The Honorable Board of Lander County Commissioners met in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Lander County Courthouse and Administration Building in Battle Mountain, Nevada, 50 State Route 305, on August 26, 2021 at 9:00 AM.

PRESENT: PATSY WAITS, COMMISSIONER ART CLARK III, COMMISSIONER KATHLEEN ANCHO, COMMISSIONER BRYAN SPARKS, COMMISSIONER

WALLACE (JR) THOMAS, COMMISSIONER KATHY DUVALL, COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE THEODORE HERRERA, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ELIZABETH MACDONALD, DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S

**OFFICE** 

(Let the record reflect the presence of a quorum of five commissioners. Let the record reflect the presence of Austin via teleconference. Let the record reflect the meeting is also via Audio Conference by Go-To-Meeting.)

## 9:00 AM

Chair Kathy Ancho called the meeting to order. Commissioner Sparks led the Pledge of Allegiance. Chair Kathy Ancho called for a moment of silence.

## **COMMISSIONER REPORTS**

44

Commissioner Waits: Patsy mentioned that Austin is two weeks away from their wine walk and dinner at the castle on September 11th. She attended the Central Nevada Regional Water Authority meeting in Fallon, last Friday. One hundred percent of the whole state is experiencing varying levels of drought conditions, from extreme to exceptional.

They had a couple of guests from the Nevada Division of Water Resources. State Engineer Adam Sullivan was there, and the deputy administrator, Micheline Fairbank. Basin designations that were made last fall were talked about. They were going to do some investigations, and will probably go ahead with about six of them. They're going to come back to the counties to get more input. Micheline gave some background on their administration office. They are so outdated, and not digitalized at all. If you want information you have to actually drive to Carson City to look it up. They are so far behind, and they're hoping with the extra resource money that is coming in that perhaps they can update everything.

Patsy spoke about a bill before Congress called the Open Access Evapotranspiration Act. That is going to make data accessible through the U.S. Geological Survey. She pulled the act, and it's very confusing, as a lot of the acts are. They are wanting input, and we might want to put it on our agenda to look at. They are trying to close some loopholes that they're concerned about.

Our hydrologist gave us an update on the fifty-one wells. There are twelve groundwater basins, and the wells are maintaining their levels, except for four of them having noticeable declines.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that he was the only one that showed up for the PLUAC meeting.

Chair Kathy Ancho: No report. Commissioner Sparks: No report. Commissioner Thomas: No report.

## STAFF REPORTS

. 72

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that they have had a few meetings regarding the land use plan and how we're going to move forward. Some of it will be coming back before the Board because they need to look at what kind of buffer zones to put between ag and some of the community areas. The way the code reads currently you can have so many acres per animal, and we don't want feed lots or dairies bumped up against communities. We don't want to have situations with fly problems or smell problems, things like that.

Bert addressed some things that were on Facebook. He would like to respond to some things, but we do have policies that don't allow us to respond. People are able to call here anytime to talk to him, and have questions answered regarding misinformation that spreads quickly on social media. He addressed the \$50,000 attorney retainer fee being for three attorneys, and stated that this commission has done nothing but the best for this community at all times. He addressed issues with the net proceeds, and what could happen if the mines go away. This commission filed a lawsuit that the whole northern portion of the state benefited from.

There were concerns over the old courthouse being leased for \$12.00 a year, and why we would upgrade the building in the process. As a nonprofit we can upgrade that building however we'd like, as a county building. The preschool next door is an exact example of this. The county does this for the benefit of the community, and the race track is one of these things. The golf course is also for the betterment of the whole community. We are still in negotiations with Elko County for the library to do a three-month extension on the contract.

A town hall meeting is set for September 9<sup>th</sup> that will be based solely around COVID. Our local doctor will speak, and we will be bringing in our health officer. It will be a question and answer format.

Bert stated that the meetings are on YouTube if people can't make the meetings. He gave his email address of <a href="mailto:bramos@landercountynv.org">bramos@landercountynv.org</a> for contacting him. He got a call this morning from Miss Shar Petersen, and she is in support of paper ballots. That item is on the agenda today. If anyone is concerned with that, they should stick around for it. There's some new law that's in place that we need to be aware of to move forward properly.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Parties calling in were invited to speak first. There weren't any callers holding for public comment.

90 91

92 93

94 95 96

97 98 99

104

109

116

127 128 129

Lori Price: Lori asked if it would be possible to publish the minutes in the Bugle. We used to have someone here who would write down what was going on in our community. She knows it's online, but not everyone gets online. She wondered if it were possible to have the meetings later in the evening.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that we went through this six years ago regarding moving the meetings to evenings. NRS states that there must be fiscal neutrality. We can't have a meeting at 5:00PM when everybody goes home, because then we would have to pay overtime.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy explained that some of our minutes are over a hundred pages or more, so we wouldn't be able to do that. As far as the highlights, you're right. The Bugle used to have someone that reported on those.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie explained that the minutes could be emailed, if requested. We also print them with two pages per side, or if the person can't read that small, we could make an allowance for that. They may contact her office, and the minutes could be mailed out to them. We could do a written advertisement about that.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that Liz is working with someone from the Bugle to see if they will have someone cover our meetings again.

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie explained that the agendas go on the county website, the meetings are listed on the state website, and people can contact the Clerk's office to request being added to the agenda mailing list, or to have it mailed to them. This applies to the minutes as well.

Tom Kovis: Tom stated that COVID protocol is first on his list. His wife works at the Rec Center, and last week there was an employee that tested positive for COVID. She had to investigate herself, as she was never told about it. What's the protocol for the county when an employee sits in the same room as you, and the boss doesn't tell you that she's out sick. You can use HIPPA or whatever, but there's got to be a better protocol than that. He and his wife were both exposed to it, and thank God they're both vaccinated, which helps. Tom wanted to know what reason there could possibly be to seize the voting machines. He has no idea why anyone in this county would want to mess with the voting machines, unless it's the woman that's in charge of them. The suspicions and how it undermines all the integrity of elections when one, or two county employees go to seize the voting machines. That's the integrity of the United States government and our policies and our democracy. That undermines all the honesty and integrity of our government. You've cast suspicion on our democracy by saying there's something wrong with it. We're still fighting it, and we had a riot in Washington. One of those people was on a stage here, down at the park. They killed a cop or two, and the woman that was there, Simone Gold, she was in that crowd. You undermine democracy when you question voting rights in this county. There's a paper trail, and if you're worried about it go look at the paper records.

Tom spoke about what got us through the last pandemic. We shut down. We didn't want to. The governor didn't want to shut down the strip. Can you imagine what would have happened if millions of people would have flown into McCarren Airport, with COVID, and gone into the MGM?

Mr. Kovis was interrupted with a question from Commissioner Clark, Commissioner Clark asked how many people died in homeless encampments. Chair Ancho called for people to speak civilly. Mr. Kovis then finished his public comment. He trusts the commissioners, and the county manager to do what's right for the county. For the most part he does, but he has a private agenda and he uses his public office to push it. He doesn't think that's right, and he calls that corruption. These extremists were brought into our town to occupy our park, with guns. If you think that's normal behavior then that's fine. Looking down the road what will make this town look attractive, and help us in the next pandemic. He believes we need fiber optics, and to get this county prepared. It would be beneficial for remote schooling, if that becomes necessary again. Do we have anyone working for some of the money that's available from the American Rescue Plan?

134

135136

137

138

139 140

141142

143 144

145146

147

148 149

150

151 152

153

154

155156

157

158

159

160

161

162163

164

165166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174175

176

177

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy stated that what happens here in Lander County is that nobody comes to these meetings until they're pissed off. If people would come and voice their opinions on things as they happen it wouldn't become like this. She gets calls from people, but they don't come to the meetings and speak during public comment.

Tom Kovis: Tom stated that in his wildest dreams he never would have thought he'd see an item on the agenda to join the Constitutional Sheriffs Association. Obviously, it was since we joined it. We gave \$2,500 and \$40,000 for the stage. It was called the freedom rally. He's lived here for 42 years, and he can't name one freedom that he's lost in 42 years. He has lost one, he can't shoot jackrabbits with a spotlight at night. You can buy pot now, gay people can get married, you can drive 80 miles an hour down the freeway. We have more freedom now than we've ever had. For some reason, in this paranoid world people are living in, you think you're not free.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that he appreciates Tom's passion, but he doesn't appreciate false accusations that he is using his office to push an agenda because he works at the discretion of this Board, and at the directions of the Board. He addressed the issues that included Two Weeks to Slow the Spread, and now having to show a vaccination card to travel. So, you tell me that we haven't lost any freedoms. He would have to argue with that. We have to have a broader view of our freedoms. They moved forward with filing the lawsuit on the State trying to take our net proceeds. That would have taken a lot of our freedoms away. Going back to the district attorney and myself presenting ourselves in Sadie's office. It's an NRS, it's a law. It's not us trying to take something that is not at the discretion of this commission. Them voting machines are supposed to be in the possession of this commission. We are not there to take anything from her or to jeopardize the safety and well-being of our voters. Sadje has every right, as NRS states, to have a copy of every vote and to keep the hard files of them. That's part of what we're working through, but the machines themselves, by law, are the property of this commission. So, when myself and the district attorney presented ourselves, did we just go in there and say "We're taking the machines by law, we're gonna have these now". No, we said, "If you need to have a chain of custody to hand them over to us. feel free. Sadie went and did her research, which we appreciate, and another NRS says she needs to have possession of these for twenty-two months on this, and this, and this. These are my concerns. We said, "No sweat. Keep the machines, we'll figure this thing out." We're not two bullies trying to bulldoze in. We are trying to follow the letter of the law. So, whatever was mis-communicated there, I hope that I've just cleared it up for the public to know.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy mentioned she had gone to Round Mountain the day before yesterday to look at their library. It's absolutely incredible, and probably the coolest thing she has ever seen in her entire life. It was exciting, and she didn't want to leave. They have the coolest things there, including 3D printers for the kids. She thinks all of the Board should go down there to see it, before we vote on anything. She asked them how they afford it because it's

been said that the mines have paid for it. They said that's not how it's been done. They actually put a small percentage tax and that's how that library runs.

Andrew Warwood: Andrew stated that he is about a third-generation member of this community. He did have a Facebook comment that he posted. His concern was the renovation to the old courthouse. He doesn't normally post a lot on Facebook. In the circles that he walks in there's a lot of mis-communication. There's a lot of support for the High Desert Education group that's coming in. He supports that as well, as an option for the community. A concern that he's been hearing about is regarding what the long-term plans for the building are, understanding that the building is in a pretty severe state of disrepair. A three-year contract is great, and putting out money into the community is awesome. He supports that 100%, along with the education of our students. He is concerned for the long-term plans for that building, and the amount of money that we're going to put into it if, when the three-year contract expires, they have no desire to pursue that course anymore. That's his main concern and his public comment.

Commissioner Clark: Art spoke about the town hall meeting about the building in question, that took place after we had moved into the new courthouse. Numerous people from Lander County wanted us to preserve that building. It would have been easy to just knock it down and haul it to the dump. Historic preservation prevailed with that building. We gave a grant to get started on it, and they've done the footwork for becoming a 501c3. They still have money that they're going to put into the renovation. Through the historical money we can renovate it, and still have it owned by the County. So, it's not going to cost the county a whole lot, and they want to take it back to historical significance. That was decided five years ago.

Andrew asked what would be done with the building after, and Commissioner Clark listed some of the ideas that were talked about during the town hall meeting. Andrew believes this is where the community and the Board are at odds now, because those ideas have not been re-visited. He has not heard anyone talk about a future plan for the building at recent meetings. There was discussion about interest waning as time goes by, and it being hard to get people to show up for meetings.

\*\*\*The meeting was stopped for a closed litigation meeting from 9:46 – 10:20 AM\*\*\*

\*\*\*The meeting resumed at 10:20 AM\*\*\*

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

Chair Kathy Ancho read in the consent agenda items, numbered 1 through 5.

Commissioner Waits made a motion to approve Item #1. No payroll change requests or minutes were received. This was seconded by Commissioner Sparks The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve Item #1: Commissioner Waits;

Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks;

The motion was voted and carried.

## **COMMISSIONERS**

#### 1) **COMMISSIONERS:** For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the payment of bills.

Chair Kathy Ancho began by pulling out check #212844, check #212785, and #1848, an electronic payment she wanted to discuss.

D.A. Herrera: Ted mentioned that a person in the audience wants to discuss a bill and he thinks it would be appropriate to ask her if that's what she wants to do.

It was determined that it was the electronic payment #1848, that Chair Ancho had mentioned.

Commissioner Waits made a motion to approve paying the balance of the bills. This was seconded by Commissioner Sparks. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve paying the balance of the bills: Commissioner Waits:

Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks;

The motion was voted and carried.

Commissioner Waits made the motion to approve paying checks #212844 and #212785. This was seconded by Commissioner Ancho. The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Sparks abstaining.

Motion to approve: Commissioner Waits;

Seconded by: Commissioner Ancho;

The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Sparks abstaining, as the checks are to his employer.

Commissioner Ancho had a couple questions on the electronic payment #1848. She addressed Bert, saying he had mentioned it was a retainer for three attorneys. She asked if a contract was needed for this, and Bert stated that we do have a contract with Joey Gilbert, and they are within that contract. Bert stated that the commission had approved this in closed litigation, prior to approving us to move forward with this, as a whole. It was actually approved unanimously, almost. Kathy said that was the part she was confused about with closed litigation. You have a conversation in there, and then you bring it back out here to vote publicly for it. Because you can't vote for anything in closed litigation, it has to be brought back out in front of the public.

258 259

260

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that they did not vote on anything. It has to be agendized. Bert signed the retainer agreement, and he believed that he had gotten approval in litigation to do that, to move forward.

261 262 263

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy asked if this is something we need to put on the agenda to be voted on since we can't vote on anything in there?

264 265 266

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that we can't put that contract, the payment agreement is a privileged document. We can put on the agenda the issue of, do we want to retain the services of so and so. The contract is part of the privileged communication.

289

290

291

292

293

294 295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304 305

306

307 308

309

310

Commissioner Waits: Patsy stated that the approval is just to ratify when the amount comes up before us.

There was discussion on factors that play into what is privileged information, future litigation, and attorney/client privilege. It was stated by Commissioner Waits that there's never an agenda item on any of our legal people that we contract with, only the payment. County Manager Ramos explained that it's like when they filed on net proceeds. They follow the same steps as they have on other legal matters. It falls under attorney/client privilege, but we're trying to be as transparent as possible.

## **Public Comment:**

Jenny Martin: Jenny stated she is here as a private citizen, not representing any department. She addressed the comments that have been made previously about people coming forward more often and being present at the meetings. She appreciated those comments. The issue that community members have been presented with is, when they watch the meetings, they see people being yelled at when they do come for public comment. They watch the other board members get yelled at. A lot of people in the community feel like you will make up your minds what you want and do it anyway, so our public comment doesn't matter. She appreciates what the Board and Bert do. Her question was regarding Joey Gilbert, and if this law firm had been used before for representation. It looks disingenuous to have the rally, spending all that money, and then be paying him as our attorney. She is staunchly opposed to Mr. Gilbert's views, and most people know that. Now, a couple months later there's a bill in our packet to Joey Gilbert, who is also running a campaign at the same time. She gets that it's not going directly to Joey Gilbert, he has other attorneys there. She has worked with their office. It just looks disingenuous to the public, and just looks awful to a lot of people. She just wants to know why Joey Gilbert's law firm was selected this time.

Doug Staton: Doug stated he is here as a private citizen, not in his capacity as a trustee of the Lander County School Board. What meeting was it discussed that Joey Gilbert was going to be retained as counsel for the County?

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy stated it was discussed during a litigation meeting.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that Bert can sign any check up to \$50,000. He has that authority. Once he used that ability that's how that check was cut.

Doug Staton: Doug asked what Joey Gilbert's law firm brings to the table that our district attorney and assistant district attorney don't bring.

Commissioner Clark: People.

Doug Staton: Doug asked that he elaborate on that.

Commissioner Clark: Ted and Hy can't do this together. They have the county to take care of. If you would use Ted Herrera and Hy Forgeron you would have a better understanding of the law.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that it's more their areas of specialization.

Doug Staton: Doug asked what the scope is of Joey's representation of the County.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that he couldn't get into that.

Doug Staton: Doug asked if lawsuits have been filed by Joey Gilbert, on behalf of the County.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that none had been filed as yet, and that they were potential lawsuits, in response to Doug's question.

Doug Staton: Doug asked if there would be itemized billing on the retainer fee, and if any unused amounts would be returned.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that all attorney bills come in as itemized. Whether we could release all of that to the public would depend on what it is.

Doug Staton: Doug spoke about attorney contracts that come before the entity he is part of. Those contracts are presented in an open meeting and voted on by the trustees.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert clarified that the entity you're referring to is the school board, correct?

Doug Staton: Doug confirmed that.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): We have our representation for our board. They're already here. This is a representation for something that is a bigger scope than just this. I hope that clears that up a little bit.

Doug Staton: Not really. It's really not clearing it up for me. He believes there should be some sort of contract for representation, and that contract for representation should be approved in a public meeting by the commission. This is in an effort for it to be as transparent a process as you can. He spoke about complaints being lodged against the school board because they had an ongoing agreement with an attorney, but not a written contract. They obtained a written contract for representation for the board and the district with that attorney. We voted and presented that contract in a public meeting.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert explained his reasoning regarding the difference between the school board situation and the commission board. He stated that they have attorney/client privilege and that it would be lost. He said it's very distinct differences. If we were just hiring them generally then we would put them on a retainer to put in front of the board. However, we're hiring them for a specific purpose, and when it's hired for a specific purpose that's where the attorney/client privilege comes in and it happens behind closed doors during closed litigation.

Doug Staton: It's getting a little clearer.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that if we don't proceed in the litigation then we will no longer require the services of that investigator, attorney, or whoever it is. He stated that only what's not used could be returned, in response to Doug's question on that. Attorneys are working on our behalf and they are entitled to get paid for it. We cannot advertise to the people that we may sue in the future, and that's what you're advocating.

Doug Staton: Doug stated that's not what he's advocating. He's not sure that it's a good position for the county to be in. He feels like it gives an appearance of impropriety, by retaining Joey Gilbert, who not only is campaigning for governor, has filed a potentially 300,000-member class action lawsuit against Clark County school district, the governor, and attorney general. Doug gave a few more details and stated that there may be other attorneys out there that are as well-equipped to handle this litigation, that are not part of these things.

Doug and Ted had a discussion on aspects of who was or wasn't campaigning for office. Bert explained how Joey Gilbert was referred to Lander County by an attorney

who has done some work for the county, but was conflicted out in this instance. Bert stated that it's extremely hard to find an attorney in Nevada that's not conflicted out in the situation that they were looking at. That is the fact of the matter. Doug explained that that statement right there helps give a transparency to this decision. That's information that is not out there. People in the community look at the meeting packet and wonder about an item that has never been discussed. It doesn't look good for the county.

Ted explained that the Board has not decided whether to make a lawsuit or not. We do the research and then make a recommendation. That's what we're asking a larger firm to do. The larger firm out of Las Vegas, that we've utilized is unable to do it because of a conflict, so he requested that we hire someone else to do it. They're going to investigate and give us their recommendation. It will probably be agendized at that time.

The discussion continued on other lawsuits that had been filed previously. Commissioner Waits stated that Lander County is involved in many lawsuits, and many of them are never this highlighted, like net proceeds and such. Most of them you never see. Commissioner Sparks stated that all the transparency is not included in the agenda item, but it might get your attention and make you want to find out more. He can appreciate that.

Lori Price: She appreciated what Mr. Staton said. That's the concern many of us had. But, coming up here to, Art, you want us to say things that you might not agree with, but you do get very upset when somebody says something you don't agree with. So, it's hard for people to come up here and say something. Yes, we were all concerned. Aren't you just a little bit concerned about Joey Gilbert, and the connotation that he has right now? Obviously, you're not, so, we were, we are, some of us out in the community are.

Commissioner Clark: If you need to go to the doctor, you need some medication but you don't like the guy, you gotta go anyway. Likes and dislikes don't enter into this. It's who's the qualified person.

Lori Price: It's not the likes and dislikes. It's the connotations behind his name.

Chair Kathy Ancho: Kathy stated that her understanding on all of this was that the funding was going to go somewhere else, to a different place. She asked for the motion to be made.

Commissioner Sparks made the motion to pay the electronic payment #1848. It was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Ancho voting Nay. She voiced more of her concerns and there was some additional discussion. Commissioner Waits stated that there was very heavy discussion over this item. It was deliberated thoroughly and cautiously.

Motion to approve electronic payment #1848: Commissioner Sparks;

Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas;

The motion was voted and carried, with Commissioner Ancho voting Nay.

#### **Public Comment:**

Sadie Sullivan: It is hard to come up here, whether you're elected, you're the public, you're an employee. It's just different personalities. Respect the other person's opinions. We're here to work together as a team in Lander County. We can have great

2)

 discussions, with differing opinions. Some people will come, depending on their schedules. When you get knocked down, slammed, belittled, disrespected, whatever you want to call it, you don't want to come up here because you don't get the respect. We're here to hear what has to be said, and it is very disheartening to come up here. We are all equal, and this county has gotten side-tracked on this. In previous meetings when things started to get out of hand it was shut down, as Kathy did today. As a team, let's work to stop this, to shut it down beforehand.

ARGENTA JUSTICE COURT: For discussion and possible action to formally adopt job description for the Argenta Justice Court Bailiff and to either modify, delete or agree to duties pertaining to Security of the Administration Building.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that he wanted to give some background on this before the judge spoke. The judge came before the commission with a prior agenda request, and for the job to be financed, the job that she created. When she did that, she did a job description that she included in the packet. It was discussed, and moved and carried that her position for the bailiff would be financed. Now, the judge thought that the job description had been approved at the same time, and it hadn't been. She was under the understanding that in the job description it gave her bailiff duties as security. That's where we are now because technically, this board did not approve the security part of that, because they didn't approve the job description. Ted asked Denise if he was accurate so far, and Denise stated that there is more to it.

Denise Fortune (Argenta Justice Court): Denise stated she is here regarding her bailiff. Her bailiff is here, and she also has questions she would like answered. As her employer, she came to me with questions that I couldn't answer. H.R. helped her with the job description, which includes security for the courthouse, under #5. That was submitted to you guys the first time around, back in 2019. We need clarification on some things. She tried to put this on the agenda a couple of weeks ago. She was told she needed to be more specific in what she was trying to find out.

D.A. Herrera: Ted directed his statement to the Board, and stated that two things needed to be decided. One is whether to decide to give the bailiff security duties for the building. If yes, then to decide what the parameters of that are. If you say no, then you're going to ask that, in approving the job description that be taken out or modified.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that the way he understood #5 was that if we had somebody at a counter and they became loud or abusive that the bailiff would be available to handle the situation, not that she would be on patrol, walking up the stairs, up and down.

Denise Fortune (Argenta Justice Court): Noliena checks in with the elected officials twice daily. She takes her job as bailiff very seriously.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that what he remembered from the original discussion was that she was concerned for her safety in the courtroom. It was justified as fulltime due to the court security requirements.

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy asked whether it was due to an unfunded-mandate that the bailiff was required when dealing with a trial and domestic violence.

Denise Fortune (Argenta Justice Court): Denise stated that on a domestic battery case they've had to have a bench trial. Now, they have the option to go to a jury trial. If they do that, she has to have a bailiff present. The number one purpose of coming to them two years ago was for the protection of the courts, the people in court, anything to do with the courts. The bailiff is there to protect us, during court and outside of court, to be a police presence, an officer. She has the same training as they do. We have someone inside the courthouse that can protect us. There's never been any question of that and we've been doing that for two years. What the issue was, was that the bailiff came to work and could no longer view the cameras. Denise directed her to Anna, and Anna had also lost her view of the cameras. Denise called Mr. Ramos and he gave Noliena back view of the cameras. She did not have the same access to the cameras that she had before and wanted to know what to do. Denise was told that her job description was never formally adopted. She has three other employees whose job descriptions have never been brought forward, and she did not know this one had to be. Noliena has questions that the Board can answer, as to what she should or should not have access to.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy read from the previous minutes where the motion was made by Commissioner Clark to fund the bailiff for the Argenta Justice Court, the way it's presented by Judge Fortune. With that, we thought we had included your backup information. We understand the D.A. saying we want it clearer. She prepared to make the motion, and was stopped for more discussion.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that if you adopt this, she is Denise's employee, not the county's employee. You need to understand that.

Discussion continued regarding what access was included, what to do going forward, and whether the sheriff's office had access to the cameras. It was determined that they do not have access, for various reasons.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that the point is that Noliena does not have access to the camera system anymore. He took it away because there were three administrators on our camera system. What she has right now is visual. She can get on there and view what's happening in the courthouse. It's all about access to camera systems in our courthouse. Full admin means you can add somebody to the system. You can go back in time, record, go back in time to any date, or add another user to the system. He closed everybody so that it could be sorted out. He met with Denise to let her know he would be giving back access to the cameras for viewing, but we're not giving back admin. If needed they can pull the footage together and make a copy of it. It can go through H.R., and have the proper chain of custody moving forward. That's what brings us here today.

Noliena Castellanos (Bailiff): She is actually labeled as a Power User. She can go back and look at stuff. The main difference is basically with adding users. She can still go back in time, and could erase. It just took away adding users, and she does not want to do that.

Sadie Sullivan: Sadie asked if the sheriff's office had ever gotten onboard with being able to view the cameras. They have not had access.

Noliena Castellanos: Noliena stated the admins were Bert, Anna, and her. IT got added, and there was an admin that they couldn't get rid of. That's actually the admin for the system, and can't be removed or accessed.

 Commissioner Sparks: Bryan asked who added the admins, when they had given control to the county manager.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that the oversight is on him, and they haven't had an issue since he's been here where they needed to pull cameras. He got a phone call where somebody said something had just happened. They said somebody is obviously viewing me on the cameras, due to something that just happened to me. The person had major concerns about it. He had to call Liz to find out how to get access.

Sadie Sullivan: Sadie stated that the cameras were setup before Bert came on. She wanted that to be clear, for the record. Whoever the admin was, it didn't have anything to do with him.

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy spoke regarding an email issue that she had and that she feels like there needs to be one person in charge. That way, when this stuff goes on there's one person over it.

Commissioner Clark: Art spoke about the need for the sheriff's office to be interfaced with the camera system. He has been pushing for this for quite a while.

Robert Quick (Undersheriff): We have no access to the cameras, at all. It's a programming issue on the courthouse side. Firewalls need to be setup and programmed. Also, licensing that was purchased with the intent to allow district court and justice court to have wireless viewing, along with the dispatch center, has not been setup.

Commissioner Clark: Art stated that viewing resolution is also an issue. They need to be able to identify someone on the cameras.

Denise Fortune (Argenta Justice Court): Denise reiterated her need to know if Noliena is responsible for the security in the building. Denise wants more control over who is in the back hallway. There have been people back there that she doesn't even know who they are. Noliena does not want to be in charge of the keys. She just needs to know who has access for the courts.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that all job descriptions need to be approved. It's his understanding that many years ago, when Judge Bunch was the judge, the positions in the court were also approved. The job descriptions were approved. He was not part of our H.R. system, but he does believe he had them approved. He could be wrong. We'll need to verify that. On this one he's asking you legally, that you approve it, disapprove it, whatever you want to do. The pertinent parts are #4 and #5, if you're okay with those regarding the security of the building. Those are the things you need to zero in on. It can be modified right now, if you want to.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy stated that she's making a motion regarding the job description, that includes the cameras for viewing access.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that everybody needs to understand this is not his employee. That's what he has concern with. She is a court employee.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy stated that it's advantageous to have her here, to be monitoring things. We've just included the full viewing access in the job description, that's it.

Noliena Castellanos (Court Bailiff): Noliena stated that she does need to be able to rewind, in case it's necessary to look back at something. None of the footage is erased on the cameras. It has not stopped since its installation.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert is fine with where we stand right now, and the current access she has is fine. He just doesn't want to add the admin access. There are two admins currently, himself, and the system admin.

Noliena Castellanos (Bailiff): Noliena stated for the record, that you can only have five people on that system. You have to buy licenses for that. He can assign viewing rights, but there's a maximum of five on the system.

Jenny Martin: Jenny stated she does work for Justice Court, and she did work for a court where a judge did get shot. It's awful, and it doesn't matter until it happens, unfortunately.

Discussion ensued regarding access to the back hallway, and it was stated that they were getting off the agenda item topic. Bert stated that he and H.R. would be the only two, to issue cards and to access that system to see the coming and going. The process they are trying to get setup is contacting the person ahead of time to have permission granted for access. The card can be activated and also turned off. He doesn't think it will go through the building department anymore, as he doesn't believe that's where it belongs. They're trying to clean these things up as they come to them.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy stated her motion again, for clarification. It was to approve the job description as is, and that we allow the bailiff to have all of the camera access, to watch and rewind.

Commissioner Clark seconded the motion. It was voted and carried.

Motion to approve job description as written: Commissioner Waits;

Seconded by: Commissioner Clark;

The motion was voted and carried.

\*\*\*A break was taken from 11:24 AM – 11:36 AM\*\*\*

3) <u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove or amend a one-year contract between Lander County and Oasis Online to provide IT services in the amount not to exceed \$129,000.

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy stated she needed help understanding what was going on with the IT stuff.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that if they hired out this IT position with the benefit package that would total \$134,000. The wage was between \$60,000-\$80,000, but when you include the benefit package, you're at \$134,000. So, when Oasis came in at \$129,000, we're saving \$5,000. It's not a huge savings, but it's within the budget. He gave background on the IT situation, and stated that Oasis would have someone here full-time. They would bring in additional help as needed.

There was discussion on how things would function with Oasis, and recommendations were given on Oasis.

Doug Staton: Doug stated that as a school teacher and on the school board they have gotten 100% quality work from Oasis. They report to us at every meeting. He recommends them without reservation.

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy stated that they would have needed to hire a parttime person, even if Richard had taken the position, because of the amount of work.

Sadie Sullivan (County Clerk): Sadie asked who Richard would need to go to for access to the server room when he needs to access the clerk's and recorder's servers.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert stated that he would need to go through him, or Liz. If they're both gone, Oasis would also be allowed to let him in. The intent is to work together and he should have access anytime he wants to knock on the door.

Lesley Bunch (County Recorder): Lesley has an issue with going to them to get to her server, because she's had to wait days to get in there when she's needed to have access. They're not here on Fridays, and she normally is. Why do I have to go through them to get to my server? She explained her need to be able to plug in hard drives to her server, as needed. She doesn't know why that has to be that way.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that he has talked to Lesley about this, and he tends to agree with her. She has her own server, she's an elected official, and she has her own IT. He suggested that she put her server in her own office, and that would take care of the problem. Sadie could do the same thing.

Sadie Sullivan (County Clerk): Sadie stated that a lot of money was spent on that IT room, and it is set up for that purpose. The temperature has to be regulated to be kept cool, just like our server here for the commission meetings. The server for the commission meetings was not wired to be in that area. It's in Bert's office in a small room with the cooling unit.

Lesley Bunch (County Recorder): We have backup servers that Lura has purchased for us, to be able to put in there in case we have a problem.

Denise Fortune (Argenta Justice Court): Denise stated that when we moved into this building the elected officials had access to the server room. Oasis was here yesterday trying to get the court new computers, on the direction of the Supreme Court. They were unable to get into the server room, so they left, and now I have to wait another week.

Sadie Sullivan (County Clerk): Sadie stated that the room was built to help it withstand fires, and for the specific purpose of protecting the computer equipment and backups.

Bert Ramos (County Manager): Bert spoke up to give some direction to save time. Maybe what we need to look into is putting locks on the servers so that everybody only has access to their own servers. It's a matter of security for everybody. We can look into that and bring this back.

Commissioner Sparks made the motion to approve the one-year contract between Lander County and Oasis Online. It was seconded by Commissioner Clark. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve: Commissioner Sparks;

Seconded by: Commissioner Clark; The motion was voted and carried.

Chair Kathy Ancho announced that they were tabling Item #7. Anyone that was in the audience for that one, they were letting know it was tabled and would be rescheduled.

7)

<u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove converting Lander County to paper ballots from electronic voting machines pursuant to section 1A of the Nevada Constitution as amended by bipartisan vote for rights for voters.

This item was tabled.

### **Public Comment:**

Sadie Sullivan (County Clerk): Sadie stated that Item 7 was not put on the agenda by her. She wants that on the record because her phone has been blowing up with people calling to see if it was her. She was out on Monday and her staff notified her that this item was on the agenda. Once we received the agenda packet it was noted that there was no backup for the item. She and two staff members were supposed to be at an annual training in Reno with the Secretary of State. On Tuesday she spoke with the D.A., and Bert, to let them know she wouldn't be available and wouldn't have staff available to talk on this item. She asked if the item could be tabled and mentioned that Barbara Cegavske, Secretary of State for Nevada, had sent a request to be on the agenda, to go over the things that were going to be affected in the coming election due to legislative changes. Sadie stated that the request was sent to Bert and to her. She then read in the request, regarding voter outreach. She initially thought they could combine the two items dealing with elections. She was told they didn't care about her letter, and that they didn't need the Secretary of State's office, or Sadie, or her staff, and that they would be moving forward on this item.

Sadie stated that people need to be educated and have the backup information. She is familiar with the statute that was talked about. Bert had mentioned earlier that they would not just like paper ballot voting, but hand-counting the ballots that didn't go through any mechanical device. She wants the board and the public to be aware that there are other federal laws to be followed, not just that one. One of them would not be followed immediately, from her understanding, and that would be the Americans with Disabilities Act. Sadie read a portion of the Act that stated the Act became law in 1990, as a civil rights law that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in all areas of public life, including jobs, school, transportation, and all public and private places that are open to the general public.

Sadie further stated that electronic devices must be provided for people with disabilities to vote. We have to follow those federal laws.

Commissioner Sparks wanted to know what the difference would be versus electronic or written/ You're still having to push buttons. He didn't understand how that would be...

Sadie continued with her comments and stated that what she had to say might help him a bit. There's so much information on it, and we could have a whole meeting on it. We have to provide the electronic devices. All the information is on the U.S. Department of Justice site. It's ada.gov, and is several pages long. You can reference the check list that they have. It's called the Americans with Disabilities Act. Sadie feels that this item

 needs to be looked over from A-Z. This is not an item to be voted today, in her opinion. There's no backup and no information for any of us. We need to educate everyone on what needs to be followed legally. NRS 293 is specifically elections, and it is not just a few pages, it is a book. Once educated on this, she feels it should be put on a ballot for the voters to decide if they want to do all paper. There are processes for that. There are different generations, different opinions, and people want to come and vote on the machines. It was a huge controversy during the Primary election. People wanted to be able to come and vote in person. They didn't want to do the paper ballots.

Commissioner Sparks asked what the difference would be if it was put on a ballot and the voters said it was all right, and then you're not in compliance with the ADA.

Sadie stated that they choose and it has to be in writing, whether it's for disability, or what have you. If they request for it to be paper, then of course you follow those laws.

Commissioner Clark wanted to know how this ended up as an amendment in the State constitution, if it's illegal federally. We have a bi-partisan amendment during the last legislation for a paper ballot.

Sadie explained that there is an AB bill, and during the next election everybody will get a paper ballot, again, per that legislation. The letter from Secretary of State Cegavske asking to be on the agenda was regarding reaching out to everyone to answer questions that people might have on these legislative changes. Sadie read the letter from Barbara Cegavske, requesting an agenda item for voter outreach. Her point is that some of these questions can be answered through these things.

D.A. Herrera addressed Clerk Sullivan and stated, "You keep calling them federal guidelines, they're not federal laws." County Manager Ramos cut him off there and stated that it's been tabled, and will be coming back. He wanted to be clear that he and Ted went up and met with Sadie. Sitting in Sadie's presence he sent this email. Sadie stated that he was talking about the issue with the machines, and this item is not regarding the machines. Chair Kathy Ancho tried to direct them back to the item at hand. Bert read his email regarding NRS 293B.115, formally requesting the transfer of all voting machines immediately into my custody, as I am under the direction of Lander County commission. Sadie came back the next morning and said, "We do have this other NRS that could be in conflict here. Let's stop and look at this." So that leads us to where we are today. We need to continue up this chain until we find this proper custody. He doesn't want anybody to have the perception of them marching in trying to take the machines. If it came across that way he apologizes. Multiple people were talking and Chair Kathy Ancho again stated that we would not be doing this.

Clerk Sullivan stated for the record that it was not Bert that said he didn't care about the letter from Secretary of State Cegavske.

County Manager Ramos: Bert stated that Sadie had said she wouldn't be present today and he was surprised to see her. They had every intention of tabling the item, and moving it forward. He wanted the board to know that this is going to be a much bigger thing in the coming future because there are a whole new set of laws that have to be panned through the attorneys. This is much bigger than a public comment.

Clerk Sullivan finished her comment by stating she has worked in the county for 26 years. She was voted in as Lander County Clerk, to follow all state and federal laws. She is speaking up today for all the voters of Lander County. Let it be a ballot question

 for Lander County in 2022, if that's what can be done legally, to give the voters a say as a whole. It needs to be taken seriously and not lightly.

# 5) <u>MARIGOLD MINE: Update and presentation by Marigold Mine on mining</u> operations and dewatering.

Don Dwyer (General Manager): Mr. Dwyer introduced himself as the new general manager at Marigold Mines.

Tamara Baker: Tamara introduced herself as an environmental specialist at Marigold Mines.

Don Dwyer began by going over their presentation, which gave an overview of the operation itself. They sit in the heart of the Battle Mountain trend. He detailed a short history of the mine up to it's current branding of SSR Mining. They are having steady growth and production and looking to the future.

Tamara Baker: Tamara took over to speak on the Dewatering Design portion of the presentation. The environmental assessment began in 2015 and wasn't approved until 2019. It's a long process to get the authorization to do dewatering. Mining will deepen the pit and this is where dewatering becomes necessary. They have 14 dewatering wells along with rapid infiltration basins that return the dewatering water back into the aquifer. The basins are located north of the mine near the highway, and are earthen basins that let the water infiltrate back into the ground.

Don Dwyer: Don answered questions from Commissioners Clark and Ancho. He stated that really what they're doing is moving water from one place to another, to get it out of the way for mining operations. He gave a lot of information in response to Commissioner Ancho's questions and concerns on water issues.

## \*\*\*A lunch break was taken from 12:20 PM - 1:35 PM\*\*\*

# 4) <u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove a Holiday Schedule for the Lander County Board of Commissioners Meetings.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy outlined some of the options for changing the meeting schedule for November and December. After discussion it was decided to meet once in November, on the 18<sup>th</sup>, and once in December, on the 16<sup>th</sup>. The other regularly scheduled dates were cancelled. Commissioner Waits made the motion to have one meeting on November 18, 2021, and one meeting on December 16, 2021. It was seconded by Commissioner Sparks. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve having one meeting on November 18, 2021, and one meeting on December 16, 2021: Commissioner Waits;

Seconded by: Commissioner Sparks; The motion was voted and carried.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that he wanted to ask Marcie a question. Marcie Ryba and Tom Qualls were on the conference line, as representatives for Indigent Defense Services. Ted explained that they had agendized this for approval of our plan, and unfortunately, it didn't get put as backup, so he's given a copy to the county commissioners. Ted asked what date the plan needed to be sent to them for approval.

Tom Qualls: Tom stated that it's supposed to be submitted by September 3<sup>rd</sup> at 5:00 PM. Then they get them to the Board at the next meeting after that. They were originally due May 1<sup>st</sup>, and the Board extended it for six months.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that the potential problem is that it's done, and he has four or five small changes to make today. It's not part of our backup, and he hates to have it approved by the commission board without them reading the whole document. Our next meeting is September 9<sup>th</sup>, and he's looking for verbal authority to extend submission to the 9<sup>th</sup>. He'll send them a copy of what we want to do.

Tom Qualls: Tom stated they could probably do that, his only thought on that is that they see the final draft that goes to the Board, and that they're able to work with us.

D.A. Herrera: Ted stated that he will make the minor changes today. If you give us verbal permission today, we can table it. I can make my slight modifications, and send it to you. We will re-agendize it for September 9<sup>th</sup>.

Tom Qualls: Tom confirmed that it should work. They need to see it and make sure that they're able to work with all of the stakeholders, so that it's finalized by the 9<sup>th</sup>.

D.A. Herrera: Ted confirmed that a copy of the plan would be sent over today, to both Marcie and Tom. In response to a question from Tom, Ted stated that the plan is a 27-page document, and the commissioners were just given their copies so they won't be able to come up with any questions at this time.

Marcie Ryba: Marcie stated that they had mainly wanted to give some background on who they are, and why they were created.

Commissioner Waits: Patsy mentioned that they have been following this for some time, and she thinks they have a pretty good idea of things. They appreciate them being on, but it's the plan we're going to bring forward to you that they really need to spend a bit more time on.

This item was tabled. It will be brought back at the next meeting on September 9<sup>th</sup>.

8) NEVADA RURAL HOUSING AUTHORITY: For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove Resolution 2021-08 providing the transfer of Lander County's 2021 private activity bond volume cap to the Nevada Rural Housing Authority, in an amount not to exceed \$347,054.07.

Diane Arvizo (Nevada Rural Housing Authority): Diane stated that she is the director of home ownership programs for the Nevada Rural Housing Authority. She is

providing an update on the impact of Nevada Rural Housing Authority's programs in Lander County, and to provide an overview of the use, source, and the limitations of private activity bonds and the volume cap that is on the agenda today. Diane went over some of the programs they have, such as the Home at Last program, rental service program, mortgage credit certificate program, and the 0% program for affordable interest rates. Today we are requesting Lander County's distribution of the 2021 unused volume cap, in the amount of \$347,054.07. The funding would be used to continue funding the Home at Last home ownership program.

State and local governments can use private activity bonds to issue debt for qualified purposes that are given the same tax-exempt privileges as the debt that's issued by government-owned and operated projects. Congress limits the type and use of private activity bonds to prevent overuse of the tax-exempt benefits. When the federal government issues the annual volume cap distribution to the state of Nevada, according to state law 50% is retained by the state, and the other 50% is what is distributed to cities and counties across Nevada. That is based on population. The amounts distributed are often too small an amount to make an impact on their own. They have to be used for a qualified purpose and designated by September 1st of each year. Otherwise, the unused volume cap will revert back to the state. It goes to the State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry, and they will decide where to use the volume cap. In rural Nevada most of that cap goes to Washoe and Clark Counties. Diane spoke about some things that can be considered a qualified purpose and are for the public-good. She detailed more about how programs have been created and have benefited from this money. They only come around when there's not another project on the table. It's not done in a competitive manner. When you transfer the volume cap to Nevada Rural Housing you are guaranteeing that it will be used in rural Nevada exclusively. It must be used in areas that are under 150,000 in population, and that covers 15 of Nevada's 17 counties.

Diane opened it up for questions, and she read from a report from the Director of Rental Services, Lena Frias. The first update is on the housing choice voucher, or Section 8 as it's often referred to. Lander County has seven households currently participating in that program, and has one applicant that is approved for assistance and is actively seeking rental housing within your community. There is another applicant on the wait list in the queue and their application will be processed when it comes up. She gave details on when the wait list would open up and how it is announced. Diane also went over the specifics on people that applied and were helped with the rental assistance program. She expressed Ms. Frias' wishes for help from Lander County for these programs. They are looking for more avenues to get this information out to the public. Diane wants to make sure she is getting the most current contact information for each county, so they can make sure their materials for each program are getting out there.

Commissioner Ancho mentioned that the Frontier Action Agency didn't have their information. Kathy talked about never receiving calls back when they're trying to find housing for seniors, and they are told there are hundreds on the wait lists. She's been working for a year trying to help someone, and it's like beating your head against a wall.

Diane explained more about the different voucher programs, some of which are project-based in specific areas. The voucher that stays with person is the list that's ever

growing. They are also limited by the number of vouchers they are able to provide. They are flooded with applicants when it opens, and then it closes very quickly.

Commissioner Sparks stated that he wanted to use the money locally and Diane explained that the type of thing he is talking about would not qualify. It takes planning ahead and the county cannot be the direct controller. She detailed the many details and steps to take for specific projects. There needs to be a conduit issuer. In response to Bert's question on building small apartments for seniors Diane recommended they sit down with the directors to go over their goals. She also talked about property management and how to keep the rents lower for tenants. Diane also stated that not all vouchers are associated with Rural Housing, in response to D.A. Herrera speaking about a specific property that she was not familiar with. She doesn't think it's one of theirs.

Commissioner Waits read in the resolution as part of her motion for approval, with the Chair to sign. It was seconded by Commissioner Thomas. The motion was voted and carried.

Motion to approve: Commissioner Waits; Seconded by: Commissioner Thomas; The motion was voted and carried.

9) <u>SUMMIT ENGINEERING:</u> For discussion and possible action to approve/disapprove the termination and/or modification of the existing contract between Summit Engineering and Lander County on the Austin Town Boundary Realignment Project.

This item was tabled.

## 10) <u>CORRESPONDENCE: Correspondence/reports/future agenda items.</u>

Commissioner Ancho asked where we are at on fiber optics. It was stated that Kyla was working on it, and it could be put on an agenda. The library needed to be back on. The greenhouse and community gardens were mentioned. Commissioner Waits would like a report from Lois Erquiaga, from the extension office. Other things mentioned were a report from public works, letter from China Springs Youth Camp, and open access transpiration. Commissioner Ancho mentioned LEPC funding, and grants. She thought there had been a budget for it in prior years.

# **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Sadie Sullivan (Lander County Clerk): Sadie brought a voting device for people with disabilities, as a visual aid for the Board to see. This gives them the privacy to vote and not have to have someone assist them. This is the device that is hooked to the machine, that's required by law.

Commissioner Sparks: Bryan stated that they could do braille on paper, because they do that too.

Sadie Sullivan: Sadie stated she didn't know how that worked. She just wanted them to see the device. She believes there is still a law that states the electronic device has to be an option, if they choose to use it.

Commissioner Ancho: Kathy asked if they print ballots in braille.

Sadie Sullivan: Sadie replied that she's never had that question asked. She moved on to her last public comment. Sadie stated that what she says next is to inform the Board, voters, and all parties involved as to what has happened, and what will happen moving forward on the election machines. She wants the record to reflect that on Tuesday, she was approached about having the machines removed from her office, per NRS 293b.115, that we talked about earlier. After talking with the Secretary of State and other entities, it wasn't taken lightly. She received a letter from Secretary of State Barbara Cegavske, titled *Retention of Voting Records After Canvass of the Votes*. There are several statutes within that letter that she can pass along. She referred to an email that came through to them, and to her, from the U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division. It was also sent to Attorney General Aaron D. Ford, and to Acting U.S. Attorney for Nevada Christopher Chiou. Sadie stated for the record, that she will be following the statutes and what is stated through the letter from the Department of Justice. Thank you for your time.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business before the Commission at this time, Commissioner Sparks moved to adjourn the regular session of August 26, 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Thomas, the motion was voted and carried. **ADJOURNED.** 

Meeting was adjourned at 2:38 PM.

CHAIRMAN OR VICE

CHAIRMAN OR VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF LANDER COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE LANDER COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD

ATTEST: LANDER COUNTY CLERK