

AGENDA

Board of Supervisors
County of Prince George, Virginia
Regular Meeting: April 11, 2023
County Administration Bldg. Boardroom, Third Floor
6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia

Regular Meeting

Closed Session
5:00 p.m.

Work Session
6:00 p.m.

Business Meeting
7:00 p.m.

***Public Hearings Will Be Heard at 7:30 p.m.**

The meeting will be live-streamed at the following link:

https://www.princegeorgecountyva.gov/live_stream/index.php

Public comments may be made in person during any meeting. You may also submit any public comments on our website at

https://www.princegeorgecountyva.gov/departments/board_of_supervisors/public_comment_for_m.php.

Any public comments received in person or by website form up until the public comment section is closed by the Chair of the Board of Supervisors on April 11 may be entered into the meeting minutes if desired by the citizen.

CALL TO ORDER

Roll Call

CLOSED SESSION

E-1. Resolution; Closed Session Motion with Topics and Code Section References to be Provided at Board Meeting. [2]

E-2. Resolution; "Certification of Closed Session" Certifying that Only those Items Contained in the Closed Session Motion were Discussed. [3]

WORK SESSION

Discussion of Alternative Alignments for the Blackwater Wastewater Trunk Line (Frank Haltom, County Engineer) [4]

Connections of Private Pump Stations to a Public Force Main (Frank Haltom, County Engineer) [5]

Allocation of Water Capacity (Frank Haltom, County Engineer) [6]

INVOCATION

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO U.S. FLAG

PUBLIC COMMENTS

ADOPTION OF AGENDA [1]

ORDER OF CONSENSUS

C-1. Draft Minutes – March 28, 2023 Regular Meeting. [7]

C-2. Resolution; Commendation; Harold Shreves, Service to Prince George County. [8]

- C-3. Resolution: Budget Amendment Department of Social Services United Way Emergency Food & Shelter Grant Funds \$3,615.33. (Bertha Judge, Director of Social Services) [9]

PRESENTATIONS

- S-1. Resolution; Commendation; Harold Shreves, Service to Prince George County.

SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S COMMENTS

REPORTS

- VDOT** – Crystal Smith [10]

POSTPONED ITEMS

ORDER OF BUSINESS

- A-1. Resolution; Request of Ethel Krenicky and Paul E. Vlk to Vacate the Consolidation Plat of Parcel #510(0A)00-070-0, Instrument #2200001939, Having 73.436 Acres and Consisting of Former Parcels 510(0A)00-070-0, 510(0A)00-070-A, 510(0A)00-070-B, 510(0A)00-070-C, and 510(0A)00-070-D. (Julie Walton, Deputy County Administrator, Community Development) [11]
- A-2. Resolution; Approval of Recommended FY2024 Health, Dental and Vision Insurance Renewals. (Corrie Hurt, Human Resources Director) [12]
- A-3. Resolution to Authorize a Refund for Parcel 340(22)00-012-0 for the Unutilized Water and Wastewater Capacity (Water - \$80,840; Sewer - \$55,960). (Frank Haltom, County Engineer) [13]
- A-4. Resolution; Approval of Prince George County, Virginia Projects Submitted to Senator Warner, Senator Kaine for FY24 Congressional Directed Spending Funds; and Approval of Prince George County, Virginia Projects Submitted to Congresswoman McClellan for FY2024 Federal Budget Community Project Funding. (Frank Haltom, County Engineer) [14]
- A-5. Consideration of Appointments – Board, Commissions, Committees, Authorities: Resolution of Appointment(s):
- A. Resolution; Appointment of One Member to Prince George Planning Commission (Interim Term). [15]

PUBLIC HEARINGS

- P-1. Public Hearing; Ordinance to Amend “The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia”, 2005, as Amended, by Amending § 82-262 and 82-537 to Revise Water and Wastewater User Charges. (Frank Haltom, County Engineer) [16]

ADJOURNMENT

Board meeting format: Executive Meeting at 5:00 p.m., followed by a Business Meeting at 7:00 p.m. with Public Hearings being heard at 7:30 p.m. **Visit Prince George County website for information www.princegeorgecountyva.gov.**

MINUTES
Board of Supervisors
County of Prince George, Virginia

April 11, 2023

County Administration Bldg. Boardroom, Third Floor
6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia

MEETING CONVENED. Chairman Donald R. Hunter called a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George, Virginia, to order at 5:00 p.m. on April 11, 2023 in the Boardroom, Third Floor, County Administration Building, 6602 Courts Drive, Prince George, Virginia.

ATTENDANCE. The following members responded to Roll Call:

Donald R. Hunter, Chairman	Present
T. J. Webb, Vice-Chairman	Present
Floyd M. Brown, Jr.	Present
Alan R. Carmichael	Present
Marlene J. Waymack	Present

Also present was: Jeff Stoke, County Administrator; Betsy Drewry, Deputy County Administrator; and Julie C. Walton, Deputy County Administrator.

CLOSED SESSION

E-1. Resolution; Closed Session for (1) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the appointment to the Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Simmons. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack, that the Board convene closed session for (1) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the appointment to the Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Simmons.

R-23-072

E-1.

RESOLUTION; CLOSED SESSION FOR (1) SECTION 2.2-3711.A.1 – DISCUSSION OR CONSIDERATION OF THE APPOINTMENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. SIMMONS

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, does hereby vote to enter closed session for (1) Section 2.2-3711.A.1 – Discussion or consideration of the appointment to the Planning Commission to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Simmons.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Waymack, Webb, Hunter, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

E-2. Resolution; Certification of Closed Session. At 5:15 p.m., Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack, that the Board adjourn the closed session and enter open session, certifying that to the best of each Board Members' knowledge (1) only public business lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements were discussed and (2) only matters identified in the convening motion were discussed. Chairman Hunter asked if any Board member knew of any matter discussed during the closed session that was not announced in its convening legislation. Hearing no comment from the Board, the Chairman asked that the roll be called on the motion.

R-23-072A

E-2.

RESOLUTION; CERTIFICATION OF CONTENTS OF CLOSED SESSION
PURSUANT TO SEC. 2.2-3711, ET SEQ., CODE OF VIRGINIA (1950, AS
AMENDED)

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023 does hereby certify that, to the best of each Board Member's knowledge, (1) only public business lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements where discussed, and (2) only matters identified in the convening motion were discussed.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Waymack, Webb, Hunter, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

Chairman Hunter called a recess at 5:16 pm. The meeting reconvened at 6:00 pm.

WORK SESSION

Discussion of Alternative Alignments for the Blackwater Wastewater Trunk Line – Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, discussed alternative alignments for the Blackwater Wastewater Trunk Line. WW Associates is providing the engineering services for a new wastewater gravity interceptor, pump station and force main. A gravity trunk main is proposed along the edge of Blackwater Swamp. The design includes the decommissioning of the existing Pump Station #21 serving the business park located on Hardware Drive. The design includes approximately 16,000 LF of 24-inch and 36-inch pipe and will discharge to a new wastewater pump station located along Prince George Drive, south of the Swamp with branch lines to county Pump Stations #1, 2 and 3 and decommissioning these Pump Stations. A letter requesting permission to begin survey work for the gravity interceptor was sent to property owners along the preferred alignment. Several property owners denied permission and suggested an alternative alignment be considered. A public meeting was held on March 6 to allow an opportunity for property owners to learn about the project and voice their concerns. Concerns included potential impacts to farm animals, and use of valuable land area. An alternative alignment has been considered. Mr. Haltom presented the potential cost and environmental impacts of an alternative alignment for the interceptor with two options. Alignment #1 is the original alignment selected by the County and consulting engineer to reduce cost and environmental impacts with modifications to account for community concerns. Alignment #2 is based on community engagement to eliminate/reduce impacts to residential properties. Alignment #1 is approximately 1,500 feet less of 12" pipe to Pump Station #1 (approximately \$330,000 less). It eliminates one crossing of environmentally sensitive areas avoiding some mitigation costs to disturb wetlands/streams with greater ease of maintenance. However, it does impact residential properties and could require eminent domain to proceed. Alignment #2 places the majority of work on the business park side of the swamp and reduces impacts to residential properties. It is less cost, if needed, to extend future gravity line along the swamp towards Courthouse Road. However, it would be the developer's costs. Alignment #2 does cost \$330,000 more and adds environmental mitigation costs identified in Alignment #1 with less ease of maintenance. It would not serve any new customers along the alignment – already served by public sewer. If development occurs on Laurel Spring, gravity sewer would be located on both sides of the swamp. Staff recommends Alignment #1 with approval of an alignment prior to continuing development of engineering plans and is requesting a consensus from the Board. Mr. Webb asked what the average depth would be. Mr. Haltom stated that has not been defined at this point. Final depth will be determined once they do a survey and determine what the ground elevations are. Mr. Webb stated that if they are pumping uphill now in that one spot, it could be significant. Mr. Haltom stated that they are no longer pumping uphill. That is why they moved the pump stations back down to Prince George Drive. Mr. Carmichael asked if Mr. Haltom had a number on how many property owners would be affected with the modifications to Alignment #1 versus the original. Mr. Haltom stated he does not have an exact number but he can tell you there are specifically three less properties affected. Mrs. Waymack asked if the Corp of Engineers allows them to cross the swamp. Mr. Haltom stated yes, but there will be mitigation costs associated with it. Mr. Haltom clarified for Mr. Carmichael that property owners are compensated for eminent domain based on the impacts to their property. The costs have been budgeted in and are less than \$20,000 total. Mr. Webb asked if the modified version (Alignment #1) addresses most of the property owners' concerns. Mr. Haltom stated that there is still the concern of disturbing farm animals when coming on their property during construction. Mr. Brown stated that he would be in favor of Alignment #1 and

appreciates that Staff is addressing most of the property owners' concerns. Mrs. Waymack stated that she has to listen to her constituents and cannot support the original alignment, but Alignment #1 with the modifications. Mr. Webb stated that there are not a lot of choices and he understands the impact to some property owners, but overall it is still taxpayer dollars and we have to have it. Those pump stations are over a million each and the longer we wait, the more expensive they get. The Board gave their consensus to go with Alignment #1.

Connection of Private Pump Stations to a Public Force Main – Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, stated that at the March 28th Board of Supervisors meeting, Mr. F.O. Powers addressed the Board during Public Comments. Mr. Powers owns a commercial property at 5108 Prince George Drive and is requesting to connect to the sewer force main along Prince George Drive, built in 1996. At that time, force main connections were placed for potential future customers. Residents were offered an opportunity to connect and were required to indicate their desire to connect by returning a signed letter to the Utility department. County Ordinance Sec. 82-427, adopted in 2018, currently restricts connections to a public force main, and therefore Mr. Powers was told a connection to the force main was not available for the property. No private connections to a public sewer force main are permitted without prior approval from the Director. Connections to a sewer force main shall only be made through a public pump station. Connections would be approved only for low-pressure force main systems. These systems have no public pump stations and are designed as Community Force Mains. The Board directed Staff to look into his concerns and report back to them. Based on our records, at the time of constructing the force main, two service connections were installed near this address to allow future connections of a house and a commercial property. A connection fee was paid for the house, which is now connected. A connection fee was not paid for the commercial property at 5108 Prince George Drive. Commercial customers were required to pay connection fees listed in their letter or otherwise pay the current rate when needed. Notes reflect the owner then was not interested in connecting the commercial building to the sewer at the time the construction was completed. Based on this information, it was explained to Mr. Powers that since a fee was not paid previously to reserve a future connection, and that the ordinance now prohibits such connections, that he cannot connect to the sewer force main. Please note the letter sent by the County Engineer in 1996 is not currently accurate. He states the force main is a low-pressure collection system, which it may have been at the time. Since the construction of the pump station that serves Pine Ridge Mobile Home Park, this force main is now a high-pressure conveyance system. Mr. Webb asked if these systems have any form of check valve. Mr. Haltom stated that they have a pressure service connection, which allows them to put an additional check valve in for the County to turn off the system if they have issues with their pumps. Mr. Haltom reviewed with the Board options available to the property owner for connection to a public system. Gravity sewer is available on Trailing Rock Road, approximately 1,000 feet from Mr. Powers' property. Mr. Powers would need to obtain the easements from adjacent property owners to install a private sewer line and connect to the public gravity sewer. The public sewer located on Trailing Rock Road could be extended to his property, if topography permits. That option is more costly and would require engineering plans. Mr. Haltom clarified for Mr. Hunter that he does have an existing septic system. It may not be enough to meet his needs of a future business.

Allocation of Water Capacity – Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, stated that the current limits of water and wastewater capacity has caused the development community to grow concerned of the County's ability to assure water and wastewater capacities will be available after investing in new infrastructure. Staff desires to place constraints/conditions on the issuance of water and wastewater capacities to prevent any development from reserving capacities for a prolonged period and thus preventing others from utilizing such capacities. Staff desires to provide guidance for reserving water and wastewater capacities for residential subdivisions and non-residential development. Currently, single-family homes currently pay connection fees at time of building permit issuance. Mr. Haltom presented some possible changes. Upon receiving a permit for construction of water and wastewater infrastructure by the developer, they could require all or a minimum connection fee to be applied at time of constructing the infrastructure. In addition, they could require the use of water and wastewater agreements to define the conditions of capacity issuance. For example, the developer must build a minimum number of homes per year in order to retain the capacity. Agreement shall not extend beyond 5 years. They could amend the ordinance to clarify the County Board or the Utility Director has the authority to revoke water and wastewater capacities and issue applicable refunds should the developer not utilize the capacities within a determined timeframe. The timeframe would be established in water and wastewater agreements. Mr. Hunter stated that the Agreements would need to be very

specific or we would be treading on thin ice if one is extended for one and the other is revoked for another. Mr. Webb expressed concern of a mass developer coming in and buying a huge volume of capacity, leaving a custom home builder caught up in the web and shorted capacity. Mr. Haltom stated that they are trying to find a balance and he plans to talk to the development community. It will be hard to appease everyone. Their goal is to make sure people are not taking advantage of the County and slowing down development for others that have the ability to move forward. Mr. Webb stated that if they tie it all up, they are the primary with no one else to come in and compete with. All non-residential developments currently pay connection fees at time of building permit issuance. No changes are recommended at this time. They could amend the ordinance to clarify the County Board or the Utility Director has the authority to revoke water and wastewater capacities and issue applicable refunds should the developer not utilize the capacities within a determined timeframe or meet conditions of the allocation. The timeframe would be tied to building permit expiration. If no progress has been made, a renewal of the building permit would be denied, capacities returned to the utility and refund of connection fees issued. Mr. Haltom stated that the next steps would be to solicit feedback from the development community, draft ordinance amendments and have a public hearing.

Invocation. Mrs. Waymack gave the Board's invocation.

Pledge of Allegiance to U.S. Flag. Mr. Brown led the Pledge of Allegiance to the U.S. Flag.

PUBLIC COMMENTS. Chairman Hunter announced that anyone wishing to come before the Board may do so at this time. He noted that this was the time for unscheduled general public comments. Chairman Hunter opened the public comments at 7:01 p.m. There being no one else to speak, the public comments period was closed.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mr. Webb, to adopt the agenda as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

ORDER OF CONSENSUS. Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to adopt the Order of Consensus as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

C-1. Draft Minutes – March 28, 2023 Regular Meeting Minutes.

R-23-073

C-2.

**RESOLUTION; COMMENDATION; HAROLD SHREVES;
SERVICE TO PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY**

WHEREAS, on July 1, 1998, Harold Shreves was hired as a Police Officer; and;

WHEREAS, during his career, Harold Shreves served in the Field Operations Division, as a Patrol Officer, and Investigation as a Detective; and

WHEREAS, during his career Officer Shreves was a General Instructor, Speed Measurement Instructor, CRASE Instructor, ERASE Instructor and Animal Control Certified; and

WHEREAS, during his career, Harold Shreves served on the Crash Team, Bicycle Patrol Unit, was a National & State Certified Crime Prevention Specialist, School Resource Officer, and a Child Safety Seat Technician; and

WHEREAS, Officer Shreves throughout his career, has received numerous letters of commendation from citizens and business owners of Prince George County; and

WHEREAS, Officer Shreves' fellow co-workers refer to him as being committed and trustworthy; and

WHEREAS, throughout his remarkable career in Law Enforcement, Officer Shreves has served the citizens of the County and the Commonwealth to the utmost of his ability, demonstrating patriotism, integrity, and devotion to Law Enforcement and Public Safety in a manner bespeaking the dedication of a true public servant; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023 does hereby commend Harold Shreves for completion of twenty-four years of dedicated service to the County and its citizens; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board wishes to extend to Harold Shreves much happiness and continued success as he enters the next phase of his life.

R-23-073A

C-3.

RESOLUTION: BUDGET AMENDMENT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES UNITED WAY EMERGENCY FOOD & SHELTER GRANT FUNDS \$3,615.33

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, does hereby authorize the following increase of funds within the 2022-2023 Budget, such line items increased as follows, which monies shall be expended for purposes authorized and approved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George:

<u>FUND/ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
<u>SPECIAL WELFARE FUND</u>	
<u>Expenditure:</u>	
0960-05-113-9000-45795 United Way Emergency Food & Shelter Grant (No Match)	\$3,615.33
 <u>Revenue:</u>	
0960-30-601-8305-333865 DSS United Way Emergency Shelter Grant	\$3,615.33

On roll call the vote was:
In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael
Opposed: (0)
Absent: (0)

PRESENTATIONS

S-1. Resolution; Commendation; Harold Shreves; Service to Prince George County. Mr. Hunter presented the commendation to Mr. Shreves in the presence of his friends and family.

SUPERVISORS COMMENTS

Mr. Hunter stated that it was a real honor to present the commendation to Harold Shreves. He was a real asset to the County.

Mr. Carmichael congratulated Mr. Shreves on all of the years he served.

Mrs. Waymack thanked all of the first responders for being so great.

Mr. Brown congratulated Officer Shreves.

Mr. Webb stated that he hopes Officer Shreves enjoys his retirement.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S COMMENTS

Mr. Jeff Stoke, County Administrator, stated that Virginia's 4PM Burn Ban Law is in effect Feb. 15 - April 30 (no burning before 4pm). Contact the Virginia Department of Forestry for information. Registration for the Prince George Youth 4-H Camp (July 3-7) - until full. For more information, contact Herman Maclin 804-712-0620 / 804-733-2686. Clean Community Day (shredding, yard debris, household goods, tires, etc.) will be on April 15 in the Prince George County Police Parking Lot and Convenience Center from 8:00am to 1:00pm. On April 15 and 16, there will be a Disc Golf Tournament - "Spring In The Valley" at Pine Valley at Scott Park Disc Golf Course, 6000 Scott Memorial Park Rd, from 8:00am to 6:30pm. April 15-22 is the 90th Historic Garden Week with three homes in Prince George on April 18th (tickets are \$40 in advance). The Prince George tour begins at Flowerdew Hundred, 1800 Flowerdew Hundred Rd 10:00am - 5:00pm. The Prince George Board of Supervisors will have a Budget Work Session on April 19 in the Board Room, 3rd Floor of the Administration Building, 6602 Courts Drive at 5:00pm. April 22 is National Drug Take Back Day in the Prince George Police Dept. Parking Lot, 6601 Courthouse Rd., Prince George from 10:00am to 2:00pm.

REPORTS

VDOT – Mr. Paul Hinson of the Virginia Department of Transportation stated that the public hearings for the Secondary Six-Year Plan will be held at the Board of Supervisors meeting in May. The notifications and the Plan were emailed on April 10. It must be advertised for two consecutive weeks prior to the public hearing. There are projects to replace a couple of bridges on Golf Course Drive and Lone Oak Mill Road. Those projects are set for July and there will be detours. Resurfacing will begin in the next two weeks and the first mowing cycle and litter pickup will begin at the end of April or beginning of May.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

A-1. Resolution; Request of Ethel Krenicky and Paul E. Vlk to Vacate the Consolidation Plat of Parcel #510(0A)00-070-0, Instrument #2200001939, Having 73.436 Acres and Consisting of Former Parcels 510(0A)00-070-0, 510(0A)00-070-A, 510(0A)00-070-B, 510(0A)00-070-C, and 510(0A)00-070-D. Ms. Julie Walton, Deputy County Administrator, Community Development, stated that the request is to vacate a consolidation plat of five parcels located on the west side of U.S. Route 301, South Crater Road, dated January 22, 2022, revised March 21, 2022, consisting of 73.436 acres, and recorded in Instrument #2200001939. According to County Code Section 70-802: “The agent shall refer the application to the commission, which shall review the application for its consistency with the comprehensive plan. The commission shall forward the application, with its recommendation, to the Board for action.” The Planning Commission reviewed this request at their March 23rd meeting for consistency with the comprehensive plan, and recommends approval of this request to the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Brown made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Waymack, to approve the request to vacate a consolidation plat of five parcels located on the west side of U.S. Route 301, South Crater Road, consisting of 73.436 acres, and recorded in Instrument #2200001939. Roll was called on the motion.

R-23-074

A-1.

RESOLUTION; REQUEST OF ETHEL KRENICKY AND PAUL E. VLK TO VACATE THE CONSOLIDATION PLAT OF PARCEL #510(0A)00-070-0, INSTRUMENT #2200001939, HAVING 73.436 ACRES AND CONSISTING OF FORMER PARCELS 510(0A)00-070-0, 510(0A)00-070-A, 510(0A)00-070-B, 510(0A)00-070-C, AND 510(0A)00-070-D.

WHEREAS, Ethel Krennicky and Paul E. Vlk on January 9, 2023, requested that the consolidation plat of parcel #510(0A)00-070-0, consisting of 73.436 acres, be vacated in accordance with County Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section 70-802 of the Code of Prince George County provides for vacation of plats before any sale of a lot therein by owner's request; and

WHEREAS, § 15.2-2271 of the Code of Virginia provides for vacation of plats by consent of the governing body before any sale of a lot or parcel;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, does hereby authorize the vacation of the consolidation plat of parcel #510(OA)00-070-0, consisting of 73.436 acres; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution shall be given to the Clerk of Court for Prince George County, VA.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-2. Resolution; Approval of Recommended FY2024 Health, Dental and Vision Insurance Renewals. Ms. Corrie Hurt, Human Resources Director, stated that the 2023-24 renewal from Delta Dental was proposed at the same rates as in FY2022-23 (no increase) for active employees and retirees. Staff and our benefit consultant recommend renewal with Delta Dental on a fully insured basis. The 2023-24 renewal from Anthem Blue View Vision was proposed with an average increase of 6% per tier from FY2022-23 for active employees or retirees. Staff recommends renewal with Anthem Blue View Vision. Staff and our benefit consultant recommend continuing with Anthem on a self-insured basis. The 2023-24 renewal from Anthem was proposed with no increase in the employer or employee share/portion of premiums for the County. Retirees will continue to pay 100% of his/her premiums. Staff recommends renewal with Anthem. Retirees bear 100% of the cost of health insurance premiums, and there is no proposed increase in premiums for retirees for 2023-24. The employer contributions to the Health Savings Account (H S A) will remain the same as in FY2022-23. \$1,000 Employee Only; \$1,250 Employee + 1; \$1,500 for Employee + Children / Family. The health insurance renewal also continues three targeted wellness incentives for FY2023-24 that were started in 2019-20 (Waist Incentive and HealthMapRx) and a wellness incentive for hypertension (Blood pressure monitoring) that was started in 2022-23. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael to renew the Delta Dental, Blue View Vision, and Anthem health insurance as presented. Roll was called on the motion.

R-23-075

A-2.

RESOLUTION; APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDED FY2024 HEALTH, DENTAL AND VISION INSURANCE RENEWALS WITH RECOMMENDED RATES AS ATTACHED.

BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, does hereby authorize renewing for FY2024

Dental Insurance with Delta Dental of Virginia with no increase to active employees or retirees;

Vision Insurance with Blue View Vision with average increase of 6% to active employees or retirees;

Health Insurance with Anthem with no increase to active employees or retirees.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, does hereby approve recommended FY2024 rates as provided and attached.

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES					
Prince George County Dental Rates FY23-24				FY23 TO FY24 CHANGE	
<i>Effective June 2023 for July 1, 2023 coverage (monthly rates)</i>					
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES - 0% Increase					
Delta Low Tier Dental Plan					
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Premium Change	% Change
Employee Only	\$ 28.98	\$ -	\$ 28.98	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Child	\$ 62.32	\$ -	\$ 62.32	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Children	\$ 62.32	\$ -	\$ 62.32	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Spouse	\$ 59.04	\$ -	\$ 59.04	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Family	\$ 68.74	\$ -	\$ 68.74	\$ -	0.00%
Delta High Tier Dental Plan					
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Premium Change	% Change
Employee Only	\$ 35.56	\$ -	\$ 35.56	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Child	\$ 79.86	\$ -	\$ 79.86	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Children	\$ 79.86	\$ -	\$ 79.86	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Spouse	\$ 71.12	\$ -	\$ 71.12	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Family	\$ 100.53	\$ -	\$ 100.53	\$ -	0.00%
Prince George County Vision Rates FY23-24					
Blue View Vision Buy-Up (Voluntary)					
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Premium Change	% Change
Employee Only	\$ 6.26	\$ -	\$ 6.26	\$ 0.35	5.92%
Employee/Child	\$ 10.96	\$ -	\$ 10.96	\$ 0.62	6.00%
Employee/Children	\$ 12.52	\$ -	\$ 12.52	\$ 0.71	6.01%
Employee/Spouse	\$ 10.96	\$ -	\$ 10.96	\$ 0.62	6.00%
Employee/Family	\$ 18.21	\$ -	\$ 18.21	\$ 1.03	6.00%

ACTIVE EMPLOYEES

PROPOSED FY23-24 HEALTH RATES								
NO CHANGES								
Anthem PIN 30					CONTRIBUTION %		\$ INCREASE	
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost		EE	ER	EE INCREASE	ER INCREASE
Employee Only	\$ 40.00	\$ 818.19	\$ 858.19		4.66%	95.34%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Child	\$ 215.00	\$ 859.23	\$ 1,074.23		20.01%	79.99%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Children	\$ 425.00	\$ 898.98	\$ 1,323.98		32.10%	67.90%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Spouse	\$ 500.00	\$ 941.55	\$ 1,441.55		34.68%	65.32%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Family	\$ 710.00	\$ 967.55	\$ 1,677.55		42.32%	57.68%	\$ -	\$ -
					26.76%	73.24%		
Anthem High Deductible Health Plan (with HSA)								
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Annual Employer HSA Contribution	EE	ER	EE INCREASE	ER INCREASE
Employee Only	\$ -	\$ 779.48	\$ 779.48	\$ 1,000.00	0.00%	100.00%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Child	\$ 108.00	\$ 917.30	\$ 1,025.30	\$ 1,250.00	10.53%	89.47%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Children	\$ 213.00	\$ 1,082.99	\$ 1,295.99	\$ 1,500.00	16.44%	83.56%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Spouse	\$ 250.00	\$ 1,166.55	\$ 1,416.55	\$ 1,250.00	17.65%	82.35%	\$ -	\$ -
Employee/Family	\$ 355.00	\$ 1,319.03	\$ 1,674.03	\$ 1,500.00	21.21%	78.79%	\$ -	\$ -
					13.16%	86.84%		
					AVERAGE CONTRIBUTION %			
						EE	ER	
					2023-2024	OVERALL AVG	19.96%	80.04%
					2023-2024	EE ONLY AVG	2.33%	97.67%

RETIREES					
Prince George County Dental Rates FY23-24				FY23 TO FY24 CHANGE	
<i>Effective June 2023 for July 1, 2023 coverage (monthly rates)</i>					
RETIREES - 0% Increase					
Delta Low Tier Dental Plan					
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Premium Change	% Change
Employee Only	\$ 30.50	\$ -	\$ 30.50	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Child	\$ 65.56	\$ -	\$ 65.56	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Children	\$ 65.56	\$ -	\$ 65.56	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Spouse	\$ 62.12	\$ -	\$ 62.12	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Family	\$ 72.32	\$ -	\$ 72.32	\$ -	0.00%
Delta High Tier Dental Plan					
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Premium Change	% Change
Employee Only	\$ 37.42	\$ -	\$ 37.42	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Child	\$ 84.02	\$ -	\$ 84.02	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Children	\$ 84.02	\$ -	\$ 84.02	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Spouse	\$ 74.82	\$ -	\$ 74.82	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Family	\$ 105.76	\$ -	\$ 105.76	\$ -	0.00%
Prince George County Vision Rates FY23-24					
Blue View Vision Buy-Up (Voluntary)					
	Employee Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Premium Change	% Change
Employee Only	\$ 6.26	\$ -	\$ 6.26	\$ 0.35	5.92%
Employee/Child	\$ 10.96	\$ -	\$ 10.96	\$ 0.62	6.00%
Employee/Children	\$ 12.52	\$ -	\$ 12.52	\$ 0.71	6.01%
Employee/Spouse	\$ 10.96	\$ -	\$ 10.96	\$ 0.62	6.00%
Employee/Family	\$ 18.21	\$ -	\$ 18.21	\$ 1.03	6.00%

PROPOSED FY23-24 RETIREE HEALTH RATES NO INCREASE						
NO INCREASE PROPOSED						
Anthem PIN 30						\$ INCREASE
	Retiree Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost		RETIREE INCREASE	% Increase
Employee Only	\$ 858.19	\$ -	\$ 858.19		\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Child	\$1,074.23	\$ -	\$ 1,074.23		\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Children	\$1,323.98	\$ -	\$ 1,323.98		\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Spouse	\$1,441.55	\$ -	\$ 1,441.55		\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Family	\$1,677.55	\$ -	\$ 1,677.55		\$ -	0.00%
Anthem High Deductible Health Plan (with HSA)						
	Retiree Contribution Amount	Employer Contribution Amount	Total Health Premium Cost	Annual Employer HSA Contribution	RETIREE INCREASE	
Employee Only	\$ 779.48	\$ -	\$ 779.48	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Child	\$1,025.30	\$ -	\$ 1,025.30	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Children	\$1,295.99	\$ -	\$ 1,295.99	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Spouse	\$1,416.55	\$ -	\$ 1,416.55	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
Employee/Family	\$1,674.03	\$ -	\$ 1,674.03	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
				NO EMPLOYER H S A CONTRIBUTION FOR RETIREES		

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-3. Resolution to Authorize a Refund for Parcel 340(22)00-012-0 for the Unutilized Water and Wastewater Capacity (Water - \$80,840; Sewer - \$55,960). Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, stated that the Hollingsworth Companies, the property owner and developer for Parcel 340(22)00-012-0, constructed a speculative building and subsequently paid the water and wastewater connection fees for a 3” water meter. The meter was sized based on the demands for a prospective tenant that never took occupancy of the building. Subsequently, the property owner leased the building to another tenant with less water demands that require only a 5/8” water meter. Since the original prospective tenant never occupied the building space or utilized the approved water demands, The Hollingsworth Companies has requested a refund of the difference in the connection fees per County ordinance sections 82-261 and 82-536 with a proposed amendment to such code sections on the agenda for February 5, 2023 that would authorize such a refund. Staff has reviewed the request and finds it consistent with the intent of county code. Mrs. Waymack made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to approve the resolution to give a refund for Parcel 340(22)00-012-0 for the unutilized water and wastewater capacity. Roll was called on the motion.

R-23-076

A-3.

RESOLUTION: TO AUTHORIZE A REFUND FOR PARCEL 340(22)00-012-0 FOR THE UNUTILIZED WATER AND WASTEWATER CAPACITY (WATER - \$80,840; SEWER - \$55,960)

WHEREAS, the property owner and developer for Parcel 340(22)00-012-0, constructed a speculative building and paid the water and wastewater connection fees for a 3” water meter to serve a prospective tenant; and

WHEREAS, the prospective tenant never took occupancy of the building, and the property owner subsequently leased the building to another tenant with less water and wastewater demands that require a 5/8” water meter.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, hereby authorizes a refund of the 3” water and wastewater connection fees for parcel 340(22)00-012-0.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

A-4. Resolution; Approval of Prince George County, Virginia Projects Submitted to Senator Warner, Senator Kaine for FY24 Congressional Directed Spending Funds; and Approval of Prince George County, Virginia Projects Submitted to Congresswoman McClellan for FY2024 Federal Budget Community Project Funding. Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, stated that Senator Warner and Senator Kaine have provided an opportunity to submit requests for Virginia congressionally directed spending projects for fiscal year 2024. Congressionally directed spending is intended to secure one-time funding for projects within the Commonwealth. The deadline to submit applications was March 10, 2023. Requests for funding were submitted through the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies, specifically EPA STAG grants, for the following projects, in priority order: (1) Beechwood Manor Asbestos Cement Pipe Replacement (\$7,456,000); (2) Appomattox River Water Transmission Line Crossing (\$3,006,000); (3) River Road Water Storage Tank and Booster Station (\$8,521,000); and (4) Waterline Extension From Central System to Existing 301 Well System (\$12.6M). In addition, Congresswoman McClellan has provided an opportunity to submit requests for Community Project Funding. This is a process through the annual appropriations bill in which congressional representatives can apply for federal funding to help localities in their district meet critical needs. Congresswoman McClellan will submit requests to the House Appropriations Committee for consideration. Deadline to submit was March 19, 2023. Requests for funding were submitted to Congressman McClellan’s office for the following projects, listed in priority order: (1) Beechwood Manor Asbestos Cement Pipe Replacement (\$7,456,000); (2) Appomattox River Water Transmission Line Crossing (\$3,006,000); and (3) River Road Water Storage Tank and Booster Station (\$8,521,000). Staff is seeking Board authorization to apply for funding through these agencies to offset the costs of these capital projects. Mr. Webb made a motion, seconded by Mr. Carmichael, to adopt a resolution authorizing the application for funding for congressionally directed spending projects through the offices of Senator Warner and Senator Kaine; and authorizing the application for funding for Community Project Funding through the office of Congresswoman McClellan. Roll was called on the motion.

R-23-077

A-4.

RESOLUTION: RESOLUTION; APPROVAL OF PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO SENATOR WARNER, SENATOR Kaine FOR FY24 CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTED SPENDING FUNDS; AND APPROVAL OF PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO CONGRESSWOMAN MCCLELLAN FOR FY2024 FEDERAL BUDGET COMMUNITY PROJECT FUNDING

WHEREAS, Senator Warner and Senator Kaine have provided opportunities to request projects for Virginia congressionally directed spending funds; and

WHEREAS, Congressionally directed spending is intended to secure one-time funding for projects within the Commonwealth; and

WHEREAS, Congresswoman McClellan represents all of Prince George County, Virginia as a part of the U.S. Congressional 4th District in the U.S. House of Representatives; and

WHEREAS, the United States federal budget for FY2024 is allowing each Congressional member to submit ten (10) projects for consideration as part of the community project funding (CPF) process through the U.S. House Appropriations Committee; and

WHEREAS, the CPF process allows local governments to propose projects in alignment with federal department technical requirements that address significant needs, provide a significant public good, and have demonstrated community support; and

WHEREAS, the utilities CPF requests have a required twenty percent (20%) match from the County Utilities Department utilizing Fiscal Year 2024 Utility Fund Cash Reserves.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023, do hereby submit the following ranked list of projects to Senator Warner and Senator Kaine for consideration in the FY2024 Virginia congressionally directed spending funding process:

1. Beechwood Manor Asbestos Cement Pipe Replacement (\$7,456,000)
2. Appomattox River Water Transmission Line Crossing (\$3,006,000)
3. River Road Water Storage Tank and Booster Station (\$8,521,000)
4. Waterline Extension From Central System to Existing 301 Well System (\$12.6M)

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Prince George County Board of Supervisors, this 11th day of April, 2023, do hereby submit the following ranked list of projects to Congresswoman McClellan for consideration in the FY2024 U.S. House Appropriations Committee community project funding process:

1. Beechwood Manor Asbestos Cement Pipe Replacement (\$7,456,000)
2. Appomattox River Water Transmission Line Crossing (\$3,006,000)
3. River Road Water Storage Tank and Booster Station (\$8,521,000)

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

**A-5. Consideration of Appointments – Board, Commissions, Committees, Authorities:
Resolution of Appointment(s):**

A. Resolution; Appointment of One Member to Prince George Planning Commission (Interim Term). Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to appoint Mr. Mark Brian Waymack to the Prince George Planning Commission, effectively immediately for an interim term that will expire on December 31, 2026. Roll was called on the motion.

R-23-078

A-5A.

**RESOLUTION; APPOINTMENT OF ONE MEMBER TO
PRINCE GEORGE PLANNING COMMISSION (INTERIM
TERM)**

WHEREAS, Mr. Joe Simmons has resigned from the Prince George County Planning Commission;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Prince George this 11th day of April, 2023 does hereby appoint Mr. Mark Brian Waymack to the Planning Commission to serve an interim term, beginning immediately and ending on December 31, 2026.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

PUBLIC HEARINGS

P-1. Public Hearing; Ordinance to Amend “The Code of the County of Prince George, Virginia”, 2005, as Amended, by Amending § 82-262 and 82-537 to Revise Water and Wastewater User Charges. Mr. Frank Haltom, County Engineer, stated that amendments to Chapter 82 of the County Ordinance are recommended to modify the water and wastewater user charges and rate block schedules. The recommendation includes a 5% increase in the water user charges and a 5% increase in sewer user charges. This recommendation is to ensure fair and equitable rates to all ratepayers that are adequate to cover the projected costs of the utility and maintain responsible reserves for unexpected and future costs. The proposed rate increases would be effective July 1, 2023. Rate setting is first about recovering the Utility’s costs. Proper rate setting is also about building adequate reserves; funding a capital improvements program (CIP); and paying for equipment repairs and replacement. The Department of Engineering and Utilities consulted with GettingGreatRates.com, LLC to complete a Water and Sewer Rate Analysis in 2020. The study analyzed the current and planned expenses of the Utility to determine the appropriate water and sewer rates and connection fees. The initial rate adjustments (planned for FY21) projected an overall revenue increase of 24.6 percent for water and 1.8% for sewer. No increases were established in FY 2021 or FY 2022. A 30% increase for water and a 3% increase for sewer was implemented in FY 2023. In future years, it projected water rates will need to be increased annually by 5.0 percent to match inflation and to reach the reserves target. Sewer rates will need to rise by 3.0 percent, just to match inflation. The Utilities’ FY 2024 budget requests a 5% increase of both water and sewer rates. Several very expensive capital improvements scheduled to occur four to five years from now will necessitate additional rate increases or interventions, such as grants, in the near future. Amendments to Chapter 82 of the County Code of Ordinances are required to revise water and wastewater user charges and rate block schedules. After the public hearing, Staff recommends adoption of the ordinance to amend and reenact the Code of Prince George County, Virginia, 2005, as amended, by amending sections 82-262 and 82-537 to revise water and wastewater user charges and rate block schedules. Chairman Hunter opened the public hearing at 7:36 p.m. There was no one to speak and the public hearing was closed. Mr. Carmichael made a motion, seconded by Mr. Brown, to move that the Board adopt the ordinance to amend and reenact the Code of Prince George County, Virginia, 2005, as amended, by amending sections 82-262 and 82-537 to revise water and wastewater user charges and rate block schedules. Roll was called on the motion.

O-23-13

P-1.

**ORDINANCE TO AMEND “THE CODE OF THE COUNTY OF PRINCE GEORGE,
VIRGINIA”, 2005, AS AMENDED, BY AMENDING § 82-262 AND 82-537 TO
REVISE WATER AND WASTEWATER USER CHARGES**

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Prince George County:

(1) That The Code of The County of Prince George, Virginia, 2005, as amended, is amended by amending § 82-262 and 82-537 as follows:

Article II. Water Service

DIVISION 8. FEES AND CHARGES

Sec. 82-262. - Water user charge schedule.

Bills for water production and the use of the water distribution system shall be rendered to residential and nonresidential users based on the following monthly rates:

(1) *Fixed minimum charge.* The fixed minimum charge shall be as follows:

Meter Size	Minimum Charge Per Month
5/8"	\$11.72 <u>\$12.31</u>
3/4"	\$11.72 <u>\$12.31</u>
1"	18.32 <u>\$19.23</u>
1 1/2"	29.30 <u>\$30.77</u>
2"	42.49 <u>\$44.61</u>
3"	84.24 <u>\$88.45</u>
4"	143.56 <u>\$150.74</u>
6"	292.98 <u>\$307.63</u>
8"	622.58 <u>\$653.71</u>
10"	930.20 <u>\$976.71</u>

(2) *Commodity charge.* For the amount of water consumed, the following rate block schedule shall apply.

Meter Size	Rate Block	Unit Charge per 1,000 gallons
5/8" & 3/4", 1"	0 to < 6,000 gallons	\$3.86 <u>\$4.05</u>
	6,000 gallons to < 20,000 gallons	4.83 <u>\$5.07</u>
	20,000 gallons and greater	5.33 <u>\$5.60</u>
1 1/2"	0 to < 12,000 <u>10,000</u> gallons	\$3.86 <u>\$4.05</u>
	12,000 <u>10,000</u> gallons to < 50,000 <u>40,000</u> gallons	4.83 <u>\$5.07</u>
	50,000 <u>40,000</u> gallons and greater	5.33 <u>\$5.60</u>
2"	0 to < 25,000 <u>23,000</u> gallons	\$3.86 <u>\$4.05</u>
	25,000 <u>23,000</u> gallons to < 80,000 <u>60,000</u> gallons	4.83 <u>\$5.07</u>
	80,000 <u>60,000</u> gallons and greater	5.33 <u>\$5.60</u>
3"	0 to < 50,000 <u>46,000</u> gallons	\$3.86 <u>\$4.05</u>
	50,000 <u>46,000</u> gallons to < 200,000 <u>150,000</u> gallons	4.83 <u>\$5.07</u>
	200,000 <u>150,000</u> gallons and greater	5.33 <u>\$5.60</u>
4"	0 to < 80,000 <u>75,000</u> gallons	\$3.86 <u>\$4.05</u>
	80,000 <u>75,000</u> gallons to < 350,000 <u>300,000</u>	4.83 <u>\$5.07</u>

Meter Size	Rate Block	Unit Charge per 1,000 gallons
	gallons	
	350,000 <u>300,000</u> gallons and greater	5.33 <u>\$5.60</u>
6", 8" & 10"	0 to < 120,000 <u>100,000</u> gallons	\$3.86 <u>\$4.05</u>
	120,000 <u>100,000</u> gallons to < 700,000 <u>600,000</u> gallons	4.83 <u>\$5.07</u>
	700,000 <u>600,000</u> gallons and greater	5.33 <u>\$5.60</u>

(3) *Responsibility of owner of rental dwelling containing more than one dwelling unit.* Whenever water service is provided to a rental dwelling which has more than one dwelling unit, the utility service will have to be kept in the name of the owner of the dwelling, and he will be responsible for the payment of the bill.

(4) Fire hydrant service. For temporary service by means of a hydrant meter, as provided in division 4 of this article, the applicant shall pay a permit fee and shall place a deposit with the department. The deposit shall be applied toward the applicant's bill for water use, and any excess refunded upon closing of the account.

Permit fee\$55.00

Deposit (refundable), negotiated depending on expected usage

Water charge, per 1,000 gallons\$14.87

(5) Metered private fire protection system. Private fire protection system, metered (does not apply when domestic and fire services are master-metered):

a. Monthly charge: Two percent of corresponding minimum charge, as provided in subsection (1) of this section.

b. Commodity charge, per 1,000 gallons: See subsection (2) above.

(6) Reserved.

Article III. Wastewater Service

DIVISION 6. - FEES AND CHARGES

Sec. 82-537. - Wastewater user charge schedule.

Bills for wastewater disposal and the use of the wastewater collection system shall be rendered to residential and nonresidential users based on the following monthly rates:

(1) Fixed minimum charge. The fixed minimum charge shall be as follows:

Meter Size	Minimum Charge Per Month
5/8"	\$14.14 <u>\$14.85</u>
3/4"	\$14.14 <u>\$14.85</u>

Meter Size	Minimum Charge Per Month
1"	\$21.32 <u>\$22.39</u>
1½"	\$29.13 <u>\$30.58</u>
2"	\$38.49 <u>\$40.42</u>
3"	\$68.15 <u>\$71.56</u>
4"	\$110.30 <u>\$115.81</u>
6"	\$216.44 <u>\$227.26</u>
8"	\$450.58 <u>\$473.11</u>
10"	\$669.11 <u>\$702.57</u>

For uses metering wastewater flow, contracted capacity shall be used to determine equivalent water meter size for computation of minimum monthly fees.

(2) Commodity charge. For all water delivered or wastewater collected a unit charge of ~~\$8.83~~ **\$9.27** per 1,000 gallons shall apply.

(3) Owner's responsibility for rental dwelling unit. Whenever wastewater service is provided to a rental dwelling which has water service provided by an individual well, the utility service will have to be kept in the name of the owner of the dwelling, and he will be responsible for the payment of the bill.

(4) Strength charges. In accordance with the procedures defined in [section 82-507](#), the following charges per 1,000 gallons shall be assessed against the users whose wastes exceed the strength of normal domestic wastewater. All residential users shall be assumed to be in category I:

Category Designation	Range of Strength (standard strength units)	Strength Charge (\$ per 1,000 gallons)
I	0—300	0.00
II	301—600	0.0830
III	601—1,000	0.1650
IV	1,001—1,400	0.2650
V	1,401 and over	*

* Subject to determination by the director based on actual strength units in the user's wastes.

(Code 1988, § 15-147; Ord. No. O-01-005, 8-22-2001; Ord. No. O-04-002, § 15-147, 3-9-2004; Ord. No. O-04-009, § 15-147, 8-10-2004; Ord. No. O-05-004, 6-14-2005; Ord. No. O-06-05, 11-28-2006; Ord. No. O-08-06, 9-23-2008; Ord. No. O-12-01, § 3, 1-10-2011; Ord. No. O-14-023B, § 1, 10-14-2014; Ord. No. O-16-06, § 1, 7-12-2016; Ord. No. O-17-03, § 1, 4-11-2017; Ord. No. O-18-06, § 1, 4-10-2018)

(2) *That this Ordinance shall be effective July 1, 2023.*

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Brown moved, seconded by Mrs. Waymack to adjourn. Roll was called on the motion.

On roll call the vote was:

In favor: (5) Hunter, Webb, Waymack, Brown, Carmichael

Opposed: (0)

Absent: (0)

The meeting adjourned at 7:37 p.m.

[Draft Minutes prepared April 14, 2023 for consideration on April 25, 2023; adopted by unanimous vote.]

Donald R. Hunter
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Jeffrey D. Stoke
County Administrator