1	The Honorable Board of Lander County Commissioners met in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Lander County Courthouse and Administration Building in Battle
3	Mountain, Nevada, 50 State Route 305, on October 14, 2021, at 9:00AM.
	Widdittain, Nevada, 30 State Route 303, on October 14, 2021, at 9.00AM.
4	PRESENT: PATSY WAITS, COMMISSIONER
5	
6	ART CLARK III, COMMISSIONER (arrived at 9:04AM)
7	KATHLEEN ANCHO, COMMISSIONER
8	BRYAN SPARKS, COMMISSIONER
9	WALLACE (JR) THOMAS, COMMISSIONER
10	BARTOLO (BERT) RAMOS, COUNTY MANAGER
11	THEODORE HERRERA, DISTRICT ATTORNEY
12	ELIZABETH ESPARZA, DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE KATHY DUVALL, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
13	PEGGY SURLA, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
14	SADIE SULLIVAN, LANDER COUNTY CLERK
15 16	SADIE SULLIVAN, LANDER COUNTY CLERK
17	(Let the record reflect the presence of a quorum of five commissioners. Let the record
18	reflect the presence of Austin via teleconference. Let the record reflect the meeting is also
19	via Audio Conference by Go-To-Meeting.)
20	via radio conference by Go-10-wiceting.)
21	
22	9:00 AM
23	2.00 Tarri
24	Chair Kathy Ancho called the meeting to order.
25	Commissioner Thomas led the Pledge of Allegiance.
26	Chair Kathy Ancho called for a moment of silence.
27	
28	
29	COMMISSIONER REPORTS
30	
31	Commissioner Waits: No report.
32	Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy attended a hospital board meeting. It was general business
33	with a big crowd, and information to do with COVID.
34	Commissioner Sparks: No report.
35	Commissioner Thomas: No report.
36	
37	
38	STAFF REPORTS
39	
40	Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that they have opened the Austin library. As
41	of Tuesday, it will be open Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays, from 11:00am to 5:00pm.
42	We aren't considered a library yet, we are book-lending until we get through a few more steps.
43	We're also doing the same thing here at the Battle Mountain library. We have it advertised as
11	well with one interested party. We'll be coming back with that as well

Two individuals stepped down from the Livestock Board. Deb Piazza and Monte Price have stepped down. They had a vision of the Livestock Advisory Board having more say in putting events on and doing things, as opposed to just advising. They would like to put events on and make things happen. Those left on the advisory board are talking about possibly abolishing the board entirely, and maybe getting a club together of some sort that could run the rodeo grounds and be more productive. Everything else he has is on the agenda.

Commissioner Clark joined the meeting at 9:04AM.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

CONSENT AGENDA

Chair Kathy Ancho read in the consent agenda items, numbered 1 through 6.

Commissioner Sparks made a motion to approve items numbered 1, 2, and 6. Commissioner Waits seconded the motion. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve items numbered 1, 2, and 6: Commissioner Sparks;

Seconded by: Commissioner Waits;

The motion was voted and carried.

COMMISSIONERS

1) <u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> For possible action to approve/disapprove the payment of bills.

Chair Kathy Ancho began by pulling out checks #213310, and #213387, payable to Point S.

Commissioner Sparks made a motion to approve paying the balance of the bills. This was seconded by Commissioner Thomas.

Motion to approve paying remainder of the bills: Commissioner Sparks;

Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas;

The motion was voted and carried.

Commissioner Waits made the motion to approve paying checks #213310, and #213387. This was seconded by Commissioner Clark.

Motion to approve: Commissioner Waits;

Seconded by: Commissioner Clark;

The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Sparks abstaining as the checks are to his employer.

2) <u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove using Lander County buildings to administer the COVID-19 vaccination and if approved to establish a procedure for the implementation.

0

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy stated that she was the one that asked to bring this item back. At times there are large amounts of people wanting vaccines and she would like to be able to have the civic center available for that. We can work through having information and procedures in there, and to provide this service for people. It is a facility for Lander County citizens.

Commissioner Clark: Art asked what was wrong with using the John Peters building.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy stated that they would be renting offices out in that building soon and there's no way they can fit over a hundred people in there. The building is not that big. We need to implement procedures to use our county facility. We can give the information about the vaccines, to make everybody feel more comfortable.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan talked about various points of the resolution that the county approved. He wanted people to sign a liability waiver before receiving the injection, so the county doesn't become liable.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy stated that she respects that, but with any medication anybody takes they are given a pamphlet about the medication to look over. They make the choice whether to take the medication or not. She chooses to take her medication because she needs it.

There was discussion about aspects of using buildings, or not using buildings, and options for where people could get information.

Amy Nelson: She asks that you do have the list of ingredients available out front and visible. She asks that the flu vaccine ingredients are also available. She wants people to understand this is still experimental, not approved, and not a vaccine yet.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy mentioned that they must use public buildings in Austin, as there aren't other options available.

Jason Bleak (CEO of the hospital): Jason stated that the goal of the hospital is to provide the opportunity for people to make the choice of receiving vaccines. That has been their push this whole time. They have used the civic center sixteen times successfully, in the past year and a half. They're able to put a lot of people in there, and to do it very quickly. He does not have staff set aside to do just vaccinations. They have an adequate consent form, and hand out the information from the government and the CDC. They have had to try other things since not being able to use the civic center, and have done two outdoor drive-up vaccination events. Cold weather makes it difficult, it takes more time, and takes people from their normal job duties. They did try it in the one room available in the John Peters building, but it is not big enough. It becomes a mess very quickly. This is an opportunity to do the bigger groups in a safe environment. We're not mandating anything. In a world of choice, we're offering all three vaccines. We have EMS and nurses there to monitor and help. It's just a matter of efficiency. They have given just shy of 2,000 vaccines, and still have people calling for them. There are still needs out there.

145 146 147

152 153 154

155

171

172 173 174

175 176 177

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan asked if they could go to people's home to give them, and where compensation comes from.

Jason Bleak: Jason stated that the vials have five doses in them. Once the vial is punctured it has to be used within a certain number of hours. That's not practical.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan asked what if any they received for giving COVID vaccines.

Jason Bleak: Jason stated that compensation we could get is through insurances, and it's for the administration thereof.

Commissioner Sparks: Art asked about \$3,000,000 COVID money that was received by the hospital, and he brought up the close proximity of the library when the civic center would be used for these vaccination events. He has no support for this.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert asked whether there is an approved vaccine somewhere, or if that was just a rumor.

Jason Bleak: Jason stated that he didn't know the answer to that, and he went over a few things he has heard about. They are approved for emergency use authorization. They keep up-to-date on information coming out of the CDC before administering the vaccines. Jason mentioned that he called the hospital's liability company and their coverage follows their actions wherever they go, such as the civic center. It is covered.

After a bit more discussion Commissioner Waits made a motion to use the county facilities for administering vaccines, to setup procedures, and follow the resolution. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Ancho. The motion died, with two Ayes, and three Nays.

Discussion continued after the vote when Commissioner Waits asked what would happen in Austin now, due to this decision. Ideas were expressed and suggestions were made on where a location could be in Austin. Jason Bleak went over what information they give out when people come for vaccines. County Manager Ramos spoke about the county resolution and having more informed consent to go along with that. Commissioner Sparks wanted to be sure that people get all the information and that there be no liability whatsoever for the county. If that could be guaranteed he wouldn't see the harm in it. Chair Ancho asked if that could be done, with a waiver and a copy of the resolution, so that we have absolutely no liability. D.A. Herrera agreed that it would cover his concerns with it, and that the informed consent follows Lander County's resolution. Ted stated that they be given a packet that included the informed consent, a copy of the resolution, and a waiver of liability.

Commissioner Waits made a new motion that Lander County facilities may be used to administer the COVID-19 vaccination, that we establish a procedure for implementation, include the resolution, the information in the resolution, and waiver of liability. This will be overseen by our county manager to include the information described. County Manager Ramos stated that he would get with Jason to be provided a packet from them, and they would work on it together to match the resolution.

Lori Price: Lori thanked Commissioner Sparks for giving people the choice. We have a facility and why couldn't we use it? You're giving everybody a choice on whether they get a vaccine, or not. She agrees with letting people know of the consequences and ingredients.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy went ahead and seconded the motion made by 180 Commissioner Waits. The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Clark 181 182 voting Nay. Motion to approve with the stipulations mentioned: Commissioner Waits; 183 Seconded by: Commissioner Ancho; 184 The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Clark voting Nay. 185 186 187 188 3) COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove a full-time position for the Lander County library in Battle Mountain. 189 190 Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated they may not execute the full-time yet 191 but they wanted to have it approved and ready to go. It may be more effective with full-192 time, rather than part-time. Commissioner Thomas made the motion to approve the full-193 time position for the librarian. Commissioner Sparks seconded the motion. D.A. Herrera 194 explained that technically, it's not a librarian. It's a full-time position for the library. 195 They haven't created the library yet. He asked that the motion state that it's a full-time 196 position for the library. Commissioner Thomas amended his motion to state that it's a 197 full-time position for the library. It was seconded by Commissioner Sparks. The motion 198 was voted and carried. Commissioner Waits mentioned for clarification, that under the 199 NRS a librarian has to have a certificate. D.A. Herrera confirmed that. 200 201 Motion to approve: Commissioner Thomas; Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks; 202 Amended motion to approve: Commissioner Thomas; 203 Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks; 204 The motion was voted and carried. 205 206 207 COMMISSIONERS: Discussion from Barbara K. Cegavske with the Office of the 208 4) Secretary of State regarding the recent passage of numerous elections-related bills 209 and recognizing the importance of an informed electorate and to discuss a formal 210 outreach campaign. 211 212 213 Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark explained that he was to be here in person, but circumstances changed last evening. He expressed his apologies for 214 the change. Mark stated that he was there on behalf of Secretary of State Barbara 215 Cegavske, to discuss plans for their voter outreach campaign, and to ask for the Board's 216 217 assistance. In close coordination with the county clerk, and in addition to any local voter outreach campaign he intends to spend significant portions of the next 18-24 months 218 219 providing facts for current and future voters. They have identified some very clear needs from the 2020 election cycle and are 220 221 working to address them. They will spend more time talking with voters about elections, how they are conducted, and how they are administered at the state and local levels. 222

After discussion on whether or not the library location was an issue or not, it was

stated that the library could be blocked off with partitions.

178

179

267

223

Specific procedural and technical details are now being discussed at dinner tables, association meetings, and on social media across the state, after not being of much interest to people in times past. Unfortunately, this can include everything from deliberate falsehoods to simple misunderstandings about federal and state laws through which we maintain strict compliance. That alone has added to significant confusion, but adding in the 2021 legislative session creating a number of changes to how our elections are to be conducted for future election cycles has created even more confusion. These changes include different timelines for ballot submission and signature curing. There are new options for specific classifications of voters, and others that will further complicate voter understanding of an already complex process. He has an acute understanding of our state's election laws, and he wants to have a better understanding of the constituents' concerns and questions. He is wanting to find out how to best contact them. In order to maximize the effectiveness of this voter outreach campaign he asks that through the county clerk he may be directed toward any advocacy groups, community leaders, and others who could benefit from a discussion about our elections. The conversations could be in person when possible, or via Zoom. There is no limit to how wany we could schedule, and no deadline by which we need to complete this. He would like to talk to as many people as possible before the 2022 general election on November 8th of next year. Mark recognizes that these conversations will need to continue well into 2023 and 2024. He plans to schedule similar meetings such as this with statewide elected officials, county school boards, and many other boards and advocacy groups across the state. He would like to schedule an in-person meeting with this board to discuss those same items, and to answer any questions you may have.

Our electoral process is intricate, but our voters deserve to hear from a trusted source about how it works, and what Sadie and her team do to comply with federal and state laws. Mark stated that he is firm in his belief that our process is secure. With that being said he is available for their questions.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan mentioned that he had recently listened to the school board meeting in which Mark had given a presentation. He was looking for some clarity from Mark on what he intended for working with the high school students, and he asked that Mark restate what he said at that meeting.

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark went over what he talked about at the school board meeting, which included the state's effort to communicate with new and future voters, including high school students. The Secretary of State issues an award called the Helen J. Stewart Award, for high schools who have over 85% of their eligible high school seniors registered to vote. The intent of his comments the other night to the school board were really to drive home the importance of high school seniors being involved in the electoral process, understanding how to register to vote, and offering services of the Secretary of State and her staff to assist teachers in discussions about elections and civic responsibilities.

Commissioner Clark: Art had Mark repeat the name of the award that was mentioned. Art had worked in the school in Las Vegas that was named for Helen J. Stewart, and he was wondering how the award came about.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie mentioned that when Mr. Knight was a teacher, he would invite her staff to explain to the students about how elections

work. We did it in a non-partisan manner. One year we had a mock election so they could have some hands-on experience, and make a connection with the election experience.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy mentioned that Mr. Eisenbarth did that in a government class that she took back in high school, during the civics part of the class.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that he thinks having the local level do it would be more acceptable in the school environment, rather than the state coming in to do it.

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark agreed that ideally, the county clerks should be providing that at the local level, because they know their constituents and are trusted by individuals in the county. We recognize that the county clerks wear many hats and have numerous responsibilities. If there is an opportunity to augment what Ms. Sullivan is doing, we are available to do so.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie mentioned the item that had passed through the legislature where everyone would be receiving a paper ballot, just like we did in the last general election. She had mentioned that in a previous meeting. Sadie asked that Mark be allowed to elaborate on that.

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark stated that there were a number of election-related bills passed, and that is why he would like to schedule a time to come in person to talk about Assembly Bill 321, and 121. All of these bills are going to have an impact on Lander County voters. The intent is not to present pros and cons, but simply to explain what the law now says and requires of the county clerks and the Secretary of State.

Commissioner Clark: Art mentioned the Voters' Bill of Rights being passed and that it was a bipartisan effort to go back to paper ballots. He asked of Mr. Wlaschin if that was correct.

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark stated that he understands the question, and from a technical point of view the systems that we have across Nevada do contain paper ballots that are auditable. So, from an auditing standpoint we are able to look at every voter's paper ballot to confirm that it matches the numbers that ultimately were released and finally canvassed by the Supreme Court.

Commissioner Clark: Art replied that he only needed a yes or no.

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Yes.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan asked that every commissioner be given a copy of the Voters' Bill of Rights. He thinks the whole thing needs to be read in entirety.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie stated that she had the Voters' Bill of Rights in front of her. She asked what specific number they were specifically speaking of.

Commissioner Clark: Art interjected, "If you would have been at the last meeting it was in the backup.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie responded with, "Sorry, I was not able to be here. I had some medical obligations. Thank you.

Commissioner Clark: Art said, "If it's important you'll be here."

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy said, "Sadie said she had medical, so that's all that needs to be said.

312		Commissioner Clark: Art said, "I came the day after a total knee replacement
313		there, lady."
314		Commissioner Sparks: Bryan thought it was 1A or 1B. He would recognize it if it
315		was read.
316		Sadie presented her copy to the district attorney, and there was discussion
317		regarding whether or not the copy Sadie had was the most current copy, as it didn't have
318		the section they were referring to. Sadie read in a different portion of the document, and
319		referred to NRS 293.2546, #10 - Each voter has the right to have a uniform, statewide
320		standard for counting and recounting all votes accurately. Sadie stated that we do have
321		paper ballots in place. We've had paper ballots, we have mail-in precincts, which we can
322		talk about in another item. There are those paper ballots that have been provided all
323		along.
324		
325		
326	5)	COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the
327		appointment of two (2) individuals to serve on the Lander County Convention &
328		Tourism Authority Board which has three (3) vacancies, new terms are two years
329		from June 30th, 2021 through June 30th, 2023, and to consider all of the following
330		applicants to include:
331		
332		a) Richard Ripley
333		b) Miteshell Lanham
334		
335		Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy asked if they should be voted on separately and it was
336		decided to do that.
337		Commissioner Sparks made the motion to approve Richard Ripley to the
338		Convention & Tourism Authority Board. It was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The
339		motion was voted and carried.
340		Motion to approve: Commissioner Sparks;
341		Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas;
342		The motion was voted and carried.
343		Commissioner Waits made the motion to approve Miteshell Lanham to the
344		Convention & Tourism Authority Board. It was seconded by Commissioner Sparks. The
345		motion was voted and carried.
346		Motion to approve: Commissioner Waits;
347		Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks;
348		The motion was voted and carried.
349		
350		
351	6)	COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to accept a "request for
352		acceptance of a grant of a public access and utility easement across a portion of
353		Section 15, Township 31 North, Range 45 East, M.D.B. & M." The easement will
354		facilitate the connection of three existing county roadways, that is:
355		"A 30 feet in width roadway and utility easement across the Westerly side of
356		section 10 and a 30 feet in width roadway and utility easement across the Southerly

side of section 10, both connecting to a 60 feet roadway and utility easement across the Northerly side of section 16, thus enabling property owners in Section 16 and areas south of section 16 to access their property from the section 10 easements."

Kent Maher (Attorney): Kent is in the meeting by phone.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert read in a letter from a property owner in the vicinity by the name of Sandra Eslick. Sandra stated in her letter that after reading the letters in the meeting packet she wanted to respond. The owners of the properties just off Norman Lane have worked very hard and followed county codes in developing our properties. We have, together, brought in over twenty loads of gravel to build up the roads and limit the dust. The dust that does occur comes in from the desert and lack of water. There is also dust from the dirt that was brought in to block the easement. As we develop the properties dust should decrease. They are looking for easy access to their properties, to have a home and space, like everyone else in Battle Mountain.

Margaret Ames: Margaret stated her address as 1916 Norman Lane. She believes the easement being talked about is on their property. She had a list of residents along their road that don't want any new diggings, or anything. Margaret talked about some other properties and easements that were part of another development off of Old 8A. They are thinking any roads coming in should come off of Old 8A. She mentioned some properties that have been sold, and people are camping out on them. They would prefer not to have much development around their houses.

District Attorney Herrera: Ted explained that this is a group of landowners that got together on their land to create this easement. Mr. Maher is putting this through the county as a courtesy. He could have recorded this on his own, and it is not on the Ames' property, or any other property that is not contained in the group. It can be recorded regardless of what this commission says, and it's before the commission as a courtesy.

Kent Maher (Attorney): Kent directed them to page 77 in their meeting packet. It was exhibit B of the deed, which was a map of the easement area. He explained what the easement consisted of, and he went over the dedicated easements already in existence. This new easement will connect three existing Lander County easements. The three roads already belong to the county. Kent stated that the easement will not affect the Ames' property, or any other property owners. Battle Mountain Land Company is conveying this 30' x 60'easement in section 15 to Lander County, to connect the three existing easements.

District Attorney Herrera: Ted stated that Battle Mountain Land is dedicating part of their land to the county for the other homeowners to have access to their land.

Margaret Ames: Margaret wondered why they couldn't use a different route from Old 8A, and Mr. Maher stated that it wasn't relevant to the discussion of approving this deed. Margaret asked if the county was going to be maintaining the roads after this.

County Manager Ramos: Bert stated that the roads have to be brought up to county standards before the county maintains them. These easements are already ours. This is just a matter of clarification, and the county can't really say no to this.

Margaret wanted to talk about another option, but it was explained that it wasn't on the agenda and it wouldn't change the results of this easement that has been created. It was confirmed to her that everybody would be able to use the roads now.

402 Commissioner Sparks made the motion to disapprove acceptance of this easement. It was clarified to him that he actually needed to approve the item and he 403 amended his motion to approval. It was seconded by Commissioner Clark. The motion 404 was voted and carried. 405 406 Motion to disapprove, and amended to approve: Commissioner Sparks; Seconded by: Commissioner Clark; 407 408 The motion was voted and carried. 409 410 411 7) PUBLIC WORKS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the 412 proposal from Day Engineering for design, bid assistance and construction administration services for the paving of Yellow Brick Road in the amount not to 413 exceed \$44,000. 414 415 Don Prince and Marty Ugalde were in attendance for this item. 416 Don Prince (Public Works): Don explained that Marty brought this to him to 417 obtain approval for this paving project. If approved they are hoping to get it done by mid-418 November. They will be paving 4,500', with a width of 26', and 4' shoulders. 419 Commissioner Sparks made the motion to approve the proposal from Day 420 Engineering. It was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The motion was voted and 421 carried. 422 Motion to approve: Commissioner Sparks; 423 Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas; 424 425 The motion was voted and carried. 426 427 428 8) COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the signing of the letter to U.S. Department of the Interior Secretary Haaland regarding 429 wild horses and burros. 430 431 County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert received an email from Jerry Annis, and the 432 conservation district does support this. They would like to see us move forward with 433 sending a letter to the Department of the Interior requesting some horse gathers. 434 Public Comment: Clerk Sadie Sullivan asked that they provide a new original of 435 the letter if this one is approved, as it needs to not be hole-punched. 436 After discussion, Commissioner Waits made the motion to approve signing the 437 letter to U.S. Department of Interior Secretary Haaland, regarding wild horses and burros. 438 It was seconded by Commissioner Sparks. The motion was voted and carried. 439 Motion to approve: Commissioner Waits; 440 Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks; 441 The motion was voted and carried. 442 443

444 445

9) <u>COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and update on Lander County cricket abatement.</u>

Jack Knight (State Entomologist/NV Dept. of Agriculture): Jack stated that he oversees the state's side of the Mormon cricket and grasshopper program. He's going to cover some possible misconceptions and misinformation that was sent out earlier, and update you on that. They do have yearly public meetings across the northern part of the state. Last year was virtual and this coming year will probably be a combination of virtual and some in-person.

There's actually no state general fund money in this program, other than part of his salary. The money that was allocated to them in 2003 has about one year left of it. He went over what they spend yearly and some issues they have. They have issues with hiring people, like everyone these days. Once the money is gone that the state has, they will revert back to the way things were prior to 2003. This is actually a USDA program, and they're the ones that oversee it. We do everything under their guidelines. If there is no replacement found the NDA's involvement will be somewhat limited in certain areas. They have some ideas they are pursuing to try and get more money, but most of those are federal programs.

Jack detailed some of their programs, and how they request money for the programs. There are severe budget restrictions going on at this time. They prioritize their programs, and look at crop protection, rangeland, and public safety. He mentioned that a piece of ground can be treated one time for crickets. It is not effective on mature crickets. Jack explained about spraying being delayed by some environmental assessments at times. State cost-sharing depends on money availability, and it is a one-third, one-third, one-third deal, federal, private, and state. There are county options, like contracting with sprayers, creating weed and pest districts for tax revenue, and getting reports from private citizens to treat when needed. Humboldt County worked with the BLM to spray on BLM land. The biggest thing he asks for is sharing of data. Reports from the private citizens does help a lot.

It was mentioned that we've been buying our own cricket bait, and Jack stated that baiting is a stopgap measure. It slows them down a little, and is expensive. The real way to treat crickets when you have them is to block out an area and treat the entire area, shortly after they've hatched or midway through their lifecycle.

A litigation meeting was held from 10:33AM – 11:26AM

10) COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove Lander County's contribution with a minimum of \$3,500.00 for the Desert Research Institution to conduct cloud seeding operations in the Humboldt River Basin.

Commissioner Sparks wanted to see this tabled and to see if there are other options out there. Chair Kathy Ancho asked Mr. Fontaine if there were other options out there.

11)

Jeff Fontaine: Jeff stated that there may be other options, but at this late date there most likely isn't time to investigate other options. The cloud seeding program starts on November 1st. Cloud seeding has been done in the Humboldt Basin since the 1960s. Desert Research has been doing it since the 1980s. It's a matching program of dollar for dollar by the William H. Pennington Foundation, and they have quite a bit of contributions already. The goal is to raise \$60,000 and they are close to their goal.

The Humboldt River Water Basin Authority, which includes Pershing, Eureka, Elko, and Humboldt counties are participating. At least three of the mines in the Humboldt region are contributing as well. In response to a question from Commissioner Ancho about any downsides, Jeff spoke about a miniscule amount of iodine that is used in the process. This process is effective and has been done for a long time. He's not aware of any real downsides. The Desert Research Institute already has their units in place in the area, and that would not be true for another entity if one is located.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy asked whether they would meet their goal, or still be short with Lander County's contribution.

Jeff Fontaine: Jeff stated that just that morning they had pretty much already met their goal. If the county decides to participate any amount over what was needed could be used to analyze runoff samples to evaluate the effectiveness of this year's cloud seeding. They could also start looking at additional locations to do cloud seeding.

County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert stated for clarification, that he believes the commission approved to pay the \$3,500 at the last meeting. This item was to find out how much you might be short on the needed funds, and if we would be getting any cloud seeding operations out of this, close to or in Lander County.

Jeff Fontaine: Jeff stated that he's not aware of any cloud seeding operations in Lander County. When it comes to cloud seeding and the additional precipitation and runoff, we're looking at this from a basin-to-basin perspective. The reason is that the Humboldt region is over-appropriated. Virtually every basin in the Humboldt region is over-appropriated. We have conflicts between those who utilize the ground water, and those who utilize the surface water. The whole system benefits from cloud seeding and it helps reduce conflict in the basins.

Commissioner Waits stated that she'd like to see us do this again. Patsy made the motion to not exceed \$3,500, if not approved at the last meeting. Commissioner Sparks seconded the motion. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve and not to exceed \$3,500: Commissioner Waits; Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks;

The motion was voted and carried.

SUMMIT ENGINEERING: Update from Summit Engineering on progress made on the current "project" pursuant to the agreement between Lander County and Summit Engineering with the effective date of April 14, 2013. For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the continuation, the termination and/or modification of the existing contract between Summit Engineering and Lander County on the Austin Town Boundary Realignment Project.

Larry Grube (Summit Engineering): Larry stated that he is the professional surveyor that has been working on the boundary resolution project in Austin, Nevada. He was accompanied by Nick Coston, an engineering intern with Summit Engineering, who has been producing the subdivision maps for all the properties.

Larry referred to an email that had been sent out about a month ago, when they were revising their rate schedules. He finally got that, and he was able to put some numbers together. They have a pretty good idea of what remains to be done now, and believe they have uncovered all of the land mines down there. Larry went into detail on what issues they have been dealing with, and things that are still issues. The Maestretti parcels are a major stumbling block, and the estate has not been opened up. The Austin Resources parcels have not been resolved either. Larry said the owner of those has gone stone cold on them. There is an issue with a government lot going through a parcel, and the BLM can't look at that one until fall. Another controversy is a property around the school, that is in litigation at this time. Also, there are mineral surveys to deal with, and they are very complex.

Larry stated that maps A-K have been submitted to the planning department. He stated that the original agreement was that when the maps went to Kyla, that department would take care of chasing down the owners and getting their signatures for the owners' affidavits. We currently don't have a new planner for Lander County so he's not sure how we're going to proceed there. They have also submitted the maps to High Desert, and Bob Marley, the county surveyor. Bob contacted him last week, about some issues they were having tech-checking maps. They need to concentrate on getting High Desert up to speed on the tech-checks.

Essentially, they are at a point where they can quantify what's left to be done. Basically, finishing off maps A-L, they believe it should be a total cost of about \$24,360. For each map N-S, which would be 7 maps, we're looking at about \$13,000 per map. Since sending out the letter he did add two long days of field costs. Commissioner Waits had asked him at a previous meeting if he was done with the surveying. They believed they were done with the surveying, but when the mineral survey issue came up, he had to go back out and find corners. He added two days of field surveying to the estimate in case it's needed. He doesn't want to have any surprises on the remaining maps.

Larry talked about the issues with the Maestretti parcels and they have decided to show them as they believe they need to be done. It may come down to making some of the subdivision maps court-ordered again. They are having issues with people in town just not responding to them anymore.

Commissioner Waits stated that they are aware of Austin Resources being a problem, and they would like Summit to finish up what they can. Larry said they have seven more maps to do, one every thirty days.

Larry talked about protecting the value of what you've been doing. They are engineering the town of Austin. He understands road grades and things like that, and wants to give Austin the best maps possible, so that they have correct property lines to move into the future.

There was continued extensive discussion on possible things that might be done to move the project forward. Tom Gallagher from Summit Engineering, came forward to give some of his thoughts on this project, and the issues that have come up with it. Tom

623

624

explained that when they came into this thing, they had the false feeling that the county recorder might have known who owned what properties, or approximately who owned what. It didn't turn out to be the case. They weren't told on the front end that Bob Hughes hated everybody in Lander County, and Lander County didn't like Bob Hughes very well. He personally flew to Salt Lake, and made peace with Bob Hughes, with Commissioner Mills, who was a commissioner at the time. He stated that this whole thing has been a complete nightmare, and if you don't believe him, talk to your county surveyor. There have been too many landmines in this project that were not foreseen.

After continuing discussion of fees, contracts, and other issues, it was decided that Larry would prepare a proposal by the next week, to move forward and another agenda

The meeting broke for lunch from 12:12PM – 1:20PM

COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove a Resolution to establish a County Library and a County Library fund for Austin and Battle Mountain and to appoint the Lander County Board of Commissioners as temporary County Library Trustees whose terms shall not exceed one (1) year.

There being no questions or discussion needed, Commissioner Waits read in Resolution 2021-15, as part of the motion to approve this item, with a needed correction to the document noted, and the Chair to sign. It was seconded by Commissioner Sparks.

Motion to approve, with needed correction, and Chair to sign: Commissioner

Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks;

COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the attorney retainer agreement with Lander County and Law Offices of Jennings & Fulton, LTD, for legal services as needed.

County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert stated that these are firms we had already been with through third-party contracting. Now we are contracting with them directly.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy questioned if these had anything to do with Joey Gilbert, as she had been questioned about that.

County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert stated for the record that Joey Gilbert has stepped down. He felt that his name being part of this brought the wrong perception to what was trying to be accomplished here, and that it was more of a distraction than it was a benefit. He has refunded the full \$5,000 that he was retained with, and the remainder of that money is being retained by these attorneys.

625		Commissioner Clark: Art stated for the record, "We never gave \$50,000 to Joey
626		Gilbert to run for governor. That is a rumor that we just cleared up."
627		County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert stated for the record, that Joey Gilbert kept
628		zero from Lander County. He never billed us for a penny, and the remainder of that is the
629		retainer for the other attorneys.
630		Commissioner Sparks made the motion to approve the attorney retainer
631		agreement. It was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The motion was voted and
632		carried.
633		Motion to approve: Commissioner Sparks;
634		Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas;
635		The motion was voted and carried.
636		
637		
638	14)	COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the
639		attorney retainer agreement with Lander County and Bundren Law Group, PLLC
640		for legal services as needed.
641		
642		There being no questions on this item, Commissioner Sparks made the motion to
643		approve the attorney retainer agreement with Bundren Law Group, PLLC, and to
644		authorize the County Manager to sign. It was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The
645		motion was voted and carried.
646		Motion to approve: Commissioner Sparks;
647		Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas;
648		The motion was voted and carried.
649		
650		PUBLIC COMMENT:
651		
652		None.
653		
654		
655	15)	LANDER COUNTY CLERK: Report to Commission on conversion to paper
656		ballots, another manufacturer of electronic voting machines, or to stay with
657		Dominion. After report the Lander County Board of Commissioners to discuss and
658		approve/disapprove one of the above options for future elections.
659		
660		Lander County Clerk Sadie Sullivan and Election IT Richard Green appeared for
661		this item.
662		Commissioner Waits: Patsy read a letter from a constituent that she was asked to
663		read into the record during public comment. The letter pertained to the Dominion voting
664		machines, and paper ballots.
665		County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert stated that they have people on for public
666		comment but would wait until after Sadie's presentation, as it might answer some of the
667		questions.

Sadie continued by stating that she and her staff would continue to follow county, state and federal laws. If you choose to pass this today, we will continue to work to the best of our abilities to move forward on this. Sadie does not feel that voting on this item is fair to the voters of Lander County. They should have a say at the polls, and not just a few people to decide. She also stated that she cannot give a full, fair opinion on everything today, because of course she is not an attorney, and legal would not be for her to comment on. She can answer any questions that pertain to how things are done in the office and for elections, but not something that needs a legal opinion.

Sadie brought the Nevada Revised Statutes Title 24 code book to have a visual aid of the extent of election laws that must be followed, and that it is just the Nevada portion of it. She acknowledged that we all have the same goals, to have fair and secure elections. We want our votes to count. We do not want anyone to be able to exploit any part of the process so that each legal vote may be cast.

You have asked for a report about a possible transition from our current election system to some other system, with the intent of improving our existing processes. There are a number of factors that you should be aware of that would affect the transition. There will be additional training requirements, additional personnel requirements, implementing the added requirements that have come out of the 2021 legislative session, and other fiscal and operational impacts.

Some constituents have reached out to me about the possible transition. Some are worried that their voices are not being heard, and they want to be involved. If you decide to transition from the voting machines we are currently using, I simply request that we do so in a deliberative and carefully thought-out manner. We do not need to rush into it blind, and to the second and third impacts that would cause ripple effects in the next year's elections, and in the county's costs.

Sadie displayed the policy and procedures manual that is followed for the Dominion equipment that the county currently has. Sadie explained that changes made in Lander County will also impact the other sixteen counties in Nevada. She explained the process for provisional ballots that can't be counted until it has been verified that they have not voted in any other county. After all ballots have been cast every county provides their list of provisional ballots to the Secretary of State so that they can validate that no one attempted to vote in more than one county. This is a requirement that happens before each board of county commissioners can certify the results. Every county has to have completed their reporting to the Secretary of State, and they have to wait for us before this step is completed.

We also have a relatively knew audit requirement, in addition to other audits we are already required to conduct. This new type of audit is a risk-limiting audit, known as RLA. It's described in NRS 293.394. This new audit is conducted at both the state and county levels. Each RLA will increase confidence that the votes are accurate. There have been a number of pilot RLAs across the state in the last two years, continuing through this year and next, in preparation for continuing them full-time, starting in January of 2024. These audits are another important step in assuring our elections are fair and secure.

Sadie stated that she is providing this information at their request. She is not directing, saying, guiding, or whatever, she's just bringing the information. Sadie first

755

756 757

758

addressed the pros and cons of staying with Dominion. We have worked with Dominion for years, and they have been a proven and reliable partner in conducting elections. They provide immediate helpful support whenever needed, and have never failed to meet the provisions of the contract. The staff is familiar with the system, and the equipment works well across the state. Sixteen of the seventeen counties use the same system. There is plenty of redundancy in the processes if there is ever an issue and need of a backup.

Sadie continued by going over other options for a different election system and provider. There are a number of pros and cons, all depending on what we end up with. If you decide to move forward from our current system, she recommends we enable our electorate to engage as well. We could arrange for an open house where a number of vendors bring their systems for voters to see and review what options are out there. She suspects more people do not know the difference between direct-recording electronic voting machines (DRE), and a ballot-marking device (BMD). Examples of our chain of custody documents and other forms and processes that we use to ensure the security and fairness of an election would be shown. Our machines are DRE machines, and Carson City uses a BMD system. The BMD system is basically a machine that prints your choices directly on a piece of paper that you hold in your hand. You then drop that paper into a box that records your vote, and it is tallying at that time. The current machines have a printer that sits next to them, that you can review your votes as you go along. The paper is there, but you can't touch it. People do like the feel of it in their hands, and to then go drop it. That's just a little bit of an explanation of the two types of machines for you. Our voters should be allowed to see what goes on and help with the decision. The systems would range in cost between \$150,000 - \$300,000. They would have their own training requirements and delivery timelines. We need to get them early enough to incorporate them into our voter outreach plan, in order to let the voters see what the new system looks like, how it functions, and what security measures we have in place for a fair and secure election.

Sadie went on to explain the pros and cons of trying to transition to a paper ballot election. Her first thought is that she would need to better understand what they mean by this. She needs to understand what they mean, and it needs to be on the record, depending on how the Board votes today. We have quite a few more machines than you probably realize involved in our elections. So, do you mean you don't want any machines at all involved in our elections, or do you mean that you don't want our voters to use the DRE voting machines? Sadie detailed the current process of the ballots being prepared on paper. When the item reads as conversion to paper ballots, another manufacturer of electronic voting machines, or to stay with Dominion, the thought in her mind is that those ballots are produced, they return them, they mail them, drop off in person, and they get put into the system that they've been returned. The signatures are verified at that time, and they are verified again by her election board. They are a board that is not part of those working at the civic center. They pile up those ballots and then the ballots run through a machine to be read. If for some reason someone has made a mark on the ballot, filled in more than one oval, or anything like that it's detected at that time. You have two board workers that work to decide what the person intended. They also ask a third person for their opinion on those issues to decide which direction to go on that vote. Ballots can have coffee stains, kids using crayons on them, any number of things like that. The

 scanner detects every little thing. Those workers are for those types of things specifically. To what degree are you guys looking for doing paper ballots, because we already do paper ballots?

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that he is interested in how it states it in the Voters' Bill of Rights 293B, which he still hasn't seen a copy of exactly, to where we're all on the same page. His idea of a paper ballot is a ballot that somebody fills in, and then whatever machine you use to tabulate the vote.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie stated that she wanted that clarification. So, you're okay with a paper ballot and they can be run through a machine for tabulation. There was talk of course, of having to do hand-counting. Sadie explained that precincts 6, 7, 8, and 9 all use paper ballots. As Mark had stated earlier regarding what has passed through the legislature, everybody will be receiving a paper ballot again, just as they did in the general election. That's just some clarification she wanted to have with the Board, what the thoughts were of everybody on this topic.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan is interested in hearing about the tabulation machines, how they make them, how they work, and all that.

Commissioner Clark: Art is interested in how it was done before electronic voting machines, because it's his understanding that it was quick, easy, and fast.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy had some questions on that and she was told that now that NRS's have changed on the voting they are run through a machine and validated that way. That is a requirement and there's no hand-counting. They have to be run through a machine to try and avoid human error. That's the way they are reported to the Secretary of State, because there has to be some kind of electronic way of reporting to the state that's not done by a human.

Richard Green (Election/IT/Clerk's Office): It's a mechanical process to actually process the paper. After that it's digitized at that point. According to all the NRS that we have it has to be in a certain form to be reported to the state. They're not going to take it any old way, and it has to be presented to them in a way that they will accept it.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated, "You're talking about procedures, but the procedures aren't the law.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie asked if he meant the statutes, or their procedures. She went on to detail the procedures that are followed, and that are set up by the providers of the machines and software. The machines are programmed for each election by the election staff in the office, following the steps given by the company that they are purchased from. There is a representative from the company on site to help with any issues. This is hands-on involvement. This process is called pre-lats, and it is open to the public for viewing, in a quiet manner. The information comes to us on secure encrypted thumb drives, and then we decrypt it to install the election setup on each machine. This puts Lander County's ballot on each machine. Sadie went over a few of the steps that ballots go through to be verified during the election cycles.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy asked how many other companies have the machines that tally.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie explained that the companies can have similar things throughout, and others may be more unique.

805 806

807 808 809

810 811 812

813 814

819 820 821

840

835

841 842 843

844 845 846

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark stated that there are numerous companies that provide ballot-marking devices. These are approved companies that he's referring to. A list of companies can be put together to provide additional information for your review.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie spoke about the electronic pollbooks that are used for checking voters in, and that the signatures are compared at that time. What would this process look like if we're not able to use those for checking voters in? Are we going back to printing the paper voter rolls with signatures being done there? There are so many questions about this.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan mentioned issues that had happened in Clark County, and he wanted to know what Sadie would recommend to eliminate problems with signatures.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie talked about people that had come in to verify what signature was on file, to make sure that their record was current. The signatures are saved into the voter data records, and might have come from old voter registration forms, or were pulled from DMV records. Signatures may change through the years. There are processes that are performed to help with keeping signatures updated.

Mark Wlaschin (Deputy Secretary for Elections): Mark stated that there are many ways to validate signatures. The concerns about Clark County using a machine to verify signatures is easy to prevent in Lander County, simply by not using that same machine. There are other types of machines for verifying signatures. There is a requirement now from AB321, for signature verification training for all staff that are part of the administration of an election. The people that are involved in Lander County's signature verification in 2022 and going forward will be trained in signature verification. There are opportunities provided to cure signature issues. The people are notified of the issues and urged to come in and provide a current signature.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie mentioned that she is required by NRS 293.675 to maintain an electronic voter registration list so that she may provide information to the Secretary of State. She is wondering if this is still acceptable. Our uniformed and overseas citizens, and now pursuant to AB121 our community members with disabilities are allowed by federal and state laws to use the Effective Absentee system (EASE) for elections. EASE allows for secure electronic transmissions of voter ballots to her office. This process creates an auditable paper ballot trail to remain secure. Sadie wanted to know if this was still acceptable. Finally, Sadie asked if it mattered that pursuant to AB321 she will be required to mail a ballot to every active registered voter going forward, and if it meets their requirements. Regardless of what you decide today, rest assured that her entire staff will work together with the Secretary of State to ensure that every vote cast in Lander County will count, and that our elections will be fair and secure.

Sadie continued speaking regarding the Voters' Bill of Rights, NRS 293.2546. She read #10, that reads as follows, 'To have a uniform, statewide standard for counting and recounting all votes accurately'. Whatever is decided here today will affect the other counties, and Sadie wanted that on the record. There was a representative from Dominion on the line if there were any questions for them. Mark Wlaschin was still on the line, and also Kay Stimson, the vice president of Government Affairs, with Dominion Voting Systems, if you have any questions.

Chair Kathy Ancho asked how long we had been with Dominion. Sadie replied with the year 2004, and it's before her time as the clerk. Kathy wondered how Dominion came to be the main provider of equipment for the state, and it was stated that would need to be researched, as it was before the time of both Sadie or Mark's positions and knowledge. Kathy asked if the counties had to use Dominion and how that worked. Sadie talked about the meeting in Carson City where ES&S and Dominion were both present to show their equipment. There are a couple other counties that are not using Dominion.

Mark Wlaschin explained that the counties were able to pick which systems to go with, based on their constituents and discussions with their commissioners.

Sadie talked about several of the smaller counties considering possibly going with ES&S back then, but when it came down to it, they felt that it would be better to go more uniform, for supplies and things like that. Sadie gave an example of sharing thermal paper with Elko County during a past election, and it not being something you could run buy off the shelf.

Commissioner Thomas asked who Sadie might recommend if they decided to go with paper ballots. Sadie stated that she is used to Dominion because that's who she has worked with. On paper ballots it's what you guys decide to go with. They all have to follow the same rules and laws. Dominion has always been there for every phone call, whatever is needed. ES&S is also able to answer any questions for us. They were there at the capitol building, along with Dominion.

There was continued discussion on paper ballots, and how the ballot information is produced. Commissioner Sparks stated that he doesn't think of Dominion as having a paper ballot. Sadie detailed how the ballots are produced, printed, and sent out. Sadie stated that the Board is certifying paper ballots, the mail-in ballots from the mail-in precincts, snowbirds, absentee ballots, military and overseas ballots. That whole certification process is for the election as a whole. Any board of commissioners is certifying what is from the machines, and also the paper ballots as well. Commissioner Sparks stated that her idea of paper ballots is different than what his idea of paper ballots is. Sadie talked about the mail-in precincts from the south, and that some people from the south want to be able to vote in person. They aren't allowed to vote in person because precincts 6 through 9 were designated as mail-in only precincts at a prior time in Lander County history. Those ballots go through the same tabulator machine as any other mail-in ballots. It's all done through the Dominion system.

Discussion continued regarding paper ballots and how to proceed. Amy Nelson came forward to ask how Dominion is involved in her vote, and how that information could be manipulated. Sadie went over the process of voting on the machines, how it calibrates your choices, and what shows up in the printer viewing screen to verify your choices. The paper in each printer is also kept as a record of votes cast on each machine for auditing purposes. You can make any changes you might want to before you hit the tab to cast your final vote.

Sadie explained that Dominion programs the ballot information for each election. The election staff then installs that information to each machine from a thumb drive that is sent from Dominion. At the end of the whole tally, we take the thumb drives to a

secure computer that never goes online. Those thumb drives are run through the tallying computer to get the records for your tabulation and reports for the election. The information is then transferred to a separate thumb drive, and sent through another computer using the secure Ipswitch file transfer software to the Secretary of State's office.

Amy Nelson had more questions about information possibly being manipulated at some point. Richard Green, the clerk's office election IT, explained that any manipulation would have to happen after it leaves here and it goes to another system.

Commissioner Sparks stated that he doesn't think anything happened with our election in Lander County. He feels we've been disenfranchised at the state level and could have possibly been represented better than somebody getting in by some manipulation. That's his concern, and that as a commissioner we're certifying the election. Sadie stated that it is her name, and she is concerned, as well. It's a huge thing and we all need to work together on it.

The commissioners were asking for whatever systems were out there, besides Dominion. Commissioner Waits mentioned some of her concerns with the Dominion system, including that it might be hacked too easily. Sadie went over the processes again, and she spoke about the misleading stories circulating in the news and on social media. She stated that it is usually best to go to the source for your information. Richard Green went over how many different ways the election information is tallied and the redundancies therein.

After discussion on issues with mail-in ballots and the ways to cure some of the issues with cleaning up the voter rolls, Commissioner Sparks stated that he'd like Sadie to provide information on three different options as far as paper ballots, other than Dominion. Commissioner Waits mentioned to include the tabulating machines if that's what is wanted. Commissioner Sparks was not interested in electronic machines. Commissioner Waits was not sure she wanted to give up the electronic machines right off the bat. She knows we don't want Dominion.

Sadie spoke to the changes in perceptions where before people were all against the paper ballots, and now it's all about paper, paper, paper. She understands where it's coming from, but on the other hand, when she goes and votes on those machines, and she verifies her choices on the paper feed that's printed out on the side of the machine, she trusts in that.

Commissioner Waits stated that they don't trust in it. She asked if they still wanted to terminate Dominion today. Commissioner Sparks asked if they needed to make a motion on Item 15 today. D.A. Herrera suggested that they make a motion asking Sadie what you want her to bring in front of you. Sadie asked if they would be interested in having Dominion come in person to answer any questions they may have. There wasn't any interest in that. Commissioner Sparks asked how he should phrase the motion. Ted gave a suggestion of making a motion to have a list of three companies that have the paper ballots, and three separate companies that have the tabulation machines. Bryan said, "I make a motion stating that exact thing." The motion was seconded by Commissioner Clark. The motion was voted and carried.

965

966

967

968 969

970

971

972

973

974

975 976

977

978

979

980

Motion to bring back the list of choices for paper ballots and tabulator

machines: Commissioner Sparks; Seconded by: Commissioner Clark; The motion was voted and carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert spoke for Cori Warwood. She would like to have seen this tabled, so that she could have some say in her votes. She needs more information and would like a townhall meeting. Bert stated that he is still pretty confused by what is considered paper, what is considered electronic, and what really does take place in the middle of it.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy asked about the vote for Item 16, as she needed to leave. Patsy left the meeting at 2:47PM.

Dora Martinez (Nevada Disabilities Peer Action Coalition Representative): Dora stated that she is one of the activists for the coalition. She had someone waiting to read a public comment from someone who could not be in attendance today, due to medical reasons. She would come back on after the reading of the public comment. A gentleman then starting reading the public comment regarding how important the NVEASE online voting system has been during the COVID-19 pandemic for this individual, who is a Nevada resident with a disability. The person is totally blind and has been voting independently since 2004, using the audio ballot capability included in the electronic voting machines at their voting precinct. They have done so with pride and joy. Last year access to NVEASE allowed them to vote in two consequential elections from home, using their iPhone and refreshable braille display. These pieces of technology allowed them to not have to worry about transportation to polling places, or exposure to COVID-19. They were able to successfully fill out their ballot without outside assistance, and with relatively few technical issues. They were proud to be able to do so. It's a positive experience to use NVEASE, and under COVID circumstances it is vital that this voting option continues to be permanently available. We now have the law with the passage of AB121, that all Nevadans with disabilities can continue to vote independently, securely, and conveniently, along with members of the military, and other Americans overseas, without having to worry about transportation to the polls, or having to apply for a paper absentee ballot, which often requires the assistance of others, and is therefore not private, for a person with a visual impairment or other disability. Since access to NVEASE for Nevadans with disabilities is now granted by law this access should remain, regardless of location in the state of Nevada, and regardless of the population size most areas have residents with disabilities. NVEASE should be available whenever and wherever it is needed. Appreciation for consideration of their message was expressed at the end of the letter. The letter writer's name was stated as Janine Morris.

Dora Martinez: Dora came back on, and stated that she would send in the letter in an email format. She wanted to remind everyone that the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) that passed in 2002, is a federal law in the U.S. It was amended to include Nevada residents with disabilities. Along with the ADA 504 as of 1973 allowing people with disabilities the right to vote, regardless of whether you all want complete paper

999

1004 1005

1006 1007

1012

1013

16)

1014 1015 1016

1017 1018 1019

1020 1021 1022

1023 1024 1025 ballots or not, it needs to be accessible. Dora gave an example of her husband going to vote in person, and some of the volunteers did not know how to turn on the computer to speak to her husband, using the speech system in their own computer. This meant it had to be read out loud, so there was no integrity and privacy of voting in that manner. Everybody knew in the room, who they voted for, and heard his social security number. So, with this in mind the NVEASE system for elections is now a law that passed, so please don't forget to add that into your procedures. If you do decide to use some of the voting machines, consult people with disabilities to know which machine is compatible with the Americans with Disabilities Act, as not all of them are.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie stated that she appreciated them speaking up. We take for granted, as individuals who are not wheelchair bound today, that it's hard to get to voting locations, they want to be heard, and they have equal rights to us. We're not guaranteed anything, and something could come upon us, to lose eyesight, or another disability. These are federal laws that need to be followed. As the county clerk and as a county we need to respect those people as equally as they want to respect us. She appreciates them calling in, as it's easy to get side-tracked and not understand what they are dealing with. It's a lesson and a reminder for us.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that as commissioners we wouldn't do anything outside the whole NRS or federal law.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that as a Board they jumped right on ADA with the sidewalks. As a commissioner on the Board, and 31 years teaching special ed do you think for one second, I would ignore ADA?

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie replied that she didn't think that. With NVEASE we will have electronics provided, according to federal law. So, if you want to go all paper there is that exception, and that is what they are stating.

COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action on whether to terminate the Voting System Agreement by and between Dominion Voting systems, Inc. and Lander County, NV dated October 17, 2017 pursuant to Section 15.2 of the agreement which provides that such may be terminated for non-appropriation of funds. Upon any action to terminate the agreement, Lander County Clerk must undertake efforts to preserve any and all election information stored on any electronic voting machine subject to the agreement so the same can be preserved and accessible as required under the law without the use of the software licensed under the agreement and, thereafter, to timely notify Dominion of termination under the agreement prior to expiration of the current license term.

D.A. Herrera: Ted spoke regarding making a motion for Item 16, and that Items 17 and 18 should be tabled until Sadie comes back with the information.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy asked how we would preserve the information on the machines if we're not under contract.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie stated that Mark Wlaschin and Kay Stimson were still on the line. She wanted them to answer the question put forth by Chair Ancho. Sadie stated that the machines are Lander County's machines. The data stays put.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy asked about getting information for any kind of audit on the machines and if we're able to access it if we no longer have a contract.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that he believes Sadie has to keep the information for twenty-two months. You've approved terminating the contract by not allocating the funds. Sadie has to keep the data on those machines, whether you make copies of them, or whatever. We just want to make sure we're not preventing you from following state law.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie stated that to her understanding it stays on the machines, and we have the additional thumb drives that have the same information and then we have the paper. So, we have it in three areas. In response to a question from Commissioner Thomas about why get rid of Dominion before having something else, Sadie talked about the filing period coming up in March, and then we start immediately working with the companies to create the ballots. We hope it works out.

There was a short discussion on the question about getting rid of Dominion before having another company engaged.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Amy Nelson: Amy said she appreciated what Sadie said about all wanting the same thing. She wants to make sure her vote and the county's votes counted. She feels very disenfranchised from what she has investigated and researched. She has total faith in Lander County, but no faith in the machines used to transmit the data and what's happening in the other states. She wants a full forensic audit of Lander County.

There being no further public comment, Commissioner Sparks made the motion to terminate the contract with Dominion Voting Systems. It was seconded by Commissioner Clark. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve: Commissioner Sparks;

Seconded by: Commissioner Clark;

The motion was voted and carried.

COMMISSIONERS: For discussion and possible action on whether to convert to paper ballots for all future elections. Upon any action to convert to paper ballots, Lander County Clerk must undertake any and all action to assure that all appropriate accommodations are made to assure every legal voter has the opportunity to cast their ballot including, but not limited to, making sure all necessary accommodations are made under the Americans with Disabilities Act.

This item was tabled.

18) <u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> For discussion and possible action on whether to reappropriate budgetary funds to the printing and use of paper ballots for all future elections. Such funds to be reappropriated from election service fees, annual license fees and warranty fees associated with the use of electronic voting machines.

1071 Namely, those funds allocated under the Voting System Agreement by and between Dominion Voting systems, Inc. and Lander County, NV dated October 17, 2017. 1072 1073 This item was tabled. 1074 1075 1076 1077 19) NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE: Presentation by Nevada Department of 1078 Wildlife (NDOW) on solar farms. 1079 1080 Jasmine Kleiber (Wildlife Staff Specialist-Habitat Division): Jasmine introduced 1081 herself, and began her presentation on energy planning and how it relates to wildlife, and how the department approaches energy planning and wildlife resources across the state. 1082 We have seen significant shifts in priorities focusing on sustainable renewable 1083 energy over the last decade. There have been changes to existing and new legislation, as 1084 well as the development of some new approaches to how we plan for energy 1085 1086 development. They are working toward having more stakeholders involved, with more interested parties trying to do this in a more sustainable way. There is a push towards 1087 more renewable energy now. 1088 1089 Jasmine talked about national energy corridors, BLM solar development, executive orders done in 2019, in Nevada, Smart-from-the-Start Energy Planning, the 1090 state's climate strategy, and various programs that NDOW has. We're starting to see 1091 large-scale infrastructure projects, including two transmission line projects that cover the 1092 expanse of Nevada. They are Greenlink Transmission lines. NDOW falls within both 1093 1094 national and statewide efforts. They have had legislation passed that has established energy planning and conservation with new programs. That's nested under their technical 1095 review program. They also participate in the AFWA Solar Wildlife Work Group. 1096 1097 Jasmine talked about Nevada's renewable energy future, and the goals they have set. In 2019, about 85% of energy consumed in Nevada came from outside the state; 2nd 1098 in the nation in electricity generation from geothermal energy; 4th in utility-scale 1099 generation from solar energy. 1100 In 2020, 30% of Nevada's power came from renewables; 14% from solar, with 30 1101 1102 active solar facilities; 10% from geothermal; and 6% from hydroelectric. Jasmine covered the Smart-from-the-Start program, and the participation that's 1103 needed for coordinated planning. 1104 There was discussion on birds being killed on solar farms, and wildlife mortality 1105 1106 is a concern. 1107 1108 1109 20) NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE: A presentation by Nevada Department 1110 of Wildlife on their future plans in Lander County and possible action on whether to support their project. Nevada Department of Wildlife is not requesting financial 1111 1112 support. 1113 1114 Alan Jenne (Habitat Division Administrator/NDOW): Alan stated that they came today to inform the Board about an opportunity they've been presented with, and would 1115

 like the Board to consider. The current owner, Bob Mueller, of the Licking Ranch north of town, has approached them to see if they would be interested in acquiring that property. The wildlife values on that property are very high. They are very interested, and are here today to hear the Board's reactions to the idea. If so, they would like to work together to get some support because they will have to go in front of a legislative interim finance committee to get the approval to spend the funds to actually acquire it.

Commissioner Clark: Art questioned if it was the Venturracci place, and if this would stop the Tomeras from being able to use it.

Alan Jenne: Alan explained that most everything they own, they put to use. They lease farming and grazing rights on their properties. If NDOW receives this property they would continue to manage it as it is right now. What they see has worked, they wouldn't want to mess with. The haying operation and grazing that are occurring on there still provide a huge benefit for wildlife, and it's in great condition. There's still that opportunity for traditional use.

Commissioner Clark: Art asked if it would be open for everyone if they acquired it.

Alan Jenne: Alan explained that they have properties where they manage access, manage timing, like during certain seasons where there is active nesting. Properties are managed for wildlife benefit and recreation, like fishing and birders.

Commissioner Thomas: JR asked about possibly forming a committee to plant birds out there.

Alan Jenne: Alan stated that they have evaluated that on other properties as well, and contemplated some of it, historically. That's another opportunity along the Humboldt River with the pheasant population. On all of their properties they go through a public planning process where they invite everybody in and hear their comments about how to manage that property. Mueller's intent when he bought the property in 2005, was because he loved the conservation value, and he wants that to continue.

If they end up getting this property they would staff it here, and it would be managed for wildlife benefit and also for recreation. They would be maintaining its historic use. NDOW is literally the only state agency that pays property taxes, so that is not lost. They would not build houses or anything down in the floodplain. They will try to clean up some of the houses that are there already.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that he would have to think really hard on this, and talk to the people involved before making any decision on it. He does not want to interrupt anyone's family operation, and would like to be at a meeting where the Tomeras are present to talk about it.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that he'd like to talk to people that done this on other properties to see how it has worked out.

Alan Jenne: They have had agreements where certain entities have had a guarantee of rights for a certain duration. He gave an example of guaranteed grazing rights on another property they had acquired that went until the party refused those rights. Alan also mentioned that the water rights Bob Mueller has will come with the property. Some of the water rights are leased to the county, and that would continue as well. Alan said he understands that there is a good relationship between Bob Mueller and the Tomeras. If he wants to establish that right it can be written into the purchase and sale

1161 agreement. They will be reaching out to the Tomeras right after this meeting. They did 1162 not want to get ahead of themselves before this meeting. After a short discussion Commissioner Sparks made the motion to show support, 1163 depending on the Tomeras' approval. This was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The 1164 1165 motion was voted and carried. Commissioner Waits had left the meeting before this vote. Motion to show support depending on Tomeras' approval: Commissioner 1166 Sparks; 1167 **Seconded by:** Commissioner Thomas: 1168 The motion was voted and carried. 1169 1170 1171 1172 21) **CORRESPONDENCE:** Correspondence/reports/future agenda items. 1173 1174 County Manager Bert Ramos: Bert stated that he has the Summit proposal on the next agenda. The election items are a standing item until Sadie is ready with that. The 1175 tabled items will be standing, as well. 1176 1177 1178 1179 **PUBLIC COMMENT** 1180 1181 Sean Bakker (Recreation Center Manager): Sean stated that the ice-skating rink is out. The pad is down, and we had a little hiccup with the fence, but he will get that taken care of this 1182 weekend. It will look great after this weekend. It is behind the rec center by the ballfields. The 1183 1184 lights are being installed, as it's starting to get darker during the winter. It is synthetic ice, but it should work. 1185 1186 Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie stated that she had received an email from 1187 the Secretary of State's office regarding the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. It's about voting system test laboratories, and it names the two certified companies that are approved for 1188 audits. If you would like this information, she will get it over to Ted. It will be emailed out. 1189 1190 1191 1192 **ADJOURNMENT** 1193 1194 There being no further business before the Commission at this time, Commissioner Sparks moved to adjourn the regular session of October 14, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner 1195 Thomas, the motion was voted and carried. ADJOURNED. 1196 1197 1198 1199 Meeting was adjourned at 3:55 PM. 1200 1201 1202 1203 CHAIRMAN OR VICE CHAIRMAN OF 1204 THE BOARD OF LANDER COUNTY

1205	
1205	
1206	
1207	$h(1) \cap I(1)$
1208	
1209	ATTEST: //////
1210	LANDER COUNTY CLERK
1211	

COMMISSIONERS AND THE LANDER COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD