

AGENDA
Board of Supervisors
County of Prince George, Virginia
Regular Meeting: March 8, 2022
County Administration Bldg. Boardroom, Third Floor
6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia

Regular Meeting

Closed Session
5:00 p.m.

Work Session
6:00 p.m.

Business Meeting
7:00 p.m.

***Public Hearings Will Be Heard at 7:30 p.m.**

This meeting is being held electronically in accord with Virginia Code Section 15.2-1413. The meeting is accessible by:

If you would like to participate in the meeting via Zoom -

<https://zoom.us/j/5053851421?pwd=V2pjSHFneFRLUUE2bjNqQnR3emZoUT09>

Meeting ID: 505 385 1421

Password: 200726

One tap mobile

+19294362866,,5053851421#,,1#,200726# US (New York)

+13017158592,,5053851421#,,1#,200726# US (Germantown)

Dial by your location

+1 929 436 2866 US (New York)

+1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

During the public comment period you may raise your hand using the Zoom controls on your screen or press *9 on your phone. Visit the Zoom Help Center for more information.

If you would like to watch the meeting in real time use this link:

https://www.princegeorgecountyva.gov/live_stream/index.php

Public comments may be made in person during any meeting. You may also submit any public comments on our website at

https://www.princegeorgecountyva.gov/departments/board_of_supervisors/public_comment_form.php.

Any public comments received via Zoom, in person or by website form up until the public comment section is closed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors on March 8 shall be entered into the meeting minutes.

CALL TO ORDER

Roll Call

CLOSED SESSION

E-1. Resolution; Closed Session Motion with Topics and Code Section References to be Provided at Board Meeting. [2]

- E-2. Resolution; “Certification of Closed Session” Certifying that Only those Items Contained in the Closed Session Motion were Discussed. [3]

WORK SESSION

FY23 County Budget Balancing (Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator, Finance)

INVOCATION –

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO U.S. FLAG

PUBLIC COMMENTS

ADOPTION OF AGENDA [1]

ORDER OF CONSENSUS

- C-1. Draft Minutes – February 22, 2022 Regular Meeting. [4]

PRESENTATIONS

SUPERVISORS’ COMMENTS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR’S COMMENTS

REPORTS

VDOT – Crystal Smith [5]

Property Maintenance and Inoperable Vehicles - Charles Harrison, Building Official [6]

POSTPONED ITEMS

ORDER OF BUSINESS

- A-1. Consideration of Appointments – Board, Commissions, Committees, Authorities:
Resolution of Appointment(s):

- A. Resolution; Appointment (Three-Year Term –Board of Grievance Appeals). [7]
- B. Resolution; Recommendation for Appointment (Interim Term) –
Board of Zoning Appeals. [8]

PUBLIC HEARINGS

- P-1. Public Hearing; Resolution: Regarding the Proposed Authorization of the Issuance of General Obligation Bonds in the Maximum Principal Amount Not to Exceed \$5,775,000. (Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator - Finance) [9]
- P-2. Public Hearing; Resolution; Appropriation of Up to \$3,071,460 in Debt Proceeds and/or General Fund, Fund Balance to the Capital Projects Fund (Budget Amendment). (Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator - Finance) [10]
- P-3. Public Hearing; Ordinance to Amend “The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia”, 2005, as Amended, by Amending § 30-61 and § 30-62 to Amend the Boundaries of the Bland Precinct and Courts Building Precinct and to Change the Polling Location for the Court Building Precinct. (Dan Whitten, County Attorney) [11]
- P-4. Public Hearing; Ordinance Adopting Existing Code § 30-33 of “The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia”, 2005, Setting Boundaries of Electoral Districts 1 and 2. (Dan Whitten, County Attorney) [12]
- P-5. Public Hearing Resolution: Authorizing the Sale of County Owned Properties Located in River’s Edge Subdivision on Buxton Street. (Dan Whitten, County Attorney) [13]

ADJOURNMENT

Board meeting format: Closed Meeting at 5:00 p.m., followed by a Business Meeting at 7:00 p.m. with Public Hearings being heard at 7:30 p.m. **Visit Prince George County website for information www.princegeorgeva.org.**

MINUTES
Board of Supervisors
County of Prince George, Virginia

March 8, 2022

County Administration Bldg. Boardroom, Third Floor
6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia

MEETING CONVENED. A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George, Virginia, was called to order at 5:00 p.m. on March 8, 2022 in the Boardroom, County Administration Building, 6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia by Chair Marlene J. Waymack.

ATTENDANCE. The following members responded to Roll Call:

Marlene J. Waymack, Chair	Present
Donald R. Hunter, Vice-Chair	Present
Floyd M. Brown, Jr.	Present
Alan R. Carmichael	Present
T. J. Webb	Present

Also present was: Jeff Stoke, Interim County Administrator; Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator; Julie C. Walton, Deputy County Administrator; and Dan Whitten, County Attorney.

CLOSED SESSION

E-1. Resolution; Closed Session for (i) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a specific public officers, appointees or employee of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) the Commonwealth Attorney, (b) Board of Grievance Appeals, and (c) Board of Zoning Appeals. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hunter, that the Board convene closed session for (i) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a specific public officers, appointees or employee of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) the Commonwealth Attorney, (b) Board of Grievance Appeals, and (c) Board of Zoning Appeals.

R-22-044

E-1.

RESOLUTION; CLOSED SESSION FOR (I) SECTION 2.2-3711.A.1 – DISCUSSION OR CONSIDERATION OF THE ASSIGNMENT, APPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, PERFORMANCE, DEMOTION, SALARIES, DISCIPLINING OR RESIGNATION OF A SPECIFIC PUBLIC OFFICERS, APPOINTEES OR EMPLOYEE OF THE PUBLIC BODY; I FURTHER MOVE THAT SUCH DISCUSSION SHALL BE LIMITED TO (A) THE COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY, (B) BOARD OF GRIEVANCE APPEALS, AND (C) BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 8th day of March, 2022, does hereby vote to enter closed session for (i) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining or resignation of a specific public officers, appointees or employee of the public body; I further move that such discussion shall be limited to (a) the Commonwealth Attorney, (b) Board of Grievance Appeals, and (c) Board of Zoning Appeals.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Waymack, Webb, Hunter, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent (0)

E-2. Resolution; Certification of Closed Session. At 5:43 p.m., Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, that the Board adjourn the closed session and enter open session, certifying that to the best of each Board Members' knowledge (1) only public business lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements were discussed and (2) only matters identified in the convening motion were discussed. Chair Waymack asked if any Board member knew of any matter discussed during the closed session that was not announced in its convening legislation. Hearing no comment from the Board, the Chair asked that the roll be called on the motion.

R-22-044A

E-2.

**RESOLUTION; CERTIFICATION OF CONTENTS OF CLOSED SESSION
PURSUANT TO SEC. 2.2-3711, ET SEQ., CODE OF VIRGINIA (1950, AS
AMENDED)**

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 8th day of March, 2022 does hereby certify that, to the best of each Board Member's knowledge, (1) only public business lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements where discussed, and (2) only matters identified in the convening motion were discussed.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Brown, Waymack, Webb, Hunter, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

Chair Waymack called a recess at 5:44 p.m. The work session reconvened at 6:00 p.m.

WORK SESSION

FY23 County Budget Balancing

Ms. Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator, Finance, went over with the Board priority scoring for both tax rates and initiative expenditures. Staff has outlined the revenue estimate based on the existing tax rate of .86, providing information taking it all the way down to .76. The real estate assessed values did grow, which means that even if the Board drops the tax rate, the revenue may still be higher. Mr. Jeff Stoke, Interim County Administrator, made an administrative recommendation to drop the real property tax rate to .83. That tax rate not only impacts the real estate tax revenue estimates, it also impacts the mobile home tax and public services taxes. Real property tax is shared with the School Division and the percentage of their transfer will be 40.17% using the revenue sharing methodology. Each penny for real estate taxes is valued at \$343,000, mobile homes \$1,852, and the public service tax \$27,907. Therefore, at \$.86, real estate tax revenue would be \$29.538 million, mobile home \$159,000, and public service tax \$2.4 million. At Mr. Stoke's administrative recommendation, the total change in revenue would be just over \$3.36 million. The County sources for real estate would increase by \$2.199 million and the School Division sources would increase by \$1.164 million. Mrs. Waymack, Mr. Hunter, and Mr. Carmichael agree with the recommended \$.83 while Mr. Brown and Mr. Webb stated that they would like to see it go to \$.82. Mr. Brown stated that he would be comfortable advertising \$.83, but would like to see it go to \$.82 if feasible. Mrs. Drewry stated that they are looking for direction on what to include in the introduced budget and the Board agreed that they can do that at \$.83 for now. The first grouping of personal property rates presented to the Board by Mrs. Drewry takes a look at changing the rate from \$4.25 all the way down to \$3.50 and its impact by each penny. There has been a fairly significant growth in automobile value. Changing the rate from \$4.25 to \$4.24 e would reduce revenue by \$40,185. Mr. Stoke's administrative recommendation is to drop to \$4.00 and eliminate the vehicle license fee. Other localities are dropping their rates and cutting the vehicle license fee in half. This would still means an increase in overall County resources of \$2.55 million with the School Division getting \$1,025,063. Mr. Webb is fine with \$4.00 but would like to consider \$3.90. Mr. Carmichael stated that he is fine with \$3.99 and Mrs. Waymack concurred with that, but would also be comfortable with \$3.90. Mr. Hunter and Mr. Brown indicated \$3.90. Mrs. Drewry stated that \$3.95 would be in the middle, leaving an increase in revenue \$2.3 million with School

Division getting \$945,000. Putting the rate at \$3.90 would be an increase of \$2.1 million with the School Division \$864,000. The Board indicated that they can agree to \$3.95.

Mrs. Drewry adjusted the numbers before she went into the expenditure priorities with the Board. Once the revenue changes were made, the available resources after we satisfy the School Division's share and the "must-dos," is \$7.92 million. By consensus, the Board did agree to fund both the Crater Area Agency on Aging (\$9,000) and the Hopewell/Prince George Healthy Families (\$20,000). From the Tourism and Economic Development Funds, the Board agreed to fund FOLAR (\$10,000), Richard Bland College (\$4,494), and John Tyler (\$12,000). Mr. Stoke is proposing that the Senior Task Force come before the Board on March 22 for their funding requests. The Board agreed with that. The Board unanimously provided consensus for the \$.05 scale adjustment for all pay ranges and the step placement for all non-public safety employees. In regard to new position requests, Mr. Stoke's administrative recommendation is to add one police officer out of the four requested. Mrs. Waymack, Mr. Hunter, and Mr. Webb agreed to that. In addition, the Board agreed to one Technical Support Specialist. Mr. Stoke also made a recommendation to hire a Planning Manager. The Board did not unanimously agree to prioritize it at this time. The Board did agree to prioritize the part-time Victim Witness Program Assistant full-time. While there was a request for a Maintenance Supervisor in the General Services Department, it was not prioritized. Mr. Carmichael indicated that he would support a 50/50 working supervisor; a working foreman so to speak. Mrs. Waymack agreed with Mr. Carmichael. Mr. Brown stated that he would agree to one police officer this evening, but he does have other concerns that may change his mind on that as they go along. The Board unanimously agreed to prioritize the reclassifications of one Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney and the Victim Witness Coordinator. The Board also prioritized providing a Career Development increase for one Deputy Commissioner of Revenue. The Board did not agree to prioritize compensation increases for the Planning Commission and the Board of Zoning Appeals members. They did not agree to prioritize an upgrade to Microsoft Office 360. They did not agree to prioritize increased training for the Real Estate Assessor's Office. They did agree to prioritize an increase in training for the Police Department and IT. They did not agree to prioritize a Reel Mower and Trailer, Field 3 Irrigation and Sprigging, and Field 7 Netting for Parks and Recreation. The Board did agree to prioritize County and Public Safety Vehicles and School Buses. Based on the decisions this evening, there would be a transfer of \$18,594,912, leaving the School Division with an increase of \$1,538,269. Mr. Brown stated that this is a good starting point to get to a final budget. He stated that he knows there are people out there that would like to see the tax rate come down even lower, but he does not want to go from a high number to a really low number, creating a yo-yo effect in the coming years. Mr. Webb agreed. Mrs. Waymack thanked Staff for all of their hard work. The Board agreed. The Board agreed to cancel the March 16 Pre-Budget Work Session and to change the presentation of the Budget to April 12.

Chair Waymack called a recess at 6:47 p.m. The work session reconvened at 7:00 p.m.

Invocation. Dr. Jeraldine Posey, Abundant Life Pentecostal Holiness Church, gave the Board's invocation.

Pledge of Allegiance to U.S. Flag. Mr. Webb led the Pledge of Allegiance to the U.S. Flag.

PUBLIC COMMENTS. Chair Waymack announced that anyone wishing to come before the Board may do so at this time. She noted that this was the time for unscheduled general public comments. Chair Waymack opened the public comments at 7:03 p.m.

Ms. Robin Germanos (7528 Rolling Hill Road, North Prince George). Ms. Germanos has worked for the School Division for 20 years with children in the School system. She expressed her concern on the nationwide teacher shortage and how it has impacted Prince George County. She has been a teacher, an assistant principal, a principal, and now the Director of Elementary Education. They started the school year with 14 elementary education vacancies, 10 of which were general classroom positions, 3 special education positions, and a music teacher. These positions have been filled with eight long-term substitutes and increased classroom capacity. To support the long-term substitutes, a math interventionist, a reading interventionist and Title 1 teachers provided daily instruction to the students in those classrooms. In addition, they created lesson plans for the substitutes. This has had a tremendous impact on their ability to provide the superior educational experience traditionally provided in Prince George County. As an educator

and a parent, she feels that this County owes these students licensed professionals to teach their children. She urged the Board to continue to support the School Division with additional funding so that the children have properly licensed and endorsed teachers in Prince George County.

Ms. Chrystal Bland Barnwell (4302 Tavern Road, South Prince George). Ms. Barnwell is a PGHS graduate and a life-long resident of Prince George County. As a School Division employee and a parent, she has a vested interest in ensuring that our School District hires and retains qualified educators. According to the data from the Virginia Department of Education, over the last three years the number of unfilled teaching positions across Virginia has spiked by nearly 62%. The teacher shortage predated the pandemic but became severely exacerbated by COVID-19. With frequent vacancies, school principals are spending an enormous amount of time identifying qualified applicants. Many teachers have been called upon to cover classrooms and take on additional students. So many teachers have lost valuable planning time needed to successfully implement the engaging lessons these students deserve each and every day. Teacher shortages have become a national issue. While some surveys indicate that more than half of the teachers nationwide are considering exiting the profession, Prince George County has the opportunity to reverse that trend. This can be done by demonstrating a willingness to invest in the recruitment and retention of well-paid qualified teachers. She asked the Board to consider additional funding.

Mr. Christopher M. Scruggs (19519 Rowanty Court, Carson). Mr. Scruggs is the principal at Harrison Elementary and his wife works at JEJ Moore Middle School. As a resident, employee, and parent, he has a vested interest in the Prince George School District. He stated that Harrison Elementary started the school year with two long-term substitutes. They have yet to fill one of those positions with a licensed teacher and the other is being filled through an outside contract agency. Having non-licensed teachers or teachers employed by outside agencies places strain on the grade levels in the departments in our schools, support staff, and administration. Many teachers arrive early, stay late, and use their planning time to help those substitutes and ensure that our instruction is targeted towards those students' needs. Prince George has the responsibility to employ highly qualified licensed teachers. One sure fire way to do that is to be able to offer a compensation package that exceeds all others in the area. He thanked the Board for their support and asked for just a little bit more.

Mr. William Barnes (9713 Hollywood Drive, Disputanta). Mr. Barnes has worked for Prince George County Schools for 47 years as a teacher, coach, counselor, and now an administrator. He is proud of what they have accomplished and proud of what they are doing now. He is proud that they have alumni such as Jackie Bradley, Reggie Williams, Johnny Oates, Hal Baird, Dave Trickler, Wayne Collins and many others. He is proud of the doctors, attorneys, professors, teachers, and other professionals that have walked the halls of Prince George Schools. They have recruited the Region's best and brightest. However, he does worry about the future. Children are no longer choosing to go into the teaching profession. People tell him that they can make more money working at Target than they can teaching schools and they are telling the truth. There is a local, state and national shortage of teachers. Currently, Prince George is ranked number seven out of 15 in the region for starting salaries behind Hopewell, Petersburg, Henrico, Richmond, Surry, and Powhatan. They filled 80 teaching positions this year with only 23 provisionally licensed teachers and twelve seeking their license. They have an additional 15 international teachers covering math, biology, English, and Spanish. They are short 31 teaching positions; 10 special Ed and 21 general education. To cover those, they have 21 long-term substitutes and 13 full and part-time contractors. Some teachers are teaching an additional period for pay and some classes have to be combined. They have contracted with agencies for virtual teachers, which still requires them to have a staff member in the classroom with the students while they learn from a teacher virtually. These are the facts this year and they do not know what they will be next year. They truly need the additional teaching funds for their Staff. They need the full amount of \$988,000 for the 3% salary increase and the \$863,000 for the insurance benefits. He thanked the Board for their support.

Mr. Larry Mitchell (16200 Arwood Road). Mr. Mitchell stated that the County and household budgets are under attack by an unstable force called inflation. Current budgets must be adjusted to the trends and inflation warfare. He stated that he likes rural conservation and its benefits. He asked for a moratorium on tax increases. He hopes that the Board will support public safety and schools.

Ms. Jan Rowley (3506 Ontario Drive). Ms. Rowley stated that the Board needs to support the School Division on the recruitment of new teachers. She support schools, libraries, Parks and Recreation. She moved here will small children for those things and she believes that if we keep them up, others will come here for the same reason.

Mr. William Steele (9921 County Line Road). Mr. Steele complimented the Staff on the quick response on his questions. He stated that “must do” items should be items that are required by law. Anything else he believes is considered a “like to have.” He stated that Prince George County is the fourth highest taxed County among the 20 counties that surround us. In addition, he stated that he found on an internet site where Prince George is the second highest average salary and the second highest median salary. He pointed out that the employees got a pay raise last year and now they want more. Teachers in Prince George County are seventh in pay. He said that he learned that out of every \$8.97 spent out of Parks and Recreation, only \$1 is recovered. Who would spend just over \$8 to recover only one dollar. People do not work in the public sector to become rich, they do it to serve others. The grass is always greener on the other side and if someone from Prince George gets offered a better opportunity, then they should take it.

Ms. Mary Jo Tyson (15307 Arwood Road). Ms. Tyson stated that she is all for the schools. However, there are problems in the schools and throwing money at them is not going to fix it. In addition to teachers being understaffed. Teachers are being insulted and students are being sexually insulted. She stated that it is her understanding that more people moved into the County this year with less children.

Mr. Brown reminded the public that it clearly states in the County’s By-Laws that any speaker attacks someone personally by name will not be allowed to continue. There being no one else to speak, the public comments period was closed at 7:36 pm.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA. Mr. Brown requested that Item A-2 be added as a Letter of Support from Prince George County, VA for City of Petersburg Poor Creek Sanitary Sewer and Water Service Area – U.S. Department of Commerce EDA grant. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, to adopt the agenda as amended. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

PUBLIC HEARINGS

P-1. Public Hearing; Resolution: Regarding the Proposed Authorization of the Issuance of General Obligation Bonds in the Maximum Principal Amount Not to Exceed \$5,775,000.

Ms. Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator, Finance, stated that multiple capital projects with planned debt issuance were recommended as part of the adopted FY2021 budget. The Garage renovation project approved as an FY2021 project remained in design in FY2022 and an award of contract for construction was awarded on January 25, 2022. The Board discussed the possibility of cash funding \$1,000,000 to keep the borrowing at the original estimate for the Garage renovation of \$2,100,000 instead of the updated budget amount for that project of \$3,065,000. The borrowing will be \$5,775,000 or \$4,775,000 depending on whether or not cash funding of \$1,000,000 is approved. Davenport issued an RFP on behalf of the County for the borrowing with these two options. Ten (10) lending institutions submitted proposals and Atlantic Union and Chase (J. P. Morgan Chase) provided the best rates with slightly differing terms, and prepayment flexibility options. Due to market volatility, J. P. Morgan Chase will not provide “locked in rates” until our board meeting date of March 8. Atlantic Union will be given an opportunity to update (and lower, but not increase) its rates on March 8 also. The updated proposals were discussed with the Board by Mitch Arguello of Davenport & Associates. He clarified for Mr. Webb that there is no prepayment option on the loans with a non-callable provision. Chair Waymack opened the public hearing at 7:50 p.m. There was no one to speak and the public hearing was closed. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb to approve the bond issuance in the amount of \$4,775,000, cash funding \$1,000,000 with Atlantic Union Bank. Roll was called on the motion.

P-1.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE BY THE COUNTY OF PRINCE GEORGE, VIRGINIA, OF ITS GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,775,000

WHEREAS, the County of Prince George, Virginia (the “County”) is a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia, and pursuant to the Public Finance Act of 1991 (Chapter 26, Title 15.2, Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended) (the “Act”) the Board of Supervisors of the County (the “Board of Supervisors”) is authorized to contract debts on behalf of the County and to issue, as evidence thereof, notes, bonds or other obligations; and

WHEREAS, following the approval of the affirmative vote of the qualified voters of the County in an election on the question, the County elected on September 19, 1985 to be treated as a city for the purpose of issuing its bonds or notes under the Act, and to therefore be able to secure certain indebtedness on a general obligation basis whereby the full faith and credit of the County is pledged to secure payment of bonds or notes without a referendum; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, including the Act, the County is empowered to acquire, construct, reconstruct, improve, extend, enlarge, equip, maintain, repair and operate any project which is a public improvement or undertaking for which the County is authorized by law to appropriate money, and the County is authorized to contract debts and to issue, as evidence thereof, bonds, notes or other instruments of indebtedness payable from pledges of the full faith and credit of the County; and

WHEREAS, the County held a public hearing, duly noticed, on February 22 and March 1, 2022, regarding the proposed issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds (as defined below) in accordance with the requirements of Section 15.2-2606 of the Act; and

WHEREAS, Davenport & Company LLC, (the “Financial Advisor”), as directed by County representatives, prepared a Request for Proposals dated January 27, 2022 (the “RFP”) to obtain financing offers from banks and other lenders for the funding of various capital improvement projects to be undertaken by the County, and such responses were due on February 22, 2022 and have been received by the Financial Advisor and the County; and

WHEREAS, the proposed capital improvement projects of the County to be financed, include, but are not limited to: (i) the purchase of police vehicles; (ii) the County’s vehicle fleet garage bay expansion; (iii) technology infrastructure improvements for usage by the County’s public schools; (iv) school buses; (v) Zoll X Series monitors and defibrillators for public safety purposes; (vi) self-contained breathing apparatus equipment for use for rescue and recovery purposes; (vii) a County high school generator; and (viii) to pay costs of issuance (the “Projects”); and

WHEREAS, the County intends to issue its general obligation debt in the form of either a Note or Bond in one or more series, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$5,775,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2022, as described below and;

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors, based upon an evaluation of the responses to the RFP and the recommendations of County officials and the Financial Advisor, hereby accept the proposal from either Atlantic Union Bank or JP Morgan Chase (the “Lender”) to finance the Projects.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE GEORGE, VIRGINIA:

1. Authorization of the Series 2022 Bonds. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines that it is advisable and in the best interests of the County to incur debt and issue its \$_____ General Obligation Series 2022 A Bond (the “Series 2022A Bond”) and its \$_____ General Obligation Series 2022 B Bond (the “Series 2022B Bond”, together with the Series 2022A Bond, collectively the “Series 2022 Bonds”) for the purpose of financing all or a portion of the Projects, along with the costs of issuing the Series 2022 Bonds. The County previously authorized the solicitation by the Financial Advisor of bids for the Series 2022 Bonds. The Board

of Supervisors now hereby authorizes the issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds and the placement of the loan in accordance with the terms approved by the Board of Supervisors herein.

2. General Obligation of the County. The Series 2022 Bonds shall be issued under the provisions of Article VII, Section 10(a) of the Constitution of Virginia. The Series 2022 Bonds shall be a general obligation of the County, the principal of and interest on which are payable from ad valorem taxes to be levied without limitation as to rate or amount on all property in the County subject to taxation and a pledge of the full faith and credit of the County; and in each year while any amount payable with respect to either of the Series 2022 Bonds shall be outstanding there shall be levied and collected in accordance with law an annual ad valorem tax upon all taxable property in the County subject to local taxation sufficient in amount, together with all other funds lawfully appropriated and available therefor, to provide for the payment of the principal of and premium, any, and the interest on the Series 2022 Bonds as such principal, premium, if any, and interest shall become due.

3. Use of Series 2022 Bond Proceeds. The proceeds derived from the issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds, after the payment of the costs of issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds, are expected to be applied to the payment of (or for the reimbursement to the County of costs previously paid) all or a portion of the costs of the Projects identified below, in substantially the following estimated amounts:

Project Amount

1. Police & County Vehicles \$400,000
 2. School Buses \$412,000
 3. Fleet Garage Bay Expansion \$3,065,000
 4. Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus \$1,072,505
 5. School Technology Infrastructure \$328,000
 6. Prince George High School Generator \$179,220
 7. Zoll X Series Monitors/Defibrillators \$157,276
 8. Costs of Issuance and Contingency \$160,999
- Total Project Costs Initially Identified \$5,775,000

If the actual costs for any of the Projects identified above exceeds the anticipated costs, such excess may be paid from the proceeds of the Series 2022 Bonds originally allocated to any of the other components of the Projects, or any other lawful source of funds that will not impact the tax-exempt status of the Series 2022 Bonds, including other bond issues.

4. Description of Series 2022 Bond. The Series 2022A Bond shall evidence the County's obligation to repay a loan in the principal amount of the County's \$_____ General Obligation Bond, Series 2022A and its \$_____ General Obligation Bond, Series 2022B, the details of which are set forth in the written proposal of the Lender selected by the County as described to the Board of Supervisors by the Financial Advisor in connection with the discussions of responses to the RFP received by the County (the "**Lender Loan Proposal**"). A copy of the Lender Loan Proposal, as submitted by the Lender and the other finalist, in substantially final form, have been provided to the Board of Supervisors, and the details set forth therein submitted by the selected Lender shall be set forth in a Loan Agreement dated as of March __, 2022 between the County and the Lender (the "**Loan Agreement**").

The terms of the Lender Loan Proposal provided by the selected Lender, as presented to the Board by the Financial Advisor, a copy of which shall be attached hereto as Exhibit A, are hereby approved, and Bond Counsel is hereby directed to incorporate such details in this Resolution that are appropriate to reflect the financing structure selected by the Board.

The Series 2022A Bond shall have a final maturity date of February 1, 2037. The principal on the Series 2022A Bond shall be payable annually on February 1 of each year beginning on February 1, 2023. Interest on the Series 2022A Bond shall be payable at the rate of __ percent per annum on February 1 and August 1 of each year during which such debt obligation remains outstanding, commencing on August 1, 2022. The Series 2022A Bond may be prepaid in whole or in part in accordance with the prepayment provisions of the Lender Loan Proposal of the selected Lender.

The Series 2022B Bond shall have a final maturity date of February 1, 2027. The principal on the Series 2022B Bond shall be payable annually on February 1 of each year beginning on February 1, 2023. Interest on the Series 2022B Bond shall be payable at the rate of ___ percent per annum on February 1 and August 1 of each year during which such debt obligation remains outstanding, commencing on August 1, 2022. The Series 2022B Bond may be prepaid in whole or in part in accordance with the prepayment provisions of the Lender Loan Proposal of the selected Lender.

5. Delegation of Authority to Finalize the Financing Documents for the Series 2022 Bonds. The final terms of the Series 2022 Bonds shall be determined by the Chairperson or the Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and evidenced conclusively by his or her execution and delivery of the Series 2022 Bonds, together with the Loan Agreement. All other financing documents and closing papers associated with the issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds, including but not limited to any loan related documentation requested by the Lender, Continuing Disclosure Agreement responsibilities, if any, a Federal Tax Compliance and Non-Arbitrage Certificate, certificates and an IRS Form 8038G for each of the Series 2022 Bonds are hereby authorized to be signed by the Chairperson or the Vice-Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, the acting County Administrator, the Director of Finance and other elected or appointed officials of the County as applicable, and no further action of the Board of Supervisors shall be required. The Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors or Vice-Chairman is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the Series 2022 Bonds to the Lender, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to affix and attest the Seal of the County thereon.

6. Form of Bonds. The Series 2022 Bonds shall be in substantially the form attached to this Resolution as Exhibit B, with such appropriate variations, omissions and insertions as are permitted or required by this Resolution.

7. Tax Covenants. The County covenants and agrees to comply with the provisions of Sections 103 and 141-150 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and the applicable Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder at all times during which either of the Series 2022 Bonds are outstanding.

8. Reimbursement Authorization. To the extent not previously declared, the County intends that the adoption of this Resolution will be a reaffirmation and declaration of the County's official intent in accordance with U.S. Treasury Regulation Section 1.150-2 to obtain reimbursement from the proceeds of the Series 2022 Bonds for expenditures on the Projects previously incurred and paid, and respect to which Reimbursement Bond Resolutions have previously been adopted. The County intends for funds that have been previously advanced for costs of the Projects, or which may be so advanced, be reimbursed to the County from proceeds of the Series 2022 Bonds, or any subsequent debt obligations.

9. Other Actions. All other actions of officers of the County taken heretofore or hereafter conforming with the purposes and intent of this Resolution are approved, ratified and confirmed. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves and directs Williams Mullen, Bond Counsel to the County, the Financial Advisor and County staff to prepare and review the financing documents and take such actions as are necessary or appropriate in connection with the issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds.

10. SNAP Investment Authorization. The County authorizes the investment of all or any portion of the proceeds of the Series 2022 Bonds pursuant to the State Non-Arbitrage Program of the Commonwealth of Virginia ("SNAP"). SNAP shall maintain all transferred proceeds from the Series 2022 Bonds in segregated accounts designated only for the specific projects for which such funds were originally borrowed.

11. Filing of Resolution. The Clerk or any Deputy Clerk of the Board of Supervisors or other agent or employee of the County, is hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution to be filed with the Circuit Court of the County of Prince George, Virginia.

12. Effective Date. This Resolution and the provisions contained herein shall become effective immediately upon adoption.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael
 Opposed: (0)
 Absent: (0)

P-2. Public Hearing; Resolution; Appropriation of Up to \$3,071,460 in Debt Proceeds and/or General Fund, Fund Balance to the Capital Projects Fund (Budget Amendment).

Ms. Drewry stated that this appropriation is directly related to the previous bond issuance. To date, \$2,703,540 has already been appropriated to the Capital Improvement Fund for projects, with approved reimbursement resolutions. Reimbursement resolutions allow the board to proceed with projects using County funds, and later reimburse itself with debt proceeds issued at a later date. The Board voted earlier to cash fund \$1,000,000 to keep the borrowing for the Garage renovation project at the original project estimate of \$2,100,000 instead of the updated project budget of \$3,065,000. Therefore, the appropriation for approval will total \$2,071,460. Chair Waymack opened the public hearing at 7:55 p.m. There was no one to speak and the public hearing was closed. Mr. Hunter made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, to approve the appropriation of debt proceeds. Roll was called on the motion.

R-22-046

P-2.

RESOLUTION; APPROPRIATION FROM DEBT PROCEEDS (\$3,071,460 COUNTY GARAGE EXPANSION AND ISSUANCE COSTS)

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 8th day of March, 2022, does hereby authorize the following increase of funds within the 2021-2022 Budget, such line items increased as follows, which monies to be expended for purposes authorized and approved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George:

<u>FUND/ORGANIZATION</u>		<u>AMOUNT</u>
<u>Expenditure:</u>		
0311-01-002-3208-48240	CIP – County Garage Expansion	\$2,910,461
0311-09-401-4000-49149	Debt Issuance Costs & Contingency	\$160,999
	TOTAL	\$3,071,460
 <u>Revenue:</u>		
0311-40-900-8115-341401	Debt Proceeds	\$2,071,460
0311-90-901-8207-3999100	Transfer from General Fund	\$1,000,000
	TOTAL	\$3,071,460
 General Fund:		
Revenue:		
0100-40-900-8208-399999	General Fund, Fund Balance	\$1,000,000
Expenditure:		
0100-09-401-0917-49172	General Fund Transfer to CIP Fund	\$1,000,000

On roll call the vote was:
 In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael
 Opposed: (0)
 Absent: (0)

P-3. Public Hearing; Ordinance to Amend “The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia”, 2005, as Amended, by Amending § 30-61 and § 30-62 to Amend the Boundaries of the Bland Precinct and Courts Building Precinct and to Change the Polling Location for the Court Building Precinct. Mr. Dan Whitten, County Attorney, stated that on December 28, 2021, the Supreme Court of Virginia approved and adopted final redistricting maps for the State’s congressional districts, House of Delegates districts, and State Senate districts. The State’s final redistricting maps split the Courts (204) precinct. Section 24.2-307 of the Code of Virginia requires that each voting precinct be wholly contained within a single congressional

district, Senate district, House of Delegates district, and election district used for the election of one or more members of the governing body or school board. In order to cure the voting precinct split resulting from redistricting, the Board needs to adjust precinct boundaries as proposed on the attached map. Approximately 300 registered voters will move from the Courts precinct to the Bland precinct. To make this change, the Board will need to amend County Code §§ 30-61(2) and 30-62 to adjust boundaries and designate Abundant Life Church as the new polling location for the Courts precinct. After the Ordinance is approved, it will be sent to the Office of the Attorney General for certification. Chair Waymack opened the public hearing at 8:00 pm. There was no one to speak and the public hearing was closed. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to approve an Ordinance amending Sections 30-61(2) and 30-62 adjusting precinct boundaries and approving Abundant Life Church as the new polling location for the Courts precinct. Roll was called on the motion.

O-22-05

P-3.

1. ORDINANCE TO AMEND “THE CODE OF THE COUNTY OF
2. PRINCE GEORGE, VIRGINIA”, 2005, AS AMENDED, BY
3. AMENDING § 30-61 AND § 30-62 TO AMEND THE BOUNDARIES OF THE
BLAND PRECINCT AND COURTS BUILDING PRECINCT AND TO CHANGE THE
POLLING LOCATION FOR THE COURT BUILDING PRECINCT

4.

5. BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Prince George County:

6.

7. (1) *That The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia, 2005, as amended, is amended by amending § 30-61 and § 30-62, as follows:*

8.

9. CHAPTER 30 – ELECTIONS

10.

11. ARTICLE II. – ELECTIONS DISTRICTS

12.

13. DIVISION 2. – PRECINCTS AND POLLING PLACES

14.

15. Sec. 30-61. - Voting precincts established and boundaries described.

16.

17. Pursuant to authority contained in Code of Virginia, §§ 24.2-305—24.2-310.1, the precincts for the county are hereby created with the following precinct boundaries.

18.

19. The boundaries of the respective precincts are as set forth below:

20.

21. (2) Election district no. 2:

22.

- a. Precinct no. 201, Bland. Beginning at the point of intersection of State Highway Route No. 156 (Jordan Point Road) and the south bank of the James River, and thence south on State Highway Route No. 156 (Jordan Point Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive); thence west on State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 By-pass (Ruffin Road); thence south on State Highway Route No. 156 By-pass (Ruffin Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 646 (Sandy Ridge Road); thence west on State Highway Route No. 646 (Sandy Ridge Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive); thence southeast on State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) **2.06 miles; thence southwest .6 miles to the southern boundary of Branchester Lakes subdivision; thence southwest along the Branchester Lakes subdivision boundary to the intersection of Interstate 295; then southeast on Interstate 295 to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 106 (Courthouse Road);** thence southwest along State Highway Route No. 106 (Courthouse Road) to its intersection with the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in the Blackwater Swamp; thence in a southeasterly direction along the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in the Blackwater Swamp to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive); thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 106 (Courthouse Road); thence in a northeast direction along State Highway Route No. 156 By-pass (Ruffin Road) to its intersection with State Highway

Route No. 609 (Old Stage Road); thence in a southeasterly direction along State Highway Route No. 609 (Old Stage Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 646 (Hall Farm Road); thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 646 (Hall Farm Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 641 (Merchants Hope Church Road); thence in a northeasterly direction along an unnamed creek to its intersection with Chappell Creek; thence along Chappell Creek in a northeasterly direction to a point where such Chappell Creek intersects with the James River; thence along the James River in a northwesterly direction back to the point of beginning.

23.

- b. Precinct no. 202, Blackwater. Beginning at a point on State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) where State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) and State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) intersect and thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 By-Pass (Ruffin Road); thence in an easterly direction along State Highway Route No. 156 By-Pass (Ruffin Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 609 (Old Stage Road); thence in an easterly direction along State Highway Route No. 609 (Old Stage Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 646 (Hall Farm Road); thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 646 (Hall Farm Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 641 (Merchant's Hope Church Road); thence in a northerly direction along an unnamed creek to its intersection with Chappell Creek; thence along Chappell Creek in a northeasterly direction to a point where such Chappell Creek intersects with the James River where such Chappell Creek intersects the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County; thence in an easterly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County to a point on the James River where the boundary line between Blackwater District and Brandon District intersects at the confluence of the James River and Powell's Creek; thence in a southerly direction along Powell's Creek and the boundary line between Blackwater District and Brandon District to a point where such Powell's Creek intersects State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive); thence in a southeasterly direction along State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 625 (Hines Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 625 (Hines Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) on the boundary line between Blackwater District, Brandon District and Harrison District; thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 666 (Old Town Road); thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 666 (Old Town Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 635 (Centennial Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 635 (Centennial Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road); thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive), the point of beginning.

24.

- c. Precinct no. 203, Brandon. Beginning at a point at the confluence of Powell's Creek and the James River on the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County, where the boundary line between Blackwater District and Brandon District intersects such county line; thence in an easterly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County to a point on the James River where the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County intersects the said county line; thence in a southwesterly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County to a point where State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road) intersects Prince George County and Surry County; thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 611 (Lebanon Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 611 (Lebanon Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 612 (Cedar Lane); thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 612 (Cedar Lane) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road); thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 625 (Hines Road) on the boundary line between Blackwater District, Brandon District and Harrison District; thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 625 (Hines Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive); thence in a

northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive) to a point where the boundary line between Brandon District and Blackwater District intersects such highway; thence in a northeasterly direction along the boundary line between Brandon District and Blackwater District and in a northerly direction along Powell's Creek to the point of beginning at the confluence of Powell's Creek and the James River on the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County.

- 25.
- d. Precinct no. 204, Courts. Beginning at the point of intersection of State Highway No. 156 (Jordan Point Road) and the south bank of the James River and thence south on State Highway No. 156 (Jordan Point Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive); thence west on State Highway Route No. 10 (James River Drive) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 By-pass (Ruffin Road); thence south on State Highway Route No. 156 By-pass (Ruffin Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 646 (Sandy Ridge Road); thence west on State Highway Route No. 646 (Sandy Ridge Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive); thence southeast on State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) **2.06 miles; thence southwest .6 miles to the southern boundary of Branchester Lakes subdivision; thence southwest along the Branchester Lakes subdivision boundary to the intersection of Interstate 295; then southeast on Interstate 295 to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 106 (Courthouse Road)**; thence southwest along State Highway Route No. 106 (Courthouse Road) to its intersection with the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in the Blackwater Swamp; thence along the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp in a northwesterly direction to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road); thence north and northeast along State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road) to the point of intersection of State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road), State Highway Route No. 634 (Allin Road) and State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road); thence west and north on State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 721 (Mica Drive); thence northeast on State Highway Route No. 721 (Mica Drive) to Mill Creek; thence north along Mill Creek to the boundary between the City of Hopewell and Prince George County ; thence northeast along the boundary between the City of Hopewell and Prince George County to its intersection with the James River; thence northeast along the south bank of the James River, the point of beginning.
- 26.
- e. Precinct no. 205, Jefferson Park. Beginning at the intersection of the Prince George County boundary and the northwestern boundary of the City of Hopewell at the low water mark of the eastern shore of the Appomattox River; thence south and east on the western and southern boundary of the City of Hopewell to its intersection with Mill Creek; thence south and west on Mill Creek to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 721 (Mica Drive); thence along State Highway Route No. 721 (Mica Drive) in a westerly direction to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road); thence in a southerly direction along State Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road) to the intersection of State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road), State Highway Route No. 634 (Allin Road) and State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road) to its intersection with the power line easement of Virginia Dominion Power; thence in a southwesterly direction along the center line of the power line easement of Virginia Dominion Power to its intersection with the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp; thence along the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp in a westerly direction to its intersection with the fence perimeter boundary surrounding the military installation known as Fort Lee; thence in a westerly direction along the perimeter boundary fence surrounding the military base to its intersection with 40 th Street; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of 40 th Street to its intersection with Adams Avenue; thence in an easterly along Adams Avenue to its intersection with Yorktown Drive; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of Yorktown Drive to its intersection with Bataan Road; thence in an easterly direction along the center line of Bataan Road to its intersection with Buna Road; thence in a south easterly direction along the center line of Buna Road to its intersection with Battle Drive; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Battle Road to its intersection with Sisisky Boulevard; thence in an northerly direction along the center line of Sisisky Boulevard to its intersection with State Highway Route

No. 36 (Oaklawn Boulevard); thence in a westerly direction along the center line of State Highway Route No: 36 (Oaklawn Boulevard) to its intersection with the City of Petersburg; such point being the established boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Prince George County; thence in a northerly direction along the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Prince George County to a point at the intersection with the boundary of the County of Chesterfield; such point being the established boundary line between the County of Chesterfield and Prince George County; thence in a northerly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and the County of Chesterfield to its intersection with the Appomattox River; thence in a northerly direction along the low water mark of the eastern shore of the Appomattox River to its intersection with the boundary of the city limits of the City of Hopewell, Virginia back to the point of the beginning.

27.

28.

Sec. 30-62. - Polling places designated.

Pursuant to authority contained in Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, §§ 24.2-305—24.2-310.1, the polling places for each precinct are established as follows:

Precinct No.	Polling Place
201	Bland, N. B. Clements Jr. High School, 7800 Laurel Spring Road, Prince George, Virginia 23875, located at 7800 Laurel Spring Road, the intersection of State Highway Route No. 616 (Laurel Spring Road) and State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive).
202	Blackwater, Prince George Parks and Recreation Community Center, 11100 Old Stage Road, Prince George, Virginia, located on State Highway Route No. 609.
203	Brandon, Friends of Burrowsville Community Center, located at 18701 James River Drive, Disputanta, Virginia 23842.
204	Courts Building, Main Floor of Courts Building, 6601 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia 23875, located at 6601 Courts Drive, within County Government Complex. <u>Abundant Life Church, 6500 Middle Road, Prince George, Virginia 23875.</u>
205	Jefferson Park, Faith Baptist Church, 5501 Middle Road, Prince George, Virginia 23875.

(2) *That the Ordinance shall be effective immediately.*

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

P-4. Public Hearing; Ordinance Adopting Existing Code § 30-33 of “The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia”, 2005, Setting Boundaries of Electoral Districts 1 and 2. Mr. Dan Whitten, County Attorney, stated that after reviewing the 2020 Census data as well as the Supreme Court of Virginia’s final redistricting maps for the State’s Congressional districts, House of Delegates district, and the State Senate Districts, the County’s existing electoral districts do not need to be changed. There is less than a 5% deviation between the ideal 40%/60% split between District 1 and District 2. After the existing electoral district map is adopted by the Board, it will be sent to the Office of the Attorney General for certification. Chair Waymack opened the public hearing at 8:06 p.m. There was no one to speak and the public hearing was closed. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb to approve the Ordinance adopting the existing boundaries of Electoral Districts 1 and 2. Roll was called on the motion.

O-22-06

P-4.

29. ORDINANCE ADOPTING EXISTING CODE § 30-33 OF “THE CODE OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE GEORGE, VIRGINIA”, 2005, SETTING BOUNDARIES OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS 1 AND 2

30.

31. BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Prince George County:
- 32.
33. (1) *Adopting existing Code § 30-33 of The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia, 2005, as follows:*

Sec. 30-33. Boundaries for election districts 1 and 2.

The boundaries of the election districts 1 and 2 are as set forth below:

- (a) *Election District No. 1:* Beginning at a point at the southwest corner of Prince George County, where the boundary lines of Prince George County, Dinwiddie County, and Sussex County intersect, thence in a northeasterly direction along the established boundary line between Prince George County and Sussex County to a point at its intersection with Surry County; thence along the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County to where State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road) intersects the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County; thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 611 (Lebanon Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 611 (Lebanon Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 612 (Cedar Lane); thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 612 (Cedar Lane) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road); thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 666 (Old Town Road); thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 666 (Old Town Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 635 (Centennial Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 635 (Centennial Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road); thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive); thence in a southerly direction along State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) to a point where the boundary line between Rives District and Bland intersect such Highway Route at the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp; thence along the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp in a northwesterly direction to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road); thence north and northeast along State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road) to the point of intersection of State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road), State Highway Route No. 634 (Allin Road) and State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road); thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road) to its intersection with the power line easement of Virginia Dominion Power; thence in a southwesterly direction along the center of the power line easement of Virginia Dominion Power to its intersection with the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp; thence along the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in the Blackwater Swamp in a westerly direction to its intersection with the perimeter boundary fence surrounding the military installation known as Fort Lee; thence in a westerly direction along the perimeter boundary fence surrounding the military base to its intersection with 40th Street; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of 40th Street to its intersection with Adams Avenue; thence in an easterly direction along Adams Avenue to its intersection with Yorktown Drive; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of Yorktown Drive to its intersection with Bataan Road; thence in an easterly direction along the center line of Bataan Road to its intersection with Buna Road; thence in a south, southeasterly and northern direction along the center line of Buna Road to its intersection with Battle Drive; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Battle Road to its intersection with Sisisky Boulevard; thence in an northerly direction along the center line of Sisisky Boulevard to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 36 (Oaklawn Boulevard); thence in a easterly direction along the center line of State Highway Route No. 36 (Oaklawn Boulevard) to its intersection with the City of Petersburg; said point being the established boundary line between the City of Petersburg and

Prince George County; thence in a southerly direction along the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Prince George County to a point at the intersection with the boundary of the County of Dinwiddie; thence in a southerly direction along the boundary between Prince George County and the boundary of the County of Dinwiddie back to the point of beginning at the southwestern corner of the Prince George County.

- (b) *Election District No. 2*: Beginning at a point where State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road) intersects the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County; thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 611 (Lebanon Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 611 (Lebanon Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 612 (Cedar Lane); thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 612 (Cedar Lane) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road); thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 666 (Old Town Road); thence in a northerly direction along State Highway Route No. 666 (Old Town Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 635 (Centennial Road); thence in a southwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 635 (Centennial Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road); thence in a westerly direction along State Highway Route No. 616 (Pole Run Road) to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive); thence south along State Highway Route No. 156 (Prince George Drive) to a point where the boundary line between Rives District and Bland intersect such Highway Route the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp; thence along the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp in a northwesterly direction to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road); thence north and northeast along State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road) to the point of intersection of State Highway Route No. 630 (Bull Hill Road), State Highway Route No. 634 (Allin Road) and State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road); thence in a northwesterly direction along State Highway Route No. 630 (Jefferson Park Road) to its intersection with the power line easement of Virginia Dominion Power; thence in a southwesterly direction along the center of the power line easement of Virginia Dominion Power to its intersection with the center line of the main channel of Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp; thence the center line of the main channel of along Blackwater Creek in Blackwater Swamp in a westerly direction to its intersection with the perimeter boundary fence surrounding the military installation known as Fort Lee; thence in a westerly direction along the perimeter boundary fence surrounding the military base to its intersection with 40th Street; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of 40th Street to its intersection with Adams Avenue; thence in an easterly along Adams Avenue to its intersection with Yorktown Drive; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of Yorktown Drive to its intersection with Bataan Road; thence in an easterly direction along the center line of Bataan Road to its intersection with Buna Road; thence in a southeasterly direction along the center line of Buna Road to its intersection with Battle Drive; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Battle Road to its intersection with Sisisky Boulevard; thence in an northerly direction along the center line of Sisisky Boulevard to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 36 (Oaklawn Boulevard); thence in a westerly direction along the center line of State Highway Route No: 36 (Oaklawn Boulevard) to its intersection with the City of Petersburg; such point being the established boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Prince George County; thence in a northerly direction along the boundary line between the City of Petersburg and Prince George County to a point at the intersection with the boundary of the County of Chesterfield; such point being the established boundary line between the County of Chesterfield and Prince George County; thence in a northerly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and the County of Chesterfield to its intersection with the Appomattox River; thence in a northerly direction along the low water mark of the eastern shore of the Appomattox River to its intersection with the boundary of the city limits of the City of Hopewell, Virginia; thence in a southerly direction along

the boundary between Prince George County and the City of Hopewell, Virginia to its intersection with State Highway Route 36; thence in a northeasterly direction along the southerly and easterly boundaries of the City of Hopewell to the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County on the James River; thence in an easterly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and Charles City County to a point where said boundary line intersects with the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County; thence in a southwesterly direction along the boundary line between Prince George County and Surry County boundary line to its intersection with State Highway Route No. 600 (Ellis Road), the point of beginning.

(2) *That this ordinance shall be effective immediately upon adoption.*

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

P-5. Public Hearing Resolution: Authorizing the Sale of County Owned Properties Located in River's Edge Subdivision on Buxton Street. Mr. Dan Whitten, County Attorney, stated that Prince George County owns 1.6 acres parcel in River's Edge Subdivision on Buxton Street known as Tax Parcel #: 15B-02-01-011-A. This parcel is no longer needed by the Utilities Department and has been placed on the market for sale. Prince George County also owns the two adjacent parcels on Buxton Street known as Tax Parcel #: 15B-02-01-010-0 and 15B-02-01-011-0. Parcel 15B-02-01-011-0 has a County Sewer Pump Station on it and that would need to be subdivided so the remainder of the property could be sold. After the division of property the remaining properties are no longer needed by the Utilities Department. The buyer will pay all costs for subdividing the property. An offer to purchase all three properties for \$40,000 has been received and attached for your review. Chair Waymack opened the public hearing at 8:09 p.m. There was no one to speak and the public hearing was closed. Mr. Hunter made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, to approve the sale of three County owned properties located in River's Edge Subdivision on Buxton Street. Roll was called on the motion.

R-22-046A

P-5.

RESOLUTION: AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF
COUNTY OWNED PROPERTIES LOCATED IN
RIVER'S EDGE SUBDIVISION ON BUXTON STREET

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Prince George County Board of Supervisors this 8th day of March, 2022, does hereby authorize the Interim County Administrator to sign all necessary paperwork required for the sale of County Owned Property located in River's Edge Subdivision on Buxton Street, Tax Map#: 15B-02-01-011-A, 15B-02-01-010-0 and 15B-02-01-011-0.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

ORDER OF CONSENSUS. Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hunter, that the consensus agenda be approved as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

C-1. Draft Minutes – February 22, 2022 Regular Meeting.

SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS

Chair Waymack thanked the Staff and asked everyone to pray for the conflict in Ukraine.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S COMMENTS

Mr. Jeff Stoke, Interim County Administrator, stated that we live in turbulent times. The blight term is that the markets are influx. The goal is not to randomly sway with the large waves but to

chart a strong and steady course that is even keeled and take us through this economic storm together. We have already begun discussing a budget that serves all of the taxpayers in Prince George County, serves those in need of public safety, those in need of social services, serves those wishing to aspire to a better education, serves those seeking justice in our courts, and serves the democratic process that must persevere in the future. We are in the business of serving others; citizens who help our community grow and citizens who are in need of assistance. We are in the business of serving others every single day. Under this Board's guidance and direction, the tremendous work of Staff, and the unwritten commandment that says we shall give our children better than we ourselves have received, we will be introducing a budget on March 22 for consideration of this Board that lowers the real property tax from \$.86 to \$.83, lowers the personal property tax from \$4.25 to \$3.95, eliminates the vehicle decal fee and provides exceptional and professional services for all the citizens in Prince George County, Virginia. I look forward to the discussion between now and May 10 as we adjust the introduced budget to fit the priorities and desires of the Prince George County Board of Supervisors.

REPORTS

VDOT – Ms. Crystal Smith of the Virginia Department of Transportation, stated that crews have started working on repairing the drainage issues on Jordan Point. Arwood Road is still on schedule for both the pavement and the drainage repairs. Should be done sometime between April and May. Ruffin turn taper still on schedule for Easter break. There will be a Citizens Information Meeting at Walton School Cafeteria on April 19 for the citizens that want to learn more about the roundabout and its design. The Secondary Six-Year Plan will start soon. They are looking at an April advertisement for May. They have been discussing alternatives to the roundabout at Middle Road and Jefferson Park. She does not believe it would be a final design, but there is a temporary, inexpensive solution. If the Board is interested, she would be happy to send photos of the design to the Board. The no through truck restriction on Templeton is waiting to hear back from Sussex County for an advertisement.

Property Maintenance and Inoperable Vehicles – Mr. Charles Harrison, Building Official, stated that this year they are enforcing the 2018 Code cycle. If compliance has not been obtained through multiple site inspections, conferences/non-response, NOV's, there are measures in place through statewide building code and county codes to gain compliance through litigation methods. They look out for Storage or Disposal of Trash & Refuse, Disposal of Discarded Materials, and Maintenance of Grass and Weeds. They work together with the Police Department, the Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Health, Department of Social Services, and the Fire Department. The Code Compliance Division investigated 313 property maintenance and zoning enforcement complaints during 2021. 287 of the 313 complaints have been resolved or closed resulting in a 95% compliance rate. The division produced 191 enforcement documents and violation notices related to these cases. While not all complaints received resulted in violation notices, investigations of these complaints did result in 676 inspections of various sites and potential violations. Complaints can be submitted online at princegeorgecountyva.gov. Complaints can be submitted by calling Code Compliance (804) 722-8659 and complaints can be submitted by emailing CitizenComplaint@princegeorgecountyva.gov. Complainants can remain anonymous.

Lt. Paul Burroughs of the Police Department stated that inoperable vehicles are any motor vehicle, trailer or semitrailer which is not in operating condition; or does not display valid license plates or does not display an inspection decal that is valid or does display an inspection decal that has been expired for more than 60 days. No person shall keep an inoperable motor vehicle, trailer or semi-trailer in the county except within a fully enclosed building or structure or otherwise shielded or screened from view. The county may remove any inoperable motor vehicle, trailer or semi-trailer that violates this section if, after 30 days' written notice by first class mail sent to the last known address of the owner of the property where the inoperable vehicle is located, that owner fails to remove such motor vehicle, trailer or semi-trailer. After removal, the county may dispose of the vehicle after giving 30 days' written notice by first class mail to the last known address of the owner of the property or owner of the vehicle. The cost of removal and disposal shall be charged to the owner of the property or vehicle. The cost of removal shall be collected as taxes and shall constitute a lien against the property until paid. The County's code prohibits the storage and parking of inoperable vehicles on neighborhood driveways, unpaved areas or any parking area visible from public view. This regulation is designed to improve the community image, facilitate emergency access to homes, eliminate sites where debris can gather, abandoned or stored vehicles can be hazardous to

children, rodents and other vermin may inhabit inoperable vehicles and may carry diseases that are harmful to the health of the community. In 2021, 252 Inoperable Vehicle complaints were received (as of December 31), 244 cases have been closed (vehicle removed, vehicle properly stored). The compliance rate is currently at 97% with 8 cases still pending from end of December 2021. The County Attorney's office sent out fifty-two (52) certified letters sent out to the property owners. Only One (1) Inoperative vehicle was towed in 2021. Complaints can be submitted by email at:communitypolicing@princegeorgecountyva.gov. Complaints can also be submitted by calling the Community Services Unit at (804) 863-1847. Complainants will remain anonymous.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

**A-1. Consideration of Appointments – Board, Commissions, Committees, Authorities:
Resolution of Appointment(s):**

A. Resolution; Appointment (Three-Year Term –Board of Grievance Appeals). Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hunter to reappoint Mr. Steve Brockwell. Roll was called on the motion.

R-22-047

A-1A

RESOLUTION; APPOINTMENT (THREE-YEAR TERM - BOARD OF GRIEVANCE APPEALS.

WHEREAS, Mr. Steve Brockwell's term on the Board of Grievance Appeals will expire on March 8, 2022; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 8th day of March, 2022 does hereby reappoint Mr. Steve Brockwell to the Board of Grievance Appeals to serve a three-year term beginning March 8, 2022 and ending on March 8, 2025.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

B. Resolution; Recommendation for Appointment (Interim Term) – Board of Zoning Appeals. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb to recommend to the Circuit Court Mr. Joshua Norris for appointment. Roll was called on the motion.

R-22-048

A-1B

RESOLUTION; RECOMMENDATION FOR APPOINTMENT
(INTERIM TERM) – BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS.

WHEREAS, There is a vacant term on the Board of Zoning Appeals due to the passing of Mr. Charles Leonard; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 8th day of March, 2022 that it hereby recommends Mr. Joshua Norris to the Circuit Court for appointment to the Prince George County Board of Zoning Appeals for an interim term effective immediately and ending on October 14, 2026.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That upon receiving notice of confirmation of appointment, the Circuit Court Clerk shall administer the Oath of Office to Mr. Joshua Norris as required by State law.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-2. Letter of Support from Prince George County, VA for City of Petersburg Poor Creek Sanitary Sewer and Water Service Area – U.S. Department of Commerce EDA grant. Mr. Brown stated that he was approached by the Mayor of the City of Petersburg requesting that the Prince George County Board of Supervisors support the City of Petersburg for a U.S. Department of Commerce EDA Grant for the Poor Creek Sanitary Sewer and Water Service Area. Prince George County would benefit from that as well. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hunter, to approve a letter of support. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Brown, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

Chair Waymack announced that the March 16 Board of Supervisors Pre-Budget Work Session has been cancelled. She also stated that in accordance with Virginia Code 2.2-3712, the Board of Supervisors will hold a closed meeting within the next 15 days at an undisclosed location for the purpose of interviewing candidates for the position of County Administrator. Mr. Hunter moved, seconded by Mr. Brown, to meet within the next 15 days at an undisclosed location for the purpose of interviewing candidates for the position of County Administrator. Roll was called on the motion.

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Hunter moved, seconded by Mr. Webb to adjourn to 5:00 on March 22. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Brown, Webb, Waymack, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

The meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

[Draft Minutes prepared March 14, 2022 for consideration on March 22, 2022; adopted by unanimous vote.]

Marlene J. Waymack
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Jeffrey D. Stoke
Interim County Administrator