In The Matter Of:

City of Doraville

State of Georgia

City Council Meeting

June 28, 2011

American Court Reporting Company, Inc.

52 Executive Park South

Suite 5201

Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2217

(404) 892-1331 - (800) 445-2842

Original File 62203-1.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Page 0

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

CITY OF DORAVILLE

STATE OF GEORGIA

Transcript of the meeting held in the City Council Room at Doraville City Hall, 3725 Park Avenue, Doraville, Georgia, Mayor Pro Tem Maria Alexander presiding, before Theresa Bretch, Certified Court Reporter, commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m. on Tuesday, June 28, 2011.

* * *

Page 2

APPEARANCES:
Doraville City Council:
Mayor Pro Tem Maria Alexander
Councilmember Brian Bates
Councilmember Karen Pachuta
Councilmember Bob Roche
Councilmember Pam Fleming
Melissa McCain, City Clerk
Cecil G. McClendon, Jr., City Attorney
Riley McLendon, LLC
315 Washington Avenue
Marietta, GA 30060
(770) 590-5900

Page 3

P R O C E E D I N G S

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Meeting come to order.

If everyone will please stand for the Pledge.

- - -

(Pledge of Allegiance)

- - -

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Thank you.

Call the roll, please.

CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Bates?

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Here.

CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Fleming?

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Here.

CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Pachuta?

COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: Here.

CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Roche?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Here.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Approval of minutes, none.

We have no presentations this evening.

Public comments on agenda items. We will be having a public hearing on the millage rate. I suppose if you want to comment on the RFP for the engineering services, you can do so at this time.

Page 4

- - -

(No response)

- - -

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Okay. Seeing none, I'll continue on.

No reports that I know of from the various departments.

Planning and zoning, review and recommendation for engineering services, Mr. Cooley.

MR. COOLEY: Good evening. This is in response to the RFP that the City put out for commercial building plan review services.

We had six applicants, five of which were qualified. We had one that -- we had a requirement that they be architect/engineer, and they were disqualified because were just certified, no actual professional licensed staff on staff.

Had a good selections. We had some really good applicants, I believe. Clark Patterson Lee came in first. Moreland Altobelli -- excuse me if I'm mispronouncing that -- Associates, Precision Planning, IDS Global, Ware & Associates and Safebuilt.

It's kind of interesting, though, the ranking. Both I reviewed this and the -- Tony Pierce reviewed it also as the building inspector, separately

Page 5

1 ranked them.
2 Basically, we came out with the same
3 approach. It's a relative ranking. Interestingly
4 enough, Clark Patterson Lee came out the top ranked
5 one but also on hourly rate was also the lowest.
6 These were not based upon -- primarily on the rate.
7 They were rated upon their application and their
8 qualifications, and then we came back and put in the
9 rates. And it's interesting the way they fell,
10 though.
11 So I think it's a good application.
12 Our recommendation would be to award contract to Clark
13 Patterson and Lee. Glad to answer any questions.
14 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I have a
15 question, Mr. Cooley. In your experience, since we've
16 never had a contract for engineering services, in your
17 experience as community development director and your
18 planning positions, et cetera, as far as plan review
19 engineer, do you have them on staff longer than a year
20 at a time? Should the contract be longer than a year?
21 Should it be a multi-year contract? I'm just curious.
22 MR. COOLEY: I have absolutely no
23 problem with a year-to-year contract. I think it
24 gives some flexibility to the City and also to the
25 consultants and also gives you opportunity, if there

Page 6

1 are issues, to address those issues the next time it
2 comes around. So I think it's a very good approach.
3 One of the things, too, these services,
4 much of the services we can handle in-house and will
5 handle in-house. Tony can handle a lot of the
6 architectural reviews. We have stormwater. Steven
7 does that.
8 So where this will really be handy is
9 when we have a large-scale or very complex project
10 where all of a sudden, when we go gangbusters again,
11 we have so much work that needs to go out.
12 One thing I'm not sure about that I'd
13 like to check, though -- and it's kind of a side issue
14 -- is how we charge for those additional services.
15 Most places that I've seen, that I've worked, it's a
16 pass-through cost.
17 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: I believe
18 that's what we were doing.
19 MR. COOLEY: Okay, good, because --
20 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: It was a pass-
21 through.
22 MR. COOLEY: -- that's where it should
23 be, because, otherwise, the town could take a very
24 large hit.
25 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: Yeah. I think

Page 7

1 the City only spent about $6,000 in city engineering
2 services but I think there was like another $200,000
3 that was passed through to the applicants, so.
4 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Mr. Cooley, I
5 had requested all the invoices from Keck & Wood. And
6 what I have found is that whatever administration fees
7 that the previous planner was charging, it was on top
8 of and included with the invoice for the pass-through
9 fees. So unless you tried to match up the engineering
10 invoice to the City to the invoice for the client or
11 the prospective business owner, weren't sure whether
12 there were -- it was pass-through or whether we were
13 charging. So I would suggest that we have separate
14 invoices for plan review and not include it with our
15 own administration fees.
16 MR. COOLEY: No. I agree. The plan
17 review is actually to be set up -- I mean the initial
18 costs on it for our portion of the plan review would
19 be the initial fee, and then anything beyond that
20 would be the pass-through cost, and that would be a
21 separate line item if nothing else. Probably sep- --
22 I mean certainly a separate invoice because we're
23 getting invoiced from the engineering firm.
24 To mix it together like that doesn't
25 make a lot of sense.

Page 8

1 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Correct.
2 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: As far as GIS and
3 our zoning maps and other things like that, would that
4 be included in this agreement with ...
5 MR. COOLEY: They have those
6 capabilities. And this is a pretty broad contract,
7 because if you look in the actual language of the RFP,
8 there's the -- you can expand it. So I would love to
9 see us --
10 Right now we're on, I think, autocad
11 maps, which is hard to update, and sooner or later the
12 City is going to need to go to GIS. I know it's a
13 cost and it's not the right time, but sooner or later,
14 we're going to need to get there. And if nothing
15 else, I'd like to find out what the cost would be just
16 to convert that into a GIS. They've probably got the
17 database from ARC, a lot of the data, I would think.
18 That's one of the things I would like
19 to see what the cost was to maybe present to y'all
20 later, see if it's feasible or not feasible. But it
21 would be so much easier, especially if we can get the
22 database.
23 And sooner or later when we get into
24 electronic filings and electronic reporting of
25 applications and stuff, it's wonderful, because you'll

Page 9

have it right there. You go to the map, you pop on it, you'll see all the permits that are there. You'll see when they were issued, the fees that were charged, you know.

It's the way it's done now. And it's such an incredible help, even to the point where we can get electronic plans in and we don't have all this issue. Right now, we're looking at plans stored in three different locations here in the City and trying to figure out the best way to separate them and store them and make heads or tail of them.

So you know, it just -- it's a good system we'll get into someday when we need to. But I really do want to find out what it would cost, because we wouldn't have a startup cost in something like that if someone's just putting and managing it for us. I don't think the cost would be that bad on it.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: But as far as right now, we've been doing that with Keck & Wood.

Will we continue to do that with Keck & Wood or --

MR. COOLEY: We can. It's -- I've got to talk to Melissa about what our contract is. I believe our contract with Keck & Wood is on an item-by-item or project-by-project basis, in which case,

Page 10

you know, we have the -- we have the flexibility to go either direction on it, I think. And it certainly might be worth -- depending on what the cost is, may want to go out to RFP on it. I don't know.

But I'd like to explore it to see where it's at. But I really -- if Keck & Wood could get it into GIS as opposed to autocad, it would be great, because this last time, just these minor changes that were made and these updates and notes and things like that, you know, it took two and a half weeks, and it's absurd. I wasn't real happy with that.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: My other question is, on their fee proposal, is it $75.00 per hour for time or time and materials?

MR. COOLEY: That's time. It's professional time. Really not much materials involved in something like that. Basically, they're reviewing the plan, so it's professional time you're paying for.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: As far as --

MR. COOLEY: Evaluation doesn't have any materials involved unless --

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: That would not include the writing up of the documentation, reports or anything?

MR. COOLEY: The hourly -- the hourly

Page 11

rate would be that time involved in that. I'm assuming they wouldn't charge us for a copy of it. But we'll get electronic copy anyway and we'll print our own.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: All right. Thank you.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I have a quick question. As you probably know by now, we have a LEED ordinance here, and I don't believe you're LEED certified.

MR. COOLEY: No. I'll be taking a class, though, shortly. Mr. Pierce is also taking two classes. There was funding put in from a grant that we got to pay for the course is my understanding.

So that's been signed up. I have not signed up yet. I need to. I just haven't gotten around to it but --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay. Could you get us the information on this grant, please.

MR. COOLEY: Sure. I'd be glad to.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'd like to know how much it's costing and all that, but just kind of wanted to get that.

MR. COOLEY: Yeah, I'll be glad to.

I think Luke was the one that actually

Page 12

got the grant for it, and I think it pays all costs for the course.

CLERK McCAIN: It is Mr. Howe, and it does pay for all their training costs.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'm just wondering what the training costs were, so, because there's costs like you guys not being here and --

MR. COOLEY: Right, that's true.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- all that sort of thing.

MR. COOLEY: But it is local. I believe it's over in Sandy Springs, if I'm not mistaken, where the training course is actually held. So travel's not bad, which is a good thing.

But I haven't -- I don't know what the cost is because I really haven't -- I've been negligent in signing myself up. I've been involved in other things. I'll do it tomorrow.

CLERK McCAIN: And I have not seen it come through yet.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I guess the main reason I brought that up is that the top two people here are LEED certified --

MR. COOLEY: Yeah.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- so they can

Page 13

1 handle that until we get that straight, but.
2 MR. COOLEY: Which is another of the
3 top two I think was a --
4 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Right.
5 MR. COOLEY: -- really good thing, both
6 of them.
7 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'll make a
8 motion that we accept the proposal from Clark
9 Patterson Lee at the hourly rate of $75.00 for a total
10 of one year.
11 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: Second.
12 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any further
13 discussion?
14 - - -
15 (No response)
16 - - -
17 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Call the
18 roll, please.
19 CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Bates?
20 COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
21 CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Fleming?
22 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
23 CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Pachuta?
24 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: Yes.
25 CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Roche?

Page 14

1 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes. Thank you.
2 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Thank you,
3 Mr. Cooley.
4 MR. COOLEY: Welcome.
5 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Now we're
6 going to proceed on to our second of three public
7 hearings regarding the proposed millage rate as
8 advertised, so I'll open up the public hearing now for
9 comments.
10 If you'd please approach the podium and
11 state your name and address.
12 Mr. Hart?
13 MR. HART: Raising the -- There's an
14 elephant in the room, and that's Dekalb County, and
15 Dekalb County wants to raise its millage rate.
16 And I got something in an e-mail with
17 Charlene Feng about declining property values in the
18 metro area. Of course that was offset today by news
19 that actually home prices have started to go back up
20 again.
21 But it's interesting. Government,
22 whether it's gasoline or it's homes, when prices drop,
23 government's first reaction is to score a tax increase
24 in the valley, and then when it goes back up again,
25 they seem to forget all about what they were doing

Page 15

1 when they raised the taxes when they were short.
2 And it's interesitng, this study, what
3 Charlene sent. It didn't take in effect -- didn't
4 take into account, you know, cars, commercial
5 businesses, commercial properties. It didn't take any
6 of those things into account. And the commercial --
7 the commercial properties and the automobile industry
8 have all gone through this downturn, and people have
9 reduced wages, and I'm sure that people in the city
10 have tightened their belts because of the loss of
11 income.
12 And it seems like government doesn't
13 seems to be -- they have a Teflon approach to it.
14 Like if their numbers go down, then we obviously can't
15 go down because we're government. Okay? The rest of
16 society has to accept this downturn in the economy and
17 tighten their belt up.
18 And I don't support a millage rate
19 increase here. I was one that voted for the millage
20 decrease to 7-1/2.
21 I notice that Ms. Fleming seems to
22 think that the past Mayor thought that was a mistake.
23 But it wasn't a mistake. We moved it
24 down to 7-1/2. Y'all moved it back up to 8, and now
25 you want to move it from 8 to 9.

Page 16

1 But what has happened in the meantime
2 in the last four years, government has grown
3 exponentially in every single department. And until
4 that's faced and we bring it back to an era when we
5 had mill rate of 7-1/2, the government matches that
6 same budget of when it was 7-1/2, then we can start
7 talking about changes.
8 But I think to change it to 9 right now
9 in the face of the economy and everything going on in
10 the neighborhoods and the fact that you haven't done
11 the job of cutting the government back to a time when
12 we were living on 7-1/2 mills.
13 Thank you.
14 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any
15 additional comments?
16 - - -
17 (No response)
18 - - -
19 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: At this time,
20 seeing none, we'll close the public hearing and bring
21 the subject back up to the Council for discussion.
22 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I believe, if
23 I'm not mistaken, our first year on the Council when
24 we were discussing millage rate, that Mayor Jenkins at
25 that time made the comment, though I don't have the

Page 17

1 minutes in front of me, that he felt that it had been
2 a mistake that we had lowered. And that's what I had
3 mentioned to Mr. Hart, and that's where he'd gotten
4 that information from.
5 However, in consideration of moving
6 backward and taking 7-1/2 mills and using the
7 projected revenues and the projected expenditures from
8 that era -- e-r-a -- we would find ourselves at even
9 more of a deficit than we are today, because the
10 expenditures were greater, revenues were greater. And
11 so we would find ourselves right now, based on our
12 economy, at even a greater loss.
13 No one likes to have a tax increase. I
14 am not in favor of a millage increase and I don't
15 imagine that the residents are going to either.
16 I think we still need to consider the
17 information that we've received from J. Lanier, and
18 will we be discussing that this evening, the
19 insurance?
20 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: We can vote
21 to add it to the agenda if you so desire.
22 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I just -- the
23 projected revenues, I just want to be sure that we're
24 using 9 mills, because our projected revenues were
25 based on and projected expenses were based on some of

Page 18

1 the figures that we were getting from them.
2 Yes or no; I don't recall.
3 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: No. The
4 projected budget is based on our GMA premiums.
5 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Okay. That's
6 all I wanted to comment.
7 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I had a quick
8 question. When is our last meeting on this? I tried
9 to look. For some reason --
10 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I believe
11 it's tomorrow.
12 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Tomorrow night?
13 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Uh-huh, at
14 7:00.
15 CLERK McCAIN: Yes, sir.
16 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: 7 o'clock?
17 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Uh-huh.
18 CLERK McCAIN: 7:00 p.m.
19 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I just come up
20 every night, and if there's cars in the parking lot, I
21 stop.
22 But seriously, for some reason or
23 another, our Internet access is down, so you might
24 want to check with them about that.
25 I mean I've already made myself clear

Page 19

1 on how I feel about this. I don't think that -- I
2 think their spending is out of control. I agree with
3 Mr. Hart on some things.
4 One thing I have to disagree about,
5 though, is that several of our departments have been
6 taking budget hits year after year after year except
7 for one department.
8 And some information, most of which
9 I've provided to the Council, that's come from someone
10 who seems to have -- who has a hobby of statistical
11 comparisons has made it clear that what we spend on
12 one department, which is the police department --
13 He said the only place that -- the only
14 city he could find that had a higher percentage of
15 police per thousand people was Washington, D.C.. And
16 they are, by every measure -- We're just twice as much
17 if not three times as much as the spending in other --
18 in you know, other municipalities in terms of police
19 per thousand, in terms of cost per capita, in terms
20 of, you know, percentage of everything. It's just --
21 it's just amazing.
22 You know, even the gas. Even our
23 budgeted gas is like -- well, we're budgeting more for
24 gas than Dunwoody is, and they're much, much bigger
25 than we are.

Page 20

1 So I think that that in particular -- I
2 mean we -- I think we have 46 people, and of that,
3 only 23 are actually patrolmen. You know, we have all
4 of this middle management going on.
5 And it wouldn't matter if we made 15,
6 if our revenues were 15 million dollars. There's no
7 point in spending money that we shouldn't be spending.
8 We need to right-size all of our departments, you
9 know.
10 I think about Madison -- and Mr. Cooley
11 happens to be here -- Madison, Georgia. And at the
12 time I was there last or I've heard from them, they
13 had a full-time development staff of three people, and
14 their population is 3,500 people. And they have a
15 very robust, very nice -- you know, by all means, go
16 and visit.
17 So I think that we have a lopsided
18 budget as it is, so.
19 But again, I can't see -- even though I
20 understand that raising the millage rate will not
21 necessarily mean an increase in taxes, because
22 everyone's property value is down so the basis is
23 down, I still -- still can't see raising it, and I
24 still can't see lowering the tax exemption either.
25 So I will not be voting in favor of

Page 21

this, so. Thank you.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any additional comments?
- - -
(No response)
- - -
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I appreciate Councilman Roche's comments. However, I do want to point out that on our proposed budget, the largest reductions have come out of the police department.
Was I very disappointed in another department when I gave them a quota, I gave them a figure to come back to with cuts, and they did not. And I expressed my disappointment with that department head, and it did no good.
Have I seen inefficiencies since I've been in the office of Mayor? Absolutely. But I feel like my hands are tied to do anything about them.
I think the budget is probably the best that the City has had in a very long time, and I have been more thorough than I'm sure someone sitting in my seat before me has.
I'm extremely anal and OCD when it comes to numbers. Do I have concerns? Yes, I do.
Regarding the homestead exemption, it

Page 22

is not fair that I do not pay city property taxes on my home. It is not fair that the majority of the residential property taxes are paid out of one neighborhood, and that would be Northwoods.
So I think it's very important that the homestead exemption is lowered so that all of us pay city taxes.
We are high maintenance. Residential property costs more for city services than commercial. We have been letting the commercial take care of this city since 1948, and that needs to change.
And I hope the homestead does get lowered. I don't think it was taken into account how high it was when General Motors closed, and it's not fair that I am not paying city property taxes and I'm receiving the same services as someone that is paying property taxes.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Question on your statistics for Northwoods paying more on property taxes. Is that because there's more homes in Northwoods?
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: There are more homes over $125,000, and that's the break point for paying any city taxes.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I haven't seen

Page 23

specifics yet, but as far as the police department budget, the only losses I can tell -- and I have to just surmise because I haven't seen the details yet -- are jailers. We're basically getting out of the jail -- the jailing business --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: You were given --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- like other cities.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- the budget work sheets that showed reductions in line items.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Right. But, see, they don't break -- the jail is not broken out into a separate line item for the police department. It's all lumped together.
So if we have 46 -- 45 sworn officers, how many of those positions are being eliminated? I don't think any of them are.
So to say that the police is losing people, other than the five furlough days that's across the board, I don't see any impact whatsoever to the police department. They still have the drive-home cars, still have DEA agents that don't work in the city, still have all these things. So I don't see any impact on them at all except for the five furlough

Page 24

days.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: So you --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And I hope it's -- I hope I'm wrong, but I haven't seen it.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: You think the police department hasn't seen an increase in their benefits the way the other departments have?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'm sorry? Benefits?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yeah, paying their share of the benefits.
So you assume the police department hasn't had to pay 20 percent of their health insurance like every other employee?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Of course they have.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Okay.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: My point, though, is that other departments year after year have been taking hits, and year after year, other departments as individual departments have --
You know, Carol Stephens seemed like year after year after year, we'd get another 30 grand, another 40 grand. You know, we've needed a bathroom up at the library year after year after year, and

Page 25

1 we've said no, you know.
2 We still have a sacred cow. There's no
3 two ways about it.
4 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And in my
5 opinion, the sacred cow is not the police department.
6 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: To me, the
7 sacred cow is public works. We have three people
8 cutting grass, one of them making $46,000 a year for
9 cutting grass. That's ridiculous. We have one person
10 whose full-time job is to drive the clam truck and one
11 person whose full-time job is to drive the street
12 sweeper. To me, that is a sacred cow.
13 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Again, we have
14 46, 47, something like that, sworn officers in a city
15 that needs maybe 20, 25.
16 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: And that
17 department head in public works refused to take any
18 cuts or to evaluate his department to see if he could
19 run more efficiently.
20 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, let's keep
21 the two separate.
22 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: It wasn't
23 refused. It was not refused.
24 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: I believe
25 Ms. Alexander would disagree with that.

Page 26

1 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I got one
2 suggestion back from him, and he was given a dollar
3 amount to come to the table with.
4 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: See, when you're
5 losing the argument, you change the subject.
6 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And I asked
7 him if he needed help.
8 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: They do more
9 than just cut grass.
10 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I have the
11 job tasks. I will give you a copy of them. I offered
12 that before. I offered to meet with you and go over
13 their job tasks.
14 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Do they not
15 more than just cut grass?
16 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Three
17 employees, that's what their job task is listed as.
18 And I didn't do the job tasks.
19 COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Right; along
20 with --
21 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: That came from
22 Bobby, and he listed that the job tasks for those
23 three employees was only cutting grass.
24 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: You know, we're
25 not talking about the police department. We just keep

Page 27

1 changing the subject, so.
2 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I don't think
3 it is in the best interest of the citizens of this
4 city to cut law enforcement officers.
5 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I didn't say
6 that.
7 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: No. That is
8 exactly what you were saying, Mr. Roche.
9 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: That is what
10 you said.
11 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: What I said
12 was --
13 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Do you think
14 the police --
15 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- middle
16 management.
17 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: You think
18 that we need to cut law enforcement officers.
19 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: We have 14 -- the
20 last I saw, we have 14 lieutenants and sergeants and
21 we have four or five detectives.
22 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And those
23 lieutenants and sergeants patrol the streets.
24 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I don't see
25 evidence of that, and --

Page 28

1 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Have you
2 not --
3 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- I don't
4 understand ---
5 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- seen
6 Lieutenant Hudgens --
7 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I don't
8 understand --
9 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- in your
10 neighborhood?
11 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: They patrol the
12 streets all the time.
13 COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I'm not
14 going to be able to talk without being interrupted.
15 So I've said my point. I think I've
16 gotten it on the record.
17 I know what's going to happen. I mean
18 we all have our marching orders and --
19 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And I --
20 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: I do not have
21 marching orders from anyone, Mr. Roche.
22 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Okay.
23 COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: My concern is
24 for the city and for the residents and to spend this
25 money wisely.

Page 29

I do not take marching orders from anyone other than keeping the city's best interests at the top of my priority list.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: The police department came and they offered to cut from two departments. I heard from multiple residents; they did not support that idea.

So I went back to the police department and I told them that was not a suitable alternative, to come back to me with more information and more proposals, and they did just that.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Time will tell.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: So Mr. Roche, I just want to clarify what you said previously.

You had mentioned 44 or 45 sworn officers and then you subsequently said that you thought that the city should only have 20 or so. Is that correct?

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I don't know the exact number. I think that we should do a comparison study, like other people have done, to other cities with our population and our square miles.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Well, I just --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Essentially what we have here with our three and a half square miles

Page 30

and our 8,300 people, we have a police department that's the same size as Dunwoody with I think it's 12 square miles and 48,000 people.

Something's wrong there; but we're not going to fix it, so.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: I just want to clarify again, that you suggested cutting the number of uniform police officers by half.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I didn't necessarily say that, so.

I know you're looking for something to put on a campaign thing, so.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: We can play it back.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's what -- that's all it is here.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: We can --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: It's all about politics, so.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: We can -- Well, I'm not up for reelection so it's not really that relevant to me.

We can play back, the court reporter, and find out exactly what you did say.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's fine; by

Page 31

all means.

I think that we need to go back to -- I think we need to do a comparison. I think our budget needs to be in line with other cities like Norcross, like Chamblee was before they had their annexation; and we are just way, way lopsided.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: And you're basing it on per capita numbers. And if you'd look at different ways of evaluating the budget and the dollars spent, our police department is in line --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, all --

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- with other cities.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- of those other cities --

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: But you're not going to let me finish; you're going to interrupt, so --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Okay.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: -- you know. You know, that's -- you know, the game plays both ways, sir.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: By all means.

I guess I -- You know, we moved -- You know, it's a -- it was a foregone conclusion, so, but

Page 32

at least I'm on the record.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes, you are.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any additional discussion?

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I just want to throw this in. If I'm not mistaken -- and I'd have to go back to the minutes when Chief King was standing there, but I believe he said he had 23 sworn officers that were patrolling the streets, and I think that's where the --

My perception is that we were overstaffed, overstaffed in certified police officers. If I only hear that you're cutting grass and not realizing that they're doing everything else, then I think they need to be cut.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, as far as the number of patrolmen, if we have 23, I don't see why we need to cut that. That's not what the problem is.

The problem is in middle management. The problem is we're paying full-time employees to work outside the city of Doraville. And that's where the -- And the problem is with drive-home cars. The problem is $200,000 a year for gas. Those is where the problems are. It's not the cop on the street.

Page 33

The boots on the ground, keep them where they are.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And lieutenants --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I would never -- and never once have I ever voted against the fact. Usually, I made the motion to replace patrolman positions when they were empty, every single time.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And lieutenants and sergeants are out on patrol.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well --
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: And we have --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- again --
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: -- one employee who works with the Drug Enforcement Agency. We have two other employees who work overtime for the Drug Enforcement Agency but their time is reimbursed to us. It costs us nothing. We are not paying them to work for DEA.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No, only the overtime is reimbursed.
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: And they only work overtime for the DEA.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: The full-time employee gets paid --

Page 34

COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: One --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- regular time, and we pay them.
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: One full-time employee does work for DEA helping keep drugs off the street in this area, including Doraville, and with that, we get the benefits of the DEA working with us on drug investigations.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's one too many. That person should be -- that money should be used to protect inside the city limits of the city of Doraville. We don't have money to be spending outside the city limits of Doraville.
But like I said, don't take my word for it. Compare per capita, compare policemen per thousand, compare -- compare any of these factors against other cities that look like us, and the numbers don't lie.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Did you compare the crime rates?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No, I didn't, but I'm sure the crime rates are about the same.
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: I believe Norcross and Chamblee were in the top 10 worst in the metro area.

Page 35

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'm not sure about that, but like I said, anyway, we know what's going to happen, so.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: You have the proposed budget. You've had it for a week. Do you have any other suggestions for it other than laying off law enforcement officers?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No. I'm saying we need to eliminate positions.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And we are doing so.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: But we're -- we're not coming up with a balanced budget.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: There's three hundred thousand -- $383,000 shortfall, and the library's budget is $392,000. Do you want to make a motion to close our library?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Absolutely not, because we need to have --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Then, give me some suggestions.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, again, I'm sure that we could -- Without compromising public safety, we could reorganize and make our police department a lean and mean police department by

Page 36

eliminating positions in middle management, by sharing resources with other cities like Sandy Springs does with their SWAT team; by shipping our prisoners down to Dekalb County, which is only 10 miles away, because we're already paying for that service; by eliminating or reducing sharply drive-home automobiles.
You know, I could go on and on, but --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Our officers --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- those are some.
So you just me asked me for --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And I asked --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- suggestions.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- if any of --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And there you go.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And I'm saying to you --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: There's your three hundred grand.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- the officers are contributing to driving home their take-home cars. In the revenue statements you were given,

Page 37

there is a revenue line item for police take-home cars.

As it has been explained to us by attorneys, that if we take our prisoners to Dekalb County, we're actually losing revenues because they're not going through their first hearing here at PD.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Which means we'd save money on court costs --

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: We'd lose --

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- we'd save money --

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- revenues.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- on detectives.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: We'd lose revenues.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: This is not free money. We -- I give up.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: We'd lose revenues. I'm just -- That's a statement.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: We'd also cost us less money because we lose expenditures for courts and we lose -- well.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Chief Judge Carter gave us a proposal. Today he enacted it. He -- one judge handled 400 court cases himself. There

Page 38

were not three courtrooms run today; there was one. He saved us money and processed 400 court cases.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And we would save more money if we processed 300 court cases rather than 400.

COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: Except that our police officers would have to spend time at the Dekalb County jail and the Dekalb County courthouse.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: It would go through Dekalb County recorders court, not our municipal court.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'm just chasing the dog around the yard, you know, if we can't talk about one thing at a time.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Well, please come up with the crime rates of those other cities that you were doing the per capita comparison on the officers.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, again, by all means, you know, so.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I'd appreciate that information.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: You ignored all the other information. Why wouldn't you ignore this too? You ignore the comparisons; you ignore all the

Page 39

numbers. So anyway.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I am concerned about the take-home cars. I would like to know how many are being taken home and how many miles they're being driven, because we are doing the property and liability on those vehicles.

The general budget, the police budget is rolling vehicles every two years. I mean every year they're replacing two vehicles. And if they were to be on site here at the police department, we may not have to be turning them every single year or every two years.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I don't believe that we do. We only have two Crown Vics left, I think. We have -- The insurance listed the age of the vehicles. It's in your proposal for insurance.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: The amount of fees that we're projecting is $4,000, which isn't an awful lot of money compared to ...

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: No, I don't believe that's true on the revenue, the proposed budget.

MS. WILSON: Oh, no.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: It says projected revenue amount, millage rate nine, other

Page 40

fees, PD take-home cars, $4,000.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I don't think that's correct, Ms. Wilson.

MS. WILSON: No. I thought we updated that amount.

COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: On the back of this agenda item cover sheet, it's $4,000 for millage rate 8, 8-1/2, 9, 9-1/2 and 10.

MS. WILSON: I'll check that number, because me and Ms. Alexander worked on that and I know we changed that number.

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: This number is not correct, because it's showing 9.2 million dollars and projected revenue at 9 mills, and that number has been updated to closer to 9.7, so.

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And it's my understanding that the costs that we get from the police department for police officers is only the mileage that we earn when they use the police car for off-duty. We do not get anything when they drive it back and forth from home. That's why the number's so small. I think it was like -- I seem to remember $3,500 last year, but I don't have that one, but, so.

MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Are we going to ask the public works director to park his truck

Page 41

also?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: That's fine with me if we do everybody across the board.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: And Chief King too, dear?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: And Chief King and --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Well, I know he comes out on an emergency. When we have an emergency here in the city, Bobby calls the people that live in the city to respond. He doesn't respond.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: We don't have anybody that lives in the city from the police department?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Park the truck. I really don't care. Park the truck. One truck --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: One truck --
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- compared to 50.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- and 46 vehicles, versus 46 vehicles.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: One truck compared to 50.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any further discussion?

Page 42

COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: I would like Mr. Pittman to come back with some more cuts, because I do not feel that he has taken any, and based on the description he gave you for --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: The budget has to be passed tomorrow night.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Excuse me. What was your motion?
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: It wasn't a motion. I just -- it was a suggestion.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Suggestion to do what?
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: I would like Mr. Pittman to make some more cuts in his department. He did not come back with very much.
And based on the job descriptions he provided Maria, there is a lot of overlap and there's a lot of areas which can be combined. We don't need the clam truck out on the street every. We don't need the street sweeper out on the street every day.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I agree with you. Let's go with that suggestion.
And I make a suggestion that Chief King come back to us, and instead of offering to get rid of the animal control and the E-911 -- and that was going

Page 43

to be his token offering to begin with.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: No, that's not true. It's QOL.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Excuse me. QOL and animal control was his token offering to cut the police department.
Sure, cut out two departments of the police department.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And he went back and he came back, didn't he.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes, he did.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Okay.
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: He's removed about $800,000 from his budget.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Well, I would like Chief King to come back with additional points, because --
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Sure.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- at this point it's -- it's not -- it's 13 percent.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And you yourself called a department that I was concerned that he had cut too much, and you asked him to cut even more. And he did. He cut another $11,000.
Why didn't you call public works and

Page 44

ask them to cut more?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: I did.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: And he didn't.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: And he came to you and he gave you $15,000, did he not?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: No, he did not.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: He did not give you $15,760 and some odd change?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Public works?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: No.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: For 13 weeks, 35 hours a week --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: That was the --
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: -- for 13 weeks.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: -- only cut he came to me with.
I gave him a lot higher number than that, and that's the only cut he had. He did.
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Well, have you looked at his budget to determine where you would like

Page 45

to cut some line items?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yes, I have. And I've also looked at the same size cities, their public works departments, that their budget's $100,000 less?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: What is our current cash balance with the CDs? Last I heard, the CDs was about 1.8.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: The CDs are 1.8. I don't have the other cash balances in my head.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Do we have an idea --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yes, I do.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- of what our cash balances are?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I can give it to you after the meeting. It's in my office.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No. I think it's better to go on the record.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I don't have it with me. It's in my office.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No idea? Not a million? Not $800,000? Not five million? Not two million?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I believe in

Page 46

the general operating fund right now we're at 1.2, I believe it is.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: So we got about 3 million dollars.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: That doesn't include our various savings accounts.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Well, I just -- you said 1.2 plus the 1.8 is about 3 million.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yeah, and then we have some additional moneys in savings accounts. I don't have that in my hand.
I'll be more than happy to make you a copy of the balance sheet.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Please.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: I get it every day.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'm just concerned. I'm not even sure we'll have enough --
Do we have a cash requirements projection --
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yes.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: -- for the rest of the year?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: For the rest of this year?

Page 47

COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: Yes.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yes; this fiscal year.
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: I'd like to see that too, please.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: You've already been given that information. I gave you the average monthly payables when we first started unfortunately experiencing this subject.
Any additional discussion from Council?
- - -
(No response)
- - -
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Public comments?
MR. ANDERSON: Stuart Anderson. I'm sorry for the discord, but I know it's all, I suspect, heart-felt.
Earlier, Tom commented something about the case study that Charlene provided. I looked at it and I saw that pretty much all the four counties that were affected -- like the graph that she provided was difficult for me to gauge accurately. But they all seemed to have a rise and fall very similar, so I don't see that there's any great knowledge there

Page 48

except that we're all going up and down.
I stated at the last meeting on this that I felt that the millage rate was going to be basically a wash because of the lessened home values, and I still think that, so.
Something Maria said -- and I understand, you know, we need more taxes from the R-1s, from the residents. But all cities for all time have always done business off the backs of the businesspeople. They pay more taxes. That's the way it works; that's the way capitalism works. R-1s are supposed to be basically revenue neutral.
Mr. Cooley's on board. We're going to start getting some more taxes in the city. That's how we're going to get out of this, not by cutting each other's throats. I apologize for that. But we need more revenue, we need more tax base. We have got location, location, location. There's no reason we shouldn't be supporting ourselves with tax base.
Our previous planner, I apologize, but that whole function was not only a dead loss but a constant negative that has put us in a hell of a hole. The only way we're going to get better is to get business in here, not be kind to business but at least talk to them and zone so that they can do business in

Page 49

1 the city.
2 Development is the way out of this.
3 Thank you. Sorry.
4 MS. CRAWFORD: Susan Crawford,
5 Oakcliff.
6 And Stuart, I actually agreed with you
7 up until the end. Really, that's what we need is good
8 businesses. I agree with that.
9 And I would like to say, as a resident,
10 that when you're making the cut -- and I understand
11 they are really necessary for all the departments.
12 But keep in mind that we are living here and that many
13 of us have lost a lot in the way of revenue and jobs,
14 money, income.
15 And there are very -- there are some
16 very basic things that we need, and a lot of those are
17 the library, the police department, whatever, and I
18 would ask you to not make cuts where you're going to
19 adversely affect the residents.
20 And that goes for the police department as
21 well. And I think, you know, go right past getting
22 rid of the 911 system, since on the way to work the
23 other day, I saw an accident and tried to call 911. I
24 was on 85 and got Dekalb County. I was put on hold,
25 waited, waited. And then a recording came on and told

Page 50

1 me how grateful they were that I was still on hold,
2 and this was, you know, 911. I would hate to see us
3 sink there into that sort of thing.
4 And as far as Norcross goes, not a real
5 good example. I have some friends who live off
6 Singleton Road, and two different sets of friends
7 who -- you know, there are drive-by shootings all the
8 time, and so it's a really bad situation over there
9 with the police department.
10 So I hope when you make the police
11 department cuts, it's not the officers on the street,
12 because we really -- we really do need them.
13 And on a happy note, NPR reported that
14 Atlanta and the surrounding area is one of the places
15 in the country where the housing is -- houses have --
16 housing prices are going up, so that includes us.
17 MR. CULLEN: I'm Jerry Cullen.
18 Northwoods.
19 I'd like to say I agree with Karen,
20 with public works. When you call public works because
21 a tree falls in the neighborhood and you have three
22 uniform police officers coming to cut down the dang
23 tree while public works is standing there watching,
24 it's time for them to go. And if Bobby can't cut it,
25 get rid of him.

Page 51

1 As for the animal control, if we're not
2 in compliance with animal control, why keep it,
3 because it's just going to be a lawsuit in the making.
4 MR. HART: What put us in the hole is
5 bad building. The reason why Buford Highway looks
6 like it does, we built houses in the middle of flood
7 plains, townhouses that weren't townhouses, it's
8 called bad zoning and bad building and bad planning.
9 And if we're going to go back to the do-
10 what-you-want-to-do planning technique that we've had
11 in the past --
12 One thing that Scott brought to us was
13 all those wholesale businesses along Buford Highway
14 and the slipshod construction, it's a tough job, like
15 Chuck had, to stop it. So hopefully, we're not going
16 to go back to the good old days of do-what-you-want-
17 to-do building.
18 On cuts, gas, police department and the
19 maintenance department, I offered to construct a gas
20 station for them for free, which would have saved us a
21 lot of money. We buy a teaspoon at a time from the
22 petroleum companies in a little jobber truck instead
23 of a tanker truck full of fuel.
24 It's absurd. It causes cars being
25 stopped because they have poor quality fuel in them.

Page 52

1 It's just a bad thing all the way around. Okay.
2 We offered to build that. I worked
3 with John and the maintenance department on that, to
4 put the gas station in. Again, it got stopped.
5 Take-home cars, it's absurd. We've got
6 more cars in Jefferson, Georgia than Jefferson,
7 Georgia has police cars. Okay.
8 City Hall, there's $200,000 worth of
9 employees sitting in City Hall, and with cash and
10 prizes, that means -- That could be cut. I mean I
11 don't know why we have two certified clerks or we have
12 a P.R. guy in the back office. I don't know what he
13 does.
14 Okay. We can consolidate services in
15 City Hall. We can move everything into one location.
16 Why do we have a police academy, little
17 hearts and minds program with the police department?
18 How much does that cost us every year?
19 MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: That's been
20 discontinued.
21 MR. HART: And we can lose three
22 employees in the maintenance department. I will offer
23 my services to help you with that, in getting rid of
24 three people in the maintenance department, and
25 actually it will improve their service quality.

Page 53

Okay. I got more, but that's all I got.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got what?
MR. HART: I've got two pages.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You can take a break and come back for next three.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any additional comments?
- - -
(No response)
- - -
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Motion to adjourn, please?
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: So moved.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Second?
COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Second.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Any discussion?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: Yes, because I was going to make a motion to add the insurance onto this agenda because I'm not sure what we're going to be doing about it, but that's all right.
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Call the roll, please.
CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Bates?

Page 54

COUNCILMEMBER BATES: Yes.
CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Fleming?
COUNCILMEMBER FLEMING: No.
CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Pachuta?
COUNCILMEMBER PACHUTA: Yes.
CLERK McCAIN: Councilmember Roche?
COUNCILMEMBER ROCHE: No.
CLERK McCAIN: Mayor?
MAYOR PRO TEM ALEXANDER: Yes.
- - -
(Meeting adjourned at approximately 7:25 p.m.)
-o0o-

Page 55

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF GEORGIA]
COUNTY OF DEKALB]

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken down, as stated in the caption, and the proceedings were reduced to typewriting under my direction and control.

I further certify that the transcript is a true and correct record of the evidence given at the said proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither a relative or employee or attorney or counsel to any of the parties, nor financially or otherwise interested in this matter.

This the 7th day of July, 2011.

_____

Theresa Bretch, CCR
Permit No. B-755

[SEAL]

$

$100,000 (1)
45:4
$11,000 (1)
43:24
$125,000 (1)
22:23
$15,000 (1)
44:6
$15,760 (1)
44:10
$200,000 (3)
7:2;32:24;52:8
$3,500 (1)
40:23
$383,000 (1)
35:15
$392,000 (1)
35:16
$4,000 (3)
39:18;40:1,7
$46,000 (1)
25:8
$6,000 (1)
7:1
$75.00 (2)
10:13;13:9
$800,000 (2)
43:14;45:23

1

1.2 (2)
46:1,8
1.8 (3)
45:8,10;46:8
10 (3)
34:24;36:4;40:8
12 (1)
30:2
13 (3)
43:20;44:14,18
14 (2)
27:19,20
15 (2)
20:5,6
1948 (1)
22:11

2

20 (3)
24:13;25:15;29:17
23 (3)
20:3;32:8,17
25 (1)
25:15

3

3 (2)
46:3,8
3,500 (1)
20:14
30 (1)
24:23
300 (1)
38:4
35 (1)
44:15

4

40 (1)
24:24
400 (3)
37:25;38:2,5
44 (1)
29:15
45 (2)
23:16;29:15
46 (5)
20:2;23:16;25:14;
41:20,21
47 (1)
25:14
48,000 (1)
30:3

5

50 (2)
41:19,23

7

7 (1)
18:16
7:00 (2)
18:14,18
7:25 (1)
54:11
7-1/2 (6)
15:20,24;16:5,6,12;
17:6

8

8 (3)
15:24,25;40:8
8,300 (1)
30:1
8-1/2 (1)
40:8
85 (1)
49:24

9

9 (5)
15:25;16:8;17:24;
40:8,14
9.2 (1)
40:13
9.7 (1)
40:15
9-1/2 (1)
40:8
911 (3)
49:22,23;50:2

A

able (1)
28:14
absolutely (3)
5:22;21:17;35:18
absurd (3)
10:11;51:24;52:5
academy (1)
52:16
accept (2)
13:8;15:16
access (1)
18:23
accident (1)
49:23
account (3)
15:4,6;22:13
accounts (2)
46:6,11
accurately (1)
47:23
across (2)
23:21;41:3
actual (2)
4:17;8:7
actually (8)
7:17;11:25;12:13;
14:19;20:3;37:5;49:6;
52:25
add (2)
17:21;53:20
additional (8)
6:14;16:15;21:3;32:4;
43:16;46:10;47:10;53:8
address (2)
6:1;14:11
adjourn (1)
53:13
adjourned (1)
54:11
administration (2)
7:6,15
adversely (1)
49:19
advertised (1)
14:8
affect (1)
49:19
affected (1)
47:22
again (10)
6:10;14:20,24;20:19;
25:13;30:7;33:13;35:22;
38:19;52:4
against (2)
33:6;34:17
age (1)
39:15
Agency (2)
33:15,17
agenda (4)
3:22;17:21;40:7;53:21
agents (1)
23:23
agree (5)
7:16;19:2;42:21;49:8;
50:19
agreed (1)
49:6
agreement (1)
8:4
ALEXANDER (100)
3:2,9,19;4:4;13:12,17;
14:2,5;16:14,19;17:20;
18:3,10,13,17;21:2,7;
23:6,10;24:2,5,10,17;
25:4,25;26:1,6,10,16;
27:2,7,13,17,22;28:1,5,9,
19,22;29:4;32:3;33:3,9;
34:19;35:4,10,14,20;
36:8,13,16,19,23;37:9,
12,14,18,23;38:9,15,21;
39:13,20;40:2,10,24;
41:8,24;42:5;43:2,9,12,
21;44:3,7,11,13,16,20;
45:2,9,13,16,20,25;46:5,
9,15,21,24;47:2,6,14;
52:19;53:7,12,15,17,23;
54:9
Allegiance (1)
3:7
along (2)
26:19;51:13
alternative (1)
29:9
Altobelli (1)
4:20
always (1)
48:9
amazing (1)
19:21
amount (4)
26:3;39:17,25;40:5
anal (1)
21:23
ANDERSON (2)
47:16,16
animal (4)
42:25;43:5;51:1,2
annexation (1)
31:5
apologize (2)
48:16,20
applicants (3)
4:13,19;7:3
application (2)
5:7,11
applications (1)
8:25
appreciate (2)
21:7;38:22
approach (4)
5:3;6:2;14:10;15:13
Approval (1)
3:19
approximately (1)
54:11
ARC (1)
8:17
architect/engineer (1)
4:15
architectural (1)
6:6
area (4)
14:18;34:6,25;50:14
areas (1)
42:18
argument (1)
26:5
around (4)
6:2;11:17;38:13;52:1
Associates (2)
4:21,22
assume (1)
24:12
assuming (1)
11:2
Atlanta (1)
50:14
attorneys (1)
37:4
autocad (2)
8:10;10:7
automobile (1)
15:7
automobiles (1)
36:6
average (1)
47:8
award (1)
5:12
away (1)
36:4
awful (1)
39:19

B

back (27)
5:8;14:19,24;15:24;
16:4,11,21;21:13;26:2;
29:8,10;30:14,23;31:2;
32:7;40:6,21;42:2,15,24;
43:10,10,16;51:9,16;
52:12;53:6
backs (1)
48:9
backward (1)
17:6
bad (8)
9:17;12:14;50:8;51:5,

8,8,8;52:1
balance (2)
45:7;46:13
balanced (1)
35:13
balances (2)
45:10,15
base (2)
48:17,19
based (7)
5:6;17:11,25,25;18:4;
42:3,16
basic (1)
49:16
Basically (5)
5:2;10:17;23:4;48:4,
12
basing (1)
31:7
basis (2)
9:25;20:22
Bates (25)
3:11,12;8:2;9:18;
10:12,19,22;11:5;13:19,
20;29:13,23;30:6,13,17,
20;31:7,12,16,20;32:2;
40:12;53:16,25;54:1
bathroom (1)
24:24
begin (1)
43:1
belt (1)
15:17
belts (1)
15:10
benefits (4)
24:7,9,11;34:7
best (4)
9:10;21:19;27:3;29:2
better (2)
45:19;48:23
beyond (1)
7:19
bigger (1)
19:24
board (3)
23:21;41:3;48:13
Bobby (3)
26:22;41:10;50:24
boots (1)
33:1
Both (3)
4:24;13:5;31:21
break (3)
22:23;23:13;53:6
bring (2)
16:4,20
broad (1)
8:6
broken (1)
23:13
brought (2)
12:22;51:12
budget (19)
16:6;18:4;19:6;20:18;
21:9,19;23:2,10;31:3,9;
35:5,13,16;39:7,7,22;
42:5;43:14;44:25
budgeted (1)
19:23
budgeting (1)
19:23
budget's (1)
45:4
Buford (2)
51:5,13
build (1)
52:2
building (5)
4:12,25;51:5,8,17
built (1)
51:6
business (6)
7:11;23:5;48:9,24,24,
25
businesses (3)
15:5;49:8;51:13
businesspeople (1)
48:10
buy (1)
51:21
by-item (1)
9:25

C

Call (6)
3:10;13:17;43:25;
49:23;50:20;53:23
called (2)
43:22;51:8
calls (1)
41:10
came (10)
4:20;5:2,4,8;26:21;
29:5;43:10;44:5,21;
49:25
campaign (1)
30:12
can (22)
3:25;6:4,5;8:8,21;9:7,
22;12:25;16:6;17:20;
23:2;30:13,17,20,23;
42:18;45:16;48:25;
52:14,15,21;53:5
capabilities (1)
8:6
capita (4)
19:19;31:8;34:15;
38:17
capitalism (1)
48:11
car (1)
40:19
care (2)
22:10;41:16
Carol (1)
24:22
cars (12)
15:4;18:20;23:23;
32:23;36:25;37:2;39:3;
40:1;51:24;52:5,6,7
Carter (1)
37:24
case (2)
9:25;47:20
cases (3)
37:25;38:2,4
cash (5)
45:7,10,15;46:19;52:9
causes (1)
51:24
CDs (3)
45:7,8,9
certainly (2)
7:22;10:2
certified (5)
4:16;11:10;12:23;
32:12;52:11
cetera (1)
5:18
Chamblee (2)
31:5;34:24
change (4)
16:8;22:11;26:5;44:10
changed (1)
40:11
changes (2)
10:8;16:7
changing (1)
27:1
charge (2)
6:14;11:2
charged (1)
9:3
charging (2)
7:7,13
Charlene (3)
14:17;15:3;47:20
chasing (1)
38:12
check (3)
6:13;18:24;40:9
Chief (6)
32:7;37:23;41:4,6;
42:23;43:16
Chuck (1)
51:15
cities (10)
23:9;29:22;31:4,13,
15;34:17;36:2;38:16;
45:3;48:8
citizens (1)
27:3
City (33)
4:11;5:24;7:1,1,10;
8:12;9:9;15:9;19:14;
21:20;22:1,7,9,11,15,24;
23:24;25:14;27:4;28:24;
29:17;32:22;34:11,11,
13;41:10,11,13;48:14;
49:1;52:8,9,15
city's (1)
29:2
clam (2)
25:10;42:19
clarify (2)
29:14;30:7
Clark (4)
4:19;5:4,12;13:8
class (1)
11:12
classes (1)
11:13
clear (2)
18:25;19:11
CLERK (17)
3:11,13,15,17;12:3,19;
13:19,21,23,25;18:15,
18;53:25;54:2,4,6,8
clerks (1)
52:11
client (1)
7:10
close (2)
16:20;35:17
closed (1)
22:14
closer (1)
40:15
combined (1)
42:18
coming (2)
35:13;50:22
comment (3)
3:24;16:25;18:6
commented (1)
47:19
comments (7)
3:22;14:9;16:15;21:3,
8;47:15;53:8
commercial (7)
4:12;15:4,5,6,7;22:9,
10
community (1)
5:17
companies (1)
51:22
Compare (5)
34:15,15,16,16,20
compared (3)
39:19;41:18,23
comparison (3)
29:21;31:3;38:17
comparisons (2)
19:11;38:25
complex (1)
6:9
compliance (1)
51:2
compromising (1)
35:23
concern (1)
28:23
concerned (3)
39:2;43:22;46:18
concerns (1)
21:24
conclusion (1)
31:25
consider (1)
17:16
consideration (1)
17:5
consolidate (1)
52:14
constant (1)
48:22
construct (1)
51:19
construction (1)
51:14
consultants (1)
5:25
continue (2)
4:5;9:20
contract (8)
5:12,16,20,21,23;8:6;
9:23,24
contributing (1)
36:24
control (5)
19:2;42:25;43:5;51:1,
2
convert (1)
8:16
Cooley (24)
4:9,10;5:15,22;6:19,
22;7:4,16;8:5;9:22;
10:15,20,25;11:11,20,
24;12:8,11,24;13:2,5;
14:3,4;20:10
Cooley's (1)
48:13
cop (1)
32:25
copy (4)
11:2,3;26:11;46:13
cost (13)
6:16;7:20;8:13,15,19;
9:14,15,17;10:3;12:16;
19:19;37:20;52:18
costing (1)
11:22
costs (9)
7:18;12:1,4,6,7;22:9;
33:18;37:8;40:17
Council (4)
16:21,23;19:9;47:10
Councilman (1)
21:8
Councilmember (192)
3:11,12,13,14,15,16,
17,18;5:14;6:17,20,25;
7:4;8:1,2;9:18;10:12,19,

22;11:5,7,18,21;12:5,9,21,25;13:4,7,11,19,20,21,22,23,24,25;14:1;16:22;17:22;18:5,7,12,16,19;22:18,22,25;23:8,12;24:3,8,15,18;25:6,13,16,20,22,24;26:4,8,14,19,21,24;27:5,9,11,15,19,24;28:3,7,11,13,20,23;29:12,13,19,23,24;30:6,9,13,15,17,18,20,25;31:7,11,12,14,16,19,20,23;32:2,5,16;33:5,11,12,13,14,20,22,24;34:1,2,4,9,21,23;35:1,8,12,18,22;36:10,15,18,21;37:7,10,13,16,20;38:3,6,12,19,23;39:2,17,24;40:6,12,16;41:2,4,6,12,15,17,18,20,22;42:1,7,9,11,13,21;43:4,11,13,15,18,19;44:2,5,9,12,14,18,24;45:6,11,14,18,22;46:3,7,14,17,22;47:1,4;53:14,16,19,25;54:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
counties (1)
47:21
country (1)
50:15
County (8)
14:14,15;36:4;37:5;38:8,8,10;49:24
course (5)
11:14;12:2,13;14:18;24:15
court (7)
30:23;37:8,25;38:2,4,10,11
courthouse (1)
38:8
courtrooms (1)
38:1
courts (1)
37:21
cover (1)
40:7
cow (4)
25:2,5,7,12
CRAWFORD (2)
49:4,4
crime (3)
34:20,22;38:16
Crown (1)
39:14
Cullen (2)
50:17,17
curious (1)
5:21
current (1)
45:7
cut (20)
26:9,15;27:4,18;29:5;32:15,18;43:5,7,23,23,24;44:1,20,23;45:1;49:10;50:22,24;52:10
cuts (7)
21:13;25:18;42:2,14;49:18;50:11;51:18
cutting (7)
16:11;25:8,9;26:23;30:7;32:13;48:15

D

dang (1)
50:22
data (1)
8:17
database (2)
8:17,22
day (3)
42:20;46:16;49:23
days (3)
23:20;24:1;51:16
DC (1)
19:15
DEA (5)
23:23;33:19,23;34:5,7
dead (1)
48:21
dear (1)
41:5
declining (1)
14:17
decrease (1)
15:20
deficit (1)
17:9
Dekalb (8)
14:14,15;36:4;37:4;38:7,8,10;49:24
department (39)
16:3;19:7,12,12;21:10,12,14;23:1,14,22;24:6,12;25:5,17,18;26:25;29:5,8;30:1;31:10;35:25,25;39:10;40:18;41:14;42:14;43:6,8,22;49:17,20;50:9,11;51:18,19;52:3,17,22,24
departments (11)
4:7;19:5;20:8;24:7,19,20,21;29:6;43:7;45:4;49:11
depending (1)
10:3
description (1)
42:4
descriptions (1)
42:16
desire (1)
17:21
details (1)
23:3
detectives (2)
27:21;37:13
determine (1)
44:25
development (3)
5:17;20:13;49:2
different (3)
9:9;31:9;50:6
difficult (1)
47:23
direction (1)
10:2
director (2)
5:17;40:25
disagree (2)
19:4;25:25
disappointed (1)
21:11
disappointment (1)
21:14
discontinued (1)
52:20
discord (1)
47:17
discussing (2)
16:24;17:18
discussion (6)
13:13;16:21;32:4;41:25;47:10;53:18
disqualified (1)
4:16
do- (1)
51:9
documentation (1)
10:23
dog (1)
38:13
dollar (1)
26:2
dollars (4)
20:6;31:10;40:13;46:4
done (4)
9:5;16:10;29:21;48:9
Doraville (4)
32:22;34:6,12,13
do-what-you-want- (1)
51:16
down (9)
15:14,15,24;18:23;20:22,23;36:3;48:1;50:22
downturn (2)
15:8,16
drive (3)
25:10,11;40:20
drive-by (1)
50:7
drive-home (3)
23:22;32:23;36:6
driven (1)
39:5
driving (1)
36:24
drop (1)
14:22
Drug (3)
33:15,16;34:8
drugs (1)
34:5
Dunwoody (2)
19:24;30:2

E

E-911 (1)
42:25
Earlier (1)
47:19
earn (1)
40:19
easier (1)
8:21
economy (3)
15:16;16:9;17:12
effect (1)
15:3
efficiently (1)
25:19
either (3)
10:2;17:15;20:24
electronic (4)
8:24,24;9:7;11:3
elephant (1)
14:14
eliminate (1)
35:9
eliminated (1)
23:17
eliminating (2)
36:1,5
else (3)
7:21;8:15;32:14
e-mail (1)
14:16
emergency (2)
41:9,10
employee (4)
24:14;33:14,25;34:5
employees (6)
26:17,23;32:21;33:16;52:9,22
empty (1)
33:8
enacted (1)
37:24
end (1)
49:7
enforcement (5)
27:4,18;33:15,17;35:7
engineer (1)
5:19
engineering (6)
3:25;4:9;5:16;7:1,9,23
enough (2)
5:4;46:18
era (2)
16:4;17:8
e-r-a (1)
17:8
especially (1)
8:21
Essentially (1)
29:24
et (1)
5:18
evaluate (1)
25:18
evaluating (1)
31:9
Evaluation (1)
10:20
even (8)
9:6;17:8,12;19:22,22;20:19;43:23;46:18
evening (3)
3:21;4:10;17:18
everybody (1)
41:3
everyone (1)
3:4
everyone's (1)
20:22
evidence (1)
27:25
exact (1)
29:20
exactly (2)
27:8;30:24
example (1)
50:5
except (4)
19:6;23:25;38:6;48:1
excuse (3)
4:20;42:7;43:4
exemption (3)
20:24;21:25;22:6
expand (1)
8:8
expenditures (3)
17:7,10;37:21
expenses (1)
17:25
experience (2)
5:15,17
experiencing (1)
47:9
explained (1)
37:3
explore (1)
10:5
exponentially (1)
16:3
expressed (1)
21:14
extremely (1)
21:23

F

face (1)
16:9

faced (1)
16:4
fact (2)
16:10;33:6
factors (1)
34:16
fair (3)
22:1,2,15
fall (1)
47:24
falls (1)
50:21
far (7)
5:18;8:2;9:18;10:19;
23:1;32:16;50:4
favor (2)
17:14;20:25
feasible (2)
8:20,20
fee (2)
7:19;10:13
feel (3)
19:1;21:17;42:3
fees (6)
7:6,9,15;9:3;39:18;
40:1
fell (1)
5:9
felt (2)
17:1;48:3
Feng (1)
14:17
figure (2)
9:10;21:13
figures (1)
18:1
filings (1)
8:24
find (6)
8:15;9:14;17:8,11;
19:14;30:24
fine (2)
30:25;41:2
finish (1)
31:17
firm (1)
7:23
first (5)
4:20;14:23;16:23;
37:6;47:8
fiscal (1)
47:3
five (5)
4:13;23:20,25;27:21;
45:23
fix (1)
30:5
Fleming (42)
3:13,14;5:14;7:4;8:1;
13:21,22;15:21;16:22;
17:22;18:5;22:18;25:22;
26:8,14,19;32:5;39:2,17,
24;40:6;41:4,15,18,22;
42:7,11,21;43:4,11,15,
19;44:2,5,9,12,14,18,24;
53:19;54:2,3
flexibility (2)
5:24;10:1
flood (1)
51:6
foregone (1)
31:25
forget (1)
14:25
forth (1)
40:21
found (1)
7:6
four (3)
16:2;27:21;47:21
free (2)
37:16;51:20
friends (2)
50:5,6
front (1)
17:1
fuel (2)
51:23,25
full (1)
51:23
full-time (6)
20:13;25:10,11;32:21;
33:24;34:4
function (1)
48:21
fund (1)
46:1
funding (1)
11:13
furlough (2)
23:20,25
further (2)
13:12;41:24

G

game (1)
31:21
gangbusters (1)
6:10
gas (7)
19:22,23,24;32:24;
51:18,19;52:4
gasoline (1)
14:22
gauge (1)
47:23
gave (7)
21:12,12;37:24;42:4;
44:6,22;47:7
General (3)
22:14;39:7;46:1
Georgia (3)
20:11;52:6,7
gets (1)
33:25
GIS (4)
8:2,12,16;10:7
given (4)
23:7;26:2;36:25;47:7
gives (2)
5:24,25
Glad (3)
5:13;11:20,24
Global (1)
4:22
GMA (1)
18:4
goes (3)
14:24;49:20;50:4
Good (13)
4:10,18,19;5:11;6:2,
19;9:12;12:14;13:5;
21:15;49:7;50:5;51:16
Government (6)
14:21;15:12,15;16:2,
5,11
government's (1)
14:23
grand (3)
24:23,24;36:22
grant (3)
11:13,19;12:1
graph (1)
47:22
grass (6)
25:8,9;26:9,15,23;
32:13
grateful (1)
50:1
great (2)
10:7;47:25
greater (3)
17:10,10,12
ground (1)
33:1
grown (1)
16:2
guess (2)
12:21;31:24
guy (1)
52:12
guys (1)
12:7

H

half (3)
10:10;29:25;30:8
Hall (3)
52:8,9,15
hand (1)
46:11
handle (4)
6:4,5,5;13:1
handled (1)
37:25
hands (1)
21:18
handy (1)
6:8
happen (2)
28:17;35:3
happened (1)
16:1
happens (1)
20:11
happy (3)
10:11;46:12;50:13
hard (1)
8:11
Hart (7)
14:12,13;17:3;19:3;
51:4;52:21;53:4
hate (1)
50:2
head (3)
21:15;25:17;45:10
heads (1)
9:11
health (1)
24:13
hear (1)
32:13
heard (3)
20:12;29:6;45:7
hearing (4)
3:23;14:8;16:20;37:6
hearings (1)
14:7
heart-felt (1)
47:18
hearts (1)
52:17
held (1)
12:13
hell (1)
48:22
help (3)
9:6;26:7;52:23
helping (1)
34:5
high (2)
22:8,14
higher (2)
19:14;44:22
Highway (2)
51:5,13
himself (1)
37:25
hit (1)
6:24
hits (2)
19:6;24:20
hobby (1)
19:10
hold (2)
49:24;50:1
hole (2)
48:22;51:4
home (7)
14:19;22:2;36:24,25;
39:4;40:21;48:4
homes (3)
14:22;22:20,23
homestead (3)
21:25;22:6,12
hope (4)
22:12;24:3,4;50:10
hopefully (1)
51:15
hour (1)
10:13
hourly (4)
5:5;10:25,25;13:9
hours (1)
44:15
houses (2)
50:15;51:6
housing (2)
50:15,16
Howe (1)
12:3
Hudgens (1)
28:6
hundred (2)
35:15;36:22

I

idea (3)
29:7;45:12,22
IDS (1)
4:22
ignore (3)
38:24,25,25
ignored (1)
38:23
imagine (1)
17:15
impact (2)
23:21,25
important (1)
22:5
improve (1)
52:25
include (3)
7:14;10:23;46:6
included (2)
7:8;8:4
includes (1)
50:16
including (1)
34:6
income (2)
15:11;49:14
increase (6)
14:23;15:19;17:13,14;
20:21;24:6
incredible (1)
9:6
individual (1)
24:21
industry (1)
15:7

inefficiencies (1)
21:16
information (8)
11:19;17:4,17;19:8;
29:10;38:22,24;47:7
in-house (2)
6:4,5
initial (2)
7:17,19
inside (1)
34:11
inspector (1)
4:25
instead (2)
42:24;51:22
insurance (5)
17:19;24:13;39:15,16;
53:20
interesitng (1)
15:2
interest (1)
27:3
interesting (3)
4:23;5:9;14:21
Interestingly (1)
5:3
interests (1)
29:2
Internet (1)
18:23
interrupt (1)
31:17
interrupted (1)
28:14
into (10)
8:16,23;9:13;10:7;
15:4,6;22:13;23:13;
50:3;52:15
investigations (1)
34:8
invoice (4)
7:8,10,10,22
invoiced (1)
7:23
invoices (2)
7:5,14
involved (4)
10:16,21;11:1;12:17
issue (2)
6:13;9:8
issued (1)
9:3
issues (2)
6:1,1
item (4)
7:21;23:14;37:1;40:7
item- (1)
9:24
items (3)
3:22;23:11;45:1

J

jail (3)
23:5,13;38:8
jailers (1)
23:4
jailing (1)
23:5
Jefferson (2)
52:6,6
Jenkins (1)
16:24
Jerry (1)
50:17
job (10)
16:11;25:10,11;26:11,
13,17,18,22;42:16;51:14
jobber (1)
51:22
jobs (1)
49:13
John (1)
52:3
Judge (2)
37:23,25

K

Karen (1)
50:19
Keck (5)
7:5;9:19,20,24;10:6
keep (6)
25:20;26:25;33:1;
34:5;49:12;51:2
keeping (1)
29:2
kind (4)
4:23;6:13;11:22;48:24
King (5)
32:7;41:4,6;42:23;
43:16
knowledge (1)
47:25

L

language (1)
8:7
Lanier (1)
17:17
large (1)
6:24
large-scale (1)
6:9
largest (1)
21:9
last (8)
10:8;16:2;18:8;20:12;
27:20;40:23;45:7;48:2
later (4)
8:11,13,20,23
law (3)
27:4,18;35:7
lawsuit (1)
51:3
laying (1)
35:6
lean (1)
35:25
least (2)
32:1;48:24
Lee (4)
4:20;5:4,13;13:9
LEED (3)
11:8,9;12:23
left (1)
39:14
less (2)
37:21;45:5
lessened (1)
48:4
letting (1)
22:10
liability (1)
39:6
library (3)
24:25;35:17;49:17
library's (1)
35:16
licensed (1)
4:17
lie (1)
34:18
Lieutenant (1)
28:6
lieutenants (4)
27:20,23;33:4,10
likes (1)
17:13
limits (2)
34:11,13
line (7)
7:21;23:11,14;31:4,
10;37:1;45:1
list (1)
29:3
listed (3)
26:17,22;39:15
little (2)
51:22;52:16
live (2)
41:11;50:5
lives (1)
41:13
living (2)
16:12;49:12
local (1)
12:11
location (4)
48:18,18,18;52:15
locations (1)
9:9
long (1)
21:20
longer (2)
5:19,20
look (4)
8:7;18:9;31:8;34:17
looked (3)
44:25;45:3;47:20
looking (2)
9:8;30:11
looks (1)
51:5
lopsided (2)
20:17;31:6
lose (6)
37:9,14,18,21,22;
52:21
losing (3)
23:19;26:5;37:5
loss (3)
15:10;17:12;48:21
losses (1)
23:2
lost (1)
49:13
lot (11)
6:5;7:25;8:17;18:20;
39:19;42:17,18;44:22;
49:13,16;51:21
love (1)
8:8
lowered (3)
17:2;22:6,13
lowering (1)
20:24
lowest (1)
5:5
Luke (1)
11:25
lumped (1)
23:15

M

Madison (2)
20:10,11
main (1)
12:22
maintenance (5)
22:8;51:19;52:3,22,24
majority (1)
22:2
making (3)
25:8;49:10;51:3
management (4)
20:4;27:16;32:20;36:1
managing (1)
9:16
many (5)
23:17;34:10;39:4,4;
49:12
map (1)
9:1
maps (2)
8:3,11
marching (3)
28:18,21;29:1
Maria (2)
42:17;48:6
match (1)
7:9
matches (1)
16:5
materials (3)
10:14,16,21
matter (1)
20:5
may (2)
10:3;39:10
maybe (2)
8:19;25:15
MAYOR (102)
3:2,9,19;4:4;13:12,17;
14:2,5;15:22;16:14,19,
24;17:20;18:3,10,13,17;
21:2,7,17;23:6,10;24:2,
5,10,17;25:4;26:1,6,10,
16;27:2,7,13,17,22;28:1,
5,9,19,22;29:4;32:3;
33:3,9;34:19;35:4,10,14,
20;36:8,13,16,19,23;
37:9,12,14,18,23;38:9,
15,21;39:13,20;40:2,24;
41:8,24;42:5;43:2,9,12,
21;44:3,7,11,13,16,20;
45:2,9,13,16,20,25;46:5,
9,15,21,24;47:2,6,14;
52:19;53:7,12,15,17,23;
54:8,9
McCAIN (17)
3:11,13,15,17;12:3,19;
13:19,21,23,25;18:15,
18;53:25;54:2,4,6,8
mean (9)
7:17,22;18:25;20:2,
21;28:17;35:25;39:8;
52:10
means (6)
20:15;31:1,23;37:7;
38:20;52:10
meantime (1)
16:1
measure (1)
19:16
meet (1)
26:12
Meeting (5)
3:2;18:8;45:17;48:2;
54:11
Melissa (1)
9:23
mentioned (2)
17:3;29:15
metro (2)
14:18;34:25
middle (5)
20:4;27:15;32:20;
36:1;51:6
might (2)
10:3;18:23
mileage (1)

40:19
miles (5)
29:22,25;30:3;36:4;
39:4
mill (1)
16:5
millage (11)
3:23;14:7,15;15:18,
19;16:24;17:14;20:20;
39:25;40:7;48:3
million (7)
20:6;40:13;45:23,23,
24;46:4,8
mills (4)
16:12;17:6,24;40:14
mind (1)
49:12
minds (1)
52:17
minor (1)
10:8
minutes (3)
3:20;17:1;32:7
mispronouncing (1)
4:21
mistake (3)
15:22,23;17:2
mistaken (3)
12:13;16:23;32:6
mix (1)
7:24
money (13)
20:7;28:25;34:10,12;
37:8,11,17,21;38:2,4;
39:19;49:14;51:21
moneys (1)
46:10
monthly (1)
47:8
more (25)
17:9;19:23;21:21;
22:9,19,20,22;25:19;
26:8,15;29:10,10;38:4;
42:2,14;43:24;44:1;
46:12;48:7,10,14,17,17;
52:6;53:1
Moreland (1)
4:20
Most (2)
6:15;19:8
motion (7)
13:8;33:7;35:17;42:8,
10;53:12,20
Motors (1)
22:14
move (2)
15:25;52:15
moved (4)
15:23,24;31:24;53:14
moving (1)
17:5
much (13)
6:4,11;8:21;10:16;
11:22;19:16,17,24,24;
42:15;43:23;47:21;
52:18
multiple (1)
29:6
multi-year (1)
5:21
municipal (1)
38:11
municipalities (1)
19:18
myself (2)
12:17;18:25

N

name (1)
14:11
necessarily (2)
20:21;30:10
necessary (1)
49:11
need (21)
8:12,14;9:13;11:16;
17:16;20:8;27:18;31:2,
3;32:15,18;35:9,19;
42:18,19;48:7,16,17;
49:7,16;50:12
needed (2)
24:24;26:7
needs (4)
6:11;22:11;25:15;31:4
negative (1)
48:22
negligent (1)
12:17
neighborhood (3)
22:4;28:10;50:21
neighborhoods (1)
16:10
neutral (1)
48:12
news (1)
14:18
next (2)
6:1;53:6
nice (1)
20:15
night (3)
18:12,20;42:6
nine (1)
39:25
none (3)
3:20;4:5;16:20
Norcross (3)
31:4;34:24;50:4
Northwoods (4)
22:4,19,21;50:18
note (1)
50:13
notes (1)
10:9
notice (1)
15:21
NPR (1)
50:13
number (8)
29:20;30:7;32:17;
40:9,11,12,14;44:22
numbers (5)
15:14;21:24;31:8;
34:18;39:1
number's (1)
40:21

O

o0o- (1)
54:12
Oakcliff (1)
49:5
obviously (1)
15:14
OCD (1)
21:23
o'clock (1)
18:16
odd (1)
44:10
off (4)
34:5;35:7;48:9;50:5
off-duty (1)
40:20
offer (1)
52:22
offered (5)
26:11,12;29:5;51:19;
52:2
offering (3)
42:24;43:1,5
office (4)
21:17;45:17,21;52:12
officers (16)
23:16;25:14;27:4,18;
29:16;30:8;32:8,12;
35:7;36:9,24;38:7,18;
40:18;50:11,22
offset (1)
14:18
old (1)
51:16
once (1)
33:6
one (31)
4:14;5:5;6:3,12;8:18;
11:25;13:10;15:19;
17:13;19:4,7,12;22:3;
25:8,9,10;26:1;33:14;
34:1,4,9;37:25;38:1,14;
40:23;41:16,17,22;
50:14;51:12;52:15
only (17)
7:1;19:13,13;20:3;
23:2;26:23;29:17;32:13;
33:20,22;36:4;39:14;
40:18;44:20,23;48:21,23
onto (1)
53:20
open (1)
14:8
operating (1)
46:1
opinion (1)
25:5
opportunity (1)
5:25
opposed (1)
10:7
order (1)
3:3
orders (3)
28:18,21;29:1
ordinance (1)
11:9
other's (1)
48:16
otherwise (1)
6:23
ourselves (3)
17:8,11;48:19
out (22)
4:11;5:2,4;6:11;8:15;
9:10,14;10:4;19:2;21:9,
10;22:3;23:4,13;30:24;
33:10;41:9;42:19,20;
43:7;48:15;49:2
outside (2)
32:22;34:12
over (4)
12:12;22:23;26:12;
50:8
overlap (1)
42:17
overstaffed (2)
32:12,12
overtime (3)
33:16,21,23
own (2)
7:15;11:4
owner (1)
7:11

P

Pachuta (31)
3:15,16;6:17,20,25;
13:11,23,24;22:22;25:6,
16,24;26:21;27:9;28:11,
20,23;33:12,14,22;34:1,
4,23;38:6;42:1,9,13;
43:13;53:14;54:4,5
pages (1)
53:4
paid (2)
22:3;33:25
park (3)
40:25;41:15,16
parking (1)
18:20
particular (1)
20:1
pass- (1)
6:20
passed (2)
7:3;42:6
pass-through (4)
6:16;7:8,12,20
past (3)
15:22;49:21;51:11
patrol (3)
27:23;28:11;33:10
patrolling (1)
32:9
patrolman (1)
33:7
patrolmen (2)
20:3;32:17
Patterson (4)
4:19;5:4,13;13:9
pay (7)
11:14;12:4;22:1,6;
24:13;34:3;48:10
payables (1)
47:8
paying (9)
10:18;22:15,16,19,24;
24:10;32:21;33:18;36:5
pays (1)
12:1
PD (2)
37:6;40:1
people (14)
12:23;15:8,9;19:15;
20:2,13,14;23:20;25:7;
29:21;30:1,3;41:10;
52:24
per (8)
10:13;19:15,19,19;
31:8;34:15,15;38:17
percent (2)
24:13;43:20
percentage (2)
19:14,20
perception (1)
32:11
permits (1)
9:2
person (3)
25:9,11;34:10
petroleum (1)
51:22
Pierce (2)
4:24;11:12
Pittman (2)
42:2,14
place (1)
19:13
places (2)
6:15;50:14
plains (1)
51:7
plan (6)

4:12;5:18;7:14,16,18;
10:18
planner (2)
7:7;48:20
Planning (5)
4:8,22;5:18;51:8,10
plans (2)
9:7,8
play (2)
30:13,23
plays (1)
31:21
please (10)
3:4,10;11:19;13:18;
14:10;38:15;46:14;47:5;
53:13,24
Pledge (2)
3:5,7
plus (1)
46:8
pm (2)
18:18;54:11
podium (1)
14:10
point (7)
9:6;20:7;21:9;22:23;
24:18;28:15;43:20
points (1)
43:16
police (40)
19:12,15,18;21:10;
23:1,14,19,22;24:6,12;
25:5;26:25;27:14;29:4,
8;30:1,8;31:10;32:12;
35:24,25;37:1;38:7;
39:7,10;40:18,18,19;
41:13;43:6,8;49:17,20;
50:9,10,22;51:18;52:7,
16,17
policemen (1)
34:15
politics (1)
30:19
poor (1)
51:25
pop (1)
9:1
population (2)
20:14;29:22
portion (1)
7:18
positions (5)
5:18;23:17;33:8;35:9;
36:1
PR (1)
52:12
Precision (1)
4:21
premiums (1)
18:4
present (1)
8:19
presentations (1)
3:21
pretty (2)
8:6;47:21
previous (2)
7:7;48:20
previously (1)
29:14
prices (3)
14:19,22;50:16
primarily (1)
5:6
print (1)
11:3
priority (1)
29:3
prisoners (2)
36:3;37:4
prizes (1)
52:10
PRO (98)
3:2,9,19;4:4;13:12,17;
14:2,5;16:14,19;17:20;
18:3,10,13,17;21:2,7;
23:6,10;24:2,5,10,17;
25:4;26:1,6,10,16;27:2,
7,13,17,22;28:1,5,9,19,
22;29:4;32:3;33:3,9;
34:19;35:4,10,14,20;
36:8,13,16,19,23;37:9,
12,14,18,23;38:9,15,21;
39:13,20;40:2,24;41:8,
24;42:5;43:2,9,12,21;
44:3,7,11,13,16,20;45:2,
9,13,16,20,25;46:5,9,15,
21,24;47:2,6,14;52:19;
53:7,12,15,17,23;54:9
Probably (4)
7:21;8:16;11:8;21:19
problem (6)
5:23;32:18,20,21,23,
24
problems (1)
32:25
proceed (1)
14:6
processed (2)
38:2,4
professional (3)
4:17;10:16,18
program (1)
52:17
project (1)
6:9
project-by-project (1)
9:25
projected (8)
17:7,7,23,24,25;18:4;
39:25;40:14
projecting (1)
39:18
projection (1)
46:20
properties (2)
15:5,7
property (9)
14:17;20:22;22:1,3,9,
15,17,19;39:5
proposal (4)
10:13;13:8;37:24;
39:16
proposals (1)
29:11
proposed (4)
14:7;21:9;35:5;39:21
prospective (1)
7:11
protect (1)
34:11
provided (4)
19:9;42:17;47:20,22
Public (16)
3:22,23;14:6,8;16:20;
25:7,17;35:23;40:25;
43:25;44:11;45:4;47:14;
50:20,20,23
put (8)
4:11;5:8;11:13;30:12;
48:22;49:24;51:4;52:4
putting (1)
9:16

Q

QOL (2)
43:3,4
qualifications (1)
5:8
qualified (1)
4:14
quality (2)
51:25;52:25
quick (2)
11:7;18:7
quota (1)
21:12

R

R-1s (2)
48:8,11
raise (1)
14:15
raised (1)
15:1
Raising (3)
14:13;20:20,23
ranked (2)
5:1,4
ranking (2)
4:24;5:3
rate (14)
3:23;5:5,6;11:1;13:9;
14:7,15;15:18;16:5,24;
20:20;39:25;40:8;48:3
rated (1)
5:7
rates (4)
5:9;34:20,22;38:16
rather (1)
38:4
reaction (1)
14:23
real (2)
10:11;50:4
realizing (1)
32:14
really (14)
4:19;6:8;9:14;10:6,16;
12:16;13:5;30:21;41:16;
49:7,11;50:8,12,12
reason (5)
12:22;18:9,22;48:18;
51:5
recall (1)
18:2
received (1)
17:17
receiving (1)
22:16
recommendation (2)
4:9;5:12
record (3)
28:16;32:1;45:19
recorders (1)
38:10
recording (1)
49:25
reduced (1)
15:9
reducing (1)
36:6
reductions (2)
21:10;23:11
reelection (1)
30:21
refused (3)
25:17,23,23
regarding (2)
14:7;21:25
regular (1)
34:2
reimbursed (2)
33:17,21
relative (1)
5:3
relevant (1)
30:22
remember (1)
40:22
removed (1)
43:13
reorganize (1)
35:24
replace (1)
33:7
replacing (1)
39:9
reported (1)
50:13
reporter (1)
30:23
reporting (1)
8:24
reports (2)
4:6;10:23
requested (1)
7:5
requirement (1)
4:15
requirements (1)
46:19
resident (1)
49:9
residential (2)
22:3,8
residents (5)
17:15;28:24;29:6;
48:8;49:19
resources (1)
36:2
respond (2)
41:11,11
response (7)
4:2,11;13:15;16:17;
21:5;47:12;53:10
rest (3)
15:15;46:22,24
revenue (8)
36:25;37:1;39:21,25;
40:14;48:12,17;49:13
revenues (9)
17:7,10,23,24;20:6;
37:5,12,15,19
review (6)
4:8,12;5:18;7:14,17,
18
reviewed (2)
4:24,25
reviewing (1)
10:17
reviews (1)
6:6
RFP (4)
3:24;4:11;8:7;10:4
rid (4)
42:24;49:22;50:25;
52:23
ridiculous (1)
25:9
Right (15)
8:10,13;9:1,8,19;11:5;
12:8;13:4;16:8;17:11;
23:12;26:19;46:1;49:21;
53:22
right-size (1)
20:8
rise (1)
47:24
Road (1)
50:6
robust (1)
20:15

Roche (98)
3:17,18;11:7,18,21;
12:5,9,21,25;13:4,7,25;
14:1;18:7,12,16,19;
22:25;23:8,12;24:3,8,15,
18;25:13,20;26:4,24;
27:5,8,11,15,19,24;28:3,
7,13,21;29:12,13,19,24;
30:9,15,18,25;31:11,14,
19,23;32:16;33:5,11,13,
20,24;34:2,9,21;35:1,8,
12,18,22;36:10,15,18,
21;37:7,10,13,16,20;
38:3,12,19,23;40:16;
41:2,6,12,17,20;43:18;
45:6,11,14,18,22;46:3,7,
14,17,22;47:1,4;54:6,7
Roche's (1)
21:8
roll (3)
3:10;13:18;53:24
rolling (1)
39:8
room (1)
14:14
run (2)
25:19;38:1

S

sacred (4)
25:2,5,7,12
Safebuilt (1)
4:22
safety (1)
35:24
same (6)
5:2;16:6;22:16;30:2;
34:22;45:3
Sandy (2)
12:12;36:2
save (3)
37:8,10;38:3
saved (2)
38:2;51:20
savings (2)
46:6,10
saw (3)
27:20;47:21;49:23
saying (3)
27:8;35:8;36:20
score (1)
14:23
Scott (1)
51:12
seat (1)
21:22
Second (4)
13:11;14:6;53:15,16
Seeing (2)
4:4;16:20
seem (2)
14:25;40:22
seemed (2)
24:22;47:24
seems (4)
15:12,13,21;19:10
selections (1)
4:18
sense (1)
7:25
sent (1)
15:3
sep- (1)
7:21
separate (6)
7:13,21,22;9:10;
23:14;25:21
separately (1)
4:25
sergeants (3)
27:20,23;33:10
seriously (1)
18:22
service (2)
36:5;52:25
services (12)
3:25;4:9,12;5:16;6:3,
4,14;7:2;22:9,16;52:14,
23
set (1)
7:17
sets (1)
50:6
several (1)
19:5
share (1)
24:11
sharing (1)
36:1
sharply (1)
36:6
sheet (2)
40:7;46:13
sheets (1)
23:11
shipping (1)
36:3
shootings (1)
50:7
short (1)
15:1
shortfall (1)
35:15
shortly (1)
11:12
showed (1)
23:11
showing (1)
40:13
side (1)
6:13
signed (2)
11:15,16
signing (1)
12:17
similar (1)
47:24
single (3)
16:3;33:8;39:11
Singleton (1)
50:6
sink (1)
50:3
site (1)
39:10
sitting (2)
21:21;52:9
situation (1)
50:8
six (1)
4:13
size (2)
30:2;45:3
slipshod (1)
51:14
small (1)
40:22
society (1)
15:16
someday (1)
9:13
someone (3)
19:9;21:21;22:16
someone's (1)
9:16
Something's (1)
30:4
sooner (3)
8:11,13,23
sorry (3)
24:8;47:17;49:3
sort (2)
12:9;50:3
SPEAKER (2)
53:3,5
specifics (1)
23:1
spend (3)
19:11;28:24;38:7
spending (5)
19:2,17;20:7,7;34:12
spent (2)
7:1;31:10
Springs (2)
12:12;36:2
square (3)
29:22,25;30:3
staff (4)
4:17,17;5:19;20:13
stand (1)
3:4
standing (2)
32:7;50:23
start (2)
16:6;48:14
started (2)
14:19;47:8
startup (1)
9:15
state (1)
14:11
stated (1)
48:2
statement (1)
37:19
statements (1)
36:25
station (2)
51:20;52:4
statistical (1)
19:10
statistics (1)
22:19
Stephens (1)
24:22
Steven (1)
6:6
still (10)
17:16;20:23,23,24;
23:22,23,24;25:2;48:5;
50:1
stop (2)
18:21;51:15
stopped (2)
51:25;52:4
store (1)
9:10
stored (1)
9:8
stormwater (1)
6:6
straight (1)
13:1
street (7)
25:11;32:25;34:6;
42:19,20,20;50:11
streets (3)
27:23;28:12;32:9
Stuart (2)
47:16;49:6
study (3)
15:2;29:21;47:20
stuff (1)
8:25
subject (4)
16:21;26:5;27:1;47:9
subsequently (1)
29:16
sudden (1)
6:10
suggest (1)
7:13
suggested (1)
30:7
suggestion (5)
26:2;42:10,11,22,23
suggestions (3)
35:6,21;36:15
suitable (1)
29:9
support (2)
15:18;29:7
supporting (1)
48:19
suppose (1)
3:24
supposed (1)
48:12
sure (13)
6:12;7:11;11:20;15:9;
17:23;21:21;34:22;35:1,
23;43:7,18;46:18;53:21
surmise (1)
23:3
surrounding (1)
50:14
Susan (1)
49:4
suspect (1)
47:17
SWAT (1)
36:3
sweeper (2)
25:12;42:20
sworn (4)
23:16;25:14;29:15;
32:8
system (2)
9:13;49:22

T

table (1)
26:3
tail (1)
9:11
take- (1)
36:24
take-home (4)
37:1;39:3;40:1;52:5
talk (4)
9:23;28:14;38:13;
48:25
talking (2)
16:7;26:25
tanker (1)
51:23
task (1)
26:17
tasks (4)
26:11,13,18,22
tax (5)
14:23;17:13;20:24;
48:17,19
taxes (12)
15:1;20:21;22:1,3,7,
15,17,20,24;48:7,10,14
team (1)
36:3
teaspoon (1)
51:21
technique (1)
51:10
Teflon (1)

15:13
TEM (98)
3:2,9,19;4:4;13:12,17;14:2,5;16:14,19;17:20;18:3,10,13,17;21:2,7;23:6,10;24:2,5,10,17;25:4;26:1,6,10,16;27:2,7,13,17,22;28:1,5,9,19,22;29:4;32:3;33:3,9;34:19;35:4,10,14,20;36:8,13,16,19,23;37:9,12,14,18,23;38:9,15,21;39:13,20;40:2,24;41:8,24;42:5;43:2,9,12,21;44:3,7,11,13,16,20;45:2,9,13,16,20,25;46:5,9,15,21,24;47:2,6,14;52:19;53:7,12,15,17,23;54:9
terms (3)
19:18,19,19
thorough (1)
21:21
though (8)
4:23;5:10;6:13;11:12;16:25;19:5;20:19;24:18
thought (3)
15:22;29:17;40:4
thousand (4)
19:15,19;34:16;35:15
three (15)
9:9;14:6;19:17;20:13;25:7;26:16,23;29:25;35:14;36:22;38:1;50:21;52:21,24;53:6
throats (1)
48:16
throw (1)
32:6
tied (1)
21:18
tighten (1)
15:17
tightened (1)
15:10
times (1)
19:17
today (4)
14:18;17:9;37:24;38:1
to-do (1)
51:17
together (2)
7:24;23:15
token (2)
43:1,5
told (2)
29:9;49:25
Tom (1)
47:19
tomorrow (4)
12:18;18:11,12;42:6
Tony (2)
4:24;6:5
took (1)
10:10
top (6)
5:4;7:7;12:22;13:3;29:3;34:24
total (1)
13:9
tough (1)
51:14
town (1)
6:23
townhouses (2)
51:7,7
training (3)
12:4,6,13
travel's (1)
12:14
tree (2)
50:21,23
tried (3)
7:9;18:8;49:23
truck (10)
25:10;40:25;41:15,16,16,17,22;42:19;51:22,23
true (3)
12:8;39:21;43:3
trying (1)
9:9
turning (1)
39:11
twice (1)
19:16
two (17)
10:10;11:12;12:22;13:3;25:3,21;29:5;33:16;39:8,9,12,14;43:7;45:23;50:6;52:11;53:4

U

unfortunately (1)
47:9
UNIDENTIFIED (2)
53:3,5
uniform (2)
30:8;50:22
unless (2)
7:9;10:21
up (22)
7:9,17;10:23;11:15,16;12:17,22;14:8,19,24;15:17,24;16:21;18:19;24:25;30:21;35:13;37:17;38:16;48:1;49:7;50:16
update (1)
8:11
updated (2)
40:4,15
updates (1)
10:9
upon (2)
5:6,7
use (1)
40:19
used (1)
34:11
using (2)
17:6,24
Usually (1)
33:7

V

valley (1)
14:24
value (1)
20:22
values (2)
14:17;48:4
various (2)
4:7;46:6
vehicles (6)
39:6,8,9,16;41:21,21
versus (1)
41:21
Vics (1)
39:14
visit (1)
20:16
vote (1)
17:20
voted (2)
15:19;33:6
voting (1)
20:25

W

wages (1)
15:9
waited (2)
49:25,25
wants (1)
14:15
Ware (1)
4:22
wash (1)
48:4
Washington (1)
19:15
watching (1)
50:23
way (13)
5:9;9:5,10;24:7;31:6,6;48:10,11,23;49:2,13,22;52:1
ways (3)
25:3;31:9,21
week (2)
35:5;44:15
weeks (3)
10:10;44:14,19
Welcome (1)
14:4
weren't (2)
7:11;51:7
what's (2)
28:17;35:2
whatsoever (1)
23:21
what-you-want-to-do (1)
51:10
whole (1)
48:21
wholesale (1)
51:13
whose (2)
25:10,11
WILSON (4)
39:23;40:3,4,9
wisely (1)
28:25
without (2)
28:14;35:23
wonderful (1)
8:25
wondering (1)
12:6
Wood (5)
7:5;9:19,21,24;10:6
word (1)
34:14
work (9)
6:11;23:11,23;32:22;33:16,18,23;34:5;49:22
worked (3)
6:15;40:10;52:2
working (1)
34:7
works (12)
25:7,17;33:15;40:25;43:25;44:11;45:4;48:11,11;50:20,20,23
worst (1)
34:24
worth (2)
10:3;52:8
writing (1)
10:23
wrong (2)
24:4;30:4

Y

y'all (2)
8:19;15:24
yard (1)
38:13
year (26)
5:19,20;13:10;16:23;19:6,6,6;24:19,19,20,20,23,23,23,25,25,25;25:8;32:24;39:9,11;40:23;46:23,25;47:3;52:18
years (3)
16:2;39:8,12
year-to-year (1)
5:23

Z

zone (1)
48:25
zoning (3)
4:8;8:3;51:8