3)
58:12;82:14;85:14
abstain (1)
33:17
abstained (1)
35:7
AC (1)
113:24
academy (5)
5:20;6:13,14,16;114:17
accept (6)
14:20,23;16:10;17:16,24;32:14
accepted (1)
93:21
accepting (1)
114:19
access (1)
74:7
accessory (3)
78:21,23;79:2
accident (1)
113:8
accommodate (2)
69:21;93:10
accordance (1)
22:4
accountant (1)
8:8
accounting (4)
35:21;45:1;105:20;122:4
across (2)
57:1;89:18
Acting (3)
7:1;8:10,20
action (7)
21:2;34:4,5,12,14,22;44:5
active (1)
87:8
activities (1)
65:2
activity (1)
84:8
actual (5)
25:14;46:7,12;65:21;74:11
actually (31)
7:7;8:8;13:25;16:17,21;22:6;23:1;25:11;36:24;38:12;44:19,21;46:6;50:23;54:4,6;57:21;62:3,7;71:18,20;72:10;84:5;87:19;88:1;89:12;92:17;94:6;106:19;112:15;116:7
add (3)
7:8;31:1;90:3
added (3)
49:15,19,22
adding (1)
31:20
addition (1)
49:21
additional (5)
7:19;75:15;90:2;94:23;124:9
address (6)
47:13;71:8;79:5;87:16;90:22;97:23
addressed (3)
83:18;84:2;95:16
addressing (1)
85:18
adequately (1)
71:1
adhering (1)
87:24
adjacent (1)
71:9
adjourn (1)
125:16
Adjourned (2)
126:17,19
administration (4)
12:5,19;15:1;21:19
administrative (5)
20:24;27:20;34:2,10,16
adopt (9)
22:13;23:19;55:11;72:23;115:22;116:1,16;117:7;124:6
adopted (7)
14:10;22:5,7,16,20;57:18;63:20
adopting (3)
29:20,22;116:2
advice (1)
37:9
advise (3)
58:20;113:1;119:21
advocating (1)
67:20
aesthetic (1)
76:5
aesthetics (1)
80:13

affect (2)
7:14;70:5
afford (1)
123:12
afternoon (1)
4:14
afterwards (1)
98:2
again (21)
25:16,20;27:15;
28:15;42:3;44:1;
45:9;49:25;62:8;
69:25;78:3;83:1,18;
85:25;87:7;102:2,11;
104:9;106:11;
109:10,14
against (1)
23:23
agenda (7)
6:19;13:12;47:1;
99:14;104:1,11;
122:5
agendas (3)
20:5;22:25;25:10
agent (3)
54:13;66:20,21
agents (2)
66:12;67:6
aggressive (2)
115:4,9
ago (3)
101:1;105:9,10
agree (16)
15:21;54:21;56:5;
59:8,10;67:17;68:13;
79:19;82:14;98:16;
99:11;102:1,3;
106:14;118:15;
119:10
agreement (2)
6:23;14:21
ahead (14)
5:16;8:18,21;
12:13;13:7;16:20;
18:25;27:16;29:11;
30:23;59:3;99:25;
106:2;126:7
Alcohol (14)
47:9,17,20,22,24;
48:13,18,23;49:8;
50:9;51:4,16;61:8;
64:3
alcoholic (2)
52:6;63:18
Alexander (37)
3:5,6,21;4:1,2;
9:16,24;10:19,20;
18:9,10;21:23;22:2;
33:3,4;41:13,14;
65:24;66:15;81:17,
24;82:2;84:1;86:17;
87:1,12;88:5,12;
103:11,12;116:19;
117:1,2,8;125:20,23,
24
allow (5)
50:22;54:5;61:9;
76:19;88:14
allowed (4)
48:4,25;75:25;
77:3
allowing (1)
87:14
allows (1)
61:11
almost (1)
7:6
along (7)
51:2;57:25;76:23;
79:4;111:25;115:5,
12
alternative (1)
93:11
although (4)
22:18;34:7;39:16;
114:1
always (5)
15:9;64:23;67:24;
87:17;90:4
amazingly (1)
52:21
amend (2)
28:16;116:3
amount (8)
20:17;23:24;
49:13;62:8;75:3;
79:12;80:22;123:25
amounts (1)
105:14
ample (1)
81:9
Anderson (11)
25:5;99:25;
101:24,25;102:18,
25;103:3;118:2;
121:14,14;122:9
and-stone (1)
88:11
annex (1)
46:24
annexation (1)
46:22
announce (1)
124:23
announcement (1)
123:18
anti-alcoholic- (1)
64:13
Any- (1)
105:10
Anymore (12)
9:15;10:13;18:3;
21:22;30:4;32:22;
37:7;41:6;70:3;
103:5;111:23;116:20
apologize (5)
54:21;61:21;
102:18,19;115:2
Apparently (1)
37:6
appeal (3)
20:15,16,18
appeals (2)
20:13;57:16
appearance (2)
57:16;96:8
Applause (6)
4:22;25:3;46:17;
101:20;123:15;125:2
applicable (1)
14:16
applicant (10)
20:19;50:11;
59:24;60:22,24;62:5;
65:12,21;66:5,19
applicants (2)
50:4;55:20
applicant's (1)
61:24
application (4)
62:2;65:9,16;
106:8
applications (3)
88:1;108:25;
114:19
applied (2)
87:20;88:22
apply (1)
84:12
applying (2)
48:17;66:5
appointee (1)
53:20
appreciate (2)
9:8;13:13
approach (1)
108:15
appropriate (6)
13:18;14:7;73:8;
96:10;121:21;122:13
approval (1)
12:13
approve (1)
3:19
approved (7)
11:25;26:4,10,14,
15;27:10;44:17
architectural (5)
72:23;76:1;96:11;
122:21;123:2
area (4)
69:19;77:25;93:8;
94:21
areas (6)
52:9,10;76:1,3;
78:20;91:6
Arguably (1)
48:20
argue (2)
30:13;39:16
argument (2)
68:18;99:3
around (10)
13:13;14:14;
29:19,20,22;73:10;
76:20;86:24;119:22;
123:5
arrest (2)
64:24;113:10
arrested (2)
113:12,16
as-is (1)
70:2
aspects (1)
50:14
asphalt (3)
72:10;82:5,16
assessment (1)
43:12
Assessors (1)
43:11
assign (1)
50:11
assigned (1)
44:15
associated (3)
21:2;34:4,12
assume (1)
89:4
assuming (1)
88:25
ATKINSON (14)
5:22;6:11,14;7:1;
8:20;9:17,20,25;
10:5,8;85:7,14;
112:25;114:24
attach (1)
79:13
attached (1)
90:18
attention (1)
118:8
Attorney (9)
5:14;8:7,7;13:20;
21:15;25:9;31:25;
45:3;97:22
attorney's (1)
112:12
attractive (1)
123:8
audience (1)
118:22
audit (2)
108:21,22
audits (1)
43:1
authority (1)
14:8
authorize (1)
105:5
auto- (1)
39:8
automatically (1)
48:17
Autumn (6)
11:9,20;14:23;
15:2;16:12;17:25
available (7)
9:19;10:1;21:7,12;
44:17;87:22;120:10
avenues (1)
96:12
AVERS (6)
123:17;124:4,25;
125:7,10,13
awarded (1)
12:8
aware (7)
4:16;71:6;74:19;
75:4;107:2;113:7;
120:21
away (4)
20:6;84:22;93:19;
113:13

B

b4 (1)
14:5
back (22)
5:12,12;14:14;
22:11;28:2;29:21;
32:11;44:16;45:24,
25;53:4;65:20;
73:25;76:19;82:4;
90:3,7;93:5;99:7;
107:23;115:3;119:18
background (1)
49:1
backs (2)
76:24;99:5
bad (4)
53:1;67:23;95:20;
110:5
badges (1)
124:12
badly-constructed (1)
53:5
badly-managed (1)
53:6
bait (1)
124:8
balance (2)
38:3,4
Bank (1)
11:20
barely (1)
52:22
base (4)
45:19,20;46:2;
86:6
based (6)
14:18;29:12;52:1;
74:11;75:19;77:18
basically (15)

28:8;43:17;44:13;
45:14;69:8;72:14,16;
81:15;82:10;83:8;
85:17;88:2,19;97:13;
108:12
basis (2)
64:21;105:3
Bates (73)
3:7,8;4:3,4;10:21,
22;13:25;14:18;
15:21;16:9;17:1,22;
18:11,12;19:6,9,12,
20,24;33:5,6;41:15,
16;52:14,20,25;
53:10,24;54:6;55:4,
6,17,23;56:6,16;
58:17,25;61:19,24;
62:6,11,21,24;63:9;
64:17;65:4;67:12,15;
68:9;76:10,12,14;
78:1,13,17;79:24;
80:2,5,15;81:16;
103:13,14,15;
114:25;115:14,16;
116:17;117:3,4;
125:8,15,25;126:1
battle (1)
88:8
bay (2)
90:25;91:5
bear (2)
26:16;53:2
bearing (1)
49:10
becomes (2)
78:22;83:2
began (2)
57:9;64:14
begin (3)
44:18;49:9;95:20
beginning (1)
7:6
behind (6)
6:7;76:17;77:7;
78:6;89:1;123:7
behoove (1)
48:2
best (2)
5:15;108:15
bet (1)
87:4
better (4)
71:22;97:1;
106:10;119:12
Beverage (12)
47:9,17,20,22;
48:14,18,23;50:9;
51:4,16;61:8;64:14
beverage-related (1)
47:24
beverages (4)
49:8;52:6;63:18;
64:3
bid (17)
12:4,8,17;13:22;
14:24;15:9,14;16:11,
11;17:12;19:5,21,23,
23;105:7;107:7;
109:9
bidding (3)
13:19;17:13;
108:20
bids (2)
19:3;108:2
big (7)
53:11,13;56:18;
69:25;70:7;110:7;
123:17
Biggers (1)
44:15
bility (1)
70:23
bit (5)
33:18;58:6,23;
92:21;95:6
bites (1)
107:6
BLACKMON (64)
3:4,7,9,11,13,15,
25;4:3,5,7,9,11;
10:18,21,23,25;11:2,
4;18:8,11,13,15,17,
19;33:2,5,7,9,11,13,
16;41:12,15,17,19,
21,23;47:3;65:8;
103:10,16,18,20,22;
104:2,10,14;106:20;
107:3,6,13;116:25;
117:3,5,13,15,18;
125:22,25;126:2,4,
10,12,16
blacktop (1)
82:22
block (7)
71:19;73:2;77:1;
89:15,24;90:2;
124:11
blue (1)
64:10
Board (1)
43:11
Bob (9)
20:2;25:6;42:24;
46:20;104:4,20;
110:10;111:5;112:4
bollard (1)
74:9
bollards (3)
74:4;89:8;102:9
bombing (1)
4:18
book (1)
51:10
books (7)
31:6;43:16;50:4;
52:16;53:3;62:25;
81:10
both (4)
19:11,14,17;78:5
bought (1)
119:17
bowing (1)
99:9
break (1)
70:13
breaking (1)
83:1
brick (8)
72:21;87:13,16;
88:16,20;89:22;90:2,
4
brick- (1)
88:10
bricks (1)
102:8
Brief (1)
70:15
briefly (2)
16:22;47:14
bring (10)
6:5;7:19;20:12;
22:1;32:11;34:24;
45:18;104:8;110:21;
118:7
bringing (2)
7:2;105:19
brings (1)
9:9
broke (1)
110:18
broken (2)
38:13;106:13
brother (1)
66:7
brought (3)
20:22;29:10;97:2
bucks (1)
60:6
budget (8)
5:24;6:1;8:3;
115:22,23;116:2,16;
117:7
budgetary (1)
6:1
budgets (1)
116:4
Buford (1)
115:6
Buice (1)
12:15
build (5)
83:9;88:24;89:2;
90:1;94:4
Building (21)
25:12;35:16;
42:25;43:5,8,17,18,
20;44:2;46:7;73:11;
78:25;79:7,14,15;
82:13;84:7;87:8;
89:1;107:1;113:25
buildings (1)
99:6
built (3)
43:17,24;89:17
bunch (1)
102:3
burden (3)
55:24;62:4,10
burdensome (1)
53:17
burglary (1)
113:17
business (16)
9:14;24:14;25:17;
48:23;50:22;51:6;
56:3,9;60:3;66:4;
68:6;75:5;114:13;
115:21;123:11,11
businesses (9)
20:11;21:10;
71:15;81:19;96:20;
102:22;114:12;
122:23;124:21
businessman (1)
100:22
buy (1)
73:21

C

calendar (1)
105:10
Call (15)
3:3,24;4:15;10:17;
16:16;18:7;33:1;
41:11;58:13;89:19;
94:19;103:9;104:16;
116:24;125:21
called (3)
35:22,23;56:17
calls (1)
86:3
came (8)
27:22;49:9;69:25;
71:21;72:15;74:1;
102:6;108:2
can (59)
8:24;11:13;12:24;
13:19;14:6;17:5,18;
22:6;23:4;24:3,10;
25:17;28:2;38:23;
48:19;50:10,13,14;
51:9,10;53:22;54:13;
55:3;57:15;58:1,22;
59:4;60:3;61:5,7,14,
15;64:23;67:3;
69:14;79:13;85:3;
86:18,24;87:20;90:1;
96:19;99:1,20;
101:22,24;106:15,
24;109:14,18,22;
110:6,9,21;111:4,11;
119:16;124:2,20
capable (1)
106:5
Captain (2)
9:16;114:25
captains (1)
124:11
cardboard (1)
96:17
care (3)
9:9;13:23;110:24
career (1)
47:23
carpet (1)
84:21
carried (1)
126:15
cars (1)
115:5
case (7)
39:2;48:5,6;53:7;
91:6;106:20,20
cases (1)
71:21
catastrophe (1)
83:22
catch (1)
114:2
cate (1)
122:3
cation (1)
44:14
cations (1)
68:24
cause (1)
84:19
cautious (1)
84:14
caveat (1)
59:23
cement (1)
89:7
center (5)
35:22,23;77:25;
120:12;121:2
central (2)
77:18,20
CEO (2)
65:18,22
certain (3)
45:3;78:24;120:23
certainly (17)
6:23;8:5,9;10:5;
48:4;56:22;66:17;
71:23;72:5;73:14,24;
79:19;84:24;93:23;
94:2;101:24;111:7
certifi- (1)
122:2
certificate (1)
43:9
certified (2)
8:23;45:7

cetera (10)
43:18,19;66:20;
69:6,6;79:4;89:20;
90:19;109:20,20
Chad (6)
11:10,12,15;13:23;
19:20;20:1
chain (1)
71:20
Chamblee (2)
101:10;118:10
chance (2)
30:8;53:12
change (21)
23:6;24:16;26:17;
30:20,21;31:5;32:1,
2,14,17;34:1;38:9,
11,21,25;40:9;46:12;
49:13;54:1;55:15;
75:3
changed (6)
37:12,14;39:4;
51:24;53:7;119:11
changer (1)
45:25
changes (11)
14:15;23:16;
26:19;46:2;47:12;
49:11;52:9,12;57:25;
62:9;79:4
changing (4)
31:19;34:8;48:2;
106:6
Chapter (2)
21:18;54:16
character (4)
48:3,8;49:15,17
charge (1)
94:21
charged (1)
23:25
charging (2)
24:22,24
Charlene (1)
43:3
Charlie (1)
100:3
chart (3)
30:16;38:12,13
charter (1)
51:14
cheaper (2)
71:20;108:15
check (3)
45:11;85:10;86:24
checked (1)
91:23
checks (1)
125:13
chest (1)
61:21
Chestnut (1)
90:18
CHIEF (13)
5:22;6:11,14;7:1;
8:20;9:20,25;10:5,8;
85:7,14;112:25;
114:24
child (1)
68:4
chipped (1)
20:6
choose (1)
51:20
chosen (2)
46:10;105:7
Chris (3)
124:4,24;125:4
Chuck (2)
5:21;6:10
churches (2)
74:16,19
circulating (1)
85:12
citation (1)
48:16
citations (1)
97:17
cite (1)
64:24
cited (3)
86:9,13,15
cities (4)
62:17;66:23;
123:3,6
citing (2)
15:5;16:3
citizen (3)
59:14;60:16;
117:23
citizens (7)
4:19;69:4,5;113:2;
117:22;118:10;
123:23
citizens' (3)
112:8;114:16;
118:7
City (61)
5:14;9:13;11:25;
12:15;13:20;20:16;
28:16;31:25;35:10,
25;43:19;44:14;45:2,
12,20;46:24;48:5;
50:7,12;51:5,6,11;
53:19;54:12,18;55:9,
21;56:4;60:11;
61:15;62:13;64:22;
68:16;71:12,14,16;
72:3,23;73:6,11,19;
74:20,22;75:23;76:3,
6;79:17;83:19;84:8,
25;89:13,23;91:22;
92:14;94:14;100:22;
101:18;108:8;
115:21;118:18;
119:13
City-initiated (1)
122:2
City's (5)
70:21;75:21;
82:13;106:10;118:8
city-wide (1)
124:21
civic (2)
120:12;121:1
clarify (1)
31:6
classify (1)
14:2
clause (1)
69:8
clauses (1)
63:13
Clayton (1)
113:14
clean (3)
70:6;88:4;109:18
cleaned (1)
115:10
cleanup (1)
87:25
clear (8)
8:11;35:19;37:18,
24;54:20;81:6;
87:23;108:10
clearly (13)
14:5;22:7;26:3;
37:11;38:9,23;39:2;
48:25;51:7,25;54:8;
61:14;77:14
CLERK (65)
3:4,7,9,11,13,15,
25;4:3,5,7,9,11;
10:18,21,23,25;11:2,
4;18:8,11,13,15,17,
19;33:2,5,7,9,11,13,
16;41:12,15,17,19,
21,23;44:16;45:12;
47:3;103:10,16,18,
20,22;104:2,10,14;
106:20;107:3,6,13;
116:25;117:3,5,13,
15,18;125:22,25;
126:2,4,10,12,16
climb (1)
48:24
close (3)
114:6;115:21,23
closed (1)
95:4
cloud (1)
100:25
Code (11)
28:16;29:17;50:6;
70:23;74:23;78:23;
80:21;83:19;84:18;
85:8;97:14
codes (1)
85:18
coin-operated (4)
51:25;52:1,3;
68:14
Collier (1)
46:23
Collier's (1)
47:4
combine (3)
13:7;19:8,11
combined (1)
19:13
comfortable (4)
37:14;38:19;
96:22;97:7
coming (5)
4:20;79:3;91:7,19;
120:8
commend (1)
45:22
comment (5)
60:13;94:25;
103:4;119:11;121:24
commented (1)
121:17
commenting (3)
37:25;38:3;122:10
comments (13)
23:12,14;35:8;
69:11;96:7;108:4;
112:8,10,11,13,15,
18;117:22
commercial (16)
20:16;22:10;
24:13,17,19;74:17,
18;84:10;88:1;91:9,
11,13;94:16;95:2;
121:18,21
commercially (1)
87:22
commercials (1)
90:19
Commission (2)
24:6;118:9
commit (1)
48:12
committing (1)
113:17
commonly (1)
120:10
commu- (1)
120:5
communicated (1)
47:8
communicating (1)
108:24
communication (1)
119:12
communications (1)
57:13
community (3)
92:21;93:8;94:1
compact (1)
96:17
compacting (1)
96:16
compactor (1)
94:8
compactors (1)
94:7
companies (3)
86:25;94:19;109:6
company (4)
55:20;105:6,7,23
company's (1)
88:6
comparable (1)
119:3
comparative (1)
80:17
comparisons (1)
71:18
compelling (3)
63:12,15,17
competitive (1)
105:7
complete (2)
12:2;44:16
completed (2)
11:22;12:10
completely (9)
50:1;54:2;59:8,10;
61:5;67:17,25;72:18;
88:17
completion (1)
122:3
compliance (1)
52:17
compliant (1)
50:25
component (1)
49:4
compressor (1)
113:23
compunction (2)
64:18,21
concept (4)
16:2;35:22,23;
85:4
concern (8)
70:1,1;79:1;82:20;
83:2;85:19;86:2;
99:7
concerned (8)
9:14;15:20;46:4;
63:22;80:3;91:7,8;
97:25
concerns (6)
57:7;59:1;63:14;
66:1;81:18;96:24
concrete (16)
71:19;72:5,7,8,12;
73:2;77:1;81:21;
82:5,11,12,15;88:7;
89:3,15,24
concrete- (1)
90:1

concurrence (1)
40:18
concurrent (25)
20:22;21:1;22:17;
27:3;28:21,24;29:15,
18,22;30:6;31:2,9,
14;32:19;34:5,11,14,
20;36:10,25;39:3,8;
41:2;42:16;82:19
condition (1)
6:24
conditional (13)
20:20;22:14;26:9;
28:17;29:17;31:8;
32:16;37:21;39:18;
40:6,25;42:15;83:16
conduct (2)
48:23;50:22
conducted (1)
47:15
confessed (1)
113:20
conflict-of-interest (1)
51:13
confused (2)
59:21;120:3
confusion (1)
7:24
Congress (1)
63:20
consent (1)
92:24
conservative (2)
37:9;64:9
consider (5)
14:17;15:14;48:2;
58:11;85:3
consideration (2)
14:12;72:18
considered (2)
25:9;42:9
considering (1)
25:25
consistent (6)
72:22;74:21;85:5;
86:3;89:16,25
consistently (1)
82:14
construc- (1)
12:4
constructed (1)
96:1
construction (25)
11:19,21;12:1,5,9,
18,19;13:22;14:25;
15:1;72:14,20,24;
77:9;81:18;83:20,23;
84:5,15,23;85:9,13;
86:5;90:6;96:23
consulting (1)
110:3
contact (2)
45:15;58:1
container (2)
83:6;86:23
context (1)
17:12
continue (3)
109:14;110:4;
111:25
continued (1)
64:10
continuing (1)
105:22
continuously (4)
54:11;61:25;
62:16;69:3
contract (2)
92:9;104:24
contractor (5)
12:7,10;14:13,14;
89:11
contractors (1)
92:13
control (2)
57:21;65:2
conver- (1)
58:22
cooperate (1)
68:1
copies (1)
46:7
copy (5)
11:9;44:11;80:25;
108:5,8
cor- (1)
119:2
corner (1)
87:4
corners (1)
29:24
corporate (3)
67:1;69:4,5
corporation (14)
50:18;54:25;55:1;
61:4,6,12;62:12;
65:19;66:9,10,25,25;
67:4,8
correcting (1)
32:3
correctly (1)
110:15
cost (5)
71:18;75:15;
80:17;88:24;89:9
costs (2)
24:1;45:1
Council (49)
8:11;9:23;14:5;
20:12,13,19,25;21:2,
3;22:3;25:9,20,21,
25;29:7,13,19;34:3,
4,4,5,11,12,13,14,17,
20,20;35:11;37:16;
38:21;44:14,25;47:8;
51:17;55:25;58:11;
59:7;68:16;69:25;
70:20;75:23;84:25;
97:19;113:2;115:24;
116:1;120:8;123:22
Councilman (5)
6:22;15:21;47:11;
63:7;76:10
Councilmember (363)
3:4,6,7,8,9,10,11,
12,13,14,15,17,21,
23,25;4:2,3,4,5,6,7,8,
9,10,11,13;5:4,9;
6:18;7:10,17,23;
8:17,18,19;9:1,5,11,
16,24;10:2,10,18,20,
21,22,23,24,25;11:1,
2,3,4,6,7;12:22;13:3,
6,10,24,25;14:18;
15:4,11,13,18,19;
16:9,14,24;17:1,4,7,
16,20,21,22;18:1,8,
10,11,12,13,14,15,
16,17,18,19,21,23;
19:6,9,11,12,14,18,
20,24,25;20:3;21:21,
23;22:2;23:7,18;
25:7;26:7,11,21,24;
27:4,7,11,14,23;28:5,
7,14,23;29:1;30:2,
19,25;31:11,21;32:7,
13,21;33:2,4,5,6,7,8,
9,10,11,12,13,15,21;
34:15,19,22;35:1,10,
21;36:5,9,12,18,21,
24;37:5,23;39:22,25;
40:5,8,10,16,19,22,
25;41:5,12,14,15,16,
17,18,19,20,21,22,
23,25;42:2,4,6,7,9,
11,18,20,23,25;
45:21;46:11,14,21;
52:14,20,25;53:10,
24;54:6;55:4,6,17,
23;56:5,6,8,14,16,22,
25;57:3,5,15;58:7,
10,14,17,25;59:2,19,
25;60:9,17,21;61:1,
19,23,24;62:6,11,21,
23,24;63:9;64:17;
65:4,5,24;66:15,24;
67:7,12,14,15,16;
68:9,11;69:23;76:12,
14;78:1,13,17;79:24;
80:2,5,15;81:16,17,
24;82:2;84:1;85:6,
15;86:8,12,17;87:1,
12;88:5,12,23;89:21;
90:8,13,21;91:3,17,
22;92:4;93:4,7;
94:17;96:4,6;97:24;
98:7,8,12;99:11,15,
17;102:15,16;
103:10,12,13,13,15,
16,17,18,19,20,21,
22,24,25;104:5,8,12,
16,18,21;106:14;
108:3;110:7,11,20;
111:6,13,18,22,24;
112:6;114:25;
115:14,16;116:5,9,
17,19,25;117:2,3,4,5,
6,8,11,13,14,15,16,
18,20;121:10;125:8,
15,17,20,22,24,25;
126:1,2,3,4,5,9,10,
11,12,14
Councilmen (1)
11:25
Councilwoman (1)
60:2
counterpart (1)
58:2
counting (1)
38:14
country (2)
64:11;92:17
County (15)
43:6,10,21;44:3;
45:8,24;54:12,18;
56:24;59:12;75:14;
84:4;85:5;101:12;
113:14
couple (6)
59:3;90:8;96:7;
112:25;114:11,12
course (10)
15:22;64:2;71:16;
74:8;75:22;79:13;
81:1;90:1;108:7;
124:13
court (5)
30:10;37:3,4;48:7;
68:6
cover (6)
24:1,9;29:25;
87:19;88:20;123:12
covered (2)
42:14;71:2
covering (1)
22:23
cow (1)
100:6
crack (1)
82:18
crappy (1)
124:6
Crawford (3)
121:25;122:14,14
create (5)
44:20;48:19;
71:25;73:16;106:3
creative (1)
79:16
crime (1)
64:4
crimes (5)
49:3,3,5,5;63:25
critical (2)
118:17;119:6
crystal (1)
81:6
Cullen (1)
123:23
current (6)
25:18;51:23,24;
89:5;97:4;105:6
currently (7)
8:23;32:18;33:25;
34:1;43:16;50:23;
51:2
Cushman (5)
114:8;115:8,11,15;
122:11
customers (1)
101:3
cut (1)
82:10
cypress (1)
98:24

D

dark (1)
120:8
date (6)
27:7,9,13;69:9;
79:3;116:10
dates (1)
20:8
day (9)
9:21;77:11;79:10;
95:3;101:4;120:6,21;
123:21;126:20
days (4)
44:24;85:25;
86:19;87:6
dead (1)
84:22
deal (6)
22:19;27:21;68:3;
70:7;71:22;102:10
dealing (1)
119:5
deals (1)
52:2
dealt (2)
22:6;119:7
debate (1)
30:8
Decatur (1)
101:11
decided (2)
28:13;29:8
decision (3)
37:15,18;70:24
deeper (1)
33:19
defaced (1)
88:18

defensible (1)
52:8
define (1)
74:14
definite (2)
37:15,17
definitely (8)
6:25;7:20;14:8;
26:10;30:11;39:4;
55:14;95:11
Dekalb (13)
24:22;43:6,10,20;
54:12,18;56:24;
59:12;75:13;84:4;
85:5;101:11;118:9
Delaware (2)
50:17,18
deleted (1)
30:22
delineate (1)
72:14
delivery (1)
45:7
demand (1)
54:15
demolition (2)
83:24;84:3
demonstrate (1)
30:11
Department (11)
5:1,7;6:5;7:15;
25:12;35:13;44:18;
47:15;75:16;124:13,
14
departments (3)
35:12,20,25
Department's (1)
35:18
departure (2)
75:24;83:13
depending (1)
106:22
Depends (1)
89:10
Depot (1)
73:21
design (3)
14:1;93:9;119:12
designate (3)
50:12;55:2;67:10
designated (1)
61:13
designed (1)
68:3
designee (11)
53:14;54:14;
55:10;59:23;60:1,5,
22,24;61:25;62:10,
14
designing (1)
92:9
destroy (2)
82:24;100:21
destroyed (1)
88:17
detail (1)
113:14
determine (1)
14:6
development (1)
77:3
developments (1)
76:15
diagram (2)
74:3;92:22
difference (1)
39:3
different (7)
49:22;86:24;87:9;
92:21;107:24;
108:23;113:23
differently (1)
46:8
difficult (2)
71:8;95:6
difficulty (1)
79:12
direct (3)
8:20;63:25;110:13
direction (2)
29:14;106:7
directly (2)
14:16;110:12
directs (1)
97:20
dirt (2)
43:17,21
disagree (1)
54:7
disagreed (1)
98:15
disaster (2)
109:15,16
disasters (1)
109:21
discovered (1)
43:4
discretion (1)
14:6
discuss (1)
47:14
discussed (7)
5:11;6:22;22:18;
34:6;40:7;66:2;
70:20
discussing (1)
6:21
discussion (14)
9:15;10:13;18:3;
21:22;27:22;32:22;
34:8;38:18;41:7;
102:6;103:5;116:20;
121:16,19
dispatcher's (1)
5:19
distinct (1)
94:15
districts (1)
90:11
divided (1)
11:21
documents (3)
14:2,22;44:20
dollar (2)
43:25;105:14
dollars (5)
102:7;105:16,18,
22;106:12
domestic (1)
66:19
done (19)
9:10;12:12;19:12;
25:8;30:24;31:9;
44:13,19,23,24;
46:25;47:20;67:15;
70:9;71:10,14;96:20;
109:23,23
door (2)
91:6;92:2
doors (1)
90:25
Doraville (26)
25:18;43:19;50:7,
12,21;51:6,7,11,17;
53:19;54:12,19;55:9,
21;56:24;59:12;60:4,
12;61:5,10,14;62:14;
99:3;100:5,22;
101:18
Doraville's (1)
100:9
double (1)
94:3
down (17)
6:21;20:14,22;
23:4,4;24:11,14;
39:1;54:9,23;66:7;
72:15;83:8;101:9;
107:23;113:18;
114:22
downturn (1)
107:16
draft (10)
12:14;21:16;
22:22,23;26:5,25;
28:1,22;32:15;56:17
drafted (2)
29:11,11
drain (3)
75:13,13,16
drawings (1)
19:21
drinking (1)
64:1
drive (2)
69:18;78:6
driven (1)
77:7
driveway (1)
83:23
driving (1)
76:25
duality (1)
44:22
due (2)
13:15;25:7
Duluth (1)
101:10
dump (1)
94:22
dumped (1)
95:22
dumping (2)
89:5;95:12
dumpster (48)
70:21,25;71:3,10,
13,25,25;72:11,16,
25;74:5,5;75:17,20;
76:1;77:11,18,20;
78:21;79:21;81:2;
82:3;83:6,9,23;
85:10,13,20,24;87:5,
10;89:5;91:1,4;
92:11;93:8;94:1,22;
95:3,7;98:23;100:8,
13;101:7,12,15;
122:17,22
dumpsters (27)
71:17;72:10;73:6;
76:7;83:20;84:5,15;
86:5;92:7,18,19;
93:10,17,24;95:13,
22;96:16;100:10,23;
101:8,9,17;102:22;
122:1,25;123:7,12
Dunwoody (1)
124:1
duplex (1)
90:15
durable (1)
95:18
during (6)
8:3;44:16;95:2;
108:4;113:11;121:16
dust (1)
120:1
duties (1)
106:17

E

earlier (2)
6:22;60:3
easier (2)
87:25;88:4
economic (1)
25:18
economies (1)
80:16
economy (2)
100:23;107:16
effect (14)
9:3,5;26:18;31:24;
36:6,7,19,20,23,25;
37:11;71:4;73:16;
98:5
effort (5)
24:8;30:23;88:16;
123:24;124:14
eight (2)
38:15;101:1
either (7)
8:15;38:20,21;
39:16;61:2;109:25;
110:21
elect (1)
20:17
elective (1)
51:5
electronic (1)
57:13
else (12)
6:3;24:24;32:10;
36:2,14;105:21;
107:1,4;119:14;
121:12;122:6;125:14
e-mail (4)
47:9;58:8,13;
97:21
emergencies (1)
85:1
emergency (1)
107:22
emphasis (1)
101:16
employee (2)
110:23,24
employees (1)
119:3
enable (1)
118:21
enclosed (5)
91:3,4,25;92:8;
94:21
enclosure (22)
71:19;72:19,21,25;
73:10;74:6;76:18;
78:8,21;79:13;81:11;
82:3;83:6,9;89:3;
90:2;92:5,8;94:4;
95:16,18;99:9
enclosures (18)
71:14,25;72:2;
73:17,22;74:13,25;
81:15,19;88:2,11,25;
89:16;92:20;93:3,13,
14;95:4
encountered (1)
79:22
encourage (3)
25:17;93:23;96:14
end (7)
4:18;7:7;81:6;
97:15,19;106:15;
112:7

endure (1)
96:1
enforce (1)
23:11
enforceable (1)
98:9
enforced (1)
98:6
Enforcement (7)
70:23;74:23;
80:22;84:18;85:8;
96:13;97:14
engage (1)
47:19
English (1)
87:21
enlighten (1)
11:13
enough (5)
5:7;6:7;23:25;
73:9;121:18
entire (1)
38:25
entirety (1)
52:10
entitled (1)
48:13
environment (1)
25:19
equipment (2)
76:19;82:4
escapee (1)
113:13
especially (3)
7:21;39:9;100:17
essentially (12)
20:9;29:23;31:2,
16,18;32:9;35:24;
39:11;51:5;52:4;
54:2;63:20
establish (2)
109:10;110:22
established (1)
96:12
establishments (1)
54:10
estimate (1)
100:12
et (10)
43:18,19;66:20;
69:6,6;79:4;89:20;
90:19;109:20,20
ethics (1)
51:12
even (19)
21:11;23:25;
24:23;32:8;40:11;
50:8;55:16;59:12;
60:10;65:5,6;75:13;
77:1;78:22;80:16;
95:5;96:21;106:7;
110:18
evening (1)
58:16
event (1)
85:1
eventually (1)
64:5
everybody (4)
4:16;24:24;46:8;
80:12
everyone (3)
7:25;24:5;96:19
Everything's (2)
13:1;107:21
everywhere (1)
93:20
evidence (5)
5:13;25:24;26:13,
14;92:18
exact (1)
27:13
exactly (2)
6:20;20:8
example (7)
48:14;53:16;
60:25;65:6;78:24;
82:23;88:17
examples (1)
43:15
excellent (1)
45:22
excess (1)
24:3
excluding (1)
36:10
excuse (2)
12:3;16:18
Executive (1)
58:22
exemption (6)
77:3,5,12;84:13,
25;85:22
exemptions (6)
73:5,13,15;76:22;
77:24;82:7
exhibit (2)
44:12;74:3
existence (1)
95:15
existing (15)
26:17;49:11;
52:15;54:24;55:15,
24;62:4;72:24;
75:19;76:14;77:2;
80:9,18;81:19;97:16
exists (1)
32:18
expand (1)
124:20
expect (4)
35:11,13,15,19
expected (1)
107:15
expenditures (2)
25:15;35:18
expense (1)
5:25
expensive (1)
123:10
experience (4)
14:12;86:21;
90:23;107:19
explain (1)
37:3
explained (1)
57:13
exposed (1)
99:6
expressed (1)
38:19
extend (1)
80:6
extending (2)
80:21;81:5
Extension (2)
89:20;97:13
extensive (2)
23:14;76:2
extent (1)
88:3
extra (1)
94:21
eye (1)
114:6

F

face (4)
30:14,18;68:1;
72:21
facilities (6)
74:17;77:7,16,17;
90:24;91:19
facility (8)
65:2;74:18;77:25;
80:23,24;83:7,8,9
facing (2)
89:22,22
fact (7)
44:8;56:12;60:11;
68:24;87:20;92:15;
93:1
facts (1)
67:22
familiarity (1)
14:23
fancy (1)
77:2
Fang (1)
43:3
far (14)
6:6;9:14,25;22:6;
23:10;35:24;36:14;
44:19,23;57:20;66:2;
79:17;104:24;106:7
farm (1)
63:24
favor (1)
20:18
favoritism (1)
111:19
fee (7)
20:18;21:18;
23:15;24:3;37:25;
42:19;94:23
feel (6)
15:7;16:3;20:11;
37:14;96:22;114:2
Fees (14)
20:4,10;23:10,23;
24:8,11,14,17;25:14;
35:16;40:6;121:16,
20,21
felony (1)
49:1
felt (3)
38:18;70:21;
121:18
fence (2)
71:20;96:24
fences (2)
74:13;95:19
fencing (2)
73:21;75:24
few (1)
7:4
fewer (1)
118:22
fications (1)
48:4
fight (2)
113:10,12
figuring (1)
102:5
fill (1)
65:17
final (1)
75:10
finally (2)
20:6;113:4
financial (2)
66:8;122:3
find (10)
5:13;22:15,19;
25:24;27:3;55:8;
57:6;62:14;69:21;
111:20
finding (2)
48:21;49:17
fine (5)
4:16,19;15:25;
16:9;27:14
finish (2)
68:12;122:11
finished (1)
5:20
fire (1)
76:23
first (20)
11:16,22;12:2,9,
10,16,23;21:17;23:2,
8;26:8;45:9,14;48:3;
54:9;91:13;102:17;
112:11,23;117:22
five (5)
38:15;100:25;
102:7;107:12,13
five-minute (1)
70:13
five-month (1)
80:10
fix (4)
56:4;109:16,18;
114:14
Fleming (76)
3:9,10;4:5,6;5:4,9;
10:23,24;15:13,18;
16:24;17:20;18:13,
14,23;19:11,14,18,
25;28:5,23;33:7,8,
21;34:15,19,22;35:1,
10;39:22,25;40:5,10,
19,22,25;41:17,18;
42:4,7,11,20;46:14;
47:11;57:15;58:7,10,
14;60:2,17,21;61:1;
65:5;90:8,13,21;
91:3,17,22;92:4;
93:4,7;94:17;96:4;
102:16;103:16,17,
25;116:5;117:5,6,11;
121:17;125:17;
126:2,3
floating (1)
119:22
Flowers (1)
89:18
fly (1)
68:1
folks (4)
45:16;81:8;94:6;
107:18
follow (3)
82:13;85:5;101:25
follows (1)
39:18
Folsom (2)
48:5;53:7
footing (1)
75:10
force (1)
118:19
foreign (5)
55:1;61:5,6;66:19;
67:4
Forget (1)
111:20
form (4)
21:16;28:3;82:11;
97:4
formal (1)
116:1
formally (2)
28:4;115:22

forth (3)
20:13;58:10;75:1
fortunate (1)
81:13
forward (10)
4:20;11:23;22:21;
46:12;57:11;58:4;
69:10;106:9;108:14;
109:20
found (4)
20:18;71:18;76:6;
108:4
four (9)
20:2;29:24;38:15;
45:13,15;48:1;50:1;
52:9;116:13
four-month (1)
80:10
frame (6)
74:24;80:3,8,10,
21;81:5
framing (1)
17:11
frankly (7)
29:4;50:6;97:8;
108:9;110:2,11;
111:19
Fraysse (4)
120:2,2,24;121:8
free (2)
10:12;79:16
freeze (9)
5:11,17;6:5,25;
7:13,25;8:6;9:3,6
friendlier (1)
67:13
friendly (3)
16:4,5;56:2
front (9)
74:8;78:7,25;79:6,
6,7;85:10,21;120:6
front-loading (1)
76:21
full (2)
7:21;77:6
fully (1)
107:17
function (1)
98:19
further (4)
23:17;58:21;81:8;
99:12

G

gallons (1)
88:7
gambling (3)
49:6,19;52:1
game (1)
52:5
games (3)
51:25;52:1,3
garbage (5)
73:24;74:2;76:4;
77:24;94:8
gate (3)
73:22;74:7;102:9
gates (2)
74:8;89:6
gathering (1)
119:25
gave (5)
11:14;57:9;64:3;
70:22;75:3
gazebo (2)
87:21;88:18
general (4)
29:13;50:20;75:4;
78:15
generally (3)
73:18;90:24;95:1
generated (1)
22:23
gentleman (1)
8:15
genuinely (1)
63:21
Georgia (6)
48:6;50:8;61:7;
62:1,15;67:1
gets (2)
60:4;82:16
given (2)
12:13;81:8
gives (3)
72:24;74:24;79:7
giving (1)
97:25
goal (1)
95:17
goat (1)
56:21
God (1)
109:16
goes (4)
87:23;90:15;
107:23;119:13
good (25)
10:6,10;15:23;
36:6;42:14;48:11;
52:25;57:11;69:3,5;
74:2,9;75:3;80:12;
84:6,19;85:4;106:2;
108:19;109:2,25;
110:22;113:15;
118:19;123:8
government (3)
21:5,6;47:25
governments (1)
107:20
grabs (1)
25:25
graffiti (3)
87:16,24;88:9
graffiti-proof (1)
88:3
grand (1)
105:14
grandfather (3)
69:8,13,18
grandfathering (1)
70:8
granted (1)
65:3
grass (3)
48:15,22;86:13
great (6)
22:18;27:21;60:8;
79:12;101:1;102:10
greater (1)
74:16
ground (1)
82:10
group (1)
125:5
guess (11)
25:21;28:3;32:2;
68:17;69:24;81:17;
97:17;111:6,24;
113:24;124:8
guilty (1)
48:16
guys (5)
9:18;11:24;12:12;
14:19;115:4

H

HAEBERLIN (49)
23:13;44:7,10;
46:1,14;70:19;76:13;
77:4;78:12,16,19;
80:1,4,14,20;81:22;
82:1,6;83:25;84:4;
85:17;86:11,16,20;
87:7,17;88:10,13;
89:10,23;90:12,14;
91:2,16,21;92:1,12;
93:6,15;95:9;96:5;
97:12;98:4,10,14,17,
18;107:8,14
half (6)
24:23;26:12;
28:12;29:8,20;30:13
Hall (1)
35:25
Halpern (2)
114:8;115:9
Hammett (3)
118:14,14;121:5
hand (1)
68:19
handle (2)
106:24;114:9
handling (3)
12:17,18,19
HANSARD (4)
99:20;100:3,4,20
happen (2)
94:5;109:12
happened (3)
39:1;64:2;119:15
happening (2)
13:14;118:12
happens (4)
21:13;43:8;60:11;
81:23
happy (4)
11:24;16:6;109:8;
110:17
hard (1)
67:22
harder (1)
37:3
hardly (1)
100:15
Hardy (1)
4:25
HART (3)
119:10,25;120:19
hauler (3)
73:24;74:12;86:23
haulers (8)
72:9,12;74:2;
81:23;86:21;92:16;
95:2,7
head (3)
44:18;78:2;115:3
health (2)
75:16;76:5
hear (4)
59:17;83:15;
102:20;114:20
hearing (1)
40:1
hearings (2)
47:17;57:22
heartburn (2)
56:18,20
heartedly (1)
56:7
heck (1)
110:17
held (1)
48:7
help (8)
63:10;74:4,14;
80:13;110:2,3,3,17
helped (1)
115:15
helpful (1)
14:11
helps (1)
87:23
hence (2)
64:1;108:10
hereunder (1)
54:11
hesitancy (1)
57:8
hey (2)
45:14;60:5
hiding (1)
123:7
high (3)
48:15,22;106:5
higher (1)
69:19
Highway (1)
115:6
Hilton (3)
50:16;53:15,18
hire (9)
5:17;8:6,12;65:14,
14;110:23,24;
111:12,15
hired (1)
12:11
hires (1)
7:20
hiring (10)
5:11,17;6:5,24;
7:13,25;8:6;9:3,6;
105:19
historic (2)
63:18;64:13
historically (1)
64:16
hit (1)
114:12
hitting (1)
74:6
HOFFMEISTER (3)
117:25;118:3,6
Hold (6)
17:3;50:8;69:1,2,
9;95:21
holder's (1)
54:14
holding (1)
68:18
home (4)
4:15,20;73:21;
84:17
homeowner (3)
83:21;86:18,22
honest (2)
73:20;84:9
honestly (1)
79:20
hope (1)
124:17
hopeful (1)
46:9
hopefully (4)
10:3;45:18;58:5;
104:19
horses (1)
15:23
hot (2)
82:16,18
Hotel (1)
50:16
Hotels (1)

53:15
hour (1)
109:17
hours (1)
44:17
house (9)
43:23,25;44:1;
87:2,4,10;113:18;
118:24,25
household (1)
95:12
houses (2)
118:2,3
Howe (1)
107:10
huge (1)
55:25
human (1)
64:23
hundred (8)
27:5;89:14;102:4;
105:14,16,17,21;
106:11
hurt (6)
101:12,13,13,14;
111:16,17

I

idea (12)
15:23;31:25;
48:11;60:1;67:23;
68:13;70:4;84:6;
88:24;106:2;120:15;
123:8
identify (3)
53:18;61:14,15
iffy (1)
120:25
II (13)
11:9,23;12:6;13:7;
15:2,2;16:12;17:25;
18:24,25;19:1,3,10
III (9)
11:9,24;12:6;13:8;
16:12;17:25;19:1,4,
12
illegal (4)
50:6;52:1;60:18;
68:14
imagine (1)
60:7
immensely (1)
115:10
impact (1)
64:1
implementation (3)
80:3,8;81:13
impliedly (1)
38:22
important (6)
7:11;58:24;90:5;
101:7,17;122:21
improve (1)
111:3
improved (3)
115:17;120:13;
124:18
improvement (1)
115:18
improvements (1)
118:20
inappropriate (1)
72:4
incident (1)
113:19
incidents (2)
114:1,7
inclined (1)
80:6
include (1)
12:6
included (2)
29:23;30:22
including (2)
32:17;75:5
incorporate (1)
65:25
incorporated (1)
50:17
indeed (1)
18:25
independent (1)
92:13
indicate (4)
23:15;26:3;38:17;
51:3
indicates (1)
116:12
indicating (1)
60:18
individual (3)
17:4;21:15;77:22
individually (2)
15:15;16:7
individuals (1)
20:11
indulgence (1)
59:6
industrials (1)
90:20
inferior (1)
81:15
infor- (1)
111:10
information (3)
43:10;104:25;
106:1
initial (2)
57:10;90:6
injured (1)
113:11
input (1)
23:10
inside (3)
60:11;92:2;120:10
inspection (1)
75:10
inspections (1)
75:8
install (3)
81:20;89:9;119:23
installed (2)
73:19;119:19
instance (2)
24:16;91:11
instances (1)
54:10
instead (4)
7:4;34:25;105:17,
21
integration (1)
106:8
intend (1)
38:9
intended (4)
30:11;38:25;39:2;
123:4
intent (1)
87:11
intention (1)
38:20
inter- (1)
114:2
interact (1)
118:23
interested (3)
46:2;114:18;
118:11
interesting (1)
92:20
interface (1)
109:1
Internet (1)
92:23
interrupt (2)
61:20;68:10
interview (1)
9:18
into (21)
11:21;25:17;28:9;
33:19;38:13;40:12;
44:9;46:24;58:21;
60:3;68:6;78:11;
82:17;84:24;90:16;
92:8;94:21;95:12;
112:7;115:3;124:20
introduce (1)
123:22
invented (1)
21:11
investigation (1)
47:18
investing (1)
83:3
investment (1)
83:13
involve (1)
45:2
involved (4)
4:17;92:14;
110:12;111:8
issue (7)
53:11,13;55:25;
68:20;82:3;113:21;
119:5
issued (3)
43:8,18;48:15
issues (1)
122:4
issuing (1)
81:4
Italian (3)
61:3,12;62:12
Italy (1)
65:17
item (13)
6:19;20:2;33:23;
34:2,5,10,13;41:1;
47:5;70:18;83:15,17;
85:1
items (13)
45:4,6;46:3;56:19;
71:2;72:15;75:6,9,
17;83:4;87:23;
89:16;118:8

J

January (1)
75:1
Jasper (1)
48:6
JENKINS (125)
3:2,18,22,24;4:14,
24;5:6,10,23;6:12,
16;7:16;8:14;9:8,13;
10:6,11,17;11:8;
12:20;13:1,4,9,21;
15:3;16:16,18,20,25;
17:3;18:3,7,22;19:8;
20:1;21:20,22;23:9,
20;25:1,5;31:19;
32:5,12,20,22;33:1,
17;34:24;35:9;39:12,
24;40:2,15,17,20,23;
41:6,11;42:1,24;
46:19,22;47:4;70:10,
12,17;99:16,19,22;
100:1,18;101:22;
102:13,23;103:1,5,9;
104:3,4,7,20;106:18;
107:2;110:6,9,19;
111:4,9,16,20,23;
112:4,9,14,17,20,22;
114:23;115:19;
116:15,18,20,24;
117:10,21;118:4,13;
119:8,24;120:18,20;
121:7,11;122:8;
123:13;124:24;
125:4,11,14,19,21;
126:7,15,17
Jerry (2)
123:21,23
Jimmy (3)
76:15;102:20;
122:11
job (4)
53:9;60:8;98:19;
113:15
jobs (1)
105:25
Joe (2)
23:22;25:1
John (1)
4:15
judgement (1)
74:23
judgmental (2)
98:22,25
July (4)
115:24;116:5,8,11
June (9)
22:24;26:5,19;
27:12,16;35:2;105:4,
8;115:25
jurisdiction (2)
46:6;93:2
jurisdictions (1)
92:25

K

keep (8)
6:8;7:21;24:11,13;
58:24;83:17;88:6;
109:5
keeping (2)
114:6;121:20
kicked (1)
114:21
kid (1)
100:14
kidding (1)
101:6
kill (1)
97:7
kind (16)
11:15;60:15;69:7,
13;71:7,11;83:22;
94:15;105:2,24;
108:16,18;110:23;
120:25;121:22;
124:16
King (1)
4:15
knew (1)
109:7
knock (1)
19:7
knowledge (1)
77:6

L

labor (1)
100:19
ladies (1)
97:14
lamppost (1)
120:7
land- (1)
98:20
landowner (3)
93:5,12;102:21
landscape (2)
98:21,25
landscaping (5)
71:1,7,9;72:16;
100:17
lane (1)
76:25
lanes (2)
76:23;78:5
language (12)
15:20;26:17;31:1;
32:14,15,17;37:12;
38:7,8;49:15;65:25;
66:2
laptop (2)
119:23;120:4
laptops (2)
119:17,20
lar (1)
63:13
large (3)
66:10;94:14;
102:21
larger (2)
94:4;118:22
larly (1)
99:4
last (19)
4:18;5:10;9:21;
13:13;20:4;22:3,5;
25:11;31:12;39:25;
40:1;43:2;44:4;
57:10;67:19;70:20;
72:1;88:15;110:16
last-ditch (1)
88:16
lasting (1)
95:19
lastly (1)
51:22
lately (1)
121:6
later (5)
79:3,25;90:3;
104:9;114:21
latitude (3)
70:22;79:8;81:8
latter (5)
26:12,22,25;30:13;
95:10
launch (1)
123:18
law (8)
14:4,9;31:3;50:25;
51:23;54:15;61:7;
67:23
lawn (1)
85:10
laws (4)
53:7;63:21;64:10;
67:21
law's (1)
51:24
lawyer (1)
65:14
lay (1)
82:5
leading (1)
123:24
leaf (1)
84:22
lean (1)
37:10
leased (1)
91:14
least (12)
5:17;8:12;23:3;
25:22;43:11;55:13,
15;59:5,15;62:8,15;
77:17
leave (3)
40:17;83:8;85:24
leaving (1)
5:6
ledge (2)
90:2,4
left (2)
39:9;125:18
legal (21)
23:3;38:10;49:14;
51:19;52:18;55:3;
59:15,17,18,20;60:4,
23,24;62:9;63:12,15;
64:18,20;65:23;
66:23;69:13
legally (2)
48:4;52:7
lengthy (2)
52:2;113:10
less (3)
53:17;74:22;
119:16
lessening (1)
55:24
level (3)
90:15;106:5;
108:23
leyland (1)
98:24
liberal (1)
50:18
Library (9)
118:10;119:16,20;
120:4,7,11,11;121:3,
3
license (15)
47:17;48:18;50:9,
14;51:4,16,19;54:13;
61:8;65:3;67:2;
68:16,23;69:3,9
licensed (1)
54:10
licensee (1)
54:16
licenses (4)
56:11;69:1,22;
70:3
lick (1)
63:2
Life (1)
115:12
lift (2)
6:4;8:6
lifting (2)
9:3,6
light (1)
113:18
limit (2)
69:19,20
limited (1)
75:8
limiting (1)
86:18
limits (1)
60:12
line (1)
30:17
lines (1)
54:9
link (1)
71:20
Linkous's (2)
21:24,25
liquor (1)
68:15
list (1)
44:21
listed (1)
67:2
literally (1)
64:24
little (17)
15:7;17:11;20:5,5;
24:21;33:18;37:3;
39:7;58:6,23;80:2;
92:21;93:13;94:13;
95:6;97:6;107:24
live (8)
50:21;55:2,21;
60:11;61:13;87:2;
117:24,25
lived (1)
62:15
lives (2)
53:18;55:9
local (3)
47:25;74:1;107:20
locally (1)
14:11
location (5)
73:8;77:17,18,20;
79:6
locations (4)
72:4;73:12;79:20;
92:19
locked (1)
95:5
logistical (1)
78:20
logistics (1)
81:3
long (10)
5:7;9:10;38:8,16;
50:19;53:3;73:7;
79:14;113:9;116:13
longer (1)
64:10
longevity (2)
71:23;83:7
long-term (1)
95:18
look (14)
30:16;33:18;
35:24;46:12;58:6;
71:3;73:17;84:24;
95:17;97:21,22;
101:15;105:10;123:5
looked (3)
71:10,24;92:23
looking (16)
4:20;11:23;22:11;
35:2,6;71:16;76:10;
80:7;89:12;91:18;
95:20;101:8;105:25;
119:2,4;124:7
looks (2)
12:22;13:6
loose (1)
110:18
lose (1)
68:23
losing (3)
63:23;101:3,4
lot (33)
24:7;34:8;43:4;
47:24;53:3;61:2;
64:4;68:7,22;70:22,
22;74:19;78:8;
79:18;81:14;82:22,
24,25;84:7;87:25;
93:15;96:15,22;
101:7;106:15;108:2,
14;109:6,13;111:2,9;
112:17;114:13
Lots (3)
66:23;79:11;
118:18
lottery (1)
49:20
loud (1)
95:24
lower (2)
30:12;113:18
lowered (3)
29:21;62:10;69:20
lucrative (1)
45:19
Luke (2)
107:10;118:20
Luke's (1)
47:5
lump-sum (1)
19:4

M

ma'am (2)
34:18;83:25
machines (1)
68:14
magic (1)
63:3
maintain (1)
54:11
maintaining (1)
104:25
majority (2)
50:21;54:4
makes (5)
9:9;50:15;63:2;
87:25;88:3
making (7)
14:15;34:1;62:2;
70:24;94:25;108:19;
115:17
malls (1)
76:24
man (1)
100:11
manage (1)
93:22
management (4)
12:4,17;14:24;
16:11
manager (1)
50:20
managing (1)
54:3
mandate (1)
96:18
maneuver (1)
76:20
many (17)
70:4;72:3,3,5;
73:23;82:8;92:25;
93:18;96:1;107:6,19;
109:23;114:11;
118:2,3;122:24;
123:11
maple (1)
98:22
married (1)

75:1
Mart (2)
43:15,16
masonry (11)
71:13,19,21;72:21;
73:1;74:13;81:11;
82:10;87:19;88:15;
93:3
master (1)
77:24
matically (1)
39:9
mation (1)
111:11
matter (3)
30:7;84:22;95:5
may (13)
8:2;20:16;54:17;
68:25,25;69:2,4;
70:7;72:7;96:21;
108:3;118:10;120:13
maybe (13)
24:23;25:24;
85:16;94:14;95:5;
104:19;106:7;
107:17,18;119:22;
120:25;123:5,8
MAYOR (154)
3:2,18,22,24;4:14,
24;5:5,6,10,23;6:12,
16,19;7:16;8:14;9:8,
13;10:6,11,17;11:8;
12:15,20;13:1,4,9,
21;15:3;16:16,18,19,
20,25;17:3;18:3,7,
22;19:8;20:1,13,25;
21:2,20,22;23:9,13,
20;25:1,5;31:19;
32:5,12,20,22;33:1,
17;34:3,4,11,13,16,
20,24;35:9,11;39:12,
24;40:2,15,17,20,23;
41:6,11;42:1,24;
44:14;46:19,22;47:4,
7;68:17;70:10,12,17,
19;76:2;99:16,19,20,
22;100:1,18;101:22;
102:13,23;103:1,5,9;
104:3,4,6,7,20;
105:5;106:18;107:2,
8;110:6,9,12,15,19;
111:4,9,16,20,23;
112:4,9,13,14,17,20,
22;114:23;115:19,
20;116:15,18,20,24;
117:10,21;118:4,13;
119:8,24;120:18,20;
121:7,11;122:8;
123:13;124:24;
125:4,9,11,14,19,21;
126:7,15,17
Mayor's (1)
45:11
mean (37)
7:5;8:1;10:3;
13:10;15:21,25;
17:19;29:4,5;36:13,
17;40:3;44:11;46:4;
48:14;56:8;63:4;
66:24,25;67:7,18;
68:10;69:23;78:10;
82:9;85:7,8,11,15,
18;88:25;98:2;
101:4;107:14;116:7;
120:24;122:23
meaning (2)
63:18;115:1
means (3)
28:20;55:4,6
meant (4)
27:1;30:15,21;
31:7
meet (3)
75:21;80:23;110:1
Meeting (20)
3:2;5:11;8:4;
13:12;22:3,5;24:5,7;
43:2;44:4;57:23,24;
70:17,20;97:9;104:9;
112:7;113:6;120:8;
126:19
meetings (1)
59:7
meets (1)
79:14
members (1)
47:8
memo (2)
44:7;57:10
mendation (1)
75:23
mention (1)
30:14
mentioned (5)
25:10;26:22;
30:13;58:2;108:7
ments (1)
109:11
merely (1)
62:22
mess (3)
100:8;101:7;110:7
message (1)
8:11
met (1)
120:5
method (1)
46:9
microphone (1)
11:11
middle (1)
106:4
midstream (1)
15:24
mid-year (1)
8:3
might (9)
50:19;51:15;
65:22;77:23;79:20;
91:5;106:2;107:11;
111:19
Milan (1)
65:23
miles (1)
85:12
mind (4)
10:7;26:16;49:10;
53:2
mind-altering (2)
63:19,23
mine (2)
79:1;104:6
minimum (2)
49:13;102:6
minor (2)
53:11;80:17
minute (4)
21:9;23:20;99:23;
112:22
minutes (10)
3:19;22:5,12,16,
19;26:13;100:2;
117:21;122:12;
125:18
misquoted (1)
122:16
mode (1)
109:15
modern (1)
77:8
modifi- (1)
68:23
modified (1)
75:21
modify (6)
31:13;36:3;37:25;
38:2;52:16;90:7
moment (1)
33:22
Monday (1)
59:4
money (15)
24:7;64:4;101:2;
105:22;106:3,10,15;
109:13;110:5,5,23;
111:2;112:1;114:13;
123:25
month (4)
60:6;81:2;97:15,
19
monthly (1)
45:6
months (2)
7:6;45:10
month-to-month (1)
105:2
moral (3)
49:3,4;68:19
more (39)
5:23;13:18;24:17;
28:3;38:19;45:19;
49:4;51:9;53:10;
55:16;57:6;58:6,23;
67:12;70:10;71:22;
75:25;77:8;78:22;
80:6;84:9;87:5;
93:16,23;95:17;96:8,
10,22;97:1,5,6,9;
100:10;101:7,17;
109:8;120:17;
123:20,21
most (6)
50:18;68:2;71:8;
77:16;80:19;123:10
mostly (1)
76:7
motion (52)
3:18,20;5:16;8:16,
20;9:10;10:12;
14:20;16:10,10;17:8,
10,19,23,24;21:17;
22:3;23:8,19;27:2,
25;28:15;31:1,12,13;
32:13;37:18,25;38:1;
39:6,6,10,11,22;40:1,
5,6,12;41:3;42:7;
56:23;57:16;99:13;
102:14;103:1;105:4;
112:5,7;116:15;
117:6;125:15;126:15
motioned (1)
15:16
motions (1)
16:7
move (6)
11:23;51:1;57:11,
25;58:4;91:5
moved (3)
3:21;116:17;118:5
movement (2)
64:14;105:11
moving (3)
58:24;74:12;75:25
much (13)
38:18;46:13;
56:20;67:12;80:6;
88:18;89:8;97:5;
101:16;106:10,19;
107:14;115:16
multi-door (1)
90:23
multi-dumpster (1)
91:19
multi-family (3)
74:15;90:17;94:10
multi-frontage (1)
79:18
multiplier (1)
118:19
municipalities (3)
66:1;71:11;119:4
murder (1)
49:2
Murray (4)
47:6;70:10;
112:14;115:19
must (4)
54:11,17;61:25;
62:14
muster (1)
52:22
myself (2)
54:20;84:16

N

name (1)
100:3
names (2)
67:2;111:14
nance (2)
58:16;71:4
narcotics (1)
49:6
narily (1)
69:18
narrow (2)
76:25;78:5
nature (3)
49:2;76:23;84:13
nearly (1)
92:22
necessarily (2)
65:13;92:14
necessary (5)
15:14;44:11;
64:24,25,25
necessity (1)
75:14
need (32)
3:18;5:17,23;6:4,
25;7:20;8:10;23:1;
27:16;33:18;42:11;
55:13,14;56:4;57:6;
58:24;73:15;77:13;
81:5;84:14;91:5;
94:8;99:10,12;
102:13;107:23;
110:2,13,21;111:6,
11;120:13
needed (3)
70:24;82:24;83:15
needs (9)
13:22;51:21;
75:21;83:18;107:21;
109:11;110:1;119:6;
121:8
neighborhood (5)
7:22;114:21;
123:19;124:6,19
neighborhoods (1)
124:21
net (1)
83:4
new (10)

5:25;6:1;7:20;
25:17;69:2;72:14,20;
83:9;96:23;105:20
next (16)
5:2;11:25;12:13;
30:25;47:1;50:2;
59:4;91:10;93:14;
97:9;98:22;99:14;
104:11,12;109:15;
121:12
nice (2)
71:13;109:19
night (4)
95:3;113:9;114:1,
5
nine (4)
38:16;86:19;87:5;
100:25
nity (1)
120:6
nobody (2)
30:12;53:8
noisy (1)
95:24
none (1)
51:10
nor (1)
35:15
Norcross (2)
24:22;101:10
norm (1)
73:13
normal (1)
109:24
normally (1)
91:14
Northwoods (8)
76:17;78:3,5;
113:21;114:22;
123:18,19;124:17
notch (1)
54:24
noted (1)
70:8
notes (2)
21:24;22:1
notice (5)
13:16;54:14;
85:13;93:8;96:15
notices (1)
64:25
notifi- (1)
44:13
notification (1)
45:12
notified (1)
45:10
notify (2)
24:5;44:25
null (1)
38:5
number (12)
20:2;22:25;33:24;
35:3;41:1;47:6,16;
53:11;70:18;71:15;
93:18;104:20
numbers (2)
25:11;119:3
numerous (1)
113:25

O

O/I (1)
24:17
O/W (2)
94:12,13
o0o- (1)
126:21
Oak (5)
87:2,3,21;113:8,18
Oakcliff (3)
113:17;118:1;
122:15
observation (3)
12:5,18;14:25
obviously (13)
6:21;8:8;15:23;
43:22;50:19;51:13,
19;59:9;66:11;81:1;
82:7;92:13;93:9
occasionally (1)
106:13
occasions (2)
49:23,24
occupancy (1)
43:9
occupant (1)
90:25
occur (1)
49:7
occurrence (3)
20:15;22:8,9
occurring (2)
44:22;71:12
occurs (1)
77:9
o'clock (2)
57:1;112:3
O'Connor (2)
23:22,22
Oelstrom (5)
11:10,18;13:15;
19:16,22
off (8)
5:2;32:10;61:21;
64:4;65:17;104:6;
108:7;114:22
offense (1)
49:1
offenses (1)
49:21
offering (1)
93:8
office (6)
5:19;45:11;51:5,
11;66:13;68:18
office/warehouse (1)
91:8
office/warehouses (1)
90:22
officer (1)
84:18
officers (14)
7:1,12;8:13,21,22,
23;9:17;61:6;70:23;
74:24;80:22;113:11,
15;114:3
offices (1)
90:19
official (4)
53:20;55:10;
73:11;82:13
officially (1)
32:3
offshoot (1)
64:16
old (2)
67:22;124:1
older (1)
124:9
oldest (1)
100:4
once (5)
31:15;49:25;62:8;
96:9;102:11
one (68)
5:24;10:2;14:9;
15:4,15;21:14,14,15;
22:13;23:11;25:13,
23;26:2;27:8;28:12;
30:17,20;35:8;37:14;
38:9,11,15,20;43:15;
45:4,5;52:23;53:10,
13;55:19;56:21;
58:19;63:6;67:8,19,
20;68:3,5,21;72:11;
74:1;77:11,17,19;
79:25;80:11;81:2;
84:20;85:7;88:24;
89:1;90:25;92:12;
93:14,18;94:20,20,
21;95:21;101:23;
102:17;104:13;
105:24;106:24;
113:11;115:1;120:6;
123:17
one-page (1)
116:12
onerous (1)
56:2
ones (4)
13:17;53:11;
89:12,23
one's (1)
25:25
one-year (1)
81:7
only (23)
12:8,10;13:21;
22:6;31:5;32:16;
37:12;45:4,5,23;
49:12;51:22;57:8;
62:4;64:20,21;69:11;
76:4;86:18;88:8;
93:2;96:15,23
onslaught (1)
107:15
open (9)
8:13;55:7,19;56:3;
58:22;61:4;62:13;
65:7;74:10
operating (1)
67:1
operation (1)
47:16
opinion (19)
17:15;23:3;37:9,
20,24;39:14,21;
42:17;48:1,24;49:14;
50:5,24;52:7;64:12;
96:25;98:10,13;
122:6
opportunities (1)
72:25
opportunity (1)
47:19
opposition (1)
23:15
option (2)
74:7;90:4
order (4)
3:2;62:12;70:17;
119:1
ordi- (3)
58:15;69:17;71:3
ordinance (58)
14:15;21:8,16;
22:22,23;23:19;26:5,
19,25;28:1,9,22;
29:11,12;30:1;31:22;
32:15,18;33:23;35:1;
36:13;37:7;41:1;
47:10,20,22;48:10,
12,19;49:19;52:11,
11,16,22;53:2,5,6;
54:7,24;55:12,15;
56:19;57:17,21;62:4;
66:18;68:24;70:21;
71:25;75:20;79:3;
80:25;90:10;95:10,
15;98:1,5,9
Ordinances (8)
28:16;47:25;
49:13;51:12,14;
64:12;68:2;69:17
organized (2)
64:4;120:17
original (5)
14:22;29:25;
49:24;63:17;105:13
originally (1)
29:9
others (1)
63:13
ought (2)
24:17,20
ours (1)
82:20
out (51)
5:18;7:3;12:8,24;
13:1,18,22;15:10,15;
17:13;19:7,21;21:12;
35:13;39:13,14;
43:13;53:8;56:9,10;
60:14;65:17;68:25;
69:5;71:21;80:6;
83:4;85:10;87:3;
89:9;96:20;97:18,25;
99:10;100:16;107:7;
108:6;109:19;
110:17;111:7;
113:14,24;114:14;
115:21,23;120:6;
123:4;124:3,5,11,20
Outback (3)
55:7,8,18
outlive (1)
83:6
outside (4)
87:15;105:23;
120:11;121:3
over (19)
13:11;23:17;43:5,
20;44:2;53:6;56:18,
20;63:14;64:9;
87:23;88:8,8;89:25;
108:12,16;121:22;
122:25;123:25
overall (3)
11:19;106:9;107:5
overbroad (1)
48:10
overlooked (1)
32:4
overtime (2)
6:8;44:17
own (4)
51:10,18;84:16;
100:18
owner (6)
54:17;87:15;
91:11,15;92:10;
94:23
owners (7)
75:5;80:19;93:22;
101:2;114:13;
123:11,11

P

package (1)
19:23
pad (4)
72:6,8,8;81:21

page (4)
19:19;54:8;83:20;
87:13
pages (2)
11:14;52:12
paid (2)
12:24;13:1
paint (5)
87:15;88:7,7,15,20
painting (2)
88:14,14
pallet (1)
82:25
Pam (2)
42:1;126:6
panel (1)
120:14
paper (2)
35:6;44:21
papers (1)
61:16
paperwork (2)
46:25;115:21
paragraph (2)
38:8;54:9
paragraphs (1)
116:13
pardon (1)
115:25
Park (9)
11:9,20;14:23;
15:2;16:12;17:25;
87:21;88:17;89:18
parking (6)
78:7,8;82:22,24,
24;86:13
part (28)
11:16,16;12:21,24;
13:18;22:13;23:14;
24:14;26:9,15,22,25;
29:25;37:7,15;44:12;
47:18,23;59:8,13,16;
60:15;67:21;68:15;
82:21;95:10,11;
96:10
partial (1)
20:17
particu- (2)
63:12;99:3
particular (3)
38:14;58:15;90:10
particularly (5)
25:18;64:8;74:3;
94:10;105:18
partner (2)
54:3;55:20
partnership (1)
54:25
parts (2)
23:5;64:11
pass (9)
21:14;27:16,25;
28:15;39:2,11;70:2;
89:2;97:3
passed (10)
26:20,20;27:24;
28:6,10;30:24;31:17;
37:19;60:5;105:8
passes (1)
52:22
passing (1)
98:1
passwords (1)
106:6
past (4)
31:15;45:18;
71:11;123:19
pasture (1)
100:6
patrol (1)
6:9
patrols (2)
7:22,22
paved (1)
85:23
pay (6)
6:8;35:14,17;
94:24;108:8;109:17
paying (1)
111:2
payments (1)
105:5
PD (1)
7:21
pedestrian (1)
74:7
penalty (1)
47:12
people (39)
4:17;6:7;7:2,3,5;
10:4,7;21:7;43:4,12;
51:10,15;56:9,10;
63:22;64:1;68:17,25;
69:22;70:3,4,5;
79:10,17;83:3;85:9,
11;87:8;101:1;
105:24;106:1;
107:19;110:13;
111:14;113:23;
118:22;119:16;
120:5;124:5
people's (1)
95:13
per (32)
20:15,22;21:1;
22:8,9,17;27:2;
28:24;29:15,18,22;
30:6;31:1,9,14,22;
32:19;33:23;34:2,5,
10,11,13;36:10,25;
39:3,8;40:18;41:2;
42:16;105:15;107:20
percent (1)
102:4
perfectly (3)
35:19;65:23;66:23
perhaps (1)
84:11
period (3)
28:18;62:1;81:7
permit (17)
25:14;42:25;43:8,
18,20;44:15;45:13;
48:14;65:9;71:7;
83:24;84:3;86:7,10;
87:8,10;98:20
permits (4)
43:5;44:2;81:2,4
permitted (3)
54:15;72:3;75:6
permitting (1)
35:16
person (21)
51:3;54:17;55:8;
59:23;61:13;65:11;
66:4,21;67:10,10,24;
68:3,5;92:23;102:21;
106:4,16,24;113:12,
16;119:22
personally (2)
55:25;65:8
perspective (2)
81:3;98:18
petition (1)
46:23
Pharmacy (2)
46:23;47:5
Phase (14)
11:9,22;12:2,9,10,
11,16;14:19;18:24,
25;19:1,1,3,4
phased (1)
19:5
phases (11)
11:22,23;12:1,6,
14;13:7;15:1;16:12;
17:25;19:9,17
physical (1)
77:15
physically (1)
8:9
pick (5)
72:10;76:15;
91:20,24;92:18
picked (1)
95:22
picking (1)
62:22
piece (2)
76:19,21
pin (2)
63:6;113:18
Pinetree (1)
113:22
pipe (2)
74:9;89:7
Pittman (21)
3:11,12,23;4:7,8;
10:25;11:1;18:15,16;
33:9,10;41:19,20;
99:11;103:18,19;
117:13,14;126:4,5,9
place (11)
7:19;29:8;52:6;
74:25;75:7,20;90:18;
106:25;108:12,16;
109:2
placed (1)
78:7
places (4)
49:7;78:24;96:15;
109:24
plainly (1)
54:5
plan (2)
13:11;44:5
Planner (1)
73:12
Planning (6)
13:16;17:25;19:2;
24:6;35:17;118:9
Planning's (1)
16:11
plans (2)
11:19;46:7
play (1)
11:16
Plaza (4)
76:17;78:3;
113:22,22
please (8)
3:3;10:17;18:7;
33:22;53:2;103:9;
121:12;126:8
pled (1)
48:16
plus (10)
20:22;21:1;27:2;
29:18;30:5;31:8,14;
34:11;41:2;42:16
pm (1)
126:20
pocket (1)
114:14
point (8)
22:13;23:16,17;
45:24;58:1;91:18;
99:5;108:18
points (1)
59:3
Police (19)
4:25;5:7;6:5;7:12,
15;8:13,21;35:13;
47:15;61:14;64:22;
89:19;112:10,11,20,
23;114:17;124:13,14
policemen (1)
5:18
police-powers (1)
69:17
policy (1)
45:6
pool (1)
52:5
popped (1)
115:3
porations (1)
119:3
portion (4)
38:2,3,5;85:4
portions (1)
38:1
position (3)
106:4;120:16;
122:20
positions (3)
7:20;8:13;9:7
possible (3)
8:25;49:14;57:12
postpone (1)
57:16
postponing (1)
97:8
potential (1)
77:23
pour (1)
82:11
prebuilt (1)
80:9
precedence (1)
122:25
Precision (4)
13:16;16:11;
17:24;19:2
preference (1)
19:6
prepared (2)
58:15;115:22
present (2)
73:15;93:1
presentations (1)
121:2
presently (1)
34:15
president (2)
125:4,5
pretty (3)
75:3;76:8;113:10
prevent (4)
48:17;66:3;74:5;
87:24
previous (1)
45:13
previously (1)
34:7
price (2)
21:4;24:23
printers (1)
105:1
printer's (1)
106:13
prior (1)
62:2
priorities (6)
102:1,2,11;121:24,

25;122:24
prioritized (1)
122:5
priority (4)
122:17,22,23;
123:9
prison (1)
113:13
private (1)
107:25
probably (17)
7:3;44:23;50:17,
24;56:9,10;59:12;
69:21;70:6;83:18;
86:9;96:10;99:6;
100:4;106:16;
108:15;110:2
problem (9)
8:14;43:22;68:4;
77:10;81:4;87:18;
97:10;100:9;102:3
problematic (2)
51:23;78:22
problems (6)
76:4,5,6;96:2;
100:10;109:3
process (6)
9:18;44:18;47:19;
54:14;63:11;115:23
processes (1)
109:1
product (2)
73:3,18
professional (1)
37:20
profit (1)
35:22
program (3)
114:21;123:19;
124:20
programming (1)
113:3
Prohibition (4)
49:9;63:21;64:1,5
prohibitions (1)
95:14
project (3)
11:20;12:8;124:16
projects (1)
110:16
promoting (1)
6:19
proper (1)
45:1
properties (11)
77:14;79:18;80:9;
82:8;86:3;89:13,19;
93:16,23;94:14,16
property (17)
43:11,14;74:20;
78:13,14;80:18;
87:15;88:6;89:17;
91:11,12,15;92:10;
93:22;94:23;101:2;
113:19
proposal (2)
12:4;16:22
proposals (4)
12:14;14:24;
17:17,24
proposed (3)
24:9;54:7;79:2
proposing (1)
28:24
prostitution (1)
49:22
prove (1)
66:8
proven (1)
25:21
provide (3)
61:18;80:24;86:21
provided (2)
73:25;93:10
providers (1)
14:21
provides (3)
74:4,6;86:23
providing (4)
12:4;93:11;
104:24;106:7
Provino's (6)
62:13;65:6,7,10,
12,22
provision (7)
51:21,22;71:8;
73:4,10;84:12;94:9
provisions (5)
22:8;47:13;51:14;
52:3;53:4
prudent (2)
93:16,24
public (3)
68:18;72:17;75:4
publish (2)
24:2,2
pulling (1)
84:21
pun (1)
123:4
purpose (1)
49:18
purposely (1)
30:22
put (32)
5:2,12;7:18;12:7;
19:22;21:15;22:21;
24:4;44:5;47:1;56:9;
63:4;73:9,10;74:25;
77:5,12;78:9;82:11,
22;84:11;85:20;
88:21;90:5;92:17;
93:12;106:25;107:7;
110:3;111:7;124:2,
10
putting (4)
28:9;80:18;
101:16;102:8

Q

quali- (1)
48:3
qualifications (4)
48:8;49:11,16,17
quality (2)
14:13;115:11
quick (4)
15:5;59:3;68:12;
104:19
quickly (1)
51:2
quite (1)
50:4
quote (2)
39:18;50:13
quote/quote (1)
90:16

R

R-1 (2)
24:16;79:4
radar (1)
113:3
raft (1)
109:3
rampant (2)
84:8,9
ranged (1)
105:14
rank (1)
52:23
rape (1)
49:2
rather (7)
16:7;17:14;44:8;
72:1;80:9;91:14;
97:5
rational (1)
64:21
rationally (2)
48:9,22
reach (1)
118:22
read (9)
21:25;23:3;28:17;
30:5;31:8,14;34:9;
44:9;53:12
reading (7)
21:18;23:2,8;
39:16,17,20;54:8
reads (3)
37:21,22;42:15
ready (1)
7:7
real (5)
7:11;16:22;32:16;
56:18;68:12
realistically (1)
83:5
realize (1)
99:18
realized (1)
69:25
really (33)
6:3;7:14;9:9;
17:13;23:16;33:19;
53:5;56:11,21;67:20;
68:20,22;69:11;71:4,
6;74:17;75:9,10;
79:11;81:6;83:5;
95:16;99:2,9;101:6;
102:10;104:23;
108:1,24;109:2;
118:17;119:21;
124:15
rear (1)
73:1
reason (14)
24:19;30:9;35:4;
36:6;37:11;57:11;
63:15,18;77:5;79:9;
82:15;83:10;93:17;
96:2
reasonable (4)
58:3;105:15;
109:9,24
reasoning (1)
24:18
reasons (2)
63:12;72:11
reassess (1)
43:13
recalcitrant (1)
67:25
recall (5)
70:19;85:22;
107:9,11;116:11
receipt (1)
45:7
receive (1)
107:9
received (3)
44:6;45:12;124:12
recently (1)
43:24
receptacles (1)
91:13
recess (1)
70:15
recognition (1)
4:24
recognize (1)
5:3
recom- (1)
75:22
recommend (5)
50:2;51:23;52:12;
58:21;97:8
recommendation (3)
74:11;81:25;82:12
reconsider (7)
39:23,24;40:3,6,
11;41:4;42:8
record (6)
23:15;27:19;44:9,
12;59:5;106:24
records (1)
26:3
recovered (1)
113:19
rectify (1)
44:6
recycling (1)
96:14
red (3)
88:20,20;113:18
reduce (1)
20:10
reduces (2)
21:4,5
Reducing (1)
121:19
referenced (1)
53:14
referring (1)
92:5
reflection (1)
64:13
reflective (1)
45:19
reflects (1)
29:16
refund (1)
20:17
refurbish (1)
124:8
regard (6)
14:4;22:21;26:18;
47:12,24;49:15
regardless (1)
6:24
regards (2)
35:8;91:10
registered (7)
54:13;66:11,12,20,
21;67:4,6
regular (1)
44:16
regulated (1)
78:23
regulates (2)
84:5;86:1
regulation (1)
48:9
regulations (1)
69:16
regulatory (1)
49:18
regurgitating (1)
62:24
related (6)
48:9,22;49:5,5,18;
86:5

relatively (2)
76:25;80:17
release (1)
108:23
relevant (2)
9:4,7
relieve (1)
5:16
remain (1)
46:9
remaining (2)
38:3,4
remember (12)
8:2;20:8;25:12;81:10;82:21;87:7;94:12;95:9;98:4;108:21;110:15;114:16
reminded (1)
123:17
removal (1)
94:19
remove (3)
56:23;75:12;94:22
removed (2)
72:18;75:18
removers (1)
92:6
removing (1)
59:11
repair (1)
87:3
repaved (1)
82:23
repealed (1)
64:5
repeating (1)
83:17
replace (6)
6:25;7:11;8:12,21;100:13;108:13
replete (1)
95:14
reports (1)
122:3
representative (1)
80:24
represents (1)
102:21
request (12)
19:2,4;20:21;22:14;29:18;31:8;32:16;37:21;39:18;41:1;42:15;65:9
requested (2)
43:2;44:5
requests (2)
26:9;28:18
require (7)
50:7;53:17;55:16;61:12;76:18;78:6;99:9
require- (1)
109:10
required (9)
36:16;53:16,22;54:15;62:20;72:19;77:2;100:12;116:2
requirement (14)
50:3;51:3;53:14,18;56:1;64:18;75:12;77:1;80:18;81:12,20;88:13,21;98:21
requirements (10)
14:10;50:24;56:24;68:7;69:2;72:23;91:23;109:8;110:4,22
requires (4)
54:2,3,8;74:8
reset (1)
121:9
resided (1)
61:25
residency (7)
50:3,23;53:13;54:2,4;67:18;70:1
resident (11)
24:15;50:8,8,13;54:13,18;56:23;59:11,15;60:4;100:4
residential (11)
20:15;22:9;24:18;32:6;40:20,24;84:10,15;90:16;121:17,20
residential- (1)
74:19
residential-grade (1)
73:20
residents (1)
123:20
residents' (1)
24:11
reso- (1)
117:8
resolution (6)
115:23;116:1,13,16;117:10,11
respect (3)
13:15;25:7;58:19
response (7)
10:15;18:5;32:24;41:9;103:7;105:13;116:22
responses (1)
108:11
responsi- (1)
70:22
responsible (4)
14:1;50:13;65:1;68:5
responsive (1)
45:17
rest (4)
38:23;94:15;95:14;102:9
restaurant (3)
55:19;56:3;61:4
restaurateur (1)
51:16
restaurateurs (1)
69:6
Restoration (1)
11:20
restraint (1)
51:8
restriction (1)
86:1
result (2)
46:3;106:16
results (1)
47:18
retire (1)
5:8
retiring (2)
4:25;5:4
retrieving (1)
124:1
return (1)
45:7
review (4)
8:3;57:6;73:12;77:13
reviewed (2)
94:10;108:21
revise (1)
71:24
revisiting (1)
71:3
rewrite (1)
95:11
rezoning (2)
24:1,19
rezonings (1)
118:11
RFP (11)
105:13;108:5,5,9,17;109:25;110:9,22;111:5,7;120:16
RFPs (3)
107:10;108:19;111:7
Rhonda (3)
22:12;47:2;106:18
Rich (1)
118:14
rickety (1)
73:22
ride- (1)
76:2
right (35)
6:10;9:11;10:7;15:10;17:22;21:9;26:7,11;28:14;32:12,14;36:12;37:6;38:11;51:19;52:4;53:16;55:17,18;56:9;62:3,6,23;67:7;85:21;88:12;89:11,13,24;97:11;99:19;102:13;105:24;107:23;124:7
right-of-way (1)
72:17
road (4)
8:24;13:19;70:25;99:1
Robert (1)
4:25
Roche (124)
3:13,14;4:9,10;6:18;7:23;8:17,19;9:5,11;10:2,10;11:2,3,7;12:22;13:3,6,10,24;15:4,11,19;16:14;17:4,16,21;18:1,17,18;20:2,3;23:7,18;25:7;26:7,11,21,24;27:4,7,11,14,23;28:7,14;29:1;30:2,19,25;31:11,21;32:7,13;33:11,12;35:21;36:5,12,18,21;37:5;41:21,22;42:2,6,9,18,23,25;45:21;46:11,21;56:5,8,14,22;57:3;59:2,19,25;60:9;61:20,23;62:23;66:24;67:7,14,16;68:9,11;69:23;85:6,15;86:8,12;88:23;96:6;97:24;98:7;99:12,15,17;102:15;103:20,21;104:18,20,21;108:3;110:7,11,20;111:6,13,18,22,24;117:15,16;118:16;121:10;126:10,11
rocket (1)
109:22
roll (10)
3:3,24;10:17;16:16;18:7;33:1;41:11;103:9;116:24;125:21
roll-up (1)
92:2
Ronald (1)
12:15
roof (1)
75:17
roofs (1)
114:4
rooftops (1)
114:6
room (1)
119:18
root (1)
86:5
Rosemary (1)
115:11
rough (2)
76:8;88:24
Ruby (1)
44:15
run (4)
51:5,10,17,20
rupted (1)
114:3

S

sadly (1)
83:15
safe (1)
40:13
safest (2)
39:17,20
safety (2)
38:16;124:18
salaries (1)
35:15
sale (1)
115:5
same (14)
12:16;19:19;30:15;31:17;35:24;38:23;74:18;82:3;83:17;86:4;117:17;121:20;123:2;126:20
sation (1)
58:23
satisfactory (1)
66:16
save (2)
106:15;123:24
saw (1)
82:10
saying (10)
26:2;27:18;28:8;39:7;54:23;60:13;62:11;67:22;99:8;120:22
scale (1)
52:20
scanning (1)
44:20
scaping (1)
98:21
schedule (3)
19:23;20:3;21:18
science (1)
109:22
Scott (2)
23:9;107:7
screen (2)
98:23,24
screened (3)
76:7;77:20;78:8
screening (2)
71:17;93:19
se (1)
107:20
seal (1)

65:19
sealant (4)
87:22,23;88:19,22
Second (28)
3:22,23;9:1;12:11;
15:3;16:14;17:23;
18:1;21:20,21;29:20;
32:20,21;39:10;40:3,
11,15,16;41:5;42:12;
99:15;102:17;103:2;
116:18,19;118:15;
125:19,20
secondary (1)
63:25
seconded (1)
102:15
secretary (4)
65:18;66:11,13;
67:5
Section (10)
20:20;21:19;
31:13;32:15;36:3,9,
14,14;38:14;40:24
sections (4)
22:24;28:16;
36:22;38:13
sector (1)
107:25
secure (1)
74:5
secured (1)
83:24
securing (1)
74:9
security (2)
109:3;124:19
seeing (1)
46:12
seem (3)
5:13;8:2;111:9
seems (4)
7:24;60:10;
105:15;108:22
self (3)
35:12,16,20
send (5)
8:11;13:18;45:6;
65:17,19
sense (5)
50:15;61:2;63:2;
78:15;98:2
sent (2)
43:10,20
sentence (6)
32:1,2;37:13;38:2,
4,5
separate (2)
13:16;19:3
September (1)
114:17
serious (2)
59:9;100:10
seriously (1)
15:25
serve (1)
61:16
served (4)
49:8;54:16,17;
66:22
server (1)
108:22
servers (2)
105:1;110:5
service (2)
35:23;67:11
service-oriented (1)
36:1
services (7)
12:6,16;104:25;
105:6,7,18,23
Session (1)
58:22
set (2)
20:13;104:17
setback (3)
78:25;79:7,14
seven (4)
34:25;37:13;
38:15;100:25
seven-word (1)
37:13
several (4)
20:4;25:10;
113:23;114:7
severe (1)
49:4
sex (2)
49:5,21
shallow (1)
79:11
shape (2)
49:25;76:8
shareholder (1)
54:4
sheet (1)
35:5
shocked (1)
108:1
shopping (1)
77:25
short (2)
38:17;113:9
show (4)
13:19;79:24;
84:17;111:19
shows (1)
84:18
side (5)
37:10;79:15;
84:10,10;115:7
sides (2)
50:1;78:5
sign (5)
65:19;68:18;
69:20;102:24;123:20
significant (3)
80:22;83:12;
123:25
significantly (2)
21:4,5
signs (6)
24:4;113:3;124:1,
6,9,10
silent (1)
31:23
simply (14)
17:6;29:6,16;
49:16,20;51:8;54:24;
62:9;64:3;65:14;
73:1;75:20;87:9;
106:3
single- (1)
15:5
single-car (1)
113:8
single-family (1)
90:17
single-source (3)
14:7,20;15:8
sink (2)
82:17,18
sinks (1)
72:10
site (10)
71:10;77:21;79:6,
21;83:10;89:25;
91:1;108:6;118:21;
119:12
sites (1)
84:15
siting (1)
77:10
sits (2)
53:16;55:18
sitting (5)
85:23;100:24;
119:18,25;120:6
situated (1)
78:4
situation (12)
9:7;44:6;45:10;
74:23;75:5;79:5,8;
83:21;87:9;95:23;
99:10;107:24
situations (5)
73:6,14;77:13;
82:7;93:22
six (5)
7:6;38:15;100:25;
102:7;105:9
skipped (1)
121:22
slab (1)
102:8
slightly (1)
46:4
small (3)
66:4;100:22;
118:17
software (6)
105:20;106:8;
108:23;109:6,11;
122:4
sold (1)
52:6
sole (3)
14:3;15:14;16:2
sole-source (1)
15:20
solid (4)
91:20;92:6,16;
94:19
solid-waste (1)
92:22
solve (3)
85:16;97:10,11
some- (1)
99:20
somebody (14)
32:10;50:11,12;
55:2;60:7;65:14;
86:6;100:15;103:3;
111:3,4,11,21;118:5
someone (15)
43:13;50:7;53:18;
55:21;60:11;64:25;
65:7;69:18;85:8;
105:2;106:12;
109:17;110:21;
113:22;120:5
someone's (1)
89:1
sometime (1)
5:12
sometimes (3)
24:2;95:4;121:5
somewhat (1)
93:21
somewhere (2)
77:21;107:4
SonicWALL (1)
119:17
soon (4)
8:24;10:11;56:17;
113:5
sorry (5)
8:7;16:19;68:9;
99:17;122:13
sort (5)
73:3;84:25;85:2;
105:1;106:6
sorts (1)
123:2
sounds (1)
121:9
source (5)
14:3,22;15:6,14;
16:2
South (1)
64:8
space (2)
73:9;76:18
spaces (1)
82:5
Spangler (45)
3:16,17;4:12,13;
6:23;7:10,17;8:18;
9:1;11:5,6;17:7;
18:20,21;21:21;
32:21;33:14,15;36:9,
24;37:23;40:8,16;
41:5,24,25;56:25;
57:5;89:21;98:8,12;
103:23,24;104:4,5,8,
12,16;106:14;112:6;
116:9;117:19,20;
126:13,14
speak (1)
23:23
speaking (2)
90:24;95:2
specific (2)
73:12;97:20
specifically (2)
79:5;107:9
specification (3)
72:12;74:1;92:24
specifications (3)
92:17;94:3;100:12
specifics (1)
92:7
specifies (1)
75:16
speed (2)
69:19,20
spend (6)
97:1,5;105:16,22;
106:11;109:12
spending (4)
105:17,21;106:19;
112:1
splitting (1)
121:19
spoke (2)
9:20,21
sponsoring (1)
47:11
spot (1)
63:5
square (3)
29:24;50:1;85:12
stacked (1)
87:14
staff (2)
7:21;64:23
staff-wise (1)
107:1
stake (1)
66:8
stand (2)
11:14;13:5
standards (4)
14:16;96:11;
122:21;123:2
standing (1)

19:17
start (3)
11:15;31:12;
109:21
started (3)
9:18;12:3;64:6
starting (1)
114:17
starts (2)
84:19;90:14
State (10)
14:9,16;48:6;61:7,
8;62:1,15;66:12;
67:5;106:23
states (5)
35:3;59:14,15;
60:16;63:20
State's (1)
66:13
stating (1)
49:16
station (1)
89:20
status (1)
7:24
statute (9)
50:5,10;52:2;
53:22;54:5;60:20;
61:8,11,17
stay (1)
30:15
stayed (1)
5:7
stays (2)
38:23;39:12
Steakhouse (3)
55:7,8,18
stealing (2)
61:22;113:23
steep (1)
48:24
step (1)
31:1
still (14)
9:18;10:1;26:18;
32:5;43:21,25;51:18;
61:7;77:2;97:18;
114:18,19;117:23,25
stockholder (1)
50:21
stone (4)
72:21;87:14;
88:16;89:22
stood (1)
102:1
store (1)
76:3
stores (1)
51:10
storm- (1)
12:24
storms (1)
85:2
stormwater (1)
15:22
story (1)
113:9
straight (2)
30:14,18
straightened (1)
109:19
strategies (1)
106:9
Strategy (1)
104:22
Stream (1)
11:20
street (4)
6:9;7:22;8:22;
89:18
streets (3)
7:4;35:14;113:5
stress (1)
118:25
strictly (1)
96:23
strike (3)
28:20,21,24
strip (1)
76:24
strong (1)
81:14
strongly (1)
119:21
structure (4)
66:18;69:15;79:2;
91:25
struggling (1)
111:25
Stuart (9)
25:5;99:16,24;
101:22,25;102:23;
121:13,14;122:8
stucco (1)
73:3
studies (1)
123:5
stuff (1)
110:25
subdivision (1)
113:17
subject (3)
14:9;113:11,20
subjective (1)
99:1
submitted (2)
12:14;115:24
Subsection (8)
22:7,13,20;26:4,8;
29:10;37:20;39:15
subsequent (1)
38:1
substance (1)
63:19
substances (1)
63:23
substantial (1)
75:24
such-and-such (1)
69:9
suddenly (1)
70:2
sufficient (1)
76:18
suggest (2)
111:5;119:2
suggested (1)
47:12
suggestions (1)
111:10
suicide (1)
4:17
suites (3)
91:12;93:5,14
sum (1)
16:12
Sunday (1)
4:14
sundry (1)
95:7
superior (1)
73:18
support (7)
56:23;97:4;
104:22;120:15,17;
124:12,14
supporting (1)
124:15
supposed (1)
65:1
supposedly (1)
5:12
sure (14)
8:4;9:17;19:19;
29:3;58:9;61:23;
76:16;78:12,16;
80:14;81:16;85:25;
97:3;126:5
surface (2)
82:23;85:23
surprised (1)
56:15
surrogate (1)
66:6
surrogates (1)
66:3
survey (1)
71:12
surveys (1)
24:21
survive (1)
73:23
Susan (3)
120:2;121:24;
122:14
suspect (1)
56:13
suspend (1)
85:1
sustaining (3)
35:12,17,20
swing (2)
74:8;89:6
swings (1)
73:24
switch (1)
15:23
sworn (1)
8:1
synergistic (1)
124:16
system (5)
44:21;68:2;74:9;
107:22,23
systems (2)
109:19;114:15

T

table (4)
32:10;52:5;99:14;
102:14
talk (1)
58:21
talked (2)
5:14;96:8
talking (10)
15:22;29:9;32:8;
33:20;60:2;77:23;
82:9;92:1;93:25;
105:19
tax (3)
45:19,20;46:2
taxed (2)
43:21,25
taxing (1)
64:6
teams (1)
115:17
tear (2)
82:4;83:8
technically (2)
22:20;85:20
technology (2)
104:25;106:1
teetotaling (1)
64:14
telling (1)
53:9
tells (1)
92:24
ten (4)
38:16;45:10;
101:1;105:9
tenant (2)
73:8;91:14
tenants (3)
77:22;101:4,5
tendered (1)
44:11
terms (1)
83:13
terribly (1)
123:10
textured (1)
73:2
thanks (4)
43:3;70:9;102:12;
111:22
that'll (2)
58:5;79:25
theft (2)
49:2,6
theoretically (1)
30:3
thereafter (1)
45:5
Therefore (3)
37:20;38:5;51:14
thinking (1)
78:18
third (4)
12:11;17:23;
24:24;25:23
thoroughly (3)
23:3;47:21;53:12
though (7)
18:24;28:6;62:19;
66:25;76:11;80:17;
82:6
thought (7)
24:21;25:23;
69:24;71:2;94:18;
121:22;122:13
thousand (8)
43:25;81:1;89:15;
102:7;105:16,17,21;
106:11
three (21)
5:18;6:12;11:21;
16:7;17:17;22:24;
38:15;45:18;59:16;
62:1,15;63:3;74:15;
85:12;89:14;94:1;
95:20;100:2;107:11;
117:21;122:12
thrilled (1)
11:24
throughout (8)
52:10;71:14;72:2;
73:19;74:22;76:6;
92:16;95:9
throw (1)
123:4
throwing (3)
84:21;110:4,5
thunder (1)
61:22
Thus (3)
44:19,23;79:17
tickets (1)
35:14
Tie (1)
33:16
tight (1)

82:5
times (4)
96:1;109:23;
114:12;120:23
tion (1)
12:5
today (15)
34:23;35:5;52:18;
57:1;64:7,22;69:1;
75:22,25;83:14;
94:19;95:18;96:3;
100:7,23
together (4)
19:23;44:6;94:2;
110:3
told (4)
45:14;53:8;118:5;
125:6
Tom (1)
119:9
tomorrow (1)
118:9
tonight (12)
5:1;11:12;39:1;
44:12;46:25;57:12;
58:18;97:2,8;104:6;
112:13,16
took (2)
25:13;87:3
top (3)
101:22;113:25;
114:4
topic (1)
119:14
topography (1)
99:4
tough (1)
48:20
toward (2)
37:10;75:25
townhomes (1)
90:18
trade (1)
51:8
traditional (2)
38:8;90:17
traditionally (1)
49:7
traffic (1)
78:4
training (1)
7:5
transcript (1)
8:5
transmit (2)
45:4;46:7
trash (1)
94:7
Treasurer (4)
125:7,8,10,12
treated (1)
74:17
treatment (1)
76:1
tree (2)
87:3;98:22
tried (1)
49:25
triggering (1)
57:22
triggers (1)
43:13
triple (1)
94:3
triplex (3)
74:15;90:15,17
trouble (1)
63:25
trucks (3)
72:9;82:17;91:6
true (3)
15:17;83:4;118:23
Trust (1)
62:21
try (5)
28:15;37:4;57:11;
68:1;114:8
trying (5)
20:10;51:1;62:8;
63:1;118:6
Tucker (1)
118:12
turned (1)
66:7
turpitude (2)
49:3;68:19
twenty-five (1)
89:14
twice (2)
24:3;29:4
two (30)
5:17,18,23;6:12,
14,16,25;8:12,12,21;
9:6,17;10:3;11:25;
12:23;25:22;35:3;
38:15,20;51:1;63:12;
85:11;94:1,14,19;
95:19;118:8,11;
119:19;121:14
type (3)
35:15;69:16;82:8
typically (3)
84:20;86:20,22

U

unanimously (1)
105:8
unavailable (1)
5:1
un-business (1)
56:2
uncomfortable (3)
15:7;17:11;39:7
unconstitutional (1)
51:8
under (13)
14:5,20;15:16;
16:22;50:10;51:23;
54:15;61:7;69:1;
77:2;83:20;87:3;
120:7
under- (3)
11:13;13:4;19:16
understood (2)
9:22;107:17
underway (1)
124:18
unduly (1)
70:24
unenforceable (1)
98:19
unfortunate (1)
81:12
Unfortunately (1)
27:12
unfunded (1)
96:18
uniform (1)
82:25
uniformity (1)
73:17
unique (1)
73:6
unit (2)
115:12;119:17
United (4)
59:14,15;60:16;
63:20
units (3)
74:15;113:24,24
unknown (1)
14:13
unless (4)
32:10;75:16;77:3;
78:6
unpermitted (1)
84:7
unpopular (1)
122:20
up (65)
6:6,8;9:9;11:10,
21;16:2;23:4;24:4;
25:25;29:10;32:11;
39:1;45:19;47:21;
55:7,19;56:3;58:22;
61:4;62:13;65:7;
70:6;72:10;82:4,10,
13;83:1;84:17,18,21;
88:4,20;91:20,24;
92:18,19;93:13;
95:21,22;97:2;100:1;
102:1,7,8,24;104:9,
17;105:15;107:23;
109:9,18,25;111:5;
112:24;114:4;
115:10;118:4;
120:22;121:13,19;
122:19;123:12,20;
124:2,10
upon (7)
14:19;29:12;
44:13;52:1;54:14,16;
66:22
use (26)
17:13;20:20;
22:14;26:9;28:17;
29:17;31:8;32:16;
33:19;37:21;39:18;
40:6;41:1;42:15;
53:15;67:9;73:8;
78:3;79:15;90:25;
93:16,24;106:10;
119:16,20,23
used (8)
29:17;49:23;66:2;
84:16;93:20;120:4,
10;121:1
useful (1)
72:1
useless (2)
60:10,15
using (6)
15:7;62:12;63:22;
65:6;87:20;120:9
usually (4)
84:18;86:6;94:11;
96:12
ution (1)
117:9

V

valid (1)
103:4
variance (21)
20:23;21:1;22:17;
27:3;28:25;29:15,18,
23;30:6;31:2,9,14,
22;32:19;34:12;
36:10,25;39:3,8;
41:2;42:16
variances (7)
20:24,25;22:21;
27:19;33:23;34:2,9
varied (2)
34:3,10
various (1)
95:7
vehicles (1)
115:5
vendor (1)
14:7
verify (2)
66:5;90:9
version (2)
28:22;35:3
versus (2)
11:17;121:18
via (1)
97:21
video (1)
52:5
view (1)
91:18
violation (3)
48:12;59:9,10
violent (1)
95:23
virtue (1)
47:9
visible (4)
70:25;71:1;72:17;
99:8
visualizing (1)
78:2
void (1)
38:6
voluntarily (1)
71:15
vote (22)
5:14;8:9;17:14,16;
21:14;23:5;27:22;
29:2,3;30:3,4;33:16;
36:3;42:3;51:18;
58:15,17;97:2,7;
117:16,17;126:7
voted (15)
8:1,5;18:24;20:9;
25:21,22,23;26:1;
27:5;28:18;29:5;
34:7;38:25;40:7;
119:15
voting (4)
23:4,5;31:17;40:1

W

Wait (4)
23:20;99:22;
104:14;112:22
waiting (1)
109:15
waive (2)
21:17;23:2
Walk (1)
76:23
walked (1)
113:13
wall (1)
79:16
walls (1)
89:7
wants (5)
24:15;32:10;
46:23;55:18;61:4
warehouses (1)
90:24
warnings (2)
97:17;98:1
waste (6)
72:9;91:20;92:6,
16;94:19;95:12
Watanabe (1)
120:20

watch (4)
95:21;114:21;
123:19;124:19
water (1)
12:25
way (21)
8:10;13:4;15:6;
26:5;39:17;42:13;
49:12;53:4;57:20;
58:4;66:23;67:20;
69:21;77:15,18;
87:11;88:8;105:11;
117:17;118:19;124:4
ways (1)
67:13
web (2)
108:6;118:21
Wednesday (2)
113:4,6
WEED (94)
9:2;14:4;15:9,17;
16:17,19,21;17:2,5,9,
18,19;21:15,25;22:4;
25:9;26:3,8,12,23;
27:1,6,9,12,21;
28:11;29:7;30:7,20;
31:4;32:9;33:21,25;
34:18,21,23;36:4,7,
11,16,20,22;37:8;
38:7;39:14;40:13;
42:13,22;47:7;52:19,
24;53:1,21,25;54:20;
55:5,11,22;56:12;
57:8,19;58:9,12,19;
59:17,22;60:7,19,23;
61:3;62:3,7,17;63:5,
6,17;64:20;65:13;
66:14,17;67:3,9,19;
69:14;70:11;97:22;
98:16;112:12,15,19,
21;115:20;116:7,10
Weed's (2)
31:25;98:13
week (4)
4:18;5:2;57:10;
123:20
weeks (5)
7:4;105:9,10;
115:2,2
weight (2)
72:9;82:19
weird (2)
16:3;99:3
well-built (1)
83:5
well-designed (1)
108:17
well-written (1)
52:21
weren't (2)
44:2;49:24
what-have-you (1)
85:2
what's (8)
26:20;29:16;
59:20;63:3;71:12;
83:14;89:25;99:7
whatso- (1)
105:11
Whereupon (1)
126:19
whole (14)
13:3;16:2;30:21;
32:2;73:16;87:4,13;
106:24;108:2,18;
109:3,13;111:2;
124:13
whole- (1)
56:6
whomever (1)
66:7
who's (6)
44:15;67:24,25;
68:1;117:22;121:12
willing (1)
27:25
Windsor (1)
113:8
wire (1)
57:1
wire- (1)
119:15
wireless (1)
120:21
wise (1)
80:19
withdraw (4)
16:10;17:23;23:8;
31:12
within (9)
7:4;53:19;55:9;
56:4,19;63:13;81:9;
91:24;95:19
without (11)
21:1;34:1,3,12;
37:15,25;38:2;69:24;
86:7,9;87:10
Wonderful (2)
5:9;115:13
wood (1)
72:2
wooden (1)
74:12
word (3)
38:10,11;49:20
worded (1)
42:13
wording (1)
39:5
words (6)
17:6;38:16;49:23;
83:7;89:18;107:22
work (18)
13:23;14:19;16:8;
17:21;43:3;44:24;
47:24;71:9;86:6,9;
99:12;100:17;110:1;
113:13;115:13;
118:24;120:22,25
worked (3)
46:5;92:25;93:2
working (9)
57:9;79:17;89:13;
107:20,24;114:8;
115:12;120:13;121:6
works (2)
46:10;121:10
worry (1)
77:10
worth (1)
83:4
write (6)
35:14;71:7;98:20;
110:22;111:5;125:13
written (4)
57:21;77:19;
87:11;108:10
wrong (2)
76:16;102:12

Y

yard (3)
73:1;79:7;85:21
year (10)
25:13;44:19;80:8;
81:9;105:15,17,18;
106:12;110:16;116:3
years (13)
45:14,15,18;53:7;
59:16;62:1,16;63:3;
64:9;95:20;101:1,9;
119:19
Yessir (1)
42:22
yesterday (1)
120:21

Z

zone (2)
90:10,16
zoned (1)
74:20
zoning (7)
24:16;57:24;
83:16;94:12,13;
122:2,22